

# Homework 2

● Graded

## Student

Jaiden Rain Atterbury

## Total Points

36.5 / 40 pts

### Question 1

#### Question 1

7.5 / 10 pts

##### (a)(ii)

✓ - 0.5 pts sign mistake

##### (b)(i)

✓ - 1 pt proved only for 2x2 case

##### (b)(ii)

✓ - 1 pt used commutativity incorrectly

1 Careful here.

### Question 2

#### Question 2

9 / 10 pts

##### (a)

✓ - 1 pt minor error

2 What has this proved?

### Question 3

#### Question 3

10 / 10 pts

✓ - 0 pts correct

### Question 4

#### Question 4

10 / 10 pts

✓ + 10 pts 100% complete

Question assigned to the following page: [1](#)

Jaiden Atterbury 4/5/2022 Homework 2.

(1) a. If  $A$  is diagonalizable there exists an invertible matrix  $U$  and a matrix  $\Lambda$  such that  $A = U\Lambda U^{-1}$ .

By proposition 5.2.5  $\det(AB) = \det(A)\det(B)$

By proposition 5.2.6  $\det(\Lambda^{-1}) = \frac{1}{\det(\Lambda)}$

$$\therefore \det(A) = \det(U) \det(\Lambda) \frac{1}{\det(U)}$$

$$\det(A) = \det(\Lambda)$$

Since  $\Lambda$  is the matrix of the eigenvalues of  $A$ :

$$\det(A) = \det \begin{vmatrix} \lambda_1 & & & \\ & \lambda_2 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \lambda_n \end{vmatrix} \rightarrow \det(A) = \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \cdots \lambda_n \checkmark$$

This makes since because  $A$  and  $\Lambda$  have "the same action"

if we are willing to change basis.

(ii)  $\det(A - tI) = (-1)^n (t - \lambda_1)(t - \lambda_2) \cdots (t - \lambda_n)$

let  $t = 0$

$$\det(A) = (-1)^n (-\lambda_1)(-\lambda_2) \cdots (-\lambda_n)$$

$$\det(A) = (-1)^n \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \cdots \lambda_n$$

$$\det(A) = \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \cdots \lambda_n \checkmark$$

(b) (i)

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} q_{11} & \cdots & q_{1n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ q_{n1} & \cdots & q_{nn} \end{bmatrix}$$

P

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} p_{11} & \cdots & p_{1n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ p_{n1} & \cdots & p_{nn} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$QP = \begin{bmatrix} p_{11}q_{11} + p_{1n}q_{1n} & p_{1n}q_{11} + p_{nn}q_{1n} \\ p_{11}q_{n1} + p_{nn}q_{n1} & p_{nn}q_{n1} + p_{nn}q_{nn} \end{bmatrix}$$

$p_{11} \begin{bmatrix} q_{11} \\ q_{n1} \end{bmatrix} + p_{nn} \begin{bmatrix} q_{1n} \\ q_{nn} \end{bmatrix} \leftarrow 1^{\text{st}} \text{ column}$   
 $p_{1n} \begin{bmatrix} q_{11} \\ q_{n1} \end{bmatrix} + p_{nn} \begin{bmatrix} q_{1n} \\ q_{nn} \end{bmatrix} \leftarrow 2^{\text{nd}} \text{ column}$

$$\text{trace}(QP) = (p_{11}q_{11} + p_{nn}q_{1n} + p_{1n}q_{n1} + p_{nn}q_{nn})$$

W... - Z...

Question assigned to the following page: [1](#)

$$PQ = \begin{bmatrix} q_{11}p_{11} + q_{12}p_{12} & q_{11}p_{11} + q_{12}p_{12} \\ q_{11}p_{11} + q_{12}p_{12} & q_{11}p_{11} + q_{12}p_{12} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} p_{11} \\ p_{12} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} p_{11} \\ p_{12} \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow 1^{\text{st}} \text{ column}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} q_{11}p_{11} + q_{12}p_{12} & q_{11}p_{11} + q_{12}p_{12} \\ q_{11}p_{11} + q_{12}p_{12} & q_{11}p_{11} + q_{12}p_{12} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} p_{11} \\ p_{12} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} p_{11} \\ p_{12} \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow 2^{\text{nd}} \text{ column}$$

$$\text{trace}(PQ) = (q_{11}p_{11} + q_{12}p_{12} + q_{21}p_{21} + q_{22}p_{22})$$

$$\text{because } (q_{11}p_{11} + q_{12}p_{12} + q_{21}p_{21} + q_{22}p_{22}) = (p_{11}q_{11} + p_{12}q_{12} + p_{21}q_{21} + p_{22}q_{22})$$

$\hookrightarrow$  all that is different is the ordering of  $P$  and  $Q$

$\therefore$  they are the same

$$\text{and } \therefore \text{trace}(QP) = \text{trace}(PQ) \checkmark$$

(ii) In the last problem we learned that the trace of a product of matrices is commutative, with that said, if  $A$  is diagonalizable then we can write  $A$  as:

$$A = P\Lambda P^{-1}$$

$$\text{trace}(A) = \text{trace}(P\Lambda P^{-1})$$

$$\text{trace}(A) = \text{trace}(PP^{-1}\Lambda)$$

$$\text{trace}(A) = \text{trace}(\Lambda)$$

$$\text{since } \Lambda = [\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_n] \quad \text{trace}(A) = \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n \checkmark$$

$$(iii) P(\lambda) = (-1)^3 (\lambda - \lambda_1)(\lambda - \lambda_2)(\lambda - \lambda_3)$$

$$= -1 (\lambda - \lambda_1)(\lambda - \lambda_2)(\lambda - \lambda_3)$$

$$= -1 (\lambda^3 - \lambda^2 \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 \lambda + \lambda_1 \lambda_2) (\lambda - \lambda_3)$$

$$= -1 (\lambda^3 - \lambda^2 \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 \lambda^2 + \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda - \lambda_3 \lambda^2 + \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3 \lambda - \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3)$$

$$= -\lambda^3 + (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3) \lambda^2 - (\lambda_1 \lambda_2 + \lambda_1 \lambda_3 + \lambda_2 \lambda_3) \lambda + (\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3)$$

Question assigned to the following page: [1](#)

(iii) continued

$$P(\lambda) = \det(A - \lambda I)$$

$$A - \lambda I = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} - \lambda & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} - \lambda & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} - \lambda \end{bmatrix} = B = \begin{bmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} & b_{13} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} & b_{23} \\ b_{31} & b_{32} & b_{33} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\det(A - \lambda I) = b_{11}b_{22}b_{33} - b_{11}b_{23}b_{32} - b_{12}b_{21}b_{33} + b_{12}b_{23}b_{31} + b_{13}b_{21}b_{32} - b_{13}b_{22}b_{31}$$

$\underbrace{b_{11}}_{123} \underbrace{b_{22}}_{132} \underbrace{b_{33}}_{213} - \underbrace{b_{11}}_{213} \underbrace{b_{23}}_{231} \underbrace{b_{32}}_{312} - \underbrace{b_{12}}_{312} \underbrace{b_{21}}_{321} \underbrace{b_{33}}_{321}$

$$123 \quad (a_{11} - \lambda)(a_{22} - \lambda)(a_{33} - \lambda)$$

$$a_{11}a_{22} - a_{11}\lambda - a_{22}\lambda + \lambda^2(a_{33} - \lambda)$$

$$a_{11}a_{22}a_{33} - a_{11}a_{33}\lambda - a_{22}a_{33}\lambda + a_{33}\lambda^2 - a_{11}a_{22}\lambda + a_{11}\lambda^2 + a_{22}\lambda^2 - \lambda^3$$

$$-\lambda^3 + (a_{11} + a_{22} + a_{33})\lambda^2 - (a_{11}a_{22} + a_{11}a_{33} + a_{22}a_{33})\lambda + (a_{11}a_{22}a_{33})$$

$$132 \quad -(a_{11} - \lambda)(a_{23})(a_{32})$$

$$(a_{11}a_{23} - a_{23}\lambda)(a_{32})$$

$$-a_{11}a_{23}a_{32} + a_{23}a_{32}\lambda$$

$$213 \quad -(a_{12})(a_{21})(a_{33} - \lambda)$$

$$-(a_{12}a_{21}a_{33}) + a_{12}a_{21}\lambda$$

$$231 \quad a_{12}a_{23}a_{31}$$

$$312 \quad a_{13}a_{21}a_{32}$$

$$321 \quad -(a_{13})(a_{22} - \lambda)(a_{31})$$

$$-(a_{13}a_{22}a_{31}) + (a_{13}a_{31})\lambda$$

Question assigned to the following page: [1](#)

$$P(\lambda) = -\lambda^3 + (\alpha_{11} + \alpha_{22} + \alpha_{33})\lambda^2 - (\alpha_{11}\alpha_{22} + \alpha_{11}\alpha_{33} + \alpha_{22}\alpha_{33} + \alpha_{12}\alpha_{32} + \alpha_{13}\alpha_{21} + \alpha_{13}\alpha_{31})\lambda$$

$$+ (\alpha_{11}\alpha_{22}\alpha_{33} - \alpha_{11}\alpha_{23}\alpha_{32} - \alpha_{12}\alpha_{21}\alpha_{33} + \alpha_{12}\alpha_{23}\alpha_{31} + \alpha_{13}\alpha_{12}\alpha_{32} - \alpha_{13}\alpha_{31}\alpha_{22})$$

Since  $(\alpha_{11} + \alpha_{22} + \alpha_{33})\lambda^2 = (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3)\lambda^2$   
 $\therefore \text{trace}(A) = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 \quad \checkmark$

Question assigned to the following page: [2](#)

## (2) Projections

(a) In general when  $A^2 = A$  we assume  $A$  "behaves like a projection". If  $A^2 = A$ , and  $Av = \lambda v / A^2 v = \lambda^2 v$  then:

$$\lambda^2 = \lambda$$

$$\lambda(\lambda - 1) = 0$$

$$\lambda's \text{ of } A = 1, 0$$



$$\text{proj}(v) = w$$

$$Pv = w$$

$$P(Pv) = w$$

$$Pv \neq 0$$

$$Pw = w \text{ w/ } \lambda = 1 \text{ and eigenvector } \neq 0$$

To show that  $E_1(A) = \text{Col}(A)$  we need to show that

$$E_1(A) \subseteq \text{Col}(A) \text{ and } \text{Col}(A) \subseteq E_1(A).$$

1. Assume  $\vec{v} \in E_1(A)$  i.e.  $(P\vec{v} = \vec{v})$

$$\text{if } P = [c_1 \dots c_n] \text{ and } \vec{v} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_n \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{then } \vec{v} = c_1x_1 + \dots + c_nx_n$$

$\therefore \vec{v}$  is a linear combination of the columns of  $P$ , which

$$\text{also means } \vec{v} \in \text{Span}\{c_1 \dots c_n\}$$

$$\therefore E_1(A) \subseteq \text{Col}(A)$$

True for

all matrices

2. Assume  $\vec{w} \in \text{Col}(A)$  i.e.  $(P\vec{v} : \vec{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n)$

$$\text{if } P = [c_1 \dots c_n] \text{ and } \vec{v} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_n \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{then } w = c_1x_1 + \dots + c_nx_n$$

$$P\vec{v} = \vec{w}$$

$$\text{then } P\vec{v} = Pw \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} P^2\vec{v} = \vec{w} \\ Pv = \vec{w} \\ w = \vec{w} \end{array} \right\} \text{ by } A^2 = A \quad \therefore \text{Col}(A) \subseteq E_1(A)$$

Question assigned to the following page: [2](#)

Since  $E_1(A) \subseteq \text{col}(A)$  and  $\text{col}(A) \subseteq E_1(A)$ ,  $E_1(A) = \text{col}(A)$ . ✓

(b) If  $A$  is invertible then  $A^{-1}A^2 = A^{-1}A$ , which equals

$A = I_n$  (only invertible matrix that satisfies  $A^2 = A$ ),

$\hookrightarrow \lambda = 1$  is the only eigenvalue and  $\text{col}(A) = \mathbb{R}^n$

thus  $\text{col}(A)/E_1(A) =$  the vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , since  $E_1(A)$  forms a basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $A$  is diagonalizable.

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \dots & \dots & \dots \\ P & 0 & P^{-1} \end{bmatrix} = I_n$$

$$A = I_n \circ I_n \circ I_n = I_n$$

(c) If  $A$  is not invertible 0 is an eigenvalue, also since  $A$  is non-zero 1 is the other eigenvalue of  $A$ ,

forms  
basis  
of  
 $\mathbb{R}^n$   
 $\therefore$  diagonalizable

$$\begin{cases} E_1(A) = \text{col}(A) \\ E_0(A) = \text{null}(A) \end{cases}$$

$$\dim(\text{col}(A)/E_1(A)) = y$$

$$\dim(\text{null}(A)/E_0(A)) = n-y$$

$$\text{since } 0 \leq \text{rank}(A) \leq n$$

$$\text{col}(A) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_y\}$$

$$\text{null}(A) = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-y}\}$$

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} v_1, v_2, \dots, v_y, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-y} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \uparrow y \text{ times} \\ 0 \\ \uparrow n-y \text{ times} \\ P^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_1, v_2, \dots, v_y, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-y} \end{bmatrix}$$

D

Question assigned to the following page: [3](#)

### (3) Simultaneous Diagonalization

(a)  $S_4 = \{U\Lambda U^{-1} \in \mathbb{R}^{4 \times 4} : \Lambda \text{ diagonal matrix}\}$

1.  $A \in S_4 \rightarrow A = U\Lambda_1 U^{-1}$  or  $U(A+B)U^{-1} = U\Lambda_1 U^{-1} + U\Lambda_2 U^{-1} = A+B$

$B \in S_4 \rightarrow B = U\Lambda_2 U^{-1}$

$A+B = U\Lambda_1 U^{-1} + U\Lambda_2 U^{-1} \rightarrow$  since  $U$  and  $U^{-1}$  are the same for both  
 $= U(\Lambda_1 + \Lambda_2) U^{-1}$

let  $\Lambda_1 + \Lambda_2 = \Lambda_3 \rightarrow \Lambda_3$  is still a diagonal matrix

↳ since  $\Lambda_3$  is a diagonal matrix and  $U$  and  $U^{-1}$  diagonalize it,  $A+B \in S_4$ , and  $\therefore S_4$  is closed under addition.

2.  $A \in S_4 \rightarrow A = U\Lambda_1 U^{-1}$

$r \in \mathbb{R}$

$rA$  has corresponding diagonal matrix  $r\Lambda_1$  since  $rU\Lambda_1 U^{-1} \rightarrow Ur\Lambda_1 U^{-1}$

$\therefore rA \rightarrow Ur\Lambda_1 U^{-1}$

let  $r\Lambda_1 = \Lambda_2 \rightarrow \Lambda_2$  is still a diagonal matrix

then  $rA \rightarrow U\Lambda_2 U^{-1}$

↳ since  $\Lambda_2$  is a diagonal matrix and  $U$  and  $U^{-1}$  diagonalize

it,  $rA \in S_4$ , and  $\therefore S_4$  is closed under scalar multiplication.

It is also important to note that  $rA = rU\Lambda_1 U^{-1}$  which is just a scaling of the diagonalization, which is enough to show  $rA \in S_4$ .

3.  $O$  matrix has  $\Lambda_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

$$U\Lambda_1 U^{-1} = U \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} U^{-1} = O$$

$\therefore O \in S_4$

Question assigned to the following page: [3](#)

- Since  $S_4$  is closed under addition, scalar multiplication, and contains the zero matrix,  $S_4$  is a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^{4 \times 4}$ .

(b)  $S_I = \{I \Lambda I^{-1} \in \mathbb{R}^d : \Lambda \text{ is a diagonal matrix}\}$

$$S_I = [1 \dots] \Lambda [1 \dots]$$

$$\hookrightarrow S_I = \Lambda \in \mathbb{R}^4$$

$\therefore S_I$ : subset of matrices that are diagonalized by  $[1 \dots]$ ,

this is only the case when a matrix is

diagonal,  $\begin{bmatrix} a & b & c & d \end{bmatrix}$ , therefore  $S_I$  is all  $4 \times 4$  diagonal matrices.

(c)  $AB = U\Lambda_1 U^{-1} U\Lambda_2 U^{-1} = U\Lambda_1 \Lambda_2 U^{-1}$        $A = U\Lambda_1 U^{-1}$      $B = U\Lambda_2 U^{-1}$

$$BA = U\Lambda_2 U^{-1} U\Lambda_1 U^{-1} = U\Lambda_2 \Lambda_1 U^{-1}$$

since  $\Lambda_1$  and  $\Lambda_2$  are diagonal matrices  $\Lambda_1 \Lambda_2 = \Lambda_2 \Lambda_1$

$$\hookrightarrow \text{PROOF: } A = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & a_{22} \end{bmatrix} \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} b_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & b_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$AB = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11}b_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & a_{22}b_{22} \end{bmatrix} \quad BA = \begin{bmatrix} b_{11}a_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & b_{22}a_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

Since the entries in  $AB$  and  $BA$  are identical  $AB = BA$

when two matrices are diagonal

$\therefore U\Lambda_1 \Lambda_2 U^{-1} = U\Lambda_2 \Lambda_1 U^{-1}$ , this shows  $AB = BA$  :

Question assigned to the following page: [4](#)

(4) How to find a triangle in a graph

(a)

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

(b)  $B = A^2$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 0 & 1 & 3 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

\*  $b_{ij}$  is found by multiplying the dot product

vectors in column<sup>T</sup><sub>i</sub> and column<sup>T</sup><sub>j</sub>  
row<sub>i</sub>

\*  $b_{23}$  is found by the dot product of  
 $[1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1 \ 1]^T$  and  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}_2 = 2$

(c)  $a_{ik}a_{kj} = 1$  when  $k$  is a common neighbor of  $i$  and  $j$

CHECK:  $a_{12}a_{24} = 1 \cdot 1 = 1$  (2 is a common neighbor)

$a_{13}a_{32} = 1 \cdot 0 = 0$  (3 is NOT a common neighbor)

EXPLANATION:  $a_{ik}$  says there is an edge between  $i$  and  $k$  (if  $a_{ik}=1$ )

$a_{kj}$  says there is an edge between  $j$  and  $k$  (if  $a_{kj}=1$ )

if both are yes then both will have an entry

value of 1 and  $1 \cdot 1 = 1$  this means there is an edge between "k and j" and "i and k", therefore  $i$  and  $j$  are separated by a mutual neighbor  $k$ .

If there isn't a connection between "i and k"

or "k and j" one of the entry values will be zero meaning  $1 \cdot 0 = 0$  and there is no mutual connection.

Question assigned to the following page: [4](#)

- (d)  $b_{ij}$  represents how many ways/paths node  $i$  can get to node  $j$  in two steps/does  $i$  have a common/mutual connection/neighbor. For example  $b_{11}$  represents how node  $1$  can get to node  $1$  in two steps,  $1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 1$  and  $1 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 1$ , but  $b_{12}$  represents how node  $1$  can get to node  $2$  in two steps but there is no mutual neighbor so its matrix entry is  $0$ .
- (e)  $i, j, k$  form a triangle iff  $i$  and  $j$  are neighbors and  $k$  is a mutual neighbor  
 $\hookrightarrow$  both  $a_{ij}$  and  $b_{ij}$  must be nonzero  
 $\hookrightarrow$  in particular  $a_{ij} = 1$  ( $i$  and  $j$  are neighbors), and  $b_{ij} > 0$  (at least one mutual neighbor to  $i$  and  $j$ ).

- (f) If  $a_{ij} = 1$  then the two indices are neighbors  
If  $b_{ij} > 0$  there exists at least one mutual neighbor

**ALGORITHM:** If  $(a_{ij})(b_{ij}) > 0$  then there exists a triangle with the edge between  $i$  and  $j$ .  
(at least 1 triangle, if  $a_{ij}, b_{ij} = 2$  then it's the edge of 2).

**Justification:** This should hold for any combination of  $i, j$  of 3, 4, 5 since it forms a triangle.

This holds true for large graphs

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} (a_{34})(b_{34}) = (a_{43})(b_{43}) = (1)(1) = 1 > 0 \\ (a_{35})(b_{35}) = (a_{53})(b_{53}) = (1)(1) = 1 > 0 \\ (a_{45})(b_{45}) = (a_{54})(b_{54}) = (1)(1) = 1 > 0 \end{array} \right\} \text{all of these } i, j \text{ are sides of the same triangle since there are 3 sides of a triangle.}$$

$$(a_{12})(b_{12}) = (a_{21})(b_{21}) = (1)(0) = 0 \Rightarrow \text{Not an edge of a triangle but we expect this.}$$