
Introduction To Combinatorics

MATH239

JAIDEN RATTI

PROF. KEVIN HARE

Part 1: Enumerative Combinatorics

Contents

1	Basic Principles	2
1.1	Combinatorics	2
1.2	Meaning vs Algebra	4
1.3	Bijections	4
2	Generating Series	6
2.1	Formal Power Series	6
2.2	Generating Series	9
2.3	Sum Lemma	14
2.4	Product Lemma	15
2.5	Infinite Sum Lemma	16
2.6	Compositions	17
3	Binary Strings	20
3.1	Regular Expressions & Rational Languages	21
3.2	Ambiguous vs Unambiguous	23
3.3	Block & Prefix Decomposition	25
3.4	Recursive Decomposition	26
3.5	Excluded Substrings	29
4	Recurrence Relations	30
4.1	Recurrences	30
4.2	Homogeneous Linear Recurrence Relations	32

1 Basic Principles

1.1 Combinatorics

The study of combinatorics is the study of counting things.

1. How many possible poker hands are there?
2. How many ways can we choose a 3 topping pizza with 10 toppings?
3. How many ways can we split 8 slides of pizza between 3 people?
4. How many ways can we make change for a dollar?

Notation

$A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$ is an n -element set.

- All terms are different
- Order of terms does not matter, $\{1, 2\} = \{2, 1\}$

Example

Let A be the set of primes less than 10, $A = \{2, 3, 5, 7\}$.

Let B be the set of odd numbers less than 10, $B = \{1, 3, 5, 7, 9\}$.

We denote the size of a set by $|A|$.

Example

From above, $|A| = 4$, $|B| = 5$.

Example

Choose a prime less than 10 and an odd number less than 10. I.e. $(2, 1), (2, 3), \dots, (7, 9)$.

For this, we use the notation of $A \times B = \{(a, b) : a \in A, b \in B\}$

We have $|A \times B| = |A| \cdot |B|$

In this case, $(A \times B) = 4 \cdot 5 = 20$

Example

Choose a prime less than 10 or choose an odd number less than 10.

Choices are 1, 2, 3, 5, 7, 9. This is denoted by $A \cup B = \{x : x \in A \text{ or } x \in B\}$ (or x is in both).

$|A \cup B| = 6$

Example

Choose a number less than 10 that is prime and an odd number $\{3, 5, 7\}$

We denote this by $A \cap B = \{x : x \in A \text{ and } x \in B\}$

Fact $|A \cup B| = |A| + |B| - |A \cap B|$

Definition Let A be a set. We define a list of A as the set of elements of A where the order matters

Example

Let $A = \{2, 3, 5, 7\}$ be the set of primes less than 10.

The possible lists of A include

$(2, 3, 5, 7)(3, 2, 5, 7)(5, 2, 3, 7)(7, 2, 3, 5)(2, 3, 7, 5)(2, 5, 3, 7)(2, 5, 7, 3)(2, 7, 5, 3)(2, 7, 3, 5) \dots (3, 7, 2, 5)(5, 7, 3, 2)(7, 5, 3, 2)$

In this case there are 24 lists of $A = \{2, 3, 5, 7\}$

Note, all elements in A will occur exactly once in each list. That is, lists are length $|A|$.

Question: Let A be a set with $|A| = n$. How many lists of A are there?

Let p_n be the number of lists of A where $|A| = n$. We can partition the set of lists based upon the first element of the list.

There are n choices for this first element. Let $x \in A$ be the first element of a list. The remainder of the list will be chosen from the list of $A \setminus \{x\}$.

Note that $|A \setminus \{x\}| = n - 1$

Hence there are p_{n-1} choices for the last $n - 1$ elements of the list. As there were n choices for x , we get $p_n = n \cdot p_{n-1}$.

Note $p_1 = 1$ (the only list from $\{x\}$ is (x)).

Hence $p_n = n \cdot p_{n-1} = n \cdot (n - 1) \cdot p_{n-2} \dots = n(n - 1) \dots (2)p_1 = n!$

Theorem

Let A be a set with $|A| = n$. Then the number of lists of A is $n!$

Definition

A subset of a set is a collection of elements from A without repetition. Note a subset may be empty, or all of A . Order does not matter.

Example

Let $A = \{2, 3, 5, 7\}$. The set of all subsets includes

$\{\}, \{2\}, \{3\}, \{5\}, \{7\}, \{2, 3\}, \{2, 5\}, \{2, 7\}, \dots, 16$

We see that for each $x \in A$ a subset either contains x , or doesn't contain x . This gives us two choices for all $x \in A$. Either it is in the subset or it is not.

This allows us to observe that the number of subsets of A where $|A| = n$, is 2^n .

Theorem

The number of subsets of A with $|A| = n$, is 2^n (include or not; 2 options n times).

Definition

Let A be a set of size n . A partial list of size k is an ordered list (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k) with $a_i \in A$ and no repeats.

Example

Let $A = \{2, 3, 5, 7\}$. Let $k = 2$. The partial lists of length 2 of A are

$(2, 3), (3, 2), (2, 5), (5, 2)$

$(2, 7), (7, 2), (3, 5), (5, 3)$

$(3, 7), (7, 3), (5, 7), (7, 5)$

Question

For every n and k , how many partial lists of length k are there of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$

Notice

In the previous example, there are 4 choices for the first element of the list. After we have chosen the first element, we have only 3 choices for the second. After, we are done.

This gives us the number of partial lists is $4 \cdot 3 = 12$

In general, for $|A| = n$, and $k \leq n$, we have

1. n choices for the first element
2. $n - 1$ choices for the second

⋮

k. $n - k - 1$ choices for the k^{th} element

this gives

Theorem

The number of partial lists of length k of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ is

$$n(n-1)(n-2)\dots(n-k+1) = \frac{n(n-1)(n-2)\dots(n-k+1)(n-k)\dots(2)(1)}{(n-k)(n-k-1)\dots(2)(1)} = \frac{n!}{(n-k)!}$$

Example

Find all of the subsets of size 2 of $\{2, 3, 5, 7\}$

$\{2, 3\}, \{2, 5\}, \{2, 7\}, \{3, 5\}, \{3, 7\}, \{5, 7\}$

For every subset of length k there are $k!$ orderings of the elements to get a partial list of length k . This is true for every subset.

This gives us that

(# of subsets of size k of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}) \cdot k! = \#$ of partial lists of length k of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$

Theorem

Let A be a set $|A| = n$, and $k \leq n$. Then the number of subsets of size k is

$$\frac{n!}{(n-k)!k!} = \binom{n}{k} = \frac{\text{number of partial lists}}{k!} = \text{number of subsets}$$

1.2 Meaning vs Algebra

There are many examples in combinatorics where we can manipulate the algebra to get a result or relationship.

This will tell you that something is true, but not why it is true.

We wish to find relationships between things we know how to count and things we want to count.

Example

Show $\binom{n}{k} = \binom{n}{n-k}$

We see that $\binom{n}{k}$ is the number of subsets of size k of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

Let $S = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k\}$ be a subset of size k of $\{1, \dots, n\}$.

Notice

$S' = \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \setminus S$ is a subset of size $n - k$.

(I.e if $n = 10$, $A = \{2, 3, 5, 7\}$ then $A^c = \{1, 4, 6, 8, 9, 10\}$).

We see every A , a subset of size k corresponds to a unique A^c , a subset of size $n - k$. Further every subset of size $n - k$ can be constructed in this way.

This tells us that the number of subsets of size k is the same as the number of subsets of size $n - k$.

1.3 Bijections

Definition Let A and B be sets.

1. A map $f : A \rightarrow B$ is said to be surjective (onto) if $\forall b \in B$ there exists (at least one) $a \in A$ with $f(a) = b$ (all b 's are covered).

Example

$$f : \{1, 2, 3, 4\} \rightarrow \{1, 2\} \text{ by } f(1) = 1, f(2) = f(3) = f(4) = 2$$

2. A map $f : A \rightarrow B$ is said to be injective if every $a \in A$ maps to a unique $b \in B$ (Sometimes called one-to-one).

Example

$$f : \{1, 2\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, 3, 4\} \text{ by } f(1) = 2, f(2) = 4$$

3. A map $f : A \rightarrow B$ is called bijective (or one-to-one & onto) if it is both surjective and injective.

Example

$$f : \{1, 2, 3\} \rightarrow \{2, 3, 5\} \text{ by } f(1) = 2, f(2) = 3, f(3) = 5$$

Note, bijections can be reversed.

See

$$f^{-1}(2) = 1 \quad f^{-1}(3) = 2 \quad f^{-1}(5) = 3$$

Observation

1. If there is a surjection $f : A \rightarrow B$, then $|A| \geq |B|$
2. If there is an injection $f : A \rightarrow B$, then $|A| \leq |B|$
3. If there is a bijection $f : A \rightarrow B$, then $|A| = |B|$

Notation If there is a bijection $f : A \rightarrow B$, we say $A \simeq B$.

Example

Show

$$\binom{n}{k} = \binom{n-1}{k-1} + \binom{n-1}{k}$$

Let $B(n, k)$ be the set of subsets of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ of size k .

Notice

$$\begin{aligned} |B(n, k)| &= \binom{n}{k} \\ |B(n-1, k-1)| &= \binom{n-1}{k-1} \\ |B(n-1, k)| &= \binom{n-1}{k} \end{aligned}$$

Ex $B(3, 2) = \{\{1, 2\}, \{1, 3\}, \{2, 3\}\}$ all subsets of size 2 of numbers from 1 – 3.

Our goal is to get a bijection from $B(n, k)$ to $B(n-1, k-1) \cup B(n-1, k)$

Notice $B(n-1, k-1)$ and $B(n-1, k)$ are disjoint (since they have different sizes). This gives,

$$|B(n-1, k-1) \cup B(n-1, k)| = |B(n-1, k-1)| + |B(n-1, k)|$$

We will construct a map f with

$$f : B(n, k) \rightarrow B(n-1, k-1) \cup B(n-1, k) \text{ by}$$

$$f(\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k\}) = \{a_1, \dots, a_k\} \setminus \{n\}$$

If $n \notin \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k\}$ we see $f(\{a_1, \dots, a_k\}) = \{a_1, \dots, a_k\} \in B(n-1, k)$

If $n \in \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k\}$ then $f(\{a_1, \dots, a_k\})$ is size $k - 1$ and uses numbers $\{1, \dots, n - 1\}$.

This gives $f(\{a_1, \dots, a_k\}) \in B(n - 1, k - 1)$

Question: Is this a bijection?

Notice if $n \notin \{a_1, \dots, a_k\}$ this map is injective and surjective. The inverse map is $f^{-1}(\{a_1, \dots, a_k\}) = \{a_1, \dots, a_k\}$.

If $n \in \{a_1, \dots, a_k\}$, say

$$\{a_1, \dots, a_k\} = \{a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}, n\} \text{ and } f^{-1}(\{a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}, n\}) = \{a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}\} \cup \{n\}$$

This gives us that f is a bijection. Hence

$$|B(n, k)| = |B(n - 1, k)| + |B(n - 1, k - 1)| \\ \implies \binom{n}{k} = \binom{n-1}{k} + \binom{n-1}{k-1} \text{ as required.}$$

Definition

Let $n \geq 0$, and $t \geq 1$. A multiset of size n with t types is a list (a_1, \dots, a_t) where $a_1 + \dots + a_t = n$

Example A person has 10 pets, (fish, cats, and dogs)

Here $n = 10, t = 3$. We can have the list $(7, 2, 1)$.

We could have $t = 4$ types (last type being birds). Then we have $(7, 2, 1, 0)$ fish, cats, dogs, and birds respectively.

Theorem

The number of multisets of n with t types is

$$\binom{n+t-1}{t-1}$$

Proof

We will do this by a bijective method. Let A be the set of subsets of size $t - 1$ of $\{1, \dots, n + t - 1\}$.

Clearly $|A| = \binom{n+t-1}{t-1}$

Let B be our set of multisets of size n with t types.

For example, we could have $n = 10, t = 4$.

Consider the subset A given by

○ ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ ● ○ ○ ● ○ ●

Here there are $13 = n + t - 1$ circles, of which $3 = t - 1$ are erased off.

$\underbrace{(\circ \circ \circ \circ \circ \circ \circ)}_{7 \text{ fish}} \bullet \underbrace{(\circ \circ)}_{2 \text{ cats}} \bullet \underbrace{(\circ)}_{1 \text{ dog}} \bullet \underbrace{}_{0 \text{ birds}}$

This (admittedly non-rigorously defined) map is a bijection from A to B .

This gives us $|A| = |B|$

2 Generating Series

2.1 Formal Power Series

In Math138 we considered power series/Taylor series, etc. Key difference in Math239 is we don't care about convergence.

What we care about are the coefficients and the information they carry.

Definition

A formal power series $A(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n = a_0 + a_1 x + a_2 x^2 + \dots$

Note: Often the a_n are positive integers, and typically represent the size of some set we are interested in.

Example: Let a_n be the number of lists of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. In this case $a_n = n!$

We create the power series

$$A(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n! x^n = 1 + x + 2x^2 + 6x^3$$

Note: This only converges at $x = 0$. We don't care.

We can (and do) add and multiply formal power series in the obvious way.

Example

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n x^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a_n + b_n) x^n$$

Example

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n\right) \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n x^n\right) &= (a_0 + a_1 x + a_2 x^2 + \dots) \cdot (b_0 + b_1 x + b_2 x^2 + \dots) \\ &= a_0 b_0 + (a_0 b_1 + a_1 b_0) x + (a_0 b_2 + a_1 b_1 + a_2 b_0) x^2 \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^n a_k b_{n-k}\right) x^n \end{aligned}$$

Note

We often call x an indeterminate, not a variable. A variable is a placeholder that we occasionally evaluate at. We (almost never) evaluate at x . Hence we call it an indeterminate.

We often manipulate formal power series algebraically disregarding convergences.

Example

$$\text{Let } A(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^n = 1 + x + x^2 + \dots$$

$$\text{Notice } x \cdot A(x) = x + x^2 + x^3 + \dots$$

$$\text{This gives } A(x) - xA(x) = 1 + 0x + 0x^2 + 0x^3 + \dots = 1$$

Or equivalently,

$$(1 - x)(A(x)) = 1$$

Or

$$\begin{aligned} A(x) &= \frac{1}{1-x} \leftarrow \text{(not a formal power series)} \\ (1-x) &= \frac{1}{A(x)} \leftarrow \text{(not a formal power series)} \end{aligned}$$

Theorem

Let $n \geq 0$ be fixed. Let a_k be the number of subsets of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ of size k .

Show

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k x^k = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k x^k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{n}{k} x^k = (1+x)^n$$

Note if $k > n$, then $a_k = 0$. Hence the first equality (everything after n is 0)

Second equality comes from previous work ($a_k = \binom{n}{k}$)

Let $P(n)$ be the set of all subsets of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$

Let $B =$ set of all (b_1, \dots, b_n) with $b_i \in \{0, 1\}$

Let $f(\{c_1, \dots, c_k\}) = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$ where $b_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i \in \{c_1, \dots, c_k\} \\ 0 & \text{if } i \notin \{c_1, \dots, c_k\} \end{cases}$

Let $n = 10$

$$f(\{1, 2, 5, 7\}) = (\underbrace{1}_1, \underbrace{1}_2, 0, 0, \underbrace{1}_5, 0, \underbrace{1}_7, 0, 0, 0)$$

We see $f^{-1}((b_1, \dots, b_n)) = \{i : b_i = 1\}$ $f^{-1}((0, 1, 1, 0, 1)) = \{2, 3, 5\}$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^n a_k x^k &= \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{\{c_1, \dots, c_k\}} x^k = \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{(b_1, \dots, b_n) \sum b_i = k} x^{b_1 + \dots + b_n} \\ &= \sum_{(b_1, \dots, b_n) \in B} x^{b_1 + \dots + b_n} \\ &= \sum_{b_1 \in \{0,1\} b_2 \in \{0,1\} b_n \in \{0,1\}} x^{b_1 + \dots + b_n} \\ &= \sum_{b_1 \in \{0,1\}} \sum_{b_2 \in \{0,1\}} \dots \sum_{b_n \in \{0,1\}} x^{b_1 + \dots + b_n} \\ &= \sum_{b_1 \in \{0,1\}} \sum_{b_2 \in \{0,1\}} \dots \sum_{b_n \in \{0,1\}} x^{b_1 + \dots + b_n} \\ &= \sum_{b_1 \in \{0,1\}} x^{b_1} \sum_{b_2 \in \{0,1\}} x^{b_2} \dots \sum_{b_n \in \{0,1\}} x^{b_n} \\ &= (1+x)(1+x) \dots (1+x) \\ &= (1+x)^n \end{aligned}$$

Example

Show

$$\binom{m+n}{k} = \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{m}{j} \binom{n}{k-j}$$

Notice

$$(1+x)^{m+n} = \sum_{k=0}^{m+n} \binom{m+n}{k} x^k$$

Further,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1+x)^{m+n} &= (1+x)^m (1+x)^n \\
 &= \left(\sum_{j=0}^m \binom{m}{j} x^j \right) \left(\sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} x^i \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

This gives us

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1+x)^{m+n} &= \sum_{j=0}^m \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{m}{j} \binom{n}{i} x^{j+i} \\
 &= \sum_{k=0}^{m+n} \sum_{i+j=k} \binom{m}{j} \binom{n}{i} x^{j+i} \\
 &= \sum_{k=0}^{m+n} \sum_{i+j=k} \binom{m}{j} \binom{n}{k-j} x^k \\
 &= \sum_{k=0}^{m+n} \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{m}{j} \binom{n}{k-j} x^k
 \end{aligned}$$

By looking at the coefficient in front of x^k we get that left hand side = right hand side.

Notation, $[x^k](\sum a_k x^k) = a_k = \text{coefficient in front of } x^k$.

2.2 Generating Series

Let g_1, g_2, \dots be a sequence of numbers that we care about and encode some information.

Example

$g_n = \#$ of binary strings of length n

$g_n = \#$ of partial lists of length n of $\{1, 2, \dots, 100\}$

Definition

We define the generating series as

$$G(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g_n x^n$$

We often generate these series using a weight function.

Definition

Let A be a set. We say w is a weight function where $\omega : A \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$. We further require that

$A_n = \omega^{-1}(n) = \{a \in A : \omega(a) = n\}$ is a finite set $\forall n$.

Example

Let A = the set of all binary strings of any length.

I.e. $A = \{\epsilon, 0, 1, 00, 01, 10, 11, 000, \dots\}$

Good choices for ω

- The length of the string (in this case $|A_n| = 2^n$)

Bad choice for ω

- The number of 1's in the string

I.e. $A = \{1, 10, 01, 1000, 1000, \dots\}$

Here A_1 is infinite.

Example

Let A be the set of all subsets of $\{1, 2, \dots, 10\}$

Define $\omega : A \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ by $w(\{a_1, \dots, a_k\}) = |\{a_1, \dots, a_k\}| = k$

$$\begin{aligned} A_0 &= \omega^{-1}(0) = \{\{\}\} \\ A_1 &= \omega^{-1}(1) = \{\{1\}, \{2\}, \dots, \{10\}\} \\ &\vdots \\ A_{10} &= \omega^{-1}(10) = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10\} \\ A_{11} &= \{\}, A_n = \{\} \text{ for } n \geq 11 \end{aligned}$$

Definition

We define the generating series for A with respect to ω as

$$\Phi_A^\omega(x) = \sum_{a \in A} x^{\omega(a)}$$

In this case,

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_A^\omega(x) &= x^{\omega(\{\})} + x^{\omega(\{1\})} + x^{\omega(\{2\})} + \dots + x^{\omega(\{1,2,\dots,10\})} \\ &= x^0 + \underbrace{x^1 + \dots + x^1}_{10 \text{ subsets of size 1}} + \underbrace{x^2 + \dots + x^2}_{10 \text{ subsets of size 2}} + \dots + x^{10} \\ &= x^0 + \binom{10}{1}x + \binom{10}{2}x^2 + \dots + \binom{10}{9}x^9 + \binom{10}{10}x^{10} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{10} |A_n| x^n \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |A_n| x^n \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{10} \binom{10}{n} x^n \end{aligned}$$

Note $|A_n| = \#$ of subsets of size n taken from $\{1, \dots, 10\} = \binom{10}{n}$

Theorem

Let A be a set and ω a weight function. Then

$$\Phi_A^\omega(x) = \sum_{a \in A} x^{\omega(a)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |A_n| x^n$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{a \in A} x^{\omega(a)} &= \sum_{a \in A_0 \cup A_1 \cup A_2 \dots} x^{\omega(a)} \\
&= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{a \in A_n} x^{\omega(a)} \\
&= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{a \in A_n} x^n \\
&= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{a \in A_n} 1 \\
&= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^n |A_n| \\
&= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |A_n| x^n \text{ as required.}
\end{aligned}$$

Theorem

Let $g_n = \#$ of multisets of n with t types $= \binom{n+t-1}{t-1}$

Use generating series to show

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g_n x^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \binom{n+t-1}{t-1} x^n = \frac{1}{(1-x)^t}$$

Question What is A and what is ω ?

We want A_n to be all the multisets of n with t types.

That is

$$A_n = \{(a_1, \dots, a_t) : a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_t = n\}$$

We can define $w((a_1, \dots, a_k)) = a_1 + \dots + a_t$

We can use

$$\begin{aligned}
A &= \{(a_1, \dots, a_t) : a_1, \dots, a_t \in \mathbb{N}\} \\
&= \underbrace{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times \dots \times \mathbb{N}}_{t \text{ times}} \\
&= \mathbb{N}^t
\end{aligned}$$

This gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum \binom{n+t-1}{t-1} x^n &= \sum_{a \in A} x^{\omega(a)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |A_n| x^n \\
\sum_{a \in A} x^{\omega(a)} &= \sum_{(a_1, \dots, a_t) \in A} x^{a_1 + \dots + a_t} \\
&= \sum_{a_1=0 \dots \infty, a_2=0 \dots \infty, \dots, a_t=0 \dots \infty} x^{a_1} x^{a_2} \dots x^{a_t} \\
&= \left(\sum_{a_1=0}^{\infty} x^{a_1} \right) \left(\sum_{a_2=0}^{\infty} x^{a_2} \right) \dots \left(\sum_{a_t=0}^{\infty} x^{a_t} \right) \\
&= \left(\frac{1}{1-x} \right) \left(\frac{1}{1-x} \right) \dots \left(\frac{1}{1-x} \right) \\
&= \left(\frac{1}{1-x} \right)^t
\end{aligned}$$

Recall from Math138 that

$$\frac{1}{1-x} = 1 + x + x^2 + x^3 + \dots \quad \forall |x| \leq 1$$

We don't care about convergence.

We notice from this that

$$1 = (1-x)(1+x+x^2+\dots)$$

Both $(1-x)$ and $(1+x+x^2+\dots)$ are formal power series.

Definition

Let $A(x)$ and $B(x)$ be formal power series. If $A(x)B(x) = 1$, then we say $A(x)$ is an inverse of $B(x)$ and similarly $B(x)$ is an inverse of $A(x)$.

Example

Let $A(x) = 1 - x - x^2$

Find $B(x)$ (if it exists) such that $A(x)B(x) = 1$

Write $B(x) = b_0 + b_1x + b_2x^2 + b_3x^3 + \dots$

This gives

$$A(x)B(x) = (1 - x - x^2)(b_0 + b_1x + b_2x^2 + \dots) = b_0 + (b_1 - b_0)x + (b_2 - b_1 - b_0)x^2 + (b_3 - b_2 - b_1)x^3 + \dots$$

Notice $[x^0]1 = 1, [x^0]A(x)B(x) = b_0$. Hence $b_0 = 1$

$[x^1]1 = \text{coefficient in front } x^1 \text{ of the power series} = 0$.

$[x^1]A(x)B(x) = b_1 - b_0 = b_1 - 1$. Hence $b_1 = 1$

Next $[x^2]1 = 0$

$[x^2]A(x)B(x) = b_2 - b_1 - b_0$

Hence $b_2 = 2$

For general $n \geq 2$ we have.

$[x^n]1 = 0$

$[x^n]A(x)B(x) = b_n - b_{n-1} - b_{n-2}$

$$\implies b_n = b_{n-1} + b_{n-2}$$

Notice

$$b_0 = 1, b_1 = 1, b_2 = 2, b_3 = 3, b_4 = 5, b_5 = 8, b_6 = 13, \dots$$

This is the Fibonacci sequence.

I.e $(1 - x - x^2)(1 + x + 2x^2 + 3x^3 + 5x^4 + 8x^5 + \dots) = 1$

We found the inverse.

Example

Show $A(x) = x + x^2$ does not have an inverse.

Assume it does, say

$$B(x) = b_0 + b_1x + b_2x^2 + \dots$$

As before we will consider $A(x)B(x) = 1$, and match coefficients for x^n for various n . I.e. consider

$$[x^n]1 = [x^n]A(x)B(x)$$

For $n = 0$, $[x^0]1 = 1$

$$A(x)B(x) = b_0x + (b_1 + b_0)x^2 + (b_2 + b_1)x^3 + \dots$$

For $n = 0$, $[x^0]A(x)B(x) = 0$

Note $1 \neq 0$, hence there are no solutions, and there is no inverse.

Theorem

Let $A(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \dots$ be a formal power series. Then there exists an inverse $B(x) \iff a_0 \neq 0$

Let $A(x)$ and $B(x)$ be formal power series. We define the composition as

$$A(B(x)) = a_0 + a_1B(x) + a_2B(x)^2 + \dots \text{ (assuming it exists)}$$

Example

Let $A(x) = 1 + x + x^2 + \dots$. Let $B(x) = x + x^2$

So

$$\begin{aligned} A(B(x)) &= 1 + (x + x^2) + (x + x^2)^2 + (x + x^2)^3 + \dots \\ &= 1 + x + 2x^2 + 3x^3 + 5x^4 + 8x^5 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

Note: This series is the same as the inverse of $(1 - x - x^2)$. Why?

Example Let $A(x)$ be as before, $(1 + x + x^2 + x^3 + \dots)$ and $B(x) = 1 + x$

$$\begin{aligned} A(B(x)) &= 1 + (1 + x) + (1 + x)^2 + (1 + x)^3 + \dots \\ &= \infty + \infty x + \infty x^2 + \dots \leftarrow \text{Garbage} \end{aligned}$$

The composition is not well defined.

Theorem Let $A(x)$ and $B(x)$ be formal power series. $B(x) = b_0 + b_1x + b_2x^2 + \dots$

If b_0 then $A(B(x))$ is well defined.

Pf

Assume $b_0 = 0$. We can write $B(x) = xC(x)$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hence } A(B(x)) &= A(xC(x)) \\ &= a_0 + a_1xC(x) + a_2x^2C(x)^2 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

Notice $[x^n]A(xC(x)) = [x^n]\sum_{k=0}^n a_k x^k C(x)^k$

This sum is finite, hence all coefficients are finite.

Definition

We say that a power series $A(x)$ is rational if there exists polynomials $P(x)$ and $Q(x)$ such that

$$\frac{P(x)}{Q(x)} = A(x) \text{ or } P(x) = Q(x)A(x)$$

Example

$$\text{Let } A(x) = 1 + x + 2x^2 + 3x^3 + 5x^4 + 8x^5$$

Notice $A(x) = \frac{1}{1-x-x^2}$, hence $A(x)$ is rational.

Example

$$\text{Let } A(x) = 1 + \frac{1}{2}x - \frac{1}{8}x^2 + \frac{1}{16}x^3 - \frac{5}{128}x^4 + \dots \text{ such that } A(x)A(x) = 1 + x$$

Exercise show $A(x)$ is not rational.

2.3 Sum Lemma

Let A and B be sets.

$$\text{Let } A \cap B = \emptyset \text{ and } S = A \cup B$$

Let ω be a weight function defined on S (and hence A and B)

Then

$$\Phi_S^\omega(x) = \Phi_A^\omega(x) + \Phi_B^\omega(x)$$

Proof

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_S^\omega(x) &= \sum_{s \in S} x^{\omega(s)} = \sum_{s \in A \cup B} x^{\omega(s)} \\ &= \sum_{s \in A \text{ or } s \in B} x^{\omega(s)} \\ &= \sum_{s \in A} x^{\omega(s)} + \sum_{s \in B} x^{\omega(s)} \\ &= \Phi_A^\omega(x) + \Phi_B^\omega(x) \end{aligned}$$

Note

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_A^\omega &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |A_n| x^n \\ &= \sum_{s \in S} x^{\omega(s)} \end{aligned}$$

here, $A_n = \omega^{-1}(n) = \{s \in S : \omega(s) = n\}$

Example

Let A be the subsets of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ that contain n , and B is all the subsets from $\{1, \dots, n\}$ that do not contain n .

$$A \cup B = \emptyset$$

Further, $S = A \cup B$ is all the subsets of $\{1, \dots, n\}$

Let $w(\{c_1, \dots, c_k\}) = |\{c_1, \dots, c_k\}|$ be the size of the subset.

$$\text{Then } \Phi_S^\omega(x) = \sum_{s \in S} x^{\omega(s)} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |S_k| x^k$$

Here S_k is all subsets of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ of size k .

Hence, $|S_k| = \binom{n}{k}$

This gives $\Phi_S^\omega(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{n}{k} x^k = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} x^k$

A = subsets of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ that contain n .

A_k = subsets of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ that contain n and are of size k .

There is a natural bijection from A_n to \tilde{A}_k = subsets $\{1, \dots, n-1\}$ of size $k-1$.

This is given by $\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{k-1}, n\} \rightarrow \{c_1, \dots, c_{k-1}\}$

The inverse is $\{c_1, \dots, c_{k-1}\} \rightarrow \{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{k-1}, n\}$

This gives us

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_A^\omega(x) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |A_k| x^k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\tilde{A}_k| x^k \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{n-1}{k-1} x^k \end{aligned}$$

B_m = the subsets of size k from $\{1, \dots, n\}$ that do not contain n . We can equivalently think of B_m as the subsets of size k from $\{1, \dots, n-1\}$.

This gives $\Phi_B^\omega(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |B_k| x^k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{n-1}{k} x^k$

By the Sum Lemma, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_S^\omega(x) &= \Phi_A^\omega(x) + \Phi_B^\omega(x) \text{ or} \\ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{n}{k} x^k &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{n-1}{k-1} x^k + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{n-1}{k} x^k \end{aligned}$$

Considering $[x^k]$ of both sides we get: $\binom{n}{k} = \binom{n-1}{k-1} + \binom{n-1}{k}$

2.4 Product Lemma

Theorem

Let A be a set with weight function, ω , and B be a set with weight function v , we define:

$$S = A \times B = \{(a, b) : a \in A, b \in B\}$$

We define a weight function on S as:

$$\mu(s) = \omega + v(s) = \omega \times v((a, b)) = \omega(a) + v(b)$$

Then

$$\Phi_S^\mu(x) = \Phi_{A \times B}^{\omega \times v}(x) = \Phi_A^\omega(x) \cdot \Phi_B^v(x)$$

Proof

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_S^\sigma(x) &= \sum_{s \in S} x^{\sigma(s)} \\ &= \sum_{(a,b) \in A \times B} x^{\omega(a) + v(b)} \\ &= \sum_{a \in A} \sum_{b \in B} x^{\omega(a)} x^{v(b)} \\ &= \left(\sum_{a \in A} x^{\omega(a)} \right) \left(\sum_{b \in B} x^{v(b)} \right) \\ &= \Phi_A^\omega(x) \cdot \Phi_B^v(x) \end{aligned}$$

Example

Let $A = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$ be the possibilities of a die. Let $\omega(a) = a$.

So $\Phi_A^\omega(x) = x + x^2 + x^3 + x^4 + x^5 + x^6$

Let $S = A \times A = \{(a, b) : a, b \in A\} = \{(1, 1), (1, 2), \dots, (2, 1), \dots\}$

In this case:

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_{A \times A}(x) &= \Phi_A(x) \cdot \Phi_A(x) \\ &= (\Phi_A(x))^2 \\ &= (x + x^2 + x^3 + x^4 + x^5 + x^6)^2 \\ &= x^2 + 2x^3 + 3x^4 + \underbrace{4x^5}_{\substack{4 \text{ ways to roll two dice such that their sum is equal to } 5}} + 5x^6 + \dots\end{aligned}$$

RecallTheorem

Let A and B be sets with weight ω and v . We define $A \times B = \{(a, b) : a \in A, b \in B\}$ and $\omega \times v : A \times B \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ by $(\omega \times v)((a, b)) = \omega(a) + v(b)$

Then $\Phi_{A \times B}^{\omega \times v} = \Phi_A^\omega(x) \cdot \Phi_B^v(x)$

We can apply this theorem to higher products.

2.5 Infinite Sum Lemma

Notation

$$A^k = \underbrace{A \times \dots \times A}_{k \text{ times}} = \{(a_1, \dots, a_k) : a_i \in A\}$$

We similarly define

$$\omega^k = \underbrace{\omega \times \dots \times \omega}_{k \text{ times}}, \text{ by}$$

$$(\omega^k)(a_1, \dots, a_k) = \omega(a_1) + \omega(a_2) + \dots + \omega(a_k)$$

Define

$$A^* = \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} A^k$$

Example

Let $A = \{0, 1\}$

$$A^* = A^0 \cup A^1 \cup A^2 \cup \dots$$

$$= \{(), (0), (1), (0, 0), (0, 1), (1, 0), (1, 1)\}$$

We define $\omega^* : A^* \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ by the property if $a \in A^k$ then $\omega^*(a) = \omega^k(a)$

Example

Let $A = \{1, 2\}$ and $\omega : A \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ by $\omega(1) = 1, \omega(2) = 2$.

Then $(1, 2, 2, 1, 1) \in A^*$

$$\omega^*((1, 2, 2, 1, 1)) = \omega^5((1, 2, 2, 1, 1)) = 7$$

There will be situations where ω^* is not a weight function. For example, if there exists $a \in A$ with $\omega(a) = 0$.

Then $\omega^*(a) = 0$, $\omega^*((a, a)) = 0 + 0 = 0$

$\omega^*((a_1, \dots, a_k)) = 0$

Hence $(\omega^*)^{-1}(0) = \{\epsilon, (a), (a, a), (a, a, a), \dots\}$

Theorem

Let A be a set and $\omega : A \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ a weight function such that $\omega(a) \neq 0, \forall a \in A$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_{A^*}^{\omega^*}(x) &= \frac{1}{1 - \Phi_A^\omega(x)} \\ \Phi_{A^*}^{\omega^*}(x) &= \Phi_{\bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} A^k}^{\omega^*}(x) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \Phi_{A^k}^{\omega^*}(x) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \Phi_{A^k}^{\omega^k}(x) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\Phi_A^\omega(x))^k \\ &= \frac{1}{1 - \Phi_A^\omega(x)}\end{aligned}$$

Example

Let $A = \{1, 2\}$ and $\omega : A \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ as before with $\omega(1) = 1$, $\omega(2) = 2$.

$A^* = \{(), (1), (1, 1), (1, 2), (2, 1), (2, 2)\}$

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_A^\omega(x) &= x^{\omega(1)} + x^{\omega(2)} = x^1 + x^2 \\ \Phi_{A^*}^{\omega^*}(x) &= \frac{1}{1 - \Phi_A^\omega(x)} \\ &= \frac{1}{1 - x - x^2} \\ &= 1 + x + 2x^2 + 3x^3 + 5x^4 + \dots\end{aligned}$$

We notice in this case that

$$[x^4]\Phi_{A^*}^{\omega^*}(x) = 5$$

These correspond to

$(1, 1, 1, 1), (1, 1, 2), (1, 2, 1), (2, 1, 1), (2, 2)$

These are the 5 lists in A^* of any length using 1 and 2 that add to 4.

2.6 Compositions

Definition

A composition is a list of positive integers. (a_1, \dots, a_k)

The entries a_i are the parts.

The length of a composition (a_1, \dots, a_k) is k .

The size is $|(a_1, \dots, a_k)| = a_1 + \dots + a_k$

Examples

The compositions of 4 include

$(1, 1, 1, 1), (2, 1, 1), (1, 1, 2), (1, 2, 1), (2, 2)$ from the last example.

The last three examples are

$(1, 3), (3, 1), (4)$.

Let \mathcal{C} be the set of all compositions. What is

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{C}}^{\text{size}}(x)$$

We know that there are 8 compositions of size 4, for example.

Let \mathcal{C}_1 be all the compositions of length 1. \mathcal{C}_2 of length 2, etc.

Then

$$\mathcal{C}_1 = \{(1), (2), (3), (4), \dots\}$$

$$\mathcal{C}_2 = \{(1, 1), (1, 2), (2, 1), (2, 2), (1, 3), \dots\}$$

$$\text{We have } \Phi_{\mathcal{C}_1}^{\text{size}} = x + x^2 + x^3 = \frac{x}{1-x}$$

We note that $\mathcal{C}_2 = \mathcal{C}_1 \times \mathcal{C}_1$ and in general, $\mathcal{C}_k = (\mathcal{C}_1)^k$

This allows us to write

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{\mathcal{C}}^{\text{size}}(x) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \Phi_{\mathcal{C}_k}^{\text{size}} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\Phi_{\mathcal{C}_1}^{\text{size}}(x))^k \\ &= \frac{1}{1 - \Phi_{\mathcal{C}_1}^{\text{size}}(x)} \\ &= \frac{1}{1 - \frac{x}{1-x}} \\ &= \frac{1-x}{1-2x} \\ &= 1 + x^2 + 2x^3 + 4x^4 + 8x^5 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

From this we conclude that the number of compositions of size k is,

$$\begin{cases} 2^{k-1} & \text{if } k \geq 1 \\ 1 & \text{if } k = 0 \end{cases}$$

Example

Let g_n be the number of compositions of n into 2 or more parts using only the numbers 1, 3, or 7. Find an expression for $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g_n x^n$.

For example,

Weight 2 $\rightarrow (1, 1)$

Weight 3 $\rightarrow (1, 1, 1)$

Weight 4 $\rightarrow (1, 3), (3, 1), (1, 1, 1, 1)$

Let A be the set $\{1, 3, 7\}$. We will only be looking at A^2, A^3, A^4, \dots

$$\text{We see } \Phi_A(x) = x^1 + x^3 + x^7$$

The generating series we are interested in is

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \Phi_{A^n}(x) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (\Phi_A(x))^2$$

Note

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} y^n = y^2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} y^n = \frac{y^2}{1-y}$$

This allows us to find the generating series we want as

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (\Phi_A(x))^n = \frac{(\Phi_A(x))^2}{1 - \Phi_A(x)} = \frac{(x + x^3 + x^7)^2}{1 - x - x^3 - x^7}$$

Note

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_A(x) &= \sum_{a \in A} x^{\omega(a)} \\ &= \sum_{a \in \{1,3,7\}} x^{\omega(a)} \\ &= x^{\omega(1)} + x^{\omega(3)} + x^{\omega(7)} \\ &= x + x^3 + x^7 \end{aligned}$$

Example

Find the number of partitions of n , using only odd numbers, into an odd number of parts. Find the generating series.

Examples

(1), (3), (5), (7)

(1, 1, 1), (1, 1, 3), (1, 3, 1), (3, 1, 1), (1, 3, 3)

(1, 1, 1, 1, 1)

As before it is useful to determine the generating series into exactly 1 part.

In this case, $A = \{1, 3, 5, 7, \dots\}$

So

$$\Phi_A(x) = \sum_{a \text{ odd}} x^{\omega(a)} = \sum_{a \text{ odd}} x + x^3 + x^5 + x^7 + \dots$$

Note

$$\begin{aligned} x + x^3 + x^5 + x^7 + \dots \\ &= x(1 + x^2 + x^4 + x^6 \dots) \\ &= x(1 + (x^2)^1 + (x^2)^2 + (x^2)^3 + \dots) \end{aligned}$$

This gives us that

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi_A(x) &= x + x^3 + x^5 \\
&= x(1 + (x^2)^1 + (x^2)^2 + \dots) \\
&= \frac{x}{1 - x^2}
\end{aligned}$$

To find the generating series into an odd number of parts.

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n \text{ odd}} \Phi_{A^n}(x) &= \sum_{n \text{ odd}} (\Phi_A(x))^n \\
&= \frac{\Phi_A(x)}{1 - \Phi_A(x)^2} = \frac{\frac{x}{1-x^2}}{1 - (\frac{x}{1-x^2})^2} = \frac{x - x^3}{1 - 3x^4 + x^4} \\
&= x + 2x^3 + 5x^5 + 13x^7 + 34x^9 + \dots
\end{aligned}$$

This last step is just to double check you didn't make a mistake. If any coefficients are negative, you made a mistake. If any of the small coefficients do not match up with an exhaustive set, you made a mistake.

From the series there should be 5 compositions of 5 into an odd number of odd parts.

(1, 1, 1, 1, 1)

(1, 1, 3), (1, 3, 1), (3, 1, 1)

(5)

3 Binary Strings

Define

A binary string is of the form a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n where $a_i \in \{0, 1\}$

(000, 10110, 100000001, ...)

The length of $a_1 \dots a_n$ is n . We often use this as our weight function.

We use ϵ to represent the empty string. (i.e. the string of length 0).

If $A = \{0, 1\}$ is the binary strings of length 1, we use $A^2 = \{00, 01, 10, 11\}$

(This is the same as $\{(0, 0), (0, 1), (1, 0), (1, 1)\}$ from before but is easier to write).

As before

$\{0, 1\}^* = \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} \{0, 1\}^k =$ set of all binary strings including ϵ .

Example Let B be the set of all binary strings. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi_B^{\text{length}}(x) &= \Phi_{\{0,1\}^*}^{\text{length}}(x) \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \Phi_{\{0,1\}^k}(x) \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\Phi_{\{0,1\}}(x))^k
\end{aligned}$$

Here,

$$\Phi_{\{0,1\}}^{\text{length}}(x) = x^{\text{length}(0)} + x^{\text{length}(1)} = x + x = 2x$$

This gives,

$$\Phi_B^{\text{length}}(x) = \frac{1}{1-2x} = 1 + 2x + 4x^2 + 8x^3 + 16x^4 + \dots$$

3.1 Regular Expressions & Rational Languages

Definition

(Note: This is also discussed in CS360, CS365)

$\epsilon, 0, 1$ are all regular expressions.

If R and S are regular expressions, then so is $R \cup S$. This can be read as "or".

If R and S are regular expressions, then so is RS .

Example

$0, 1$ are regular expressions. Hence 00 is a regular expression as is 10 . Hence so is $00 \cup 11$. This represents the binary strings $\{00, 10\}$.

Hence so is $(00 \cup 10)(00 \cup 10)$

This gives $\{0000, 0010, 1000, 1010\}$ as the words represented.

If R is a regular expression, so is R^k for $k \geq 0$. This is $R^k = \underbrace{RR \dots R}_{k \text{ times}}$

If R is a regular expression, so is R^*

Here $R^* = \underbrace{\epsilon \cup R^1 \cup R^2 \cup R^3 \cup R^4 \cup \dots}_{\text{forever}}$

Recall

Definition

Let R and S be regular expressions.

- $\epsilon, 0, 1$ are regular expressions
- $R \cup S$ is a regular expression
- RS is a regular expression
- $R^k = \underbrace{RR \dots R}_k$ is a regular expression
- $R^* = \epsilon \cup R \cup R^2 \dots$ is a regular expression

Example Consider $(0(00 \cup 11)^2)^*$

This is a regular expression, but what does it mean?

We see ϵ is a word described by this regular expression

$0.00.00, 0.00.11, 0.11.00, 0.11.11$ are all words given by the regular expression $0(00 \cup 11)^2$, and hence given by this regular expression

Notice

$$0(00 \cup 11)^2 = 0(00 \cup 11)(00 \cup 11)$$

We also have 16 words of length 10 given by this expression. This comes from $(0(00 \cup 11)^2)^2$

$$\text{Note } (0(00 \cup 11)^2)^2 = 0(00 \cup 11)^2 0(00 \cup 11)^2 = 0(00 \cup 11)(00 \cup 11)0(00 \cup 11)(00 \cup 11)$$

This includes

$0.00.00.0.00.00$

$0.00.11.0.11.11$

$0.11.00.0.11.0.0$ etc.

There are 13 more that are not listed.

Often for regular expressions, we wish to count the number of binary strings represented by this expression of a particular length.

In this case there is one word of length 0, (namely ϵ)

There are 4 words of length 5

There are 4^2 words of length 10

In this case we can create a generating series $\sum a_n x^n$ where $a_n = \#$ of binary strings of length n given by the regular expression.

We have in this case that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} &= 1 + 4x^5 + 16x^{10} = 4^3 x^{15} + \dots \\ &= 1 + 4x^5 + (4x^5)^2 + (4x^5)^3 \\ &= \frac{1}{1 - 4x^5} \end{aligned}$$

Definition

Let \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{S} be sets of binary strings. We denote $\mathcal{RS} = \{\alpha\beta : \alpha \in \mathcal{R}, \beta \in \mathcal{S}\}$

Example

$\mathcal{R} = \{0, 00, 000, 0000, \dots\}$ = all non-empty binary strings with only 0

$\mathcal{S} = \{1, 11, 111\}$

$\mathcal{RS} = \{01, 011, 0111, 001, 0011, 0011, \dots\}$

$\mathcal{SR} = \{10, 110, 1110, 100, 1100, 11100, \dots\}$

$\mathcal{RR} = \{00, 000, 0000, \dots\} = \mathcal{R} \setminus \{0\}$

$\mathcal{SS} = \{11, 111, 1111, 11111, 111111\}$

Definition

Let R be a regular expression representing the words \mathcal{R} .

Let S be a regular expression representing the binary strings \mathcal{S} .

The $\epsilon, 0, 1$ are regular expressions representing the set $\{\epsilon\}, \{0\}, \{1\}$ respectively.

Then $R \cup S$ is a regular expression representing the strings $\mathcal{R} \cup \mathcal{S}$.

Then RS is a regular expression representing the strings \mathcal{RS} .

Then $R^k = \underbrace{R \dots R}_k$ is a regular expression representing the language $\underbrace{\mathcal{R} \dots \mathcal{R}}_k = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k : \alpha_i \in \mathcal{R}\} = \mathcal{R}^k$

$R^* = \epsilon \cup R \cup R^2 \cup R^3 \cup \dots$ is a regular expression for the set of strings $\mathcal{R}^* = \{\epsilon\} \cup \mathcal{R} \cup \mathcal{R}^2 \cup \mathcal{R}^3 \cup \dots = \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{R}^k$

Definition

Let R be a regular expression. Then \mathcal{R} , the set of binary strings represented by R is called a rational language (or a regular language).

Note

Not all subsets of binary strings are rational languages. For example, $\{0^n, 1^n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} = \{\epsilon, 01, 0011, 000111, \dots\}$ is not a rational language.

Example

$\{0^p\}_{p\text{-prime}} = \{00, 000, 00000, \dots\}$ is not a rational language.

Example

Any finite set \mathcal{R} is a rational language.

3.2 Ambiguous vs Unambiguous

Consider the two regular expressions.

$(1 \cup 11)^*$ and 1^* . These both give the rational language $\{\epsilon, 1, 11, 111, 1111, \dots\}$

We see that $(1 \cup 11)^*$ represents 111 in three different ways. We have

1.1.1 or 1.11, 11.1

We see 1^* has only one way to do this. Namely 1.1.1.

Ambiguous & Unambiguous Expressions

Definition

We say a regular expression is unambiguous if every string in the rational language is uniquely given by a unique representation. We say a regular expression is ambiguous if it is not unambiguous. Equivalently, there is at least one word in the language with at least two representations.

Example

$(1 \cup 0)(00 \cup 0)$

This is ambiguous. Notice

$00 = 0.0 = \epsilon.00$

Example

$1^*(00 \cup 0)$

We see that a word starts with some number of 1s, that is described uniquely by 1^* , and ends with 0 or 00, which again is unique.

This example is unambiguous.

Lemma

Let R and S be regular expressions which are unambiguous.

Let their rational languages be \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{S}

Then $\epsilon, 0, 1$ are all unambiguous

The regular expression $R \cup S$ is unambiguous if and only if $\mathcal{R} \cap \mathcal{S} = \emptyset$

The regular expression RS is unambiguous if and only if there is a bijection from \mathcal{RS} to $\mathcal{R} \times \mathcal{S}$

I.e. for every $\alpha \in \mathcal{RS}$, there is a unique $r \in \mathcal{R}$ and $s \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $\alpha = rs$

R^* is unambiguous if and only if R^k is unambiguous for all k and $R^k \cap R^n = \emptyset$ for all $k \neq n$

Example

$(\epsilon \cup 0)(0 \cup 00)$

Notice $(\epsilon \cup 0)$ is unambiguous as $\{\epsilon\} \cap \{0\} = \emptyset$. This has language $\{\epsilon, 0\}$

Similarly, $0 \cup 00$ is an unambiguous expression for $\{0, 00\}$

Consider $(\epsilon \cup 0)(0 \cup 00)$

$\{\epsilon, 0\}\{0, 00\} = \{\epsilon.0, \epsilon.00, 0.0, 0.00\} = \{0, 00, 000\}$

$\{\epsilon, 0\} \times \{0, 00\} = \{(\epsilon, 0), (\epsilon, 00), (0, 0), (0, 00)\}$

In this case $\{\epsilon, 0\}\{0, 00\}$ is size 3 and $\{\epsilon, 0\} \times \{0, 00\}$ is size 4.

Hence there does not exist a bijection, and $(\epsilon \smile 0)(0 \smile 00)$ is ambiguous.

Theorem

Let R and S be unambiguous expressions with languages \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{S} and generating series $\Phi_{\mathcal{R}}, \Phi_{\mathcal{S}}$ (with weight function = length of binary string).

$\epsilon, 0, 1$ are unambiguous regular expressions with languages $\{\epsilon\}, \{0\}, \{1\}$, and generating series $\Phi_{\{\epsilon\}}(x) = x^{\text{length}(\epsilon)} = x^0 = 1$

$\Phi_{\{0\}}(x) = x^{\text{length}(0)} = x^1 = x \cdot \Phi_{\{1\}}(x) = x^{\text{length}(1)} = x^1 = x$

Sum Lemma

Assume $R \smile S$ is unambiguous, and is associated to the language $\mathcal{R} \cup \mathcal{S}$. Further

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{R} \cup \mathcal{S}}(x) = \Phi_{\mathcal{R}}(x) + \Phi_{\mathcal{S}}(x)$$

Product Lemma

Assume RS is an unambiguous expression for \mathcal{RS} (which has a bijection to $\mathcal{R} \times \mathcal{S}$. Further

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{RS}}(x) = \Phi_{\mathcal{R} \times \mathcal{S}}(x) = \Phi_{\mathcal{R}}(x) \cdot \Phi_{\mathcal{S}}(x)$$

String Lemma

Assume R^* is unambiguous with language \mathcal{R}^* . Then

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{R}^*}(x) = \frac{1}{1 - \Phi_{\mathcal{R}}(x)}$$

Example

The regular expression $0^*(100^*)^*(\epsilon \smile 1)$ is unambiguous.

Let \mathcal{S} be the language represented by this expression. Find $\Phi_{\mathcal{S}}(x)$

0 has generating series $\Phi_{\{0\}}(x) = x$

0^* has generating series $\Phi_{\{0\}^*}(x) = \frac{1}{1 - \Phi_{\{0\}}(x)} = \frac{1}{1 - x}$

Next

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{\{10\}\{0\}^*}(x) &= \Phi_{\{1\}}(x) \cdot \Phi_{\{0\}}(x) \cdot \Phi_{\{0\}^*}(x) \\ &= x \cdot x \cdot \left(\frac{1}{1 - x}\right) \\ &= \frac{x^2}{1 - x} \end{aligned}$$

This gives the generating series associated to $(100^*)^*$ as

$$\frac{1}{1 - \Phi_{\{10\}\{0\}^*}(x)} = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{x^2}{1 - x}}$$

Lastly $\Phi_{\{\epsilon, 1\}}(x) = \Phi_{\{\epsilon\}}(x) + \Phi_{\{1\}}(x) = 1 + x$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_S(x) &= \frac{1}{1-x} \cdot \frac{1}{1-\frac{x^2}{1-x}} \cdot (1+x) \\ &= \frac{1+x}{1-x-x^2}\end{aligned}$$

Note

We can do this on ambiguous expressions, but the coefficients can include over-counts and be too high.

3.3 Block & Prefix Decomposition

We see that having an unambiguous regular expression allows us to construct a generating series for the language with respect to the length.

One way to do this is to decompose the strings in an unambiguous way, and construct the regular expression for this.

Block Decomposition

We will decompose a string into alternating "blocks" of 0's and 1's.

Example

11010001110101

11.0.1.000.111.0.1.0.1

A non-empty block of 0's can be represented by 00^* . A non-empty block of 1's can be represented by 11^* .

If we alternate these, we could have, for example,

$00^*11^*00^*11^*00^*$

We can represent all binary strings by the unambiguous block decompositions

$1^*(00^*11^*)^*0^*$

or

$0^*(11^*00^*)^*1^*$

Example

Find a regular expression (unambiguous) where all blocks of 0 even length can be represented.

Notice a non-empty block of 0's of even length can be represented by

$00(00)^*$. If we also wanted to allow an empty block, we can use $(00)^*$

Binary Strings $1^*(00^*11^*)^*0^*$

New Set $1^*(\underbrace{00(00)^*}_{\text{even length, non-empty}}11^*)^*\underbrace{(00)^*}_{\text{even length, possibly empty}}$

Example

All blocks are odd length

Blocks of 1's, possibly empty, of odd length

$\epsilon \cup 1(11)^*$

Blocks of 0's, non-empty, odd length

$0(00)^*$

Blocks of 1's, non-empty, odd length

$1(11)^*$

Block of 0's, possibly empty, odd length

$(\epsilon \cup 0(00)^*)$

All binary strings

$1^*(00^*11^*)^*0^*$

$(\epsilon \cup 1(11)^*)(0(00)^*1(11)^*)^*(\epsilon \cup 0(00)^*)$

New expression

Another common type of decomposition is called prefix decomposition

Prefix Decomposition

The idea is every part starts with 1 and every 1 starts a part.

Example

00110001001101

00.1.1000.100.1.10.1

Every part looks like 10^* . We need to allow the word to start with some number of 0's.

This gives us a decomposition of $0^*(10^*)^*$

(Note, we could decompose based on 0's, or based on suffixes).

Example

Find an unambiguous regular expression where every 1 is followed by at least two 0's.

Here 10^* is a 1 followed by any number of 0's. We can modify this to give 1000^* , where every 1 is followed by at least two 0's.

All binary strings $0^*(10^*)^*$

New expression $0^*(1000^*)^*$

Aside

There are multiple ways to represent all binary strings. The easiest, but least useful is $(0 \cup 1)^*$.

Example

We have an unambiguous regular expression for all words where 1 is followed by at least two 0's. Let this language be \mathcal{R} . Find $\Phi_{\mathcal{R}}(x)$.

Here the regular expression is $0^*(1000^*)^*$

Notice the generating series for the part coming from 0^* is $\frac{1}{1-x}$

The generating series corresponding to 1000^* is $\frac{x^3}{1-x}$.

Putting this together gives $(\frac{1}{1-x})(\frac{1}{1-\frac{x^3}{1-x}})$

This can be simplified to the form $\frac{\text{polynomial}}{\text{polynomial}}$.

3.4 Recursive Decomposition

Recursive Decomposition

Another way we can describe a language is recursively. It is possible that such a language is not a rational language. Despite this, we can often still use this decomposition to say something meaningful about the language via generating series.

We need this decomposition to be unambiguous for this to work.

Example

Let \mathcal{S} be the set of binary strings where all blocks of 1's are even, and all blocks of 0's are of length divisible by 3.

For any word in \mathcal{S} , either it is ϵ , or it starts with 0, or it starts with 1. As all blocks of 0 have length divisible by 3, if it starts with 0, then it has to start with 000. Similarly, if it starts with 1, it in fact starts with 11.

Here if S is the "regular expression", then we have

$$S = (\epsilon \cup 11S \cup 000S)$$

This allows us to say something about the generating series.

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_{\mathcal{S}}(x) &= \Phi_{\{\epsilon\}}(x) + \Phi_{\{11\}}(x)\Phi_{\{\mathcal{S}\}}(x) + \Phi_{\{000\}}(x) + \Phi_{\{\mathcal{S}\}}(x) \\ &= 1 + x^2 \cdot \Phi_{\mathcal{S}}(x) + x^3 \cdot \Phi_{\mathcal{S}}(x) \\ \implies \Phi_{\mathcal{S}}(x) - x^2\Phi_{\mathcal{S}}(x) - x^3\Phi_{\mathcal{S}}(x) &= 1\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_{\mathcal{S}}(x)(1 - x^2 - x^3) &= 1 \text{ or} \\ \Phi_{\mathcal{S}}(x) &= \frac{1}{1 - x^2 - x^3}\end{aligned}$$

Note

This language is in fact rational, and has block decomposition

$$(11)^*(000(000)^*(11)(11)^*)^*(000)^*$$

Example

$$\text{Let } \mathcal{R} = \{0^n 1^n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} = \{\epsilon, 01, 0011, 000111, \dots\}$$

We see \mathcal{R} is either empty, ϵ , or it starts with a 0 and ends with a 1, and the middle part (after removing the first and last term) is in \mathcal{R} .

This gives us $R = 0R1 \cup \epsilon$

Hence the generating series looks like

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_{\mathcal{R}}(x) &= \Phi_{\{\epsilon\}}(x) + \Phi_{\{0\}}(x)\Phi_{\{\mathcal{R}\}}(x)\Phi_{\{1\}}(x) \\ \implies \Phi_{\mathcal{R}}(x) &= 1 + x\Phi_{\mathcal{R}}(x)x \\ \implies \Phi_{\mathcal{R}}(x)(1 - x^2) &= 1 \\ \implies \Phi_{\mathcal{R}}(x) &= \frac{1}{1 - x^2} = 1 + x^2 + x^4 + x^6 + \dots\end{aligned}$$

Example

Let \mathcal{R} be the language that does not contain 001. It is useful to define \mathcal{S} as the language that contains 001 exactly once, at the very end. Let R and S be their expressions.

Notice $\mathcal{R} \cap \mathcal{S} = \emptyset$

Consider $R \cup S$.

This is either ϵ , or ends in 0, or ends in 1.

If it ends in 0, and we remove the final 0, then it will still not contain 001 (i.e. it is in \mathcal{R})

If it ends in 1, and we remove the final 1, then it will not contain 001 and hence is in \mathcal{R} .

This gives $R \smile S = \epsilon \smile R0 \smile R1$

$$\begin{aligned} \implies \Phi_{\mathcal{R}}(x) + \Phi_S(x) &= \Phi_{\{\epsilon\}}(x) + \Phi_{\mathcal{R}}(x)\Phi_{\{0\}}(x) + \Phi_{\nabla}(x)\Phi_{\{1\}}(x) \\ &= 1 + \Phi_{\mathcal{R}} \cdot x + \Phi_{\mathcal{R}}(x) \cdot x \\ &= 1 + 2x\Phi_{\mathcal{R}}(x) \end{aligned}$$

Consider a word $r_1r_2 \dots r_n001$ represented by S . This cannot contain a 001 anywhere in $r_1r_2 \dots r_n00$ by assumption.

As 00 at the end cannot create a 001, we see $r_1r_2 \dots r_n00$ does not contain a 001 if and only if $r_1r_2 \dots r_n$ does not contain a 001.

This gives us $S = R001$

Hence $\Phi_S(x) = x^3\Phi_{\mathcal{R}}(x)$

Combining the two equations gives

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{\mathcal{R}}(x) + x^3\Phi_{\mathcal{R}}(x) - 2x\Phi_{\mathcal{R}}(x) &= 1 \\ \implies \Phi_{\mathcal{R}}(x) &= \frac{1}{1 - 2x + x^3} \end{aligned}$$

Example

Same as before, except use 000 instead of 001.

As before we have

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{R}}(x) + \Phi_S(x) = 1 + 2x\Phi_{\mathcal{R}}(x)$$

The problem occurs when we try to do something like $S = R001$.

Consider $00 \in \mathcal{R}$

We see $00.000 \notin \mathcal{S}$

We see 00000, 00000, 00000 has multiple occurrences of 000, two of them not at the end.

If $r_1 \dots r_n = r_1r_2 \dots r_{n-2}00 \in \mathcal{R}$

Then $r_1r_2 \dots r_n000 = \underbrace{(r_1r_2 \dots r_{n-2}000)}_{\in \mathcal{S}}(00) \in \mathcal{S}(00)$

If $r_1r_2 \dots r_n = r_1r_2 \dots r_{n-1}0 \in \mathcal{R}$

$\implies r_1r_2 \dots r_{n-1}0000 = \underbrace{(r_1r_2 \dots r_{n-1}000)}_{\in \mathcal{S}}(0) \in \mathcal{S}0$

This gives

$S \smile S0 \smile S00 = R000$

$$\begin{aligned} \implies \Phi_S(x)(1 + x + x^2) &= x^3\Phi_{\mathcal{R}}(x) \\ \implies \Phi_{\mathcal{R}}(x) + \frac{x^3}{1 + x + x^2}\Phi_{\mathcal{R}}(x) &= 1 + 2x\Phi_{\mathcal{R}}(x) \\ \implies \Phi_{\mathcal{R}}(x) &= \frac{1 + x^2 + x^3}{1 - x - x^2 - x^3} \end{aligned}$$

3.5 Excluded Substrings

Theorem

Let $\kappa \in \{0, 1\}^*$ be a non-empty binary string of length n . Let \mathcal{C} be the collection of non-empty suffixes γ of κ such that there exists a $n\kappa = \kappa\gamma$.

Let $C(x) = \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{C}} x^{\ell(\gamma)}$

Then the generating series for the language of binary strings not containing κ is

$$\Phi(x) = \frac{1 + C(x)}{(1 - 2x)(1 + C(x)) + x^n}$$

Example

Let $k = 000$. $n = \ell(000) = \ell(\kappa) = 3$

Notice the suffixes of κ are 00 and 0. In this case

$$0.000 = 000.0.$$

$$00.000 = 000.00$$

In this case $\mathcal{C} = \{0, 00\}$

This gives $C(x) = x^{\ell(0)} + x^{\ell(00)} = x + x^2$

This gives

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(x) &= \frac{1 + x + x^2}{(1 - 2x)(1 + x + x^2) + x^3} \\ &= \frac{1 + x + x^2}{1 - x - x^2 - x^3} \end{aligned}$$

Why does this work?

In the original method we always have

$$R \smile S = \epsilon \smile R0 \smile R1$$

(R -language avoiding κ . R -language containing exactly one κ at the end).

The second relationship depends on \mathcal{C} . Let $\mathcal{C} = \{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_\kappa\}$

We get a new relation

$$R\kappa = S \smile S\gamma_1 \smile S\gamma_2 \smile \dots \smile S\gamma_\kappa$$

From these two relations we get the generating series

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{R}}(x) + \Phi_{\mathcal{S}}(x) = 1 + 2x\Phi_{\mathcal{R}}(x)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} x^n \Phi_{\mathcal{R}}(x) &= \Phi_{\mathcal{S}}(x) + x^{\ell(\gamma_1)} \Phi_{\mathcal{S}}(x) + \dots + x^{\ell(\gamma_\kappa)} \Phi_{\mathcal{S}}(x) \\ &= (1 + C(x)) \Phi_{\mathcal{S}}(x) \end{aligned}$$

So $\Phi_{\mathcal{S}}(x) = \frac{x^n}{1 + C(x)} \cdot \Phi_{\mathcal{R}}(x)$

After this it is just algebraic manipulation to solve for $\Phi_{\mathcal{R}}(x)$.

Example

Let $\kappa = 1010$

\mathcal{R} - avoid κ

\mathcal{S} - κ occurs exactly once at the end

$$R \smile S = \epsilon \smile R0 \smile R1$$

What happens if we append κ to the end of a word in R .

If the word in R ended with 10, then

$$r_1 r_2 \dots r_k 10 \kappa = r_1 r_2 \dots r_k 101010$$

This is in $S10$.

In this case the 10 corresponds to a $\gamma \in \mathcal{C}$ as $10\kappa = \kappa10 = 101010$

If the word does not end in 10, then we are fine, and

$$r_1 r_2 \dots r_n 1010 \in \mathcal{S}$$

Notice, the suffixes of $\kappa = 1010$ are

010, 10, 0.

$$n\kappa \neq \kappa0 = 10100$$

$$10\kappa = \kappa10 = 101010$$

$$n\kappa \neq \kappa010 = 1010010$$

From here we get

$$R\kappa = S \smile S10$$

4 Recurrence Relations

4.1 Recurrences

Example

Let $g_0 = 1, g_1 = 1$ and $g_n = 2g_{n-1} + g_{n-2} \forall n \geq 2$

Questions

What can we say about

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g_n x^n$$

Can we find a closed form for it? What can we do with this closed form?

First, find a closed form for

$$\begin{aligned}
G(x) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g_n x^n \\
&= 1 + x + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} g_n x^n \\
&= 1 + x + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (2g_{n-1}x^n + g_{n-2})x^n \\
&= 1 + x + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} 2g_{n-2}x^n + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} g_{n-2}x^n \\
&= 1 + x + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} 2g_{n-1}x^n + x^2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g_n x^n \\
&= 1 + x + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} 2g_{n-1}x^n + x^2 G(x) \\
&= 1 + x + 2x \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} g_{n-1}x^{n-1} + x^2 G(x) \\
&= 1 + x + 2x(-g_0x^0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_{n-1}x^{n-1}) + x^2 G(x) \\
&= 1 + x + 2x(-1 + G(x)) + x^2 G(x) \\
&= 1 + x - 2x + 2xG(x) + x^2 G(x) \\
&\implies G(x) = 1 - x + 2xG(x) + x^2 G(x) \\
&\implies G(x)(1 - 2x - x^2) = 1 - x \\
&\implies G(x) = \frac{1 - x}{1 - 2x - x^2}
\end{aligned}$$

This is our desired closed form expression.

Example

Let $g_0 = g_1 = 1$, and $g_n = 2g_{n-1} + g_{n-2} \forall n \geq 2$

Last class we showed

$$G(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g_n x^n = \frac{1 - x}{1 - 2x - x^2}$$

Consider $1 - 2x - x^2$. I want to write this as $(1 - \alpha x)(1 - \beta x)$ for reasons that will make sense later.

Find the roots of $y^2 - 2y - 1$.

(This is $y^2 P(\frac{1}{y})$ where $P(x) = 1 - 2x - x^2$)

By the quadratic formula, the roots of $y^2 - 2y - 1$ are $\frac{2 \pm \sqrt{4+4}}{2} = 1 \pm \sqrt{2}$.

This gives $y^2 - 2y - 1 = (y - (1 + \sqrt{2}))(y - (1 - \sqrt{2}))$.

Equiv: $1 - 2x - x^2 = (1 - (1 + \sqrt{2})x)(1 - (1 - \sqrt{2})x)$

This gives us

$$\frac{1 - x}{1 - 2x - x^2} = \frac{1 - x}{(1 - (1 + \sqrt{2})x)(1 - (1 - \sqrt{2})x)}$$

We can now do a partial fraction decomposition (Math 138).

That is, we can find an a & b such that

$$\frac{1-x}{(1-(1+\sqrt{2})x)(1-(1-\sqrt{2})x)} = \frac{a}{1-(1+\sqrt{2})x} + \frac{b}{1-(1-\sqrt{2})x}$$

Multiplying both sides by the denominator gives

$$1-x = a(1-(1-\sqrt{2})x) + b(1-(1+\sqrt{2})x)$$

With a bit of trial and error, we see $a = \frac{1}{2}$ and $b = \frac{1}{2}$.

This gives us,

$$\begin{aligned} G(x) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g_n x^n = \frac{1-x}{1-2x-x^2} = \frac{\frac{1}{2}}{1-(1+\sqrt{2})x} + \frac{\frac{1}{2}}{1-(1-\sqrt{2})x} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1+\sqrt{2})^n x^n + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1-\sqrt{2})^n x^n \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} ((1+\sqrt{2})^n + (1-\sqrt{2})^n) x^n \\ \text{This gives us } g_n &= \frac{1}{2} ((1+\sqrt{2})^n + (1-\sqrt{2})^n). \end{aligned}$$

We notice in this case that $1-\sqrt{2} \approx -0.44$. This means that $(1-\sqrt{2})^n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

This means for large n that

$$g_n \approx \frac{1}{2} (1+\sqrt{2})^n$$

Further, as g_n are all integers, we see $\frac{1}{2}(1+\sqrt{2})^n$ is very close to an integer for large n .

4.2 Homogeneous Linear Recurrence Relations

Let a_1, a_2, \dots, a_d be a set of complex numbers (typically integers).

Let g_0, g_1, \dots, g_m be a set of initial conditions. Here $m \geq d-1$.

Then the sequence g_1, g_2, \dots is a homogeneous linear recurrence relation if for all $n \geq m+1$ we have

$$g_n + a_1 g_{n-1} + \dots + a_d g_{n-d} = 0$$

Example

Our previous example is an example of such a relation. Here $g_1 = g_0 = 1$, and

$$g_n - 2g_{n-1} - g_{n-2} = 0, \forall n \geq 2$$

Here $a_1 = -2, a_2 = -1$. In the previous example, we had

$$G(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g_n x^n = \frac{P(x)}{(1+a_1x+a_2x^2)} \text{ for some polynomial } P(x)$$

Theorem

Let $g_n + a_1g_{n-1} + \dots + a_dg_{n-d} = 0$ be the recurrence relation. Then

$$G(x) = \frac{b_mx^m + \dots b_0}{1 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + \dots + a_dx^d}$$

where $b_k = g_k + a_1g_{k-1} + \dots + a_dg_{k-d}$

where $g_n = 0$ if $n < 0$.

Example

Let

$$G(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g_n x^n = \frac{2x^2 - 4x + 3}{(1-2x)^2(1+x)}$$

1. Find a closed form for g_n
2. Find initial conditions
3. Find recurrence relations

We know from partial fraction decomposition that there exists a, b , and c such that

$$\frac{2x^2 - 4x + 3}{(1-2x)^2(1+x)} = \frac{a}{(1-2x)} + \frac{b}{(1-2x)^2} + \frac{c}{(1+x)}$$

Multiply by denominator

$$2x^2 - 4x + 3 = a(1-2x)(1+x) + b(1+x) + c(1-2x)^2$$

Evaluate at $x = -1$ gives, $c = 1$

Evaluate at $x = \frac{1}{2}$ gives $b = 1$.

Knowing $c = 1$ and $b = 1$ we can simplify and get $a = 1$.

Hence

$$\frac{2x^2 - 4x + 3}{(1-2x)^2(1+x)} = \frac{1}{1-2x} + \frac{1}{(1-2x)^2} + \frac{1}{1+x}$$

This gives

$$\begin{aligned} G(x) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^n x^n + \frac{1}{(1-2x)^2} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n x^n \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^n x^n + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \binom{n+1}{1} 2^n x^n + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n x^n \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (2^n + (n+1)2^n + (-1)^n) x^n \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (2 \cdot 2^n + n2^n + (-1)^n) x^n \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}g_0 &= 2 \cdot 2^0 + 0 \cdot 2^0 + (-1)^0 = 3 \\g_1 &= 2 \cdot 2^1 + 1 \cdot 2^1 + (-1)^1 = 5 \\g_2 &= 17\end{aligned}$$

Notice

$$\begin{aligned}(1-2x)^2(1+x) &= (1-4x+4x^2)(1+x) \\&= (1-3x+0+4x^3) \\&\implies g_n = 3g_{n-1} + 0g_{n-2} + 4g_{n-3} - 3 \\&\implies g_n = 3g_{n-1} - 4g_{n-3}\end{aligned}$$

Linear Homogeneous Recurrence Equations

Version 1

Initial conditions g_0, g_1, \dots, g_{d-1}

Recurrence Equation $g_n + a_1g_{n-1} + \dots + a_dg_{n-d} = 0, \forall n \geq d$

Version 2

$$G(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g_n x^n = \frac{P(x)}{Q(x)}$$

Here, $Q(x) = 1 + a_1x^1 + a_2x^2 + \dots + a_dx^d$

Version 3

Assume

$$Q(x) = (1 - \lambda_1 x)^{\alpha_1} (1 - \lambda_2 x)^{\alpha_2} \dots (1 - \lambda_k x)^{\alpha_k}$$

Then there exists polynomials $P_1(x), P_2(x), \dots, P_k(x)$ with $\deg P_i(x) \leq \alpha_i - 1$ such that

$$g_n = P_1(n)\lambda_1^n + P_2(n)\lambda_2^n + \dots + P_k(n)\lambda_k^n$$