# MA106 Linear Algebra 2022 Tutorial 3 D1-T4

Kartik Gokhale

Department of Computer Science & Engineering IIT Bombay

2022

Let A be a  $2 \times n$  matrix of real numbers. Is there a relationship between the  $det(AA^T)$  and sum of the  $(2 \times 2)$  principal minors of  $det(A^TA)$ ? Is there a similar such relationship for  $3 \times n$  matrices?

Let us brute force it and see what happens

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} u_1 & \cdots & u_n \\ v_1 & \cdots & v_n \end{bmatrix}$$

$$AA^T = \begin{bmatrix} \sum u_i v_i & \sum u_i^2 \\ \sum v_i^2 & \sum u_i v_i \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A^T A = \begin{bmatrix} u_i v_j + u_j v_i \end{bmatrix}$$

$$M_{kt} = \begin{bmatrix} 2u_k v_k & u_t v_k + v_t u_k \\ u_t v_k + v_t u_k & 2u_t v_t \end{bmatrix}$$

Let us evaluate the sum of determinants



$$\sum_{k < t} det(M_{kt}) = \sum_{k < t} det \begin{pmatrix} 2u_k v_k & u_t v_k + v_t u_k \\ u_t v_k + v_t u_k & 2u_t v_t \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \sum_{k < t} u_k v_k u_t v_t - u_t^2 v_t^2 - u_k^2 v_k^2$$
$$= det(AA^T)$$

**QED** 

A similar result holds not only for  $3 \times n$  matrices but for all  $k \times n, k \le n$  matrices<sup>1</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Why not for k > n?

#### Show that

$$det \begin{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \cos \alpha & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 1 & 2\cos \alpha & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2\cos \alpha & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 2\cos \alpha \end{bmatrix} \end{pmatrix} = \cos n\alpha$$

We will use induction. Trivially, for n=2, we have

$$2\cos^2\alpha - 1 = \cos 2\alpha$$

By the Induction hypothesis

$$D_k = 2\cos\alpha\cos(k-1)\alpha - \cos(k-2)\alpha$$

Hence,

$$D_k = cosk\alpha$$

QED



Suppose  $\langle x, y \rangle$  is a Hermitian product. Show that

$$4\langle x, y \rangle = \|x + y\|^2 - \|x - y\|^2 + i\|x + iy\|^2 - i\|x - iy\|^2$$

7/16

Let us recall some properties about the Hermitian product.

$$||x||^{2} = \langle x, x \rangle$$
$$\langle x, ay_{1} + by_{2} \rangle = a\langle x, y_{1} \rangle + b\langle x, y_{2} \rangle$$
$$\langle ax_{1} + bx_{2}, y \rangle = \bar{a}\langle x_{1}, y \rangle + \bar{b}\langle x_{2}, y \rangle$$

Trivial from here

$$||x + y||^{2} - ||x - y||^{2} = 2\langle x, y \rangle + 2\langle y, x \rangle$$

$$i||x + iy||^{2} - i||x - iy||^{2} = 2\langle x, y \rangle - 2\langle y, x \rangle$$

$$4\langle x, y \rangle = ||x + y||^{2} - ||x - y||^{2} + i||x + iy||^{2} - i||x - iy||^{2}$$

QED



8/16

Sheet 4 Problem 4

$$\{[1,1,0,0],\ \frac{1}{2}[1,-1,2,0],\ \frac{1}{3}[1,-1,-1,3],\ \frac{1}{2}[-1,1,1,1],\ \mathbf{0},\ \mathbf{0}\}.$$

The 4 nonzero elements give an orthogonal basis. [-2, -1, 1, 2] must be in linear span.

To verify Bessel's equality, we first note that

$$\mathbf{u}_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}[1,1,0,0], \ \mathbf{u}_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}[1,-1,2,0], \ \mathbf{u}_3 = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}[1,-1,-1,3], \ \mathbf{u}_4 = \frac{1}{2}[-1,1,1,1].$$

Now 
$$\sum (\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{u}_j)^2 = \frac{9}{2} + \frac{1}{6} + \frac{4}{3} + 4 = 10 = ||\mathbf{v}||^2$$
. (Verified!)

Sheet 4 Problem 8

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{w}_1 &= \mathbf{v}_1 &= [1, \iota, 0, 0, 0] \\ \mathbf{w}_2 &= [0, 1, \iota, 0, 0] - \frac{-\iota}{2} [1, \iota, 0, 0, 0] &= \frac{1}{2} [\iota, 1, 2\iota, 0, 0] \\ &\text{Similarly, } \mathbf{w}_3 &= \frac{1}{3} [-1, \iota, 1, 3\iota, 0] \\ &\text{and } \mathbf{w}_4 &= \frac{1}{4} [-\iota, -1, \iota, 1, 4\iota]. \end{aligned}$$

The corresponding orthonormal (unitary) set is

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [1, i, 0, 0, 0], \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} [i, 1, 2i, 0, 0], \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} [-1, i, 1, 3i, 0], \frac{1}{2\sqrt{5}} [-i, -1, i, 1, 4i] \right\}.$$

$$\|[1, i, 1, i, 1]\|^2 = 5. \text{ OTOH,}$$

$$|\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u}_1 \rangle|^2 = 2, \quad |\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u}_2 \rangle|^2 = 2/3,$$

$$|\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u}_3 \rangle|^2 = 4/3, \quad |\langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u}_4 \rangle|^2 = 4/5.$$

The sum is 24/5 < 5, hence can not be in the linear span.

12 / 16

Show that if A is  $n \times n$  complex matrix whose rows are orthonormal, then so are its columns

For the beauty of this concise proof, let me represent OC as orthonormal columns and OR as orthonormal rows

$$\mathsf{OR} \implies (AA^\dagger = I) \implies (A^\dagger = A^\dagger AA^\dagger) \implies (A^\dagger A = I) \implies \mathsf{OC}$$

$$V = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \begin{bmatrix} 1\\i\\-1 \end{bmatrix}, W = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \begin{bmatrix} 1\\-2i\\-1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Find  $U \in \mathcal{C}^3$  such that the 3 are orthonormal. Any other way than Gram Schmidt?

A trivial method exists using Gram Schmidt Orthonormalisation. Consider the trivial basis of  $\mathcal{C}^3$  and orthormalize them with respect to the given vectors. At least one is bound to give you U Another method would be to use the results of Problem 6. Construct a vector U such that the augmented matrix formed has orthonormal rows.

$$U=rac{1}{\sqrt{2}}egin{bmatrix}1\0\1\end{bmatrix}$$

Can you think of any other method?