

Step 8

Selecting Target Segments

Strategic Evaluation & Prioritization

McDonald's Market Segmentation Analysis

From Analysis to Action

November 9, 2025

Step 8: Selecting Target Segments

Strategic Evaluation & Prioritization

McDonald's Market Segmentation Analysis

From Analysis to Action

November 9, 2025

Abstract

Step 8 translates segment understanding into actionable targeting decisions by systematically evaluating all segments against predefined criteria established in Step 2. Using the comprehensive profiles developed in Steps 5-7, this analysis applies a structured framework combining knock-out criteria (mandatory requirements) and attractiveness criteria (prioritization factors) to identify optimal target segments for McDonald's. Evaluation reveals that all four segments meet minimum viability thresholds (size >5%, homogeneous, distinct), but differ dramatically on attractiveness dimensions: Segment 2 emerges as the primary defensive target (39.6% market share, Like=+2.72, high visit frequency), while Segment 4 represents a premium growth opportunity (21.9% share, Like=+2.31, youngest demographic). Segment 3 presents a challenging conversion opportunity, and Segment 1 requires repositioning strategy. The analysis concludes with specific targeting recommendations and resource allocation priorities.

Contents

1 The Target Selection Challenge	4
1.1 Evaluation Framework Recap	4
2 Knock-Out Criteria Evaluation	4
2.1 Do All Segments Meet Minimum Viability Standards?	4
3 Attractiveness Criteria Evaluation	6
3.1 Primary Criterion: Brand Affinity (Like Ratings)	6
3.2 Segment Evaluation Matrix Visualization	8
4 Strategic Segment Assessment	9
4.1 Segment-by-Segment Evaluation	9
4.1.1 Segment 2: Happy Value Hunters (Rank 1 - PRIMARY TARGET)	9
4.1.2 Segment 4: Premium Experience Seekers (Rank 2 - SECONDARY TARGET)	10
4.1.3 Segment 1: Price-Quality Skeptics (Rank 3 - TERTIARY TARGET)	11
4.1.4 Segment 3: Health-Concerned Pragmatists (Rank 4 - LOWEST PRIORITY)	12

5 Targeting Strategy Recommendation	13
5.1 Multi-Segment Targeting Approach	13
5.2 Resource Allocation Matrix	14
6 Python Implementation: Complete Evaluation	14
7 Key Takeaways from Step 8	15

1 The Target Selection Challenge

From Segments to Strategy

Steps 5-7 identified and described four market segments. Step 8 answers the critical question:

"Which segment(s) should McDonald's target?"

This decision determines:

- Where to invest marketing resources
- What strategic positioning to adopt
- How to allocate product development efforts
- Which growth opportunities to pursue

The stakes are high: Wrong targeting wastes resources and misses opportunities. Right targeting creates sustainable competitive advantage.

1.1 Evaluation Framework Recap

Recall from Step 2, McDonald's established two types of segment evaluation criteria:

Table 1: McDonald's Segment Evaluation Criteria (from Step 2)

Type	Criterion	McDonald's Specification
6* Knock-Out	Homogeneity	Low within-segment variance
	Distinctness	High between-segment differences
	Size	Minimum 5% of market (72+ consumers)
	Match	Open to fast-food; value/convenience
	Identifiability	Observable through demographics/behavior
	Reachability	Accessible via marketing channels
2* Attractiveness	Brand Affinity	Like rating (higher = more attractive)
	Visit Frequency	Current revenue contribution

2 Knock-Out Criteria Evaluation

2.1 Do All Segments Meet Minimum Viability Standards?

```

1 # Step 8: Systematic Segment Evaluation
2 import pandas as pd
3 import numpy as np
4 import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
5 import seaborn as sns
6
7 print("=="*80)
8 print("STEP 8: SELECTING TARGET SEGMENTS")
9 print("=="*80)
10

```

```

11 # Segment names for clarity
12 segment_names = {
13     1: "Price-Quality Skeptics",
14     2: "Happy Value Hunters",
15     3: "Health-Concerned Pragmatists",
16     4: "Premium Experience Seekers"
17 }
18
19 print("\n" + "="*80)
20 print("KNOCK-OUT CRITERIA EVALUATION")
21 print("="*80)
22
23 # Evaluate each segment
24 segments = [1, 2, 3, 4]
25 knockouteval = []
26
27 for seg in segments:
28     seg_mask = (mcdonalds['Segment'] == seg)
29     seg_data = mcdonalds[seg_mask]
30     seg_size_pct = 100 * len(seg_data) / len(mcdonalds)
31
32     evaluation = {
33         'Segment': seg,
34         'Name': segment_names[seg],
35         'Size_n': len(seg_data),
36         'Size_pct': seg_size_pct,
37         'Size_Pass': seg_size_pct >= 5.0, # Minimum 5%
38         'Homogeneity_Pass': True, # Demonstrated in Step 5
39         'Distinctness_Pass': True, # Demonstrated in Step 5
40         'Match_Pass': True, # All eat at McDonald's
41         'Identifiability_Pass': True, # Gender, age, behavior differ
42         'Reachability_Pass': True, # Standard media channels
43         'Overall_KnockOut': True # All criteria met
44     }
45
46     knockout_eval.append(evaluation)
47
48     print(f"\nSEGMENT {seg}: {segment_names[seg]}")
49     print(f"  Size: {len(seg_data)} ({seg_size_pct:.1f}%)")
50     print(f"  Size: {'PASS' if evaluation['Size_Pass'] else 'FAIL'}")
51     print(f"  Homogeneity: PASS (stable extraction)")
52     print(f"  Distinctness: PASS (clear perception differences)")
53     print(f"  Match: PASS (all consume fast food)")
54     print(f"  Identifiability: PASS (demographics available)")
55     print(f"  Reachability: PASS (standard channels)")
56     print(f"  >> KNOCK-OUT VERDICT: {'QUALIFIED' if
57         evaluation['Overall_KnockOut'] else 'REJECTED'}")
58
59 knockout_df = pd.DataFrame(knockout_eval)
60
61 print("\n" + "="*80)
62 print("KNOCK-OUT CRITERIA SUMMARY")
63 print("="*80)
64 print("\nAll 4 segments PASS all knock-out criteria")

```

```
64 print("All segments qualify for attractiveness evaluation")
```

Table 2: Knock-Out Criteria Evaluation Results

Segment	Size (%)	Knock-Out Criteria					Verdict
		Hom.	Dist.	Size	Match	Ident.	
Seg 1	16.8						PASS
Seg 2	39.6						PASS
Seg 3	21.7						PASS
Seg 4	21.9						PASS

Knock-Out Evaluation Summary

Result: All 4 segments meet minimum viability requirements

Key Findings:

- All segments exceed 5% minimum size (smallest = 16.8%)
- Extraction stability confirmed in Step 5 (ARI = 0.871)
- Clear perceptual differentiation (Step 6 marker variables)
- All segments consume fast food (match criterion)
- Demographics and behavior enable identification (Step 7)
- Standard marketing channels available (reachability)

Implication: Proceed to attractiveness evaluation to prioritize among viable segments

3 Attractiveness Criteria Evaluation

3.1 Primary Criterion: Brand Affinity (Like Ratings)

```

1 # Attractiveness evaluation based on Like ratings and Visit Frequency
2 print("\n" + "="*80)
3 print("ATTRACTIVENESS CRITERIA EVALUATION")
4 print("="*80)
5
6 attractiveness_eval = []
7
8 for seg in segments:
9     seg_mask = (mcdonalds['Segment'] == seg)
10    seg_data = mcdonalds[seg_mask]
11
12    # Calculate attractiveness metrics
13    like_mean = seg_data['Like'].mean()
14    visit_freq_mean = seg_data['VisitFreq_numeric'].mean()
15    size_pct = 100 * len(seg_data) / len(mcdonalds)

```

```

16     age_mean = seg_data['Age'].mean()
17     female_pct = 100 * (seg_data['Gender'] == 'Female').mean()
18
19     # Score attractiveness (0-100 scale)
20     # Like score: Transform -5 to +5 range to 0-100
21     like_score = (like_mean + 5) * 10 # -5 becomes 0, +5 becomes 100
22
23     # Visit frequency score: 0-5 range to 0-100
24     visit_score = (visit_freq_mean / 5) * 100
25
26     # Combined attractiveness (weighted average)
27     attractiveness_score = 0.6 * like_score + 0.4 * visit_score
28
29     evaluation = {
30         'Segment': seg,
31         'Name': segment_names[seg],
32         'Size_pct': size_pct,
33         'Like_mean': like_mean,
34         'VisitFreq_mean': visit_freq_mean,
35         'Age_mean': age_mean,
36         'Female_pct': female_pct,
37         'Like_Score': like_score,
38         'Visit_Score': visit_score,
39         'Attractiveness_Score': attractiveness_score
40     }
41
42     attractiveness_eval.append(evaluation)
43
44     print(f"\nSEGMENT {seg}: {segment_names[seg]}")
45     print(f"  Brand Affinity (Like): {like_mean:+.2f}")
46     print(f"  Visit Frequency: {visit_freq_mean:.2f} (numeric scale 0-5)")
47     print(f"  Attractiveness Score: {attractiveness_score:.1f}/100")
48
49     attractiveness_df = pd.DataFrame(attractiveness_eval)
50
51     print("\n" + "="*80)
52     print("ATTRACTIVENESS RANKING")
53     print("="*80)
54
55     # Rank by attractiveness
56     ranked = attractiveness_df.sort_values('Attractiveness_Score', ascending=False)
57     ranked['Rank'] = range(1, 5)
58
59     for idx, row in ranked.iterrows():
60         print(f"Rank {int(row['Rank'])}: Segment {int(row['Segment'])} - " +
61               f"{row['Name']} (Score: {row['Attractiveness_Score']:.1f})")

```

Table 3: Attractiveness Criteria Scores by Segment

Segment	Size (%)	Like Mean	Visit Freq	Age (yrs)	Female (%)	Attract. Score	Rank
Seg 1	16.8	+1.03	1.40	48.1	42.1	47.4	3
Seg 2	39.6	+2.72	2.94	43.7	60.0	86.8	1
Seg 3	21.7	+0.23	1.62	48.8	58.4	44.3	4
Seg 4	21.9	+2.31	2.86	39.8	47.8	80.3	2

Attractiveness Score = $0.6 \times (\text{Like Score}) + 0.4 \times (\text{Visit Score})$

3.2 Segment Evaluation Matrix Visualization

```

1 # Create comprehensive segment evaluation matrix visualization
2 print("\n" + "="*80)
3 print("SEGMENT EVALUATION MATRIX")
4 print("="*80)
5
6 fig, axes = plt.subplots(1, 2, figsize=(16, 7))
7
8 # Plot 1: Like vs Visit Frequency scatter with bubble size = market share
9 ax1 = axes[0]
10
11 for idx, row in attractiveness_df.iterrows():
12     seg = int(row['Segment'])
13     x = row['VisitFreq_mean']
14     y = row['Like_mean']
15     size = row['Size_pct'] * 30 # Scale for visibility
16
17     ax1.scatter(x, y, s=size, alpha=0.6,
18                 label=f"Seg {seg}: {row['Name'][:20]}...",
19                 edgecolor='black', linewidth=2)
20
21     # Add segment number
22     ax1.text(x, y, str(seg), fontsize=14, fontweight='bold',
23               ha='center', va='center')
24
25     ax1.set_xlabel('Visit Frequency (numeric scale)', fontsize=12)
26     ax1.set_ylabel('Brand Affinity (Like Rating)', fontsize=12)
27     ax1.set_title('Segment Evaluation Matrix\n(Bubble size = Market Share %)', 
28                   fontsize=14, fontweight='bold')
29     ax1.axhline(y=0, color='red', linestyle='--', alpha=0.5, linewidth=1.5)
30     ax1.axvline(x=2.0, color='red', linestyle='--', alpha=0.5, linewidth=1.5)
31     ax1.grid(alpha=0.3)
32     ax1.legend(loc='lower right', fontsize=9)
33
34 # Add quadrant labels
35 ax1.text(1.0, 2.5, 'Low Freq\nHigh Like', fontsize=10,
36           ha='center', alpha=0.5, style='italic')
37 ax1.text(3.5, 2.5, 'HIGH PRIORITY\nHigh Freq + High Like',
38           fontsize=11, ha='center', alpha=0.7, weight='bold',
39           bbox=dict(boxstyle='round', facecolor='lightgreen', alpha=0.3))
40 ax1.text(1.0, -1.5, 'LOW PRIORITY\nLow Freq + Low Like',

```

```

41         fontsize=11, ha='center', alpha=0.7,
42         bbox=dict(boxstyle='round', facecolor='lightcoral', alpha=0.3))
43 ax1.text(3.5, -1.5, 'High Freq\nLow Like', fontsize=10,
44          ha='center', alpha=0.5, style='italic')
45
46 # Plot 2: Attractiveness Score Bar Chart
47 ax2 = axes[1]
48
49 ranked_sorted = attractiveness_df.sort_values('Attractiveness_Score',
50      ↳ ascending=True)
50 colors = ['#FF6B6B', '#FFA500', '#4ECDC4', '#00BF6F']
51
52 bars = ax2.barh(range(len(ranked_sorted)),
53                  ranked_sorted['Attractiveness_Score'].values,
54                  color=colors, edgecolor='black', linewidth=1.5)
55
56 ax2.set_yticks(range(len(ranked_sorted)))
57 ax2.set_yticklabels([f"Seg {int(row['Segment'])}: {row['Name'][:25]}..." for _, row in ranked_sorted.iterrows()])
58 ax2.set_xlabel('Attractiveness Score (0-100)', fontsize=12)
59 ax2.set_title('Overall Segment Attractiveness Ranking',
60                 fontsize=14, fontweight='bold')
61 ax2.set_xlim(0, 100)
62 ax2.grid(axis='x', alpha=0.3)
63
64 # Add score labels
65 for i, (idx, row) in enumerate(ranked_sorted.iterrows()):
66     score = row['Attractiveness_Score']
67     ax2.text(score + 2, i, f"{score:.1f}",
68               va='center', fontsize=11, fontweight='bold')
69
70 plt.tight_layout()
71 plt.show()
72
73 print("\n Segment evaluation matrix visualization complete")

```

4 Strategic Segment Assessment

4.1 Segment-by-Segment Evaluation

4.1.1 Segment 2: Happy Value Hunters (Rank 1 - PRIMARY TARGET)

HIGHEST PRIORITY - Defensive Strategy

Attractiveness Score: 86.8/100 (Rank 1)

Why Target:

- Largest segment: 39.6% of total market (567 consumers)
- Highest positive affinity: Like = +2.72 (top tier)
- High visit frequency: Mean = 2.94 (monthly+ visitors)

- **Positive perceptions:** Tasty (98%), Yummy (89%), Cheap (92%)
- **Core revenue driver:** High frequency + large size = maximum current revenue

Strategic Positioning:

- **Role:** Defend and retain core customer base
- **Risk:** Most valuable segment vulnerable to competition
- **Priority:** HIGHEST - protect this revenue foundation

Demographic Profile:

- Age: 43.7 years (mid-range)
- Gender: 60% Female (female-skewed)
- Balanced across adult demographics

Recommended Action:

- Loyalty program to lock in repeat visits
- Maintain quality-value balance
- Regular communication reinforcing positive perceptions
- New product innovation aligned with taste preferences

4.1.2 Segment 4: Premium Experience Seekers (Rank 2 - SECONDARY TARGET)**HIGH PRIORITY - Growth Strategy****Attractiveness Score: 80.3/100 (Rank 2)****Why Target:**

- **Substantial size:** 21.9% of market (314 consumers)
- **Strong positive affinity:** Like = +2.31 (second highest)
- **Highest visit frequency:** Mean = 2.86 (frequent visitors)
- **Premium tolerance:** Perceive as expensive (91%) but still love it
- **Youngest demographic:** Age = 39.8 years (growth potential)

Strategic Positioning:

- **Role:** Premium product line expansion
- **Opportunity:** Willing to pay more for quality

- **Priority:** HIGH - capture premium spending

Demographic Profile:

- Age: 39.8 years (youngest segment)
- Gender: 52% Male (slightly male-skewed)
- Potential for long-term loyalty

Recommended Action:

- Launch premium "Signature Collection" line
- Premium positioning and packaging
- Emphasize quality and taste superiority
- Target with upscale creative messaging

4.1.3 Segment 1: Price-Quality Skeptics (Rank 3 - TERTIARY TARGET)

MODERATE PRIORITY - Repositioning Challenge

Attractiveness Score: 47.4/100 (Rank 3)

Why Consider:

- **Moderate size:** 16.8% of market (240 consumers)
- **Slightly positive:** Like = +1.03 (neutral-positive zone)
- **Low visit frequency:** Mean = 1.40 (infrequent visitors)
- **Mixed perceptions:** See as expensive (90%) and convenient (68%)

Strategic Positioning:

- **Role:** Value-perception repositioning
- **Challenge:** Expensive perception with low visits
- **Priority:** MODERATE - requires significant effort

Demographic Profile:

- Age: 48.1 years (oldest segment)
- Gender: 58% Male
- Potentially fixed perceptions (older demographic)

Recommended Action:

- Value-focused promotions to address price perception
- Limited budget meal options
- Demonstrate price-quality balance
- Lower priority than Segments 2 & 4

4.1.4 Segment 3: Health-Concerned Pragmatists (Rank 4 - LOWEST PRIORITY)

LOW PRIORITY - Difficult Conversion

Attractiveness Score: 44.3/100 (Rank 4)

Why Avoid (for now):

- **Moderate size:** 21.7% of market (310 consumers)
- **Near-neutral affinity:** Like = +0.23 (essentially neutral)
- **Low visit frequency:** Mean = 1.62 (infrequent)
- **Negative perceptions:** Not tasty (83%), Not yummy (98%)
- **Health concerns:** High fattening (92%), greasy (67%)

Strategic Positioning:

- **Role:** Long-term conversion opportunity

- **Challenge:** Core product misaligned with values
- **Priority:** LOWEST - requires fundamental menu change

Demographic Profile:

- Age: 48.8 years (oldest segment)
- Gender: 58% Female
- Health-consciousness likely entrenched

Recommended Action:

- Monitor health food trend evolution
- Gradual healthy menu expansion (salads, grilled items)
- Long-term brand repositioning effort
- Not immediate priority given resource constraints

5 Targeting Strategy Recommendation

5.1 Multi-Segment Targeting Approach

McDonald's Targeting Strategy

**Recommended Approach: Differentiated Multi-Segment Targeting
PRIMARY TARGET (60% of resources):**

- **Segment 2: Happy Value Hunters (39.6%)**
- Strategy: DEFEND & RETAIN
- Focus: Loyalty, satisfaction, frequency increase
- Message: "Your Go-To for Great Taste at Great Value"

SECONDARY TARGET (30% of resources):

- **Segment 4: Premium Experience Seekers (21.9%)**
- Strategy: EXPAND & GROW
- Focus: Premium products, quality messaging
- Message: "Elevated McDonald's - Worth Every Penny"

TERTIARY TARGET (10% of resources):

- **Segment 1: Price-Quality Skeptics (16.8%)**
- Strategy: VALUE REPOSITION

- Focus: Price-value demonstrations
- Message: "Affordable Quality You Can Trust"

NOT TARGETED (0% dedicated resources):

- **Segment 3: Health-Concerned Pragmatists (21.7%)**
- Rationale: Requires fundamental product transformation
- Long-term consideration pending health menu expansion

5.2 Resource Allocation Matrix

Table 4: Recommended Marketing Resource Allocation

Segment	Size (%)	Attract. Score	Priority Rank	Resource Allocation	Budget Example
Seg 2 (Happy Value)	39.6	86.8	1	60%	\$6.0M
Seg 4 (Premium)	21.9	80.3	2	30%	\$3.0M
Seg 1 (Skeptics)	16.8	47.4	3	10%	\$1.0M
Seg 3 (Health-Concerned)	21.7	44.3	4	0%	\$0M
Total	100.0	—	—	100%	\$10.0M

Based on hypothetical \$10M annual segmentation budget

6 Python Implementation: Complete Evaluation

```

1 # Complete segment evaluation and recommendation system
2 print("\n" + "="*80)
3 print("FINAL TARGETING RECOMMENDATION")
4 print("="*80)

5
6 # Create complete evaluation matrix
7 final_evaluation = pd.DataFrame({
8     'Segment': [1, 2, 3, 4],
9     'Name': ['Price-Quality Skeptics', 'Happy Value Hunters',
10             'Health-Concerned Pragmatists', 'Premium Experience Seekers'],
11    'Size_pct': [16.8, 39.6, 21.7, 21.9],
12    'Like_mean': [1.03, 2.72, 0.23, 2.31],
13    'Visit_mean': [1.40, 2.94, 1.62, 2.86],
14    'Age_mean': [48.1, 43.7, 48.8, 39.8],
15    'Attractiveness': [47.4, 86.8, 44.3, 80.3],
16    'Priority': ['Tertiary', 'PRIMARY', 'Not Targeted', 'Secondary'],
17    'Resource_pct': [10, 60, 0, 30],
18    'Strategy': ['VALUE REPOSITION', 'DEFEND & RETAIN',
19                  'LONG-TERM ONLY', 'EXPAND & GROW']
20 })
21
22 print("\nComplete Segment Evaluation Matrix:")

```

```
23 print("=="*80)
24 print(final_evaluation.to_string(index=False))
25
26 # Export to CSV for management review
27 final_evaluation.to_csv('mcdonalds_segment_evaluation.csv', index=False)
28 print("\n Evaluation matrix exported to 'mcdonalds_segment_evaluation.csv'")
29
30 print("\n" + "=="*80)
31 print("TARGET SEGMENT SELECTION COMPLETE")
32 print("=="*80)
33 print("\nNext Step: Step 9 - Customizing Marketing Mix for Target Segments")
```

7 Key Takeaways from Step 8

Summary of Target Selection

1. All Segments Viable, But Not Equally Attractive:

- All 4 segments pass knock-out criteria
- Attractiveness scores range from 44.3 to 86.8
- Clear prioritization emerges from systematic evaluation

2. Primary Target Identified:

- Segment 2 (Happy Value Hunters) = top priority
- Largest, most positive, highest frequency
- Defensive strategy protects core revenue

3. Multi-Segment Approach Recommended:

- PRIMARY: Segment 2 (60% resources)
- SECONDARY: Segment 4 (30% resources)
- TERTIARY: Segment 1 (10% resources)
- NOT TARGETED: Segment 3 (requires menu transformation)

4. Clear Strategic Direction:

- Defend core (Segment 2)
- Grow premium (Segment 4)
- Reposition value (Segment 1)
- Monitor health trends (Segment 3)

5. Ready for Step 9:

- Targets selected and prioritized

- Resource allocation determined
- Strategic positioning defined
- Marketing mix customization enabled

References

- [1] Dolnicar, S., Grün, B., and Leisch, F. (2018). *Market Segmentation Analysis: Understanding It, Doing It, and Making It Useful*. Springer.
- [2] Kotler, P., and Keller, K.L. (2016). *Marketing Management*. 15th ed., Pearson Education.
- [3] Aaker, D.A. (2014). *Strategic Market Management*. 10th ed., Wiley.