STAT 4352 - Mathematical Statistics Notes

 ${\rm JaimeGoB}$

February 6, 2021

1 Chapter 10.2 - Unbiased Estimators

Definition: 10.2 Unbiased Estimator

A statistic $\hat{\theta}$ is an **Unbiased Estimator** of parameter θ if and only if:

$$E[\hat{\theta}] = \theta$$

That is $\hat{\theta}$ on average its value equals θ .

Definition: Bias

Bias of $\hat{\theta}$: $b_n(\hat{\theta}) = E[\hat{\theta}] - \theta$

When:

$$b_n(\hat{\theta}) = E[\hat{\theta}] - \theta = 0$$
 (Unbiased Estimator)
 $b_n(\hat{\theta}) = E[\hat{\theta}] - \theta \neq 0$ (Biased Estimator)

Definition: Asymptotically Unbiased Estimator

Based on a random sample n, from a given distribution. We say $\hat{\theta}$ is a **Asymptotically Unbiased Estimator** if and only if:

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} b_n(\hat{\theta}) = 0$$

Properties of Unbiased Estimators

- \bullet \overline{x} is always unbiased for all distributions.
- NOT UNIQUE. (there can be multiple unbiased estimators).

If you can have multiple unbiased estimators which one is best? Next desireable properties are sufficiency and low variance.

 \bullet Does not have invariance property.

 \overline{x} is unbiased for $\mu \implies \overline{x}^2$ is unbiased for μ^2

2 Chapter 10.3 - Efficiency

How to measure accuracy of estimators?

1) Mean Absolute Error (MAE)

 $MAE_{\theta} = E[|\hat{\theta} - \theta|]$

2) Mean Absolute Deviation (MAD)

 $MAD_{\theta} = median[|\hat{\theta} - \theta|]$

3) Mean Squared Error (MSE)

$$MSE_{\theta} = E(\hat{\theta} - \theta)^2 = Var_{\theta}(\hat{\theta}) + Bias_{\theta}^2(\hat{\theta})$$

For an unbiased estimator (Bias = 0)

 $MSE_{\theta} = Var_{\theta}(\hat{\theta})$

Definition: Relative Efficiency

Let $\hat{\theta}_1$ and $\hat{\theta}_2$ be unbiased estimators of θ .

If
$$\frac{Var_{\theta}(\hat{\theta}_1)}{Var_{\theta}(\hat{\theta}_2)} < 1$$

We can say that $\hat{\theta}_1$ is more efficient than $\hat{\theta}_2$.

You would want to pick the estimator $\hat{\theta}$ that is more efficient (lowest variance).

Efficiency Example 1: If
$$\frac{Var_{\theta}(\hat{\theta}_1)}{Var_{\theta}(\hat{\theta}_2)} = 0.50 \Longrightarrow Var_{\theta}(\hat{\theta}_1) = 0.5Var_{\theta}(\hat{\theta}_2)$$

 $\hat{\theta}_1$ is 50% **MORE** efficient than $\hat{\theta}_2$

Efficiency Example 2: If
$$\frac{Var_{\theta}(\hat{\theta}_1)}{Var_{\theta}(\hat{\theta}_2)} = 1.50 \Longrightarrow Var_{\theta}(\hat{\theta}_1) = 1.5Var_{\theta}(\hat{\theta}_2)$$

 $\hat{\theta}_1$ is 50% **LESS** efficient than $\hat{\theta}_2$

 $\hat{\theta}_2$ is 50% **MORE** efficient than $\hat{\theta}_1$

Definition: Asymptotic Relative Efficiency

Based on a random sample n, from a given distribution. We define the comparison of estimators $(\hat{\theta}_1, \hat{\theta}_2)$ is **Asymptotically Relative Efficiency** when:

$$ARE = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{Var_{\theta}(\hat{\theta}_1)}{Var_{\theta}(\hat{\theta}_2)} < 1$$

The efficiency(gain) is reduced as sample size $n \to \infty$. For huge sample sizes both unbiased estimators are equally good. For small n one estimator is better than other.

Definition: Uniformly Minimum Variance Unbiased Estimator

An unbiased estimator $\hat{\theta}$ is *Uniformly Minimum Variance Unbiased Estimator* (*UMVUE*) for θ if it has the smallest variance in the class of all unbiased estimators for θ .

Theorem 10.2: Cramer-Rao Inequality

It is possible to obtain a lower bound on the variance of all *unbiased estimators* θ .

- $\hat{\theta}$ be a unbiased estimator
- $f(x, \theta)$ is the probability distribution of random variable x.
- n is a random sample size

The Lower Bound of Variance of an Unbiased Estimator is the defined by the Cramer-Rao inequality:

$$Var(\hat{\theta}) \ge \frac{1}{I(\theta)}$$
 where $I(\theta) = nE\left[\left(\frac{\partial lnf(x)}{\partial \theta}\right)^2\right]$

 $I(\theta)$ is the Fisher Information in a random sample of size n and $\frac{\partial lnf(x)}{\partial \theta}$ is known as score function. It is the smallest possible value variance can have.

UMVUE exists when:

If $Var(\hat{\theta}) = \frac{1}{I(\theta)}$ It has smallest possible value for variance.

$$\Longrightarrow \hat{ heta}$$
 is UMVU of $\hat{ heta}$

UMVUE does not exists when:

If $Var(\hat{\theta}) \neq \frac{1}{I(\theta)}$ You can't say $\hat{\theta}$ is UMVUE as lower bound is not achievable.

3 Chapter 10.4- Consistency

Definition: Consistency

If $\hat{\theta}$ is an estimator of θ based on a random sample of size n, we say that $\hat{\theta}$ is **consistent** (closed) for θ , if $\epsilon > 0$:

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} P(|\hat{\theta}-\theta|<\epsilon)=1 \qquad \qquad \theta-target parameter, \hat{\theta}-estimator$$

$$\epsilon - \text{estimator (small distance ex: 0.0001)}$$

Consistency is an Asymptotic Property:

Error in estimation using $\hat{\theta}$ is small

 $\hat{\theta}$ converges in probability to θ

When $n \to \infty$ we can be practically certain that the error made with a consistent estimator will be less than any small preassigned positive constant ϵ .

Theorem 10.3

If $\hat{\theta}$ is an unbiased estimator of the parameter θ and $Var(\hat{\theta}) \to 0$ Bias $(\hat{\theta}) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ then $\hat{\theta}$ is a consistent estimator of θ .

4 Chapter 10.5- Sufficiency

Definition: Sufficient Principle

- Reduce data without loosing information about θ .
- Captures all information about a sample relevant to estimation of θ , that is, if all the knowledge about θ that can be gained from the individual sample values and their order can just as well be gained from the value of $\hat{\theta}$ alone.

Definition: Sufficient Estimator

The statistic $\hat{\theta}$ is a sufficient estimator of parameter θ of a given distribution **iff** for each value of $\hat{\theta}$ the conditional probability distribution or density of a random sample $x_1, x_2, ... x_n$ given $\hat{\theta} = \theta$ is independent of θ .

Sufficient property from conditional probability distribution or density when $\hat{\theta} = \theta$:

$$f(x_1,x_2,...x_n; \hat{\theta}) = \frac{f(x_1,x_2,...x_n, \hat{\theta})}{g(\hat{\theta})}$$

Note: Ratio should not contain θ in order to be sufficient estimator of θ

Theorem 10.4: Factorization Theorem

The statistic $\hat{\theta}$ is a sufficient estimator of the parameter θ iff the joint probability distribution or density of the random sample can be factored so that:

$$f(x_1,x_2,...x_n; \hat{\theta}) = g(\hat{\theta},\theta) * h(x_1,x_2,...x_n)$$

where $g(\hat{\theta}, \theta)$ depends on θ and $\hat{\theta}$ and $h(x_1, x_2, ...x_n)$ does not depend on θ .

Using factorization you want to identify:

- g function \implies function θ
- h function \Longrightarrow function without θ (h(x) = 1 if not present)

Properties of Sufficiency:

- Complete data is always sufficient.
- Any 1-1 function of a sufficient statistic is also sufficient.
- Good estimators should be functions of sufficient statistic. (a good estimator is sufficient)

5 Chapter 10.8- Method of Maximum Likelihood

Notation

$$X = (X_1, X_2, ... X_n) \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} f_{\theta}(x)$$

Data before observed - r.v.'s with same distribution.

 θ may be a vector, $\theta \in \Theta$

 Θ is parameter space.

Ex: parameter space of $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ is $-\infty < \mu < \infty, -\infty < \sigma^2 < \infty$,

 $x = (x_1, x_2, ... x_n)$ Data that has been observed.

Definition: Likelihood Function

Joint pdf/pmf of X considered as a function of θ keeping the data X fixed.

$$\mathcal{L}(\theta) = \prod_{n=1}^{n} f_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{i}) = f(\mathbf{x}_{1}, \mathbf{x}_{2}, ..., \mathbf{x}_{n}; \hat{\theta}) = f(\mathbf{x}_{1}, \hat{\theta}) f(\mathbf{x}_{2}, \hat{\theta}) ... f(\mathbf{x}_{n}, \hat{\theta})$$

vary θ to find value that maximizes product, this value for θ is known as MLE.

Definition: Maximum Likelihood Estimator

The Maximum Likelihood Estimator (MLE) of θ is the value θ that maximizes the Likelihood function $\mathcal{L}(\theta)$.

- Complete data is always sufficient.
- Value of θ that maximizes $\mathcal{L}(\theta)$ also maximizes $\log \mathcal{L}(\theta)/\ln \mathcal{L}(\theta)$.
- First Derivative: $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(\theta)}{\partial \theta} \implies \text{Critical Points (Max/Min)}$
- Second Derivative: $\frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{L}(\theta)}{\partial \theta^2} < 0 \implies \text{Maximum exits.}$

Log-Likelihood Function $\log \mathcal{L}(\theta)/\ln \mathcal{L}(\theta)$

Because log/ln is a monotone function, if we *maximize log-Likelihood it is the same* as *maximizing Likelihood*. The reason why because $\log \mathcal{L}(\theta)/\ln \mathcal{L}(\theta)$ is used because taking the derivative is much easier.

•
$$\log(ab) = \log(a) + \log(b)$$

Ex: $f(x) = \prod_{n=1}^{n} g(x) \implies \ln f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{n} \ln g(x)$

You go from taking derivatives of a product rule to taking derivatives of the sum of individual elements.

7

Properties of a Maximum Likelihood Estimator

- \bullet $\hat{\theta}_{MLE}$ is always a function of sufficient statistics whenever they exist.
- Optimal when n is large.
- May not be good when the distribution assumptions are wrong.
- $\hat{\theta}_{MLE} \in \Theta$ (MLE is included in parameter space)
- $\hat{\theta}_{MLE}$ has invariance property:

$$\hat{\theta}$$
 is MLE for θ
 \iff
 $\hat{\theta}^2$ is MLE for θ^2
 \iff

6 Chapter number - Chapter Name

Theorem number: Theorem Name