Department of Mathematics Indian Institute of Technology, Bombay

MA 106: Mathematics II Tutorial Sheet No.3

Autumn 2016 AR

Topics: Determinants, ranks by determinants, Adjoints, Inverses, Cramer's rule.

1. Find the rank by determinants. Verify by row reduction.

(i)
$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 & -3 \\ 2 & 0 & 5 \\ -3 & 5 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
 (ii)
$$\begin{bmatrix} 4 & 3 \\ -8 & -6 \\ 16 & 12 \end{bmatrix}$$
 (iii)
$$\begin{bmatrix} -2 & -\sqrt{3} & -\sqrt{2} \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \\ \sqrt{2} & \sqrt{3} & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Solution. (i) The 3×3 determinant $\begin{vmatrix} 0 & 2 & -3 \\ 2 & 0 & 5 \\ -3 & 5 & 0 \end{vmatrix} = -60$ implies that the rank is 3.

Row reduction is

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 & -3 \\ 2 & 0 & 5 \\ -3 & 5 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 5 \\ 0 & 2 & -3 \\ -3 & 5 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 5 \\ 0 & 2 & -3 \\ 0 & 5 & 7.5 \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 5 \\ 0 & 2 & -3 \\ 0 & 0 & 15 \end{bmatrix}.$$

(ii) All 2×2 subdeterminants vanish and there are nonzero entries, hence the rank is 1.

Row reduction is
$$\begin{bmatrix} 4 & 3 \\ -8 & -6 \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
.

(iii) The 3×3 determinant vanishes, hence rank is < 3. The 2×2 subdeterminant $\begin{vmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \sqrt{3} & 2 \end{vmatrix} = -\sqrt{3} \neq 0$, hence the rank is 2.

Row reduction is

$$\begin{bmatrix} -2 & -\sqrt{3} & -\sqrt{2} \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \\ \sqrt{2} & \sqrt{3} & 2 \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\sqrt{3} & -\sqrt{2} - 2 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & \sqrt{3} & 2 + \sqrt{2} \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & \boxed{\sqrt{3}} & 2 + \sqrt{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

18

2. Find the values of β for which Cramer's rule is applicable. For the remaining value(s) of β , find the number of solutions.

$$x + 2y + 3z = 20$$

$$x + 3y + z = 13$$

$$x + 6y + \beta z = \beta$$

Solution. The coefficient matrix has determinant $\beta + 5$, hence for $\beta \neq -5$ Cramer's Rule is applicable.

For
$$\beta = -5$$
, the augmented matrix $A^{+} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 20 \\ 1 & 3 & 1 & 13 \\ 1 & 6 & -5 & -5 \end{bmatrix}$.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 20 \\ 1 & 3 & 1 & 13 \\ 1 & 6 & -5 & -5 \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 20 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 & -7 \\ 0 & 4 & -8 & -25 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 20 \end{bmatrix}$$

The pivot in the last column means that $\rho(A) = 2 < 3 = \rho(A^+)$. Hence the system is not solvable for $\beta = -5$.

3. Find whether the following set of vectors is linearly dependent or independent:

$${a\mathbf{i} + b\mathbf{j} + c\mathbf{k}, b\mathbf{i} + c\mathbf{j} + a\mathbf{k}, c\mathbf{i} + a\mathbf{j} + b\mathbf{k}}.$$

Solution. Consider the matrix $\begin{bmatrix} a & b & c \\ b & c & a \\ c & a & b \end{bmatrix}$. We have lin. ind. iff its rank is 3 i.e. its

determinant is non zero.
$$\begin{vmatrix} a & b & c \\ b & c & a \\ c & a & b \end{vmatrix} = 3abc - (a^3 + b^3 + c^3) = -\frac{(a+b+c)}{2} [(a-b)^2 + b^3 + c^3] = -\frac{(a+b+c)}{2} [(a-b)^2 + b^3] =$$

$$(b-c)^2 + (c-a)^2$$

Therefore, linear dependence if either a+b+c=0 or a=b=c. In the rest of the cases we have independence.

4. Consider the system of equations

$$x + \lambda z = \lambda - 1$$

$$x + \lambda y = \lambda + 1$$

$$\lambda x + y + 3z = 2\lambda - 1 \text{ (or } 1 - 2\lambda)$$

Find the values of λ for which Cramer's rule can be used. For the remaining values of λ , discuss the solvability of the linear system.

Solution. The coefficient matrix is $A(\lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \lambda \\ 1 & \lambda & 0 \\ \lambda & 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$.

 $|A(\lambda)| = -\lambda(\lambda^2 - 4) \Longrightarrow$ Cramer's Rule appplies if $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0, \pm 2\}$. Hence we a a unique solution for these values of λ .

Case 1 ($\lambda = 0$): The augmented matrix is $A^{+}(0) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & | & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & | & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 & | & -1 \end{bmatrix}$ has rank 3 since

the determinant of the first, second and fourth columns is non zero. While A(0) is of rank 2. Hence no solutions in this case.

Case 2 ($\lambda = 2$): The augmented matrix is $A^+(2) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 & 3 \\ 2 & 1 & 3 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$ has rank 2 since

the determinant of any three columns vanishes. (A(2) is of rank 2.) Hence ∞ ly many solutions in this case.

Case 3 ($\lambda = -2$): The augmented matrix is $A^{+}(-2) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -2 & | & -3 \\ 1 & -2 & 0 & | & -1 \\ -2 & 1 & 3 & | & -5 \end{bmatrix}$ has rank

3 since the determinant of the first, second and fourth columns is non zero. While A(-2) is of rank 2. Hence no solutions in this case also.

If we replace $2\lambda + 1$ by $1 - 2\lambda$ in the third equation, we find no solutions in case 1 and 2 and ∞ ly many in case 3.

5. Find the matrices of minors, cofactors and the adjoint of the following matrices:

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 9 & 5 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 2 & \sqrt{3} & \sqrt{2} \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \\ \sqrt{2} & \sqrt{3} & 2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0.8 & 0.1 & 0.1 \\ 0.1 & 0.7 & 0.2 \\ 0.0 & 0.1 & 0.9 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Solution.

•
$$\mathcal{M}(A) = \begin{bmatrix} d & c \\ b & a \end{bmatrix}$$
, $\mathcal{C}(A) = \begin{bmatrix} d & -c \\ -b & a \end{bmatrix}$, $\mathrm{Adj}(A) = \mathcal{C}(A)^T = \begin{bmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{bmatrix}$.

$$\bullet \mathcal{M} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 4 \\ -10 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -10 & -18 \end{bmatrix}, \mathcal{C} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 4 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 10 & -18 \end{bmatrix}, \operatorname{Adj} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 10 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 10 \\ 4 & 0 & -18 \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$\bullet \begin{bmatrix}
-\sqrt{3} & -(2+\sqrt{2}) & -\sqrt{3} \\
(2-\sqrt{2})\sqrt{3} & 2 & (2-\sqrt{2})\sqrt{3} \\
\sqrt{3} & (2+\sqrt{2}) & \sqrt{3}
\end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix}
-\sqrt{3} & (2+\sqrt{2}) & -\sqrt{3} \\
(\sqrt{2}-2)\sqrt{3} & 2 & (\sqrt{2}-2)\sqrt{3} \\
\sqrt{3} & -(2+\sqrt{2}) & \sqrt{3}
\end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix}
-\sqrt{3} & (\sqrt{2}-2)\sqrt{3} & 2 & (\sqrt{2}-2)\sqrt{3} \\
\sqrt{3} & -(2+\sqrt{2}) & \sqrt{3}
\end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix}
-\sqrt{3} & (\sqrt{2}-2)\sqrt{3} & \sqrt{3} \\
(2+\sqrt{2}) & 2 & -(2+\sqrt{2}) \\
-\sqrt{3} & (\sqrt{2}-2)\sqrt{3} & \sqrt{3}
\end{bmatrix}.$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} -\sqrt{3} & (\sqrt{2} - 2)\sqrt{3} & \sqrt{3} \\ (2 + \sqrt{2}) & 2 & -(2 + \sqrt{2}) \\ -\sqrt{3} & (\sqrt{2} - 2)\sqrt{3} & \sqrt{3} \end{bmatrix}$$

•
$$\mathcal{M} = \frac{1}{100} \begin{bmatrix} 61 & 9 & 1 \\ 8 & 72 & 8 \\ -5 & 15 & 55 \end{bmatrix}$$
, $\mathcal{C} = \frac{1}{100} \begin{bmatrix} 61 & -9 & 1 \\ -8 & 72 & -8 \\ -5 & -15 & 55 \end{bmatrix}$, $Adj = \frac{1}{100} \begin{bmatrix} 61 & -8 & -5 \\ -9 & 72 & -15 \\ 1 & -8 & 55 \end{bmatrix}$.

$$\bullet \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -4 & -3 & 6 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 4 & 3 & -6 & -3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 4 & -3 & -6 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 3 & 0 \\ -4 & 3 & 6 & -3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 4 & -1 & -4 \\ 0 & -3 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & -6 & 3 & 6 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -3 \end{bmatrix}$$
 respectively.

6. In the previous problem verify that $AAdj(A) = A(Adj(A)) = \det AI$. Hence compute the inverses in the valid cases.

Solution.

•
$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} ad - bc & 0 \\ 0 & ad - bc \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$$
Threfore $A^{-1} = \frac{1}{ad - bc} \begin{bmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{bmatrix}$ if $\det A = ad - bc \neq 0$.
$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 9 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 10 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 10 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 9 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\bullet \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 9 & 5 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 10 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 10 \\ 4 & 0 & -18 \end{bmatrix} = 20\mathbf{I} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 10 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 10 \\ 4 & 0 & -18 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 9 & 5 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Therefore,
$$A^{-1} = \frac{1}{20} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 10 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 10 \\ 4 & 0 & -18 \end{bmatrix}$$
.

•

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & \sqrt{3} & \sqrt{2} \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \\ \sqrt{2} & \sqrt{3} & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -\sqrt{3} & (\sqrt{2} - 2)\sqrt{3} & \sqrt{3} \\ (2 + \sqrt{2}) & 2 & -(2 + \sqrt{2}) \\ -\sqrt{3} & (\sqrt{2} - 2)\sqrt{3} & \sqrt{3} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} =$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} -\sqrt{3} & (\sqrt{2}-2)\sqrt{3} & \sqrt{3} \\ (2+\sqrt{2}) & 2 & -(2+\sqrt{2}) \\ -\sqrt{3} & (\sqrt{2}-2)\sqrt{3} & \sqrt{3} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & \sqrt{3} & \sqrt{2} \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \\ \sqrt{2} & \sqrt{3} & 2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

 $\det A = 0$ hence not invertible.

$$\bullet \begin{bmatrix}
0.8 & 0.1 & 0.1 \\
0.1 & 0.7 & 0.2 \\
0.0 & 0.1 & 0.9
\end{bmatrix} \frac{1}{100} \begin{bmatrix} 61 & -8 & -5 \\
-9 & 72 & -15 \\
1 & -8 & 55
\end{bmatrix} = 0.48\mathbf{I} = \frac{1}{100} \begin{bmatrix} 61 & -8 & -5 \\
-9 & 72 & -15 \\
1 & -8 & 55
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0.8 & 0.1 & 0.1 \\
0.1 & 0.7 & 0.2 \\
0.0 & 0.1 & 0.9
\end{bmatrix}.$$

Therefore,
$$A^{-1} = \frac{1}{48} \begin{bmatrix} 61 & -8 & -5 \\ -9 & 72 & -15 \\ 1 & -8 & 55 \end{bmatrix}$$
.

$$\begin{bmatrix}
3 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
-1 & 4 & -1 & -4 \\ 0 & -3 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & -6 & 3 & 6 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -3
\end{bmatrix} = -3\mathbf{I} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 4 & -1 & -4 \\ 0 & -3 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & -6 & 3 & 6 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A^{-1} = \frac{1}{3} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -4 & 1 & 4 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 & -3 \\ 0 & 6 & -3 & -6 \end{bmatrix}$$

7. Solve by Cramer's rule and verify by Gauss elimination.

$$5x - 3y = 37$$

$$-2x + 7y = -38.$$
Solution. $A^{+} = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & -3 & 37 \\ -2 & 7 & -38 \end{bmatrix}$. $D = 29$, $D_{1} = \begin{vmatrix} 37 & -3 \\ -38 & 7 \end{vmatrix} = 145$,
$$D_{2} = \begin{vmatrix} 5 & 37 \\ -2 & -38 \end{vmatrix} = -116 \Longrightarrow x = 5, \ y = -4. \text{ OTOH row reduction gives}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 5 & -3 & 37 \\ 0 & 5.8 & -23.2 \end{bmatrix} \Longrightarrow y = -4, \ 5x + 12 = 37 \Longrightarrow x = 5. \text{ Verified!}$$

8. Solve by Cramer's rule and verify by Gauss elimination.

$$x + 2y + 3z = 20$$

 $7x + 3y + z = 13$
 $x + 6y + 2z = 0$

Solution.
$$A^{+} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 20 \\ 7 & 3 & 1 & 13 \\ 1 & 6 & 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
. $D = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 7 & 3 & 1 \\ 1 & 6 & 2 \end{bmatrix} = 91$,

$$D_{1} = \begin{vmatrix} 20 & 2 & 3 \\ 13 & 3 & 1 \\ 0 & 6 & 2 \end{vmatrix} = 182, D_{2} = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 20 & 3 \\ 7 & 13 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 2 \end{vmatrix} = -273, D_{3} = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 2 & 20 \\ 7 & 3 & 13 \\ 1 & 6 & 0 \end{vmatrix} = 728.$$
Therefore $x = 2, y = -3, z = 8$

On the other hand row operations on A^+ yield $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 20 \\ 0 & 44 & 80 & 508 \\ 0 & 0 & 91 & 728 \end{bmatrix}$. Consequently, $z = 8, 44y + 640 = 508 \Longrightarrow y = -3, x + (-6) + 24 = 20 = 20$ Working:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & | & 20 \\ 7 & 3 & 1 & | & 13 \\ 1 & 6 & 2 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & | & 20 \\ 0 & -11 & -20 & | & -127 \\ 0 & 4 & -1 & | & -20 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mapsto \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & | & 20 \\ 0 & 44 & 80 & | & 508 \\ 0 & -44 & 11 & | & 220 \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & | & 20 \\ 0 & 44 & 80 & | & 508 \\ 0 & 0 & 91 & | & 728 \end{bmatrix}.$$

9. Invert the matrix
$$H = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/2 & 1/3 \\ 1/2 & 1/3 & 1/4 \\ 1/3 & 1/4 & 1/5 \end{bmatrix}$$
.

Solution. $\mathcal{M} = \begin{bmatrix} 1/240 & 1/60 & 1/72 \\ 1/60 & 4/45 & 1/12 \\ 1/72 & 1/12 & 1/12 \end{bmatrix}$, $\mathcal{C} = \begin{bmatrix} 1/240 & -1/60 & 1/72 \\ -1/60 & 4/45 & -1/12 \\ 1/72 & -1/12 & 1/12 \end{bmatrix} = \text{Adj.}$

$$\det H = \frac{1}{240} - \frac{1}{120} + \frac{1}{216} = \frac{1}{2160} \Longrightarrow H^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 9 & -36 & 30 \\ -36 & 192 & -180 \\ 30 & -180 & 180 \end{bmatrix}.$$

10. (Vandermonde determinant)

(a) Prove that
$$\det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ a & b & c \\ a^2 & b^2 & c^2 \end{bmatrix} = (b-a)(c-a)(c-b).$$

Solution. Using column operations

$$\begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ a & b & c \\ a^2 & b^2 & c^2 \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ a & b-a & c-a \\ a^2 & b^2-a^2 & c^2-a^2 \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= (b-a)(c-a)\begin{vmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ a & 1 & 1 \\ a^2 & b+a & c+a \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= (b-a)(c-a)(c-b).$$

Aliter: The determinant is a polynomial of degree 3 in a, b, c and it vanishes whenever a = b or b = c or c = a. Hence equals C(b - a)(c - a)(c - b). The coefficient of bc^2 is 1 by inspection of the diagonal entries, hence C = 1.

(b) Prove an analogous formula for $n \times n$.

Solution.

$$V(a_1, a_2, ..., a_n) \stackrel{def}{=} \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ a_1 & a_2 & \cdots & a_n \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_1^{n-1} & a_2^{n-1} & \cdots & a_n^{n-1} \end{vmatrix}.$$

This time V is a polynomial in $(a_1, a_2, ..., a_n)$ of degree $0+1+\cdots+(n-1)=\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$ and vanishes whenever $a_j=a_k,\ j\neq k$. Therefore, $\prod_{1\leq j< k\leq n}(a_k-a_j)$ must divide V. The degree count makes $V(a_1,a_2,...,a_n)=C\prod_{1\leq j< k\leq n}(a_k-a_j)$ and a look at the diagonal terms forces C=1.

11. (Wronskian) Let $f_1, f_2, ..., f_n$ be functions over some interval (a, b). Their Wronskian is another function on (a, b) defined by the determinant involving the given functions and

their derivatives upto the order n-1.

$$W_{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n}(x) \stackrel{def}{=} \begin{vmatrix} f_1 & f_2 & \cdots & f_n \\ f'_1 & f'_2 & \cdots & f'_n \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ f_1^{(n-1)} & f_2^{(n-1)} & \cdots & f_n^{(n-1)} \end{vmatrix}.$$

Prove that if $c_1f_1 + c_2f_2 + \cdots + c_nf_n = 0$ holds over the interval (a, b) for some constants $c_1, c_2, ..., c_n$ and $W_{f_1, f_2, ..., f_n}(x_0) \neq 0$ at some x_0 , then $c_1 = c_2 = \cdots = c_n = 0$. In other words, nonvanishing of $W_{f_1, f_2, ..., f_n}$ at a single point establishes linear independence of $f_1, f_2, ..., f_n$ on (a, b).

Caution: The converse is false. $W \equiv 0 \implies f_1, f_2, ..., f_n$ linearly dependent on (a, b). Though one can prove existence of a subinterval of (a, b) where linear dependence holds. Solution. Starting with the equation $c_1f_1 + c_2f_2 + \cdots + c_nf_n = 0$ and its first n-1 derivatives we get a system of equations

$$\begin{bmatrix} f_1 & f_2 & \cdots & f_n \\ f'_1 & f'_2 & \cdots & f'_n \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ f_1^{(n-1)} & f_2^{(n-1)} & \cdots & f_n^{(n-1)} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \\ \vdots \\ c_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad x \in (a, b).$$

If
$$W_{f_1,f_2,\dots,f_n}(x_0) \neq 0$$
 for some $x_0 \in (a,b)$, then $\begin{vmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \\ \vdots \\ c_n \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{vmatrix}$ as required.

Remark 0.0.3. The converse is false in general. E.g. take

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x \le 0 \\ e^{-1/x}, & x > 0 \end{cases} \quad and \quad g(x) = \begin{cases} e^{1/x}, & x < 0 \\ 0, & x \ge 0 \end{cases}$$

Then f, g are C^{∞} , linearly independent but $W(f,g) \equiv 0$. However, can take $a=1,\ b=0$ in the subinterval $(-\infty,0)$ or $a=0,\ b=1$ in $(0,\infty)$.