Lab Course Machine Learning **Exercise Sheet 4** November 29th, 2021 Syed Wasif Murtaza Jafri-311226 **Exercise 0: Dataset preprocessing** import numpy as np import math import pandas as pd import numpy as np import matplotlib.pyplot as plt $\textbf{from} \ \texttt{matplotlib} \ \textbf{import} \ \texttt{cm}$ from matplotlib.ticker import LinearLocator plt.rcParams['figure.figsize'] = (10 ,8) from sympy import symbols, diff import pandas as pd import math import warnings warnings.filterwarnings('ignore') df = pd.read_csv('tic-tac-toe.data', sep = ',', header=None, names=["top-left-square", "top-middle-square", "top-n valueMap = {'negative':0,'positive':1,'x':2,'o':3,'b':4} df= df.replace(valueMap) df bottom-leftbottomtop-lefttop-middletop-rightmiddle-leftmiddlemiddle-rightbottom-right-Class square middle-square square square square middle-square square square square 0 2 2 2 2 3 3 2 3 3 1 2 2 2 1 2 3 3 2 3 1 2 2 2 2 3 3 3 3 2 2 1 3 2 2 3 1 2 2 2 2 3 3 4 3 4 4 1 3 2 2 2 3 3 3 2 2 0 953 2 2 2 2 954 3 2 0 955 3 2 3 2 3 2 2 3 2 0 3 2 2 956 0 3 2 2 3 3 2 2 0 957 958 rows × 10 columns (df['Class'].value_counts()) / len(df) * 100 65.344468 1 34.655532 Name: Class, dtype: float64 This show that instances of positive class(represented by 1) are more in dataset than negative class(represented by 0). This is unbalanced dataset for which we need stratification technique to make the proportionality equal in dataset. Now for stratification, I am taking fraction from positive class while taking the whole negative class to make this proportionality equal. fraction = ((df.loc[df['Class'] == 0]).shape[0])/((df.loc[df['Class'] == 1]).shape[0])In [24]: tdl = df.loc[df['Class'] == 1].sample(frac=fraction) td2 = df.loc[df['Class'] == 0] td = pd.concat([td1,td2]).sample(frac=1) td.head() Out[24]: top-lefttop-middletop-rightmiddle-leftmiddlemiddle-rightbottom-leftbottombottom-right-Class square square square middle-square square middle-square square square square 294 2 4 4 4 2 4 3 3 2 1 2 3 2 3 4 3 2 0 689 4 2 4 4 3 3 3 4 2 2 0 738 2 4 2 3 2 3 525 4 1 4 3 3 4 2 2 2 4 4 1 617 Spliting dataset into training and test td train = td.iloc[0:math.floor(len(td)*0.8)] td test = td.iloc[math.floor(len(td)*0.8)+1:] td train middle-righttop-lefttop-middletop-rightmiddle-leftmiddlebottombottom-rightbottom-left-Class middle-square middle-square square square square square square square square 294 2 4 4 4 2 4 3 3 2 1 689 2 3 2 4 3 4 3 2 0 4 2 3 3 3 2 2 0 738 4 4 4 2 3 525 4 4 2 4 2 3 1 3 3 2 2 2 4 4 4 4 617 1 2 3 2 2 2 215 3 4 4 3 1 2 3 3 2 4 2 3 2 4 105 1 2 3 2 3 2 797 3 4 4 4 0 2 2 3 3 3 3 2 0 632 2 934 4 4 3 2 3 2 4 3 0 531 rows × 10 columns td test top-lefttop-middletop-rightmiddle-leftmiddlemiddle-rightbottom-rightbottom-leftbottom-Class square square square square middle-square square square middle-square square 37 2 2 2 3 4 3 2 3 4 1 2 2 570 3 3 4 3 2 2 2 3 3 3 2 40 4 4 1 2 2 4 2 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 2 3 2 2 2 583 4 1 ••• 2 3 2 4 2 3 3 2 138 4 1 2 2 3 620 4 4 3 4 2 3 4 2 3 4 2 2 199 3 1 3 2 3 3 2 682 0 948 2 3 2 3 2 2 3 2 3 0 132 rows × 10 columns **Exercise 1: Logistic Regression with Gradient Descent** def sig(X,B): return (1 / (1 + np.exp((-1)*(np.dot(X,B))))) def logLike(X,Y,B): return np.sum((Y*sig(X,B))-np.log(1+np.exp(sig(X,B)))) # for logloss: https://ml-cheatsheet.readthedocs.io/en/latest/loss functions.html # ref given in exercise was not running def logloss(yHat, y): for i in range(len(yHat)): **if** y[i] == 1: sum = sum - np.log(yHat[i]) else: sum = sum -np.log(1 - yHat[i])return sum **def** GradAscent ($X, Y, \mu, e, xtest, ytest$): B old = np.zeros(shape=(len(X[0]),1))fold min fnew List =[] numberIterations = 10000 L= logLike(X,Y,B old) Log loss = []for i in range (numberIterations): $y_hat = sig(X, B_old)$ y_test_hat = sig(xtest,B old) Log_loss.append(logloss(y_test_hat,ytest)) $B_hat = B_old + (\mu * np.dot(X.T, (Y-y_hat)))$ fold min fnew List.append(abs(logLike(X,Y,B old)-logLike(X,Y,B hat))) L old = L #print(L old) L = logLike(X, Y, B hat) $B_old = B_hat$ if(L - L old < e):return B hat, fold min fnew List return B_hat, fold_min_fnew_List, Log_loss return ('Not Converge'),[] $\textbf{def} \ \, \texttt{stepLengthBolddriver}(\texttt{X}, \texttt{Y}, \mu_\texttt{old}, \mu_\texttt{plus}, \mu_\texttt{minus}, \texttt{xtest}, \texttt{ytest}):$ B old = np.zeros(shape=(len(X[0]),1)) $\mu = \mu_old *\mu plus$ $y_hat = sig(X, B_old)$ $B_hat = B_old + (\mu * np.dot(X.T, (Y-y_hat)))$ fold_min_fnew_List = [] rmse List = [] L = logLike(X, Y, B old)Log loss = []numberIterations = 1000 for i in range (numberIterations): $y_hat = sig(X, B_old)$ y_test_hat = sig(xtest,B_old) Log_loss.append(logloss(y_test_hat,ytest)) B hat =B old +(μ * np.dot(X.T,(Y-y hat))) fold_min_fnew_List.append(abs(logLike(X,Y,B_old)-logLike(X,Y,B_hat))) L old = L L = logLike(X, Y, B hat)B old = B hat $\mu = \mu * \mu_{minus}$ i += 1 return B hat, fold min fnew List, µ, Log loss X_train = td_train.loc[:, td_train.columns != 'Class'] # taking all columns except Class columns for X In [48]: Y_train = td_train[['Class']] # taking Class column for y X = X_train.to_numpy() # converting to numpy matrix Y = Y_train.to_numpy() # converting to numpy matrix bias_column = np.ones(shape=(len(X_train),1)) X = np.append(bias column, X, axis=1) #adding bias columns to X μ=10******-9 print(X.shape) print(Y.shape) X_test = (td_test.loc[:, td_test.columns != 'Class']).to_numpy() # taking all columns except Class columns for bias_column = np.ones(shape=(len(X_test),1)) X_test = np.append(bias_column, X_test, axis=1) Y_test = (td_test[['Class']]).to_numpy() # taking Class column for y (531, 10)(531, 1)B hat, fold min fnew List, Log loss=GradAscent(X,Y, μ ,10**(-2),X test,Y test) In [49]: fold min fnew List B hat Out[49]: array([[-2.40388278e-05], [-2.17260959e-04], [1.32667784e-04], [-2.07224681e-04], [1.32626318e-04], [-4.02232159e-04], [1.32643677e-04], [-1.47261239e-04], [9.76789278e-05], [-3.72728350e-05]])fold min fnew List plt.plot(fold min fnew List) plt.xlabel('Number Of Iterations') plt.ylabel('Absolute Difference in likelihood') plt.title ('Absolute Difference in Loss VS Iterations') plt.show() Absolute Difference in Loss VS Iterations 3.25 Absolute Difference in Loss 3.20 3.15 3.10 3.05 2000 4000 8000 10000 Number Of Iterations Graph shows with number of iterations, difference of likelihood decreases. In [54]: plt.plot(Log loss) plt.xlabel('Number Of Iterations') plt.ylabel('LogLoss') plt.title ('Log Loss VS Iterations') plt.show() Log Loss VS Iterations +9.1490000000e1 0.0054 0.0052 0.0050 LogLoss 0.0048 0.0046 2000 8000 10000 Number Of Iterations LogLoss decreeases with increase in iterations bolddriver μ=10******-11 B hat, fold min fnew List, μ, Log loss=stepLengthBolddriver(X, Y, μ, 1, 0.95, X test, Y test) B hat Out[89]: array([[-4.99999613e-10], [-4.39999890e-09], [2.60000107e-09], [-4.19999888e-09], [2.60000106e-09], [-8.09999889e-09], [2.60000106e-09], [-2.99999890e-09], [1.90000108e-09], [-7.99998903e-10]]) plt.plot(fold min fnew List) plt.xlabel('Number Of Iterations') plt.ylabel('Absolute Difference in likelihood') plt.title ('Absolute Difference in likelihood VS Iterations') plt.show() Absolute Difference in Loss VS Iterations le-8 3.0 2.5 Difference in Loss 2.0 Absolute 1.0 0.5 0.0 Ó 200 400 600 800 1000 Number Of Iterations With μ calculated with bolddriver, the absolute difference btw likelihood decreases quickly and after 150 iterations converges to zero. fold__min_fnew_List plt.plot(Log_loss) plt.xlabel('Number Of Iterations') plt.ylabel('LogLoss') plt.title ('Log Loss VS Iterations') plt.show() Log Loss VS Iterations le-8+9.1495427800e1 3.25 3.00 2.75 2.50 2.25 2.00 1.75 200 1000 Number Of Iterations With μ calculated with bolddriver, the logloss decreases quickly and after 150 iterations converges to zero. **Exercise 2: Implement Newton Algorithm for Logistic Regression** In [104.. def Hessian (X,B): ones = np.ones(shape=(len(X),1)) f = ones-sig(X,B)W= np.diag((np.multiply(f,ones-f)).reshape(-1))H=np.dot(X.T,np.dot(W, X),)return H def minimize_newton(X,Y,\u03c4,e,xtest,ytest): $B_old = np.zeros(shape=(len(X[0]),1))$ fold__min_fnew_List =[] H=Hessian(X,B_old) numberIterations = 1000 $L_old = logLike(X,Y,B_old)$ Log_loss=[] for i in range (numberIterations): $y_hat = sig(X, B_old)$ y_test_hat = sig(xtest,B_old) Log_loss.append(logloss(y_test_hat, ytest)) $B_{hat} = B_{old} + (\mu * np.dot(np.linalg.inv(H), (np.dot(X.T, (Y-y_hat)))))$ $L = logLike(X,Y,B_hat)$ B old = B_hat fold__min_fnew_List.append(L - L_old) if((L - L_old) <e):</pre> return B_hat,fold__min_fnew_List L old = Lreturn B_hat,fold__min_fnew_List,Log_loss return ('Not Converge'),[] $\texttt{B_hat,fold_min_fnew_List,Log_loss=minimize_newton} (\texttt{X,Y,\mu,10**(-2),X_test,Y_test})$ B hat Out[105... array([[-1.15503258e-05], [-1.79860933e-05], [2.52814967e-05], [-1.54552357e-05], [2.41481081e-05], [-4.77291411e-05], [2.03925760e-05], [-8.77538549e-06], [1.82521246e-05], [3.20211246e-06]]) In [106... plt.plot(fold__min_fnew_List) plt.xlabel('Number Of Iterations') plt.ylabel('Absolute Difference in likelihood') plt.title ('Absolute Difference in likelihood VS Iterations') plt.show() le-10+1.0010000000e-6 Absolute Difference in likelihood VS Iterations 1.8 1.6 Absolute Difference in likelihood 1.0 0.8 0.6 Number Of Iterations plt.plot(Log_loss) plt.xlabel('Number Of Iterations') plt.ylabel('LogLoss') plt.title ('Log Loss VS Iterations') plt.show() Log Loss VS Iterations +9 1490000000e1 0.0054 0.0053 0.0052 0.0051 0.0050 0.0049 200 400 600 800 1000 Number Of Iterations logloss is decreases with number of iterations, it means it prediction is more accurate after each iteration.