

Homework 1

Abhimanyu Talwar

October 6, 2018

ESL Problem 2.2

I assume that we are given the 10 means for each of the classes - sampled from $\mathcal{N}((1, 0)^T, \mathbf{I})$ for BLUE (call these m_1^B, \dots, m_{10}^B), and from $\mathcal{N}((0, 1)^T, \mathbf{I})$ for ORANGE (call these m_1^O, \dots, m_{10}^O). The population data points are sampled *given* these 10 means for each class. I also assume that an equal number of data points is sampled from each class, and so $\mathbb{P}(Y = B) = \mathbb{P}(Y = O)$, where Y is a random variable which denotes the class label (B for Blue and O for Orange) of a data point.

Let X be a two dimensional random vector denoting a data point. Then at the Bayes' boundary, we have:

$$\mathbb{P}(Y = B|X = x) = \mathbb{P}(Y = O|X = x) \quad (1)$$

Let $f_{X|Y}(x)$ denote the conditional probability density of the data point X given the color label Y . Then using Bayes' Theorem (and our assumption that $\mathbb{P}(Y = B) = \mathbb{P}(Y = O)$), we can write:

$$\frac{f_{X|Y=B}(x)\mathbb{P}(Y = B)}{f_X(x)} = \frac{f_{X|Y=O}(x)\mathbb{P}(Y = O)}{f_X(x)} \quad (2)$$

$$\implies f_{X|Y=B}(x) = f_{X|Y=O}(x) \quad (3)$$

$$\implies \frac{1}{10} \sum_{i=1}^{10} f_{m_i^B}(x) = \frac{1}{10} \sum_{i=1}^{10} f_{m_i^O}(x) \quad (4)$$

The solutions to Eq. 4 define the optimal Bayes' decision boundary. Here $f_{m_i^O}(x)$ and $f_{m_i^B}(x)$ are probability density functions of the Gaussian distributions $\mathcal{N}(m_i^O, \mathbf{I}/5)$ and $\mathcal{N}(m_i^B, \mathbf{I}/5)$ respectively.

ESL Problem 2.3

Let the N p -dimensional points be represented by the random variables X_1, \dots, X_N , where each random variable X_i is drawn independently from a p -dimensional uniform distribution.

Let $\|X_i\|$ denote the Euclidean distance of a point X_i from the origin. I define the random variable representing distance of the closest point (among the N points) to the origin as:

$$D_{closest} = \min(\|X_1\|, \|X_2\|, \dots, \|X_{N-1}\|, \|X_N\|) \quad (5)$$

Let r be the median distance of the closest point from the origin. Based on the definition of 'median', we can say:

$$P(D_{closest} \geq r) = \frac{1}{2} \quad (6)$$

In the situation where the minimum of the N random variables $\|X_1\|, \dots, \|X_N\|$ is to exceed a number r , each of the N random variables would individually exceed r . This implies that:

$$P(D_{closest} \geq r) = P(\|X_1\| \geq r \cap \|X_2\| \geq r \cap \dots \cap \|X_N\| \geq r) \quad (7)$$

And since the N points are independently drawn, we can say:

$$P(D_{closest} \geq r) = P(\|X_1\| \geq r) \times P(\|X_2\| \geq r) \times \dots \times P(\|X_N\| \geq r) \quad (8)$$

Now let $Vol(B_r^p(0))$ denote the volume of a ball of radius r in p -dimensional space. As discussed in the lecture on 17 September, this volume is r^p times some function of p . Then for random variable X_i , the probability that this variable lies outside the ball of radius r centered at origin is given by:

$$P(\|X_i\| \geq r) = 1 - \frac{Vol(B_r^p(0))}{Vol(B_1^p(0))} \quad (9)$$

$$= 1 - r^p \quad (10)$$

Plugging Eq. 10 in Eq. 8, we get:

$$P(D_{closest} \geq r) = (1 - r^p)^N \quad (11)$$

And plugging Eq. 11 in Eq. 6, we have:

$$(1 - r^p)^N = \frac{1}{2} \quad (12)$$

$$\implies r = \left(1 - \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{N}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \quad (13)$$

ESL Problem 2.4

Let (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_p) denote the p components of the unit vector a . Since a is a unit vector, we have:

$$\sum_{j=1}^p a_j^2 = 1 \quad (14)$$

As given in the problem statement, let x be a vector drawn from the Spherical Multinormal Distribution $\mathcal{N}(0, \mathbb{I}_p)$, then we define z as:

$$z = a^T x \quad (15)$$

Now my approach for this problem is to show that z has the same Characteristic Function as that of a standard Gaussian random variable $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$. Once I have proved that, we can conclude that z also has a standard Gaussian distribution.

The Characteristic Function for a random variable X having the distribution $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ can be written as:

$$\varphi_X(t) = e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}} \quad (16)$$

Now I will find the Characteristic Function for the random variable z :

$$\varphi_z(t) = \mathbb{E}[e^{itz}] \quad (17)$$

$$= \mathbb{E}\left[e^{it \sum_{j=1}^p a_j x_j}\right] \quad (18)$$

$$= \mathbb{E}\left[\prod_{j=1}^p e^{ita_j x_j}\right] \quad (19)$$

Since x has been drawn from a Spherical Multinormal Distribution, each of its p components, (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p) , are independent and identically distributed with the standard Gaussian distribution $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$. Therefore, the functions of these p components, $(e^{ita_1 x_1}, e^{ita_2 x_2}, \dots, e^{ita_p x_p})$ are also independent, for a given a . Then, in Eq. 19, we can write the expectation-of-product as a product-of-expectations. We get:

$$\varphi_z(t) = \prod_{j=1}^p \mathbb{E}[e^{ita_j x_j}] \quad (20)$$

Since each $x_j \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$, the j^{th} term inside the product on the right hand side of Eq. 20, represents the Characteristic Function of a standard Gaussian (stated in Eq.16), evaluated at the point ta_j . So we can rewrite Eq. 20 as:

$$\varphi_z(t) = \prod_{j=1}^p \varphi_{x_i}(ta_j) \quad (21)$$

$$= \prod_{j=1}^p e^{-\frac{t^2 a_j^2}{2}} \quad (22)$$

$$= e^{-\frac{t^2}{2} \sum_{j=1}^p a_j^2} \quad (23)$$

$$= e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}} \quad (24)$$

In Eq. 24 above I have used the result from Eq. 14. We can observe from Eq. 24 that z has the same Characteristic Function as that of a standard Gaussian random variable. Hence we conclude that $z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$.

The squared distance for the projection of x onto unit vector a is simply given by z^2 . Using the definition of Variance, we have:

$$\text{Var}(z) = \mathbb{E}[z^2] - (\mathbb{E}[z])^2 \quad (25)$$

$$\implies 1 = \mathbb{E}[z^2] - 0 \quad (26)$$

$$\implies \mathbb{E}[z^2] = 1 \quad (27)$$

Eq. 27 proves that the expected squared distance of the project of x onto the unit vector a is 1.

ESL Problem 2.5

1. **ESL 2.5 (a): Prove Equation 2.27 from the book** In this problem, we assume that the relationship between X and Y is linear and that it is determined by the following equation, where $\epsilon \sim \mathbb{N}(0, \sigma^2)$.

$$Y = X^T \beta + \epsilon \quad (28)$$

Say we have some training data $\mathbb{T} = (\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})$, and we fit our linear model by least squares to this training data. Let x_0 denote a test datapoint, and let y_0 denote the response variable (given an x_0 , the variable y_0 is random due to the presence of noise ϵ). Then the Expected Prediction Error for a prediction made at point x_0 is given by:

$$EPE(x_0) = \mathbb{E}_{y_0|x_0} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{T}} [(y_0 - \hat{y}_0)^2] \quad (29)$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{y_0|x_0} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{T}} [(y_0 - x_0^T \beta + x_0^T \beta - \hat{y}_0)^2] \quad (30)$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{y_0|x_0} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{T}} [(y_0 - x_0^T \beta)^2] + \mathbb{E}_{y_0|x_0} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{T}} [(x_0^T \beta - \hat{y}_0)^2] + 2\mathbb{E}_{y_0|x_0} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{T}} [(y_0 - x_0^T \beta)(x_0^T \beta - \hat{y}_0)] \quad (31)$$

The right hand side of Eq. 31 consists of summation of three sub-expressions. Below, I will derive them one by one.

For the first sub-expression, using Eq. 28, we have:

$$\mathbb{E}_{y_0|x_0} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{T}} [(y_0 - x_0^T \beta)^2] = \mathbb{E}_{y_0|x_0} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{T}} [\epsilon^2] \quad (32)$$

$$= \sigma^2 \quad (33)$$

For the third sub-expression, using Eq. 28, I will replace $(y_0 - x_0^T \beta)$ with ϵ . We then get:

$$\mathbb{E}_{y_0|x_0} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{T}} [(y_0 - x_0^T \beta)(x_0^T \beta - \hat{y}_0)] = \mathbb{E}_{y_0|x_0} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{T}} [\epsilon(x_0^T \beta - \hat{y}_0)] \quad (34)$$

Since ϵ is independent of $(x_0^T \beta - \hat{y}_0)$, we can write the expectation-of-product as a product-of-expectations.

$$\mathbb{E}_{y_0|x_0} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{T}} [(y_0 - x_0^T \beta)(x_0^T \beta - \hat{y}_0)] = \mathbb{E}_{y_0|x_0} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{T}} [\epsilon] \times \mathbb{E}_{y_0|x_0} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{T}} [(x_0^T \beta - \hat{y}_0)] \quad (35)$$

$$= 0 \quad (36)$$

The last line follows because $\mathbb{E}[\epsilon] = 0$.

Finally, for the second sub-expression in Eq. 31, since this sub-expression is not influenced by y_0 , we can get rid of the expectation with respect to $y_0|x_0$. We then have:

$$\mathbb{E}_{y_0|x_0} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{T}} [(x_0^T \beta - \hat{y}_0)^2] = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{T}} [(x_0^T \beta - \hat{y}_0)^2] \quad (37)$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{T}} [(x_0^T \beta - \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{T}}(\hat{y}_0) + \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{T}}(\hat{y}_0) - \hat{y}_0)^2] \quad (38)$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{T}} [(x_0^T \beta - \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{T}}(\hat{y}_0))^2] + \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{T}} [(\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{T}}(\hat{y}_0) - \hat{y}_0)^2] + 2\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{T}} [(x_0^T \beta - \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{T}}(\hat{y}_0))(\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{T}}(\hat{y}_0) - \hat{y}_0)] \quad (39)$$

Now I again have three sub-expressions on the right hand side of Eq. 39. Below, I derive each of them

(a) Eq. 39 Sub-expression 1

Since each of $x_0^T \beta$ and $\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{T}}[\hat{y}_0]$ are non-random quantities, we can get rid of the expectation with respect to the training set. We then use the fact that for a linear model fitted via the least squares method, the expectation of prediction ($\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{T}}[\hat{y}_0]$) is equal to the true mean of the response variable ($x_0^T \beta$). Or in other words, the Bias equals 0. So we get:

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{T}} [(x_0^T \beta - \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{T}}(\hat{y}_0))^2] = x_0^T \beta - \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{T}}(\hat{y}_0))^2 \quad (40)$$

$$= 0 \quad (41)$$

(b) **Eq. 39 Sub-expression 2**

This sub-expression denotes the variance of \hat{y}_0 . Using the facts that (1) $\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{T}}[\hat{y}_0] = x_0^T \beta$, and (2) the prediction at x_0 , that is \hat{y}_0 , equals $x_0^T \hat{\beta}$ we get:

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{T}} [(\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{T}}(\hat{y}_0) - \hat{y}_0)^2] = \text{Var}(\hat{y}_0) \quad (42)$$

$$= \text{Var}(x_0^T \hat{\beta}) \quad (43)$$

$$= x_0^T \text{Var}(\hat{\beta}) x_0^T \quad (44)$$

Now Eq. 3.8 of The Elements of Statistical Learning provides an expression for $\text{Var}(\hat{\beta})$ however it assumes that the \mathbf{X} matrix (which consists of observed feature vectors), is non-random. On relaxing that conditioning on \mathbf{X} , we should get:

$$\text{Var}(\hat{\beta}) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{T}} [(\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1}] \sigma^2 \quad (45)$$

Using this result, we get:

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{T}} [(\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{T}}(\hat{y}_0) - \hat{y}_0)^2] = x_0^T \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{T}} [(\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1}] x_0 \sigma^2 \quad (46)$$

(c) **Eq. 39 Sub-expression 3**

Using the fact that the prediction at x_0 , that is \hat{y}_0 , equals $x_0^T \hat{\beta}$, this sub-expression evaluates to 0.

Substituting the value of these three sub-expressions in Eq. 39, we get:

$$\mathbb{E}_{y_0|x_0} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{T}} [(x_0^T \beta - \hat{y}_0)^2] = x_0^T \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{T}} [(\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1}] x_0 \sigma^2 \quad (47)$$

Finally using Eq. 33 and Eq. 47 in Eq. 31, we get:

$$EPE(x_0) = \sigma^2 + x_0^T \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{T}} [(\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1}] x_0 \sigma^2 \quad (48)$$

2. **ESL 2.5 (b): Prove Equation 2.28 from the book** First I'll argue that assuming $\mathbb{E}[X] = 0$, then $\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \rightarrow NCov(X)$ if N is large.

Consider the $(i, j)^{th}$ element of $\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X}$.

$$(\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})_{i,j} = \sum_{k=1}^N \mathbf{X}_{i,k}^T \mathbf{X}_{k,j} \quad (49)$$

$$= N \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N \mathbf{X}_{k,i} \mathbf{X}_{k,j} \right) \quad (50)$$

$$\rightarrow N \mathbb{E}[X_i X_j] \quad (51)$$

$$= N \sigma_{i,j} \quad (52)$$

The second last step follows from the Law of Large Numbers. The last step follows from the assumption that $\mathbb{E}[X_i] = \mathbb{E}[X_j] = 0$ and the Covariance formula, $Cov(X, Y) = \mathbb{E}[XY] - \mathbb{E}[X] \mathbb{E}[Y]$.

Using the result from Eq. 52, if N is large, then $\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \rightarrow NCov(X)$. Substituting this expression in Eq. 2.27 from the ESL book.

$$EPE(x_0) = \sigma^2 + x_0^T Cov(X)^{-1} x_0 \sigma^2 / N \quad (53)$$

$$\implies \mathbb{E}_{x_0} EPE(x_0) = \sigma^2 + \mathbb{E}_{x_0} x_0^T Cov(X)^{-1} x_0 \sigma^2 / N \quad (54)$$

$$(55)$$

Now I will use a result from Linear Algebra for the expectation of a quadratic expression. Let B be a random vector of p dimensions, and let A be a $p \times p$ matrix which is non-random, then we have:

$$\mathbb{E}[B^T A B] = \text{tr}(A Cov(B)) + \mathbb{E}[B]^T A \mathbb{E}[B] \quad (56)$$

Using this result, and the fact that $\mathbb{E}[x_0] = 0$, we get:

$$\mathbb{E}_{x_0} EPE(x_0) = \sigma^2 + \text{tr}(Cov(X)^{-1} Cov(x_0)) \sigma^2 / N \quad (57)$$

$$= \sigma^2 + \text{tr}(\mathbf{I}) \sigma^2 / N \quad (58)$$

$$= \sigma^2 + \sigma^2 \left(\frac{p}{N} \right) \quad (59)$$

This proves Eq. 2.28 from ESL. The second last line follows from the fact that x_0 and X are essentially random vectors from the same distribution and therefore $Cov(X)$ is the same matrix as $Cov(x_0)$, and their product $Cov(X)^{-1}Cov(x_0)$ is the Identity matrix. The last line follows from the fact that the Trace of a $p \times p$ Identity matrix is simply p (because all diagonal elements are equal to 1).

ESL Problem 2.7

1. ESL 2.7(a)

(a) k-NN

Let $N_k(x_0)$ represent the k nearest neighbors of x_0 . Then we define:

$$l_i(x_0; \mathcal{X}) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{k}, & \text{if } x_i \in N_k(x_0) \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (60)$$

This then gives our estimator for f at x_0 as:

$$\hat{f}(x_0) = \sum_{i=1}^N l_i(x_0; \mathcal{X}) y_i \quad (61)$$

$$= \frac{1}{k} \sum_{x_i \in N_k(x_0)} y_i \quad (62)$$

(b) Linear Regression

In the case of Linear Regression, our estimate of f at x_0 can be written as:

$$\hat{f}(x_0) = x_0^T \hat{\beta} \quad (63)$$

The parameter estimate $\hat{\beta}$ is given by (here \mathbf{X} represents the $N \times p$ matrix denoting the N observations of x , and p is the number of dimensions of x):

$$\hat{\beta} = (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T y \quad (64)$$

This gives the estimate of f at x_0 as:

$$\hat{f}(x_0) = x_0^T (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T y \quad (65)$$

Let w^T denote the $1 \times N$ vector $x_0^T (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T$ and let w_i^T denote the i^{th} element of the vector w^T . Then we define weights as:

$$l_i(x_0; \mathcal{X}) = w_i^T \quad (66)$$

Using these weights from Eq. 66, we can now write Eq. 65 in the desired form.

2. ESL 2.7(b)

Adding and subtracting $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}} [\hat{f}(x_0)]$ within the expression for conditional mean-squared error, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}} [(f(x_0) - \hat{f}(x_0))^2] &= \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}} \left[\left(f(x_0) - \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}} [\hat{f}(x_0)] + \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}} [\hat{f}(x_0)] - \hat{f}(x_0) \right)^2 \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}} \left[\left(f(x_0) - \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}} [\hat{f}(x_0)] \right)^2 \right] \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}} \left[\left(\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}} [\hat{f}(x_0)] - \hat{f}(x_0) \right)^2 \right] \\ &\quad + 2\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}} \left[\left(f(x_0) - \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}} [\hat{f}(x_0)] \right) \left(\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}} [\hat{f}(x_0)] - \hat{f}(x_0) \right) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (67)$$

The right hand side of Eq. 67 has three sub-expressions, which I will discuss below. The first two expressions involve the term $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}} [\hat{f}(x_0)]$, which I will derive first below:

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}} [\hat{f}(x_0)] = \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}} \left[\sum_{i=1}^N l_i(x_0, \mathcal{X}) y_i \right] \quad (68)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^N l_i(x_0, \mathcal{X}) \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}} [y_i] \quad (69)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^N l_i(x_0, \mathcal{X}) f(x_i) \quad (70)$$

$$(71)$$

(a) **Eq. 67 First Sub-expression**

This expression represents the expected squared-distance between (1) the true value of f at x_0 , and (2) the expected value of our estimator \hat{f} at x_0 . This corresponds to the definition of $Bias^2$ (conditioned on \mathcal{X}). We can get rid of the outer expectation since the terms inside are already non-random (conditioned on \mathcal{X}).

$$Bias^2(\hat{f}(x_0)|\mathcal{X}) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}} \left[\left(f(x_0) - \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}} [\hat{f}(x_0)] \right)^2 \right] \quad (72)$$

$$= \left(f(x_0) - \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}} [\hat{f}(x_0)] \right)^2 \quad (73)$$

(b) **Eq. 67 Second Sub-expression**

This expression represents the expected squared distance between (1) our estimator \hat{f} evaluated at x_0 , and (2) the expected value of the estimator \hat{f} evaluated at x_0 . This corresponds to the definition of Variance (conditioned on \mathcal{X}).

$$Var(\hat{f}(x_0)|\mathcal{X}) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}} \left[\left(\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}} [\hat{f}(x_0)] - \hat{f}(x_0) \right)^2 \right] \quad (74)$$

(c) **Eq. 67 Third Sub-expression**

I will prove below that this sub-expression evaluates to 0. This expression is an expectation of product of two terms. However the first of the two terms is $\left(f(x_0) - \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}} [\hat{f}(x_0)] \right)$, which is not dependent on \mathcal{Y} and is non-random conditioned on \mathcal{X} . So we will take it out of the expectation to get:

$$2\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}} \left[\left(f(x_0) - \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}} [\hat{f}(x_0)] \right) \left(\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}} [\hat{f}(x_0)] - \hat{f}(x_0) \right) \right] = 2 \left(f(x_0) - \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}} [\hat{f}(x_0)] \right) \times \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}} \left[\left(\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}} [\hat{f}(x_0)] - \hat{f}(x_0) \right) \right] \quad (75)$$

The conditional expectation on the right hand side of Eq. 75 can simply be written as:

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}} \left[\left(\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}} [\hat{f}(x_0)] - \hat{f}(x_0) \right) \right] = \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}} [\hat{f}(x_0)] - \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}} [\hat{f}(x_0)] \quad (76)$$

$$= 0 \quad (77)$$

This proves that the third sub-expression will evaluate to 0.

3. **ESL 2.7(c)**

Using the Tower Rule, we can write:

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{X},\mathcal{Y}} \left[(f(x_0) - \hat{f}(x_0))^2 \right] = \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{X}} \left[\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}} \left[(f(x_0) - \hat{f}(x_0))^2 \right] \right] \quad (78)$$

Using our decomposition of $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}} \left[(f(x_0) - \hat{f}(x_0))^2 \right]$ from ESL 2.7(b) above, we can write:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{X},\mathcal{Y}} \left[(f(x_0) - \hat{f}(x_0))^2 \right] &= \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{X}} \left[Bias^2(\hat{f}(x_0)|\mathcal{X}) + Var(\hat{f}(x_0)|\mathcal{X}) \right] \\ &= \int Bias^2(\hat{f}(x_0)|\mathcal{X}) \left(\prod_{i=1}^N h(x_i) \right) dx_1 \cdots dx_N \\ &\quad + \int Var(\hat{f}(x_0)|\mathcal{X}) \left(\prod_{i=1}^N h(x_i) \right) dx_1 \cdots dx_N \end{aligned} \quad (79)$$

Here, $\left(\prod_{i=1}^N h(x_i) \right)$ equals the probability density for a particular instance of training set \mathcal{X} .

4. ESL 2.7(d)

Eq. 79 relates the unconditional expected squared error to conditional (on \mathcal{X}) Bias and Variance.

ESL Problem 2.9

Note: For this problem I have used the sketch provided in CMU's problem set 2 for their Advanced Methods for Data Analysis course. The calculations are my own. (Click this link for the problem statement.)

I will first prove that the expected test error, $\mathbb{E} [R_{te}(\hat{\beta})]$ is the same irrespective of our choice of M (the number of test points). Here $\hat{\beta}$ denotes the estimate of our Linear Regression parameter fitted with least squares on the *training* set. Let $\mathbb{E}[\bullet]$ denote expectation with respect to everything that is random. Then we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E} [R_{te}(\hat{\beta})] &= \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^M (\tilde{y}_i - \hat{\beta}^T \tilde{x}_i)^2 \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^M \mathbb{E} [(\tilde{y}_i - \hat{\beta}^T \tilde{x}_i)^2] \\ &= \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^M \left(\mathbb{E} [\tilde{y}_i^2] + \mathbb{E} [(\hat{\beta}^T \tilde{x}_i)^2] - 2\mathbb{E} [\tilde{y}_i \tilde{x}_i^T \hat{\beta}] \right)\end{aligned}\tag{80}$$

Since all datapoints of the Test set, $(\tilde{x}_i, \tilde{y}_i)$ are drawn from the same underlying population distribution, we can replace $\mathbb{E} [\tilde{y}_i^2]$ for all values of $i = 1, \dots, M$ with simply $\mathbb{E} [y]^2$ (where y is drawn from the underlying population distribution of responses). Similarly, I will also replace $\mathbb{E} [\tilde{x}_i^2]$ and $\mathbb{E} [\tilde{y}_i \tilde{x}_i^T]$ with $\mathbb{E} [x^2]$ and $\mathbb{E} [yx^T]$, respectively. Further, since the Test and Training sets have been drawn independently at random, I will use the Tower Rule to decompose expectation of product (of terms involving the Training and Test sets) into product of expectations. I explain this below:

$$\mathbb{E} [(\hat{\beta}^T \tilde{x}_i)^2] = \mathbb{E}_{Train} \mathbb{E}_{Test|Train} (\hat{\beta}^T \tilde{x}_i)^2\tag{81}$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{Train} \hat{\beta}^T \mathbb{E}_{Test} [x^2]\tag{82}$$

$$= \mathbb{E} [\hat{\beta}]^T \mathbb{E} [x^2]\tag{83}$$

Similarly, we also have:

$$\mathbb{E} [\tilde{y}_i \tilde{x}_i^T \hat{\beta}] = \mathbb{E} [xy]^T \mathbb{E} [\hat{\beta}]\tag{84}$$

Using this results, we can simplify Eq. 80 to say:

$$\mathbb{E} [R_{te}(\hat{\beta})] = \mathbb{E} [y^2] + \mathbb{E} [\hat{\beta}]^T \mathbb{E} [x^2] + \mathbb{E} [xy]^T \mathbb{E} [\hat{\beta}]\tag{85}$$

As shown above, the expected test error $\mathbb{E} [R_{te}(\hat{\beta})]$ would be the same expression as in Eq. 85, irrespective of the value of M . This implies that we can equivalently define $\mathbb{E} [R_{te}(\hat{\beta})]$ in terms of N test points (same number of points as in the training set).

$$\mathbb{E} [R_{te}(\hat{\beta})] = \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (\tilde{y}_i - \hat{\beta}^T \tilde{x}_i)^2 \right]\tag{86}$$

Now we know that the estimate of Linear Regression coefficient that would minimize the sum of squared residuals for the test set is not $\hat{\beta}$. Instead, it would be some estimate $\hat{\beta}_{Test}$ which would be derived by fitting using least squares on the *test* set instead of on the *training* set. It follows that:

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (\tilde{y}_i - \hat{\beta}^T \tilde{x}_i)^2 \geq \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (\tilde{y}_i - \hat{\beta}_{Test}^T \tilde{x}_i)^2\tag{87}$$

$$\implies \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (\tilde{y}_i - \hat{\beta}^T \tilde{x}_i)^2 \right] \geq \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (\tilde{y}_i - \hat{\beta}_{Test}^T \tilde{x}_i)^2 \right]\tag{88}$$

$$\implies \mathbb{E} [R_{te}(\hat{\beta})] \geq \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (\tilde{y}_i - \hat{\beta}_{Test}^T \tilde{x}_i)^2 \right]\tag{89}$$

Now $(\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{y}_1), \dots, (\tilde{x}_N, \tilde{y}_N)$, is just an arbitrarily chosen set of data points from the underlying population, and $\hat{\beta}_{Test}$ is a Linear Regression parameter estimated by fitted using least squares to this arbitrarily drawn set of N data points, we can say that the two random variables below have the same distribution (and therefore the same expectation):

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (\tilde{y}_i - \hat{\beta}_{Test}^T \tilde{x}_i)^2 \sim \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (y_i - \hat{\beta}^T x_i)^2 \quad (90)$$

$$\implies \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (\tilde{y}_i - \hat{\beta}_{Test}^T \tilde{x}_i)^2 \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (y_i - \hat{\beta}^T x_i)^2 \right] \quad (91)$$

$$\implies \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (\tilde{y}_i - \hat{\beta}_{Test}^T \tilde{x}_i)^2 \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[R_{tr}(\hat{\beta}) \right] \quad (92)$$

Combining Eq. 89 and Eq. 92, we get the desired result:

$$\mathbb{E} \left[R_{tr}(\hat{\beta}) \right] \leq \mathbb{E} \left[R_{te}(\hat{\beta}) \right] \quad (93)$$