### 66263, MAT 228006, DHAR SOUGATA (35 respondents)

		002037 1111 2200007 311	(55 = 5		25-22	G+ 3D	mp.	M	D.1	D2	D 2	D.4	DE
Que	stion				Median	StdDv		NR	R1	R2	R3	R4	R5
1:	How prepared was the instructor often unpreparedx well			4.82	5.00	0.38	34	1	0	0	0	6	28
2:	How clearly were the objectives unclearx. very			4.54	5.00	0.65	35	0	0	0	3	10	22
3:	How enthusiastic was the instruction very littlex. very			4.60	5.00	0.55	35	0	0	0	1	12	22
4:	How clearly did the instructor poften unclearx. very			4.53	5.00	0.70	34	1	0	0	4	8	22
5:	How much were students encourage very littlex very	ed to think for themselves?		4.17	4.00	0.70	35	0	0	0	6	17	12
6:	How concerned was the instructor unconcernedx. very	r for the quality of his or her	teaching?	4.49	5.00	0.65	35	0	0	0	3	12	20
7:	How orderly and logical were the not at allx. very		the material?	4.63	5.00	0.59	35	0	0	0	2	9	24
8:	How open was the instructor to often closedx. very			4.17	4.00	0.61	35	0	0	0	4	21	10
9:	Did the instructor show respect rarelyx. alway		of the students?	4.49	5.00	0.69	35	0	0	0	4	10	21
10:	How often were examples used in rarelyx very			4.83	5.00	0.38	35	0	0	0	0	6	29
11:	Did the instructor inspire conflittlex. very		of the subject?	4.71	5.00	0.61	35	0	0	1	0	7	27
12:	How genuinely concerned was the unconcernedx. very		ress?	4.34	4.00	0.71	35	0	0	1	2	16	16
13:	Overall, how would you rate the below averagex. exce			4.46	5.00	0.73	35	0	0	0	5	9	21
14:	Were class meetings profitable not usuallyx. alway			4.74	5.00	0.55	35	0	0	0	2	5	28
15:	How would you rate the subject uninterestingx. very			3.83	4.00	0.88	35	0	0	2	11	13	9
16:	Did you develop significant ski very littlex very		taking this course?	4.03	4.00	0.84	35	0	0	2	6	16	11
17:	How was the pace at which the m too slowx too			3.29	3.00	0.56	35	0	0	1	24	9	1
18:	What is your overall rating of poorx exce			3.71	4.00	0.88	35	0	1	1	11	16	6
19:	Were students required to apply very littlex very		standing?	4.17	4.00	0.97	35	0	0	4	2	13	16
20:	How did the work load for this lighter much		s of equal credit?	3.20	3.00	0.58	35	0	1	0	25	9	0
21:	How much intellectual disciplin very littlex. very			4.29	4.00	0.66	35	0	0	0	4	17	14
22:	What is your overall rating of poorx exce			4.14	4.00	0.87	35	0	1	0	5	16	13
23:	How promptly were assignments a too slowx. very			4.29	4.00	0.74	35	0	0	0	6	13	16
24:	Could test be completed in the rarelyx alwa			4.17	5.00	1.13	35	0	1	4	2	9	19

# MATHEMATICS & STATISTICS FALL 2017 COURSE EVALUATION REPORTS - DHAR SOUGATA - 4 of 4

Question	Mean	Median	StdDv	TR	NR	R1	R2	R3	R4	R5
25: Did the instructor let you know what he or she expected on tests and assignments? not clearx. very clearly	4.57	5.00	0.69	35	0	0	1	1	10	23
26: Did the exams reflect the important aspects of the course?  very littlex. very much	4.63	5.00	0.59	35	0	0	0	2	9	24
27: How clear were examination questions? unclearx. very clear	4.49	5.00	0.60	35	0	0	0	2	14	19
28: How fair were the grading procedures? unfairx completely	3.89	4.00	1.09	35	0	1	3	8	10	13
29: Overall, how would you rate the examination procedure?  poorx. excellent	4.12	4.00	0.83	34	1	0	1	7	13	13

AccuScan GUAPANTEED 729	29PCS1000 (ReflexRead	d) Apperson 06/09	03 W W W W W W W W W W W W W W W W W W W		
COURSE NO.	MAT 228		ITY OF MAINE USE PENCIL		STUDENT INPUT FOR TEACHING
			(OPTIONAL) WHAT IS		M F C
A J O L A	POLICEMON S	100 1 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 1	EXPECTED GRA		P/F & B C D E
ARE YOU A MA		REASON FOR TAKING THIS COURSE	CUMULATIVE G	iPA	NONE 0.00 2.00 2.50 3.00 3.50 YET 1.99 2.49 2.99 3.49 4.00
YES 🔘	NO 🌑	REQUIRED ( INTEREST ONLY )	YEAR IN SCHOOL	OL	OTHER FR SO JR SR GRAD
COMP	ARING THIS	COURSE TO OTHERS YOU HAY	/E HAD AT UM,	please answ	er the following questions.
	THE INS	STRUCTOR		THE	COURSE
WELL PREPARED	OFTEN UNPREPARED	1. How prepared was the Instructor for class?	NOT USUALLY	ALWAYS	14. Were class meetings profitable and worth attending?
UNCLEAR	VERY CLEAR	How clearly were the objectives of the course presented?	VERY INTERESTING	UNINTERESTING	15. How would you rate the subject matter of this course?
VERY LITTLE	VERY MUCH	3. How enthusiastic was the instructor about the subject?	VERY MUCH	VERY LITTLE	16. Did you develop significant skills in the field as a result of taking this course?
VERY CLEAR	OFTEN UNCLEAR	4. How clearly dld the instructor present Ideas and theories?	TOO SLOW	TOO FAST	17. How was the pace at which the materials in the course were covered?
VERY MUCH	VERY LITTLE	<ol><li>How much were students encouraged to think for themselves?</li></ol>	POOR O	EXCELLENT	18. What is your overall rating of the primary textbook(s)?
UNCONCERNED	VERY CONCERNED	<ol><li>How concerned was the instructor for the quality of his or her teaching?</li></ol>	VERY MUCH	VERY LITTLE	19. Were students required to apply concepts to demonstrate understanding?
NOT AT ALL	VERY MUCH	<ol> <li>How orderly and logical were the instructor's presentations of the material?</li> </ol>	LIGHTER O	MUCH HEAVIER	20. How did the work load for this course compare to that of others of equal credit?
OFTEN CLOSED	VERY OPEN	<ol><li>How open was the instructor to other viewpoints?</li></ol>	VERY LITTLE	VERY MUCH	21. How much intellectual discipline was required in this course?
ALWAYS O	RARELY	<ol><li>Did the instructor show respect for the questions and opinions of the students?</li></ol>	EXCELLENT O	POOR	22. What is your overall rating of this course?
RARELY	VERY OFTEN	<ol><li>How often were examples used in class?</li></ol>		EXA	MINATIONS
LITTLE	VERY MUCH	Did the instructor inspire confidence in his or her knowledge of the subject?	VERY PROMPT	TOO SLOW	23. How promptly were assignments and tests returned?
VERY CONCERNED	UNCONCERNED	12. How genuinely concerned was the instructor with students' progress?	RARELY	ALWAYS	24. Could tests be completed in the allotted time?
EXCELLENT C	BELOW AVERAGE	13. Overall, how would you rate the instructor?	VERY CLEARLY	NOT CLEAR	25. Did the instructor let you know what he or she expected on tests and assignments?
Br. I	Professi	IMENTS	VERY LITTLE	VERY MUCH	26. Did exams reflect the important aspects of the course?
Gucar	110 12550	00	UNCLEAR O	VERY CLEAR	27. How clear were examination questions?
		SA.	COMPLETELY	UNFAIR	28. How fair were the grading procedures?
			excellent O	POOR	29. Overall, how would you rate the examination procedure?
		ITEMS – if applicable integrated with the lectures?	VERY WELL	NOT AT ALI	ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS PROVIDED BY INSTRUCTOR
		earning experience?	VERY MUCH	VERY LITTL	2. 0 0 0 0
L3 How helpf	ful was the lab	instructor?	VERY MUCH	VERY LITTL	4. 0 0 0 0
L4 What is ye	our overall rati	ng of the laboratories?	EXCELLENT C	POOR O	5. O O O O O O O O T. O O O O O

AccuScan 6UAHANTEED 72929PCS1000 (ReflexRead	) Apperson: 06/09			
		ITY OF MAINE	STUDENT INPUT	
COURSE NO. MAT 25		USE PENCIL ONLY APPROPRIATE ITEMS BI	FOR TEACHING	
Y M s it it is	S. Hen	(OPTIONAL) WHAT IS YOUR SEX?	M 🕼 F 🔾	
M A J O D O D O D O D O D O D O D O D O D O	10 SO	EXPECTED GRADE IN THIS COURSE	P/F & 8 C D C	
ARE YOU A MAJOR IN THE	REASON FOR TAKING THIS COURSE	CUMULATIVE GPA	NONE 0.00 2.00 2.50 3.00 3.50 YET 1.99 2.49 2.99 3.49 4.00	
YES NO	REQUIRED INTEREST ONLY	YEAR IN SCHOOL	OTHER FR SO JR SR GRAD	
COMPARING THIS (	COURSE TO OTHERS YOU HAV	/E HAD AT UM, please answ	ver the following questions.	
THE INS	STRUCTOR	THI	E COURSE	
WELL PREPARED OFTEN UNPREPARED	How prepared was the instructor for class?	NOT USUALLY ALWAYS	14. Were class meetings profitable and worth attending?	
UNCLEAR VERY CLEAR	How clearly were the objectives of the course presented?	VERY INTERESTING UNINTERESTING	15. How would you rate the subject matter of this course?	
VERY LITTLE VERY MUCH	How enthusiastic was the instructor about the subject?	VERY MUCH VERY LITTLE	16. Did you develop algnificant skills in the field as a result of taking this course?	
VERY CLEAR OFTEN UNCLEAR	4. How clearly did the instructor present ideas and theories?	TOO SLOW TOO FAST	17. How was the pace at which the materials in the course were covered?	
VERY MUCH VERY LITTLE	5. How much were students encouraged to think for themselves?	POOR EXCELLENT	<ol> <li>What is your overall rating of the primary lextbook(s)?</li> </ol>	
UNCONCERNED VERY CONCERNED	6. How concerned was the instructor for the quality of his or her teaching?	VERY MUCH VERY LITTLE	19. Were students required to apply concepts to demonstrate understanding?	
NOT AT ALL VERY MUCH	<ol><li>How orderly and logical were the instructor's presentations of the material?</li></ol>	LIGHTER MUCH HEAVIER	20. How did the work load for this course compare to that of others of equal credit?	
OFTEN CLOSED VERY OPEN	How open was the instructor to other viewpoints?	VERY LITTLE VERY MUCH	21. How much intellectual discipline was required in this course?	
ALWAYS RARELY	<ol><li>Did the instructor show respect for the questions and opinions of the students?</li></ol>	EXCELLENT POOR	22. What is your overall rating of this course?	
RARELY VERY OFTEN	10. How often were examples used in class?	EXA	MINATIONS	
LITTLE VERY MUCH	Did the instructor inspire confidence in his or her knowledge of the subject?	VERY PROMPT TOO SLOW	23. How promptly were assignments and tests returned?	
VERY CONCERNED UNCONCERNED	12. How genuinely concerned was the instructor with students' progress?	RARELY ALWAYS	24. Could tests be completed in the allotted time?	
EXCELLENT BELOW AVERAGE	13. Overall, how would you rate the instructor?	VERY CLEARLY NOT CLEAR	25. Did the instructor let you know what he or she expected on tests and assignments?	
COM	MENTS	VERY LITTLE VERY MUCH	26. Did exams reflect the Important aspects of the course?	
Best math	professor	UNCLEAR VERY CLEAR	27. How clear were examination questions?	
13est math at UMaine.	'	COMPLETELY UNFAIR	28. How fair were the grading procedures?	
or orraine.		EXCELLENT POOR	29. Overall, how would you rate the examination procedure?	
	ITEMS – <u>if applicable</u> integrated with the lectures?	VERY WELL NOT AT A  VERY MUCH VERY LITT	PROVIDED BY INSTRUCTOR	
L2 Did the labs provide a learning experience?				
L3 How helpful was the lab instructor?				
L4 What is your overall rati	ng of the laboratories?	EXCELLENT POOR	5. O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	

Accuscan 72929PCS1000 (ReflexRead) Apperson 06/09					
UNIVERS	SITY OF MAINE	STUDENT	INPUT		
COURSE NO. MAT 228 DIV. OOG LEAVE IN	USE PENCIL ONLY IAPPROPRIATE ITEMS BL	FOR			
	(OPTIONAL) WHAT IS YOUR SEX?	M ()	F 🚳 =		
OUD O S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	EXPECTED GRADE IN THIS COURSE	P/F & B C			
ARE YOU A MAJOR IN THE DEPT. GIVING THIS COURSE THIS COURSE	CUMULATIVE GPA	O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	3.00 3.50 3.49 4.00		
YES O NO REQUIRED INTEREST ONLY	YEAR IN SCHOOL	OTHER FR SO JR	SR GRAD		
COMPARING THIS COURSE TO OTHERS YOU HA	VE HAD AT UM, please answ	er the following questio	ns.		
THE INSTRUCTOR	THE	COURSE			
WELL PREPARED OFTEN UNPREPARED 1. How prepared was the Instructor for class?	NOT USUALLY ALWAYS	14. Were class meetings profitable attending?	and worth		
UNCLEAR VERY CLEAR 2. How clearly were the objectives of the course presented?	VERY INTERESTING UNINTERESTING	15. How would you rate the subject this course?	t matter of		
VERY LITTLE VERY MUCH 3, How enthusiastic was the instructor about the subject?	VERY MUCH VERY LITTLE	<ol> <li>Did you develop significant skil field as a result of taking this c</li> </ol>	lls in the ourse?		
VERY CLEAR OFTEN UNCLEAR 4. How clearly dld the instructor present ideas and theories?	TOO SLOW TOO FAST	17. How was the pace at which the the course were covered?	materials In		
VERY MUCH VERY LITTLE 5. How much were students encouraged to think for themselves?	POOR EXCELLENT	<ol> <li>What is your overall rating of the textbook(a)?</li> </ol>	e primary		
UNCONCERNED VERY CONCERNED 6. How concerned was the instructor for the quality of his or her teaching?	VERY MUCH VERY LITTLE	<ol><li>Were students required to appl to demonstrate understanding?</li></ol>			
NOT AT ALL  VERY MUCH  7. How orderly and logical were the instructor's presentations of the material?	LIGHTER MUCH HEAVIER	20. How did the work load for this compare to that of others of eq			
OFTEN CLOSED VERY OPEN 8. How open was the instructor to other viewpoints?	VERY LITTLE VERY MUCH	21. How much Intellectual disciplin required in this course?	e was		
ALWAYS  RARELY  9. Did the instructor show respect for the questions and opinions of the students?	EXCELLENT POOR	22. What is your overall rating of the	ils course?		
RARELY VERY OFTEN 10. How often were examples used in class?	EXA	MINATIONS			
LITTLE VERY MUCH 11. Dld the instructor inspire confidence in his or her knowledge of the subject?	VERY PROMPT TOO SLOW	23. How promptly were assignment returned?	ts and tests		
VERY CONCERNED UNCONCERNED 12. How genuinely concerned was the instructor with students' progress?	RARELY ALWAYS	24. Could tests be completed in the time?	allotted		
EXCELLENT BELOW AVERAGE 13. Overall, how would you rate the Instructor?	VERY CLEARLY NOT CLEAR	25. Did the instructor let you know she expected on tests and assi			
COMMENTS	VERY LITTLE VERY MUCH	26. Did exams reflect the Important the course?	aspects of		
I enjoyed the class and the professor always made sore we had help outside of class if we needed it.	UNCLEAR VERY CLEAR	27. How clear were examination qu	estions?		
of class is a needed it	COMPLETELY UNFAIR	28. How fair were the grading process	edures?		
	EXCELLENT POOR 29. Overall, how would you rate the examination procedure?				
LABORATORY ITEMS – if applicable	VERY WELL PROVIDED BY INSTRUCTOR				
L1 How well were the labs integrated with the lectures?	VERY MUCH VERY LITTL				
L2 Did the labs provide a learning experience?	VERY MUCH VERY LITTL	E 3. O O			
L3 How helpful was the lab instructor?  L4 What is your overall rating of the laboratories?	EXCELLENT POOR	5. \ \ \ \ \			
L4 What is your overall rating of the laboratories?		7. 0 0 0			

Accuscan GUARANTEED 72929PCS1000 (ReflexRead) Apperson 06/09		
	ITY OF MAINE	STUDENT INPUT
COURSE NO. MAT 378  DIV. 006  LEAVE IN	USE PENCIL ONLY IAPPROPRIATE ITEMS BL	FOR TEACHING
Y M S & S S S	(OPTIONAL) WHAT IS YOUR SEX?	M 🔘 F 🔾
THE STATE OF LY	EXPECTED GRADE IN THIS COURSE	P/F & B & C & D E
ARE YOU A MAJOR IN THE DEPT. GIVING THIS COURSE THIS COURSE	CUMULATIVE GPA	NONE 0.00 2.00 2.50 3.00 3.50 VET 1.99 2.49 2.99 3.49 4.00
YES NO REQUIRED INTEREST ONLY	YEAR IN SCHOOL	OTHER FR SO JR SA GRAD
COMPARING THIS COURSE TO OTHERS YOU HAVE	/E HAD AT UM, please answ	er the following questions.
THE INSTRUCTOR	THE	COURSE
WELL PREPARED OFTEN UNPREPARED 1. How prepared was the instructor for class?	NOT USUALLY ALWAYS	14. Were class meetings profitable and worth attending?
UNCLEAR  VERY CLEAR  2. How clearly were the objectives of the course presented?	VERY INTERESTING UNINTERESTING	15. How would you rate the subject matter of this course?
VERY LITTLE  VERY MUCH  3. How enthusiastic was the instructor about the subject?	VERY MUCH VERY LITTLE	16. Did you develop significant skills in the field as a result of taking this course?
VERY CLEAR  OFTEN UNCLEAR  4. How clearly did the instructor present ideas and theories?	TOO SLOW TOO FAST	How was the pace at which the materials in the course were covered?
VERY MUCH  VERY LITTLE  5. How much were students encouraged to think for themselves?	POOR EXCELLENT	18. What is your overall rating of the primary textbook(s)?
UNCONCERNED VERY CONCERNED 6. How concerned was the instructor for the quality of his or her teaching?	VERY MUCH VERY LITTLE	19. Were students required to apply concepts to demonstrate understanding?
NOT AT ALL  VERY MUCH  7. How orderly and logical were the instructor's presentations of the material?	LIGHTER MUCH HEAVIER	How did the work load for this course compare to that of others of equal credit?
OFTEN CLOSED VERY OPEN 8. How open was the instructor to other viewpoints?	VERY LITTLE VERY MUCH	21. How much intellectual discipline was required in this course?
ALWAYS  RARELY  9. Did the instructor show respect for the questions and opinions of the students?	EXCELLENT POOR	22. What is your overall rating of this course?
RARELY VERY OFTEN 10. How often were examples used in class?	EXA	MINATIONS
UITILE VERY MUCH 11. Did the instructor inspire confidence in his or her knowledge of the subject?	VERY PROMPT TOO SLOW	23. How promptly were assignments and tests returned?
/ERY CONCERNED UNCONCERNED 12. How genuinely concerned was the instructor with students' progress?	RARELY ALWAYS	24. Could tests be completed in the allotted time?
EXCELLENT BELOW AVERAGE 13. Overall, how would you rate the Instructor?	VERY CLEARLY NOT CLEAR	25. Did the instructor let you know what he or she expected on tests and assignments?
COMMENTS	VERY LITTLE VERY MUCH	26. Dld exams reflect the important aspects of the course?
Some "r"s looked like e's	UNCLEAR VERY CLEAR	27. How clear were examination questions?
or of gammas.	COMPLETELY UNFAIR	28. How fair were the grading procedures?
	EXCELLENT POOR	29. Overall, how would you rate the examination procedure?
LABORATORY ITEMS – if applicable		ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS
L1 How well were the labs integrated with the lectures?	VERY WELL NOT AT AL	PROVIDED BY INSTRUCTOR
L2 Did the labs provide a learning experience?	VERY MUCH VERY LITTL	2. 0 0 0 0
L3 How helpful was the lab instructor?	VERY MUCH VERY LITTL	4. 0 0 0 0
L4 What is your overall rating of the laboratories?	EXCELLENT POOR	5. 0 0 0 0
		7. 0 0 0 0

Accuscan GUARANTEED 72929PCS1000 (ReflexRead) Apperson 06/09						
	SITY OF MAINE STUDENT INPUT					
course No. Mat 228 DIV. 006 LEAVE IN	USE PENCIL ONLY FOR NAPPROPRIATE ITEMS BLANK TEACHING					
Y M S S S S S	(OPTIONAL) WHAT IS YOUR SEX?					
MA O L V M MANUAL MANAGE AND A	EXPECTED GRADE IN THIS COURSE  P/F B C D E					
ARE YOU A MAJOR IN THE DEPT. GIVING THIS COURSE THIS COURSE	CUMULATIVE GPA  NONE 0.00 2.00 2.50 3.00 3.50 VET 1.99 2.49 2.99 3.49 4.00					
YES NO REQUIRED INTEREST ONLY	YEAR IN SCHOOL OTHER FR SO JR SR GRAD					
COMPARING THIS COURSE TO OTHERS YOU HAVE HAD AT UM, please answer the following questions.						
THE INSTRUCTOR	THE COURSE					
WELL PREPARED OFTEN UNPREPARED 1. How prepared was the instructor for class?	NOT USUALLY  ALWAYS  14. Were class meetings profitable and worth attending?					
UNCLEAR VERY CLEAR 2. How clearly were the objectives of the course presented?	VERY INTERESTING UNINTERESTING 15. How would you rate the subject matter of this course?					
VERY LITTLE VERY MUCH 3. How enthusiastic was the instructor about the subject?	VERY MUCH VERY LITTLE 16. Did you develop significant skills in the field as a result of taking this course?					
VERY CLEAR OFTEN UNCLEAR 4. How clearly did the instructor present ideas and theories?	TOO SLOW TOO FAST 17. How was the pace at which the materials in the course were covered?					
VERY MUCH VERY LITTLE 5. How much were students encouraged to think for themselves?	POOR EXCELLENT 18. What is your overall rating of the primary textbook(s)?					
UNCONCERNED VERY CONCERNED 6. How concerned was the instructor for the quality of his or her teaching?	VERY MUCH VERY LITTLE 19. Were students required to apply concepts to demonstrate understanding?					
NOT AT ALL  VERY MUCH  7. How orderly and logical were the instructor's presentations of the material?	LIGHTER MUCH HEAVIER 20. How did the work load for this course compare to that of others of equal credit?					
OFTEN CLOSED VERY OPEN 8. How open was the instructor to other viewpoints?	VERY LITTLE VERY MUCH 21. How much intellectual discipline was required in this course?					
ALWAYS  RARELY  9. Did the instructor show respect for the questions and opinions of the students?	EXCELLENT POOR 22. What is your overall rating of this course?					
RARELY VERY OFTEN 10. How often were examples used in class?	EXAMINATIONS					
LITTLE VERY MUCH 11. Did the instructor inspire confidence in his or her knowledge of the subject?	VERY PROMPT TOO SLOW 23. How promptly were assignments and tests returned?					
VERY CONCERNED UNCONCERNED 12. How genuinely concerned was the instructor with students' progress?	RARELY ALWAYS 24. Could tests be completed in the allotted time?					
EXCELLENT BELOW AVERAGE 13. Overall, how would you rate the Instructor?	VERY CLEARLY  NOT CLEAR  25. Did the instructor let you know what he or she expected on tests and assignments?					
COMMENTS	VERY LITTLE  VERY MUCH  26. Did exams reflect the important aspects of the course?					
Sougata Dhar is an incredible prof.	UNCLEAR VERY CLEAR 27. How clear were examination questions?					
incredible prot.	COMPLETELY UNFAIR 28. How fair were the grading procedures?					
	EXCELLENT POOR 29. Overall, how would you rate the examination procedure?					
LABORATORY ITEMS – if applicable	ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS					
L1 How well were the labs integrated with the lectures?	VERY WELL NOT AT ALL PROVIDED BY INSTRUCTOR					
L2 Did the labs provide a learning experience?	VERY MUCH         VERY LITTLE         1.         0         0           2.         0         0         0					
VERY MUCH VERY LITTLE 3. O O O						
L4 What is your overall rating of the laboratories?	4.					
L4 What is your overall rating of the laboratories?  OOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOOO						

Accuscan GHARANTEED 72929PCS1000 (ReflexRead) Apperson 06/09		
	ITY OF MAINE	STUDENT INPUT
COURSE NO. MAT 278  DIV. 006  LEAVE IN	USE PENCIL ONLY IAPPROPRIATE ITEMS BL	FOR TEACHING
YM S B B B S B B	(OPTIONAL) WHAT IS YOUR SEX?	M O F O
A W A D O D W A W A W A W A W A W A W A W A W A W	EXPECTED GRADE IN THIS COURSE	P/F
ARE YOU A MAJOR IN THE DEPT. GIVING THIS COURSE THIS COURSE	CUMULATIVE GPA	NONE 0.00 2.00 2.50 3.00 3.50 YET 1.99 2.49 2.99 3.49 4.00
YES NO REQUIRED INTEREST ONLY	YEAR IN SCHOOL	OTHER FR SO JR SR GRAD
COMPARING THIS COURSE TO OTHERS YOU HA	/E HAD AT UM, please answe	er the following questions.
THE INSTRUCTOR	THE	COURSE
WELL PREPARED OFTEN UNPREPARED  1. How prepared was the Instructor for class?	NOT USUALLY ALWAYS	Were class meetings profitable and worth attending?
UNCLEAR  VERY CLEAR  2. How clearly were the objectives of the course presented?	VERY INTERESTING UNINTERESTING	15. How would you rate the subject matter of this course?
VERY LITTLE VERY MUCH 3. How enthusiastic was the instructor about the subject?	VERY MUCH VERY LITTLE	Did you develop significant skills in the field as a result of taking this course?
VERY CLEAR OFTEN UNCLEAR 4. How clearly did the instructor present ideas and theories?	TOO SLOW TOO FAST	17. How was the pace at which the materials in the course were covered?
VERY MUCH  VERY LITTLE  5. How much were students encouraged to think for themselves?	POOR EXCELLENT	18. What is your overall rating of the primary textbook(s)?
UNCONCERNED VERY CONCERNED  6. How concerned was the instructor for the quality of his or her teaching?	VERY MUCH VERY LITTLE	Were students required to apply concepts to demonstrate understanding?
NOT AT ALL  VERY MUCH  The instructor's presentations of the material?	LIGHTER MUCH HEAVIER	20. How did the work load for this course compare to that of others of equal credit?
OFTEN CLOSED VERY OPEN 8. How open was the instructor to other viewpoints?	VERY LITTLE VERY MUCH	21. How much intellectual discipline was required in this course?
ALWAYS  RARELY  9. Did the instructor show respect for the questions and opinions of the students?	EXCELLENT POOR	22. What is your overall rating of this course?
RARELY VERY OFTEN 10. How often were examples used in class?	FXAN	INATIONS
LITTLE VERY MUCH 11. Did the Instructor Inspire confidence in his or her knowledge of the subject?	VERY PROMPT TOO SLOW	23. How promptly were assignments and tests returned?
VERY CONCERNED UNCONCERNED 12. How genuinely concerned was the instructor with students' progress?	RARELY ALWAYS	24. Could tests be completed in the allotted time?
EXCELLENT BELOW AVERAGE 13. Overall, how would you rate the instructor?	VERY CLEARLY NOT CLEAR	25. Did the Instructor let you know what he or she expected on tests and assignments?
COMMENTS	VERY LITTLE VERY MUCH	26. Did exams reflect the Important aspects of the course?
the grading on both Tests +	UNCLEAR VERY CLEAR	27. How clear were examination questions?
homework inche no Sense.	COMPLETELY UNFAIR	28. How fair were the grading procedures?
Otten Was impossible to	EXCELLENT POOR	29. Overall, how would you rate the examination procedure?
TCII it portion cosedit was	20	
awarded or What was wrong,		
LABORATORY ITEMS – if applicable  L1 How well were the labs integrated with the lectures?	VERY WELL NOT AT ALL	ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS PROVIDED BY INSTRUCTOR
	VERY MUCH VERY LITTLE	1. 0 0 0 0 0
L2 Did the labs provide a learning experience?	VERY MUCH VERY LITTLE	3. 0 0 0 0
L3 How helpful was the lab instructor?	EXCELLENT POOR	4. 0 0 0 0 0
L4 What is your overall rating of the laboratories?	0 0 0 0 0	6. 0 0 0 0

NOTE: IF YOU WANT YOUR COMMENTS PLACED IN YOUR INSTRUCTOR'S PERSONNEL FILE; YOU MUST SIGN THIS SHEET.

### **WRITTEN COMMENTS:**

this Course Was grading on both
this Course Was grading On both
tests + homeworks. Homework grading was
in consitent. We Would recive 15 produms
a week for homework, Worth 5 worts
So each question was worth 3 points,
However, if you got 2 wrons, so 13/15 right
you's get a 3/5 on the homework!!!
This is Cray!
The test goding was just diffusilt to understood

if partial crodit exsited. Or it was hard to tell what exactly was wrong. Most of the time just a gain to red X, So you had no idea what was wrong.

INSTRUCTOR SOUNTS PHAR (SP)	MAT 228 SECT. 00
YOUR SIGNATURE	DATE 12/6/17
PRINT NAME NICK SEWEZ	



**NOTE**: IF YOU WANT YOUR COMMENTS PLACED IN YOUR INSTRUCTOR'S PERSONNEL FILE, YOU <u>MUST</u> SIGN THIS SHEET.

#### **WRITTEN COMMENTS:**

Although I took a passive role in lecture, I feel like it was still profitable for me to attend. I enjoyed that you were a lot more "chill" than previous professors, and I think that aided to me enjoying the class. For your first senester teaching a umane I think you did a well good Job, but I think somethings could be slightly better. At least in my experience, partial credit was almost never an option, which really hart my grade as a result. Even so I hope next semester goes as good as this semester

INSTRUCTOR Dr. Dnax	MA
YOUR SIGNATURE TELEVON. Profisor	DA
PRINT NAME Peter Robinson	

MAT 237 SECT. 006

DATE 12/6/17



**NOTE**: IF YOU WANT YOUR COMMENTS PLACED IN YOUR INSTRUCTOR'S PERSONNEL FILE, YOU <u>MUST</u> SIGN THIS SHEET.

# **WRITTEN COMMENTS:**

Sougata Dhar is an outstanding professor by any and all definitions. His command over the subjects he tought is in this course was unmatched - He was always prepared, on time, and passionate. As a student here I can say with the utmost honesty that the University of Maine is lucky to have him. Based upon his conduct he has earned his place here and a great deal of respect.

INSTRUCTOR	Sougat	a Uho	15
YOUR SIGNAT	URE_4/	m/4	
PRINT NAME	James.	Stever	15

MAT <u>228</u> SECT. <u>6</u>

NOTE: IF YOU WANT YOUR COMMENTS PLACED IN YOUR INSTRUCTOR'S PERSONNEL FILE, YOU MUST SIGN THIS SHEET.

WRITTEN COMMENTS:
The lectures were very organized and worth attending. The material on the tests reflected the important aspects of the class.

Overall, I learned a lot in this class. The instructor was excellent.

YOUR SIGNATURE Matthew Ingram

PRINT NAME Matthew Ingram

MAT 228 SECT. 006

DATE 12/6/17



NOTE: IF YOU WANT YOUR COMMENTS PLACED IN YOUR INSTRUCTOR'S PERSONNEL FILE, YOU MUST SIGN THIS SHEET.

#### **WRITTEN COMMENTS:**

My only suggestion would be to write a little bit bigger because it's hard to see in the back of the room. I would sit closer but I don't get here early enough.

otherwise, thus was one of the best math classes live taxen in a long time. Very clear and it's obvious you care!

YOUR SIGNATURE Stophanie Poirier

MAT 228 SECT. 006

DATE 12 6 17

NOTE: IF YOU WANT YOUR COMMENTS PLACED IN YOUR INSTRUCTOR'S PERSONNEL FILE, YOU MUST SIGN THIS SHEET.

# **WRITTEN COMMENTS:**

GREAT INSTRUCTOR EXPLAINED CONCEPTS VERY WELL,
MADE MATERIAL EASIER TO UNDERSTAND. GRADING/
PARTIAL CREDIT SEEMED TO LACK SLIGHTY, MORE
POINTS WERE TAKEN OFF FOR SMALL MISTAKES
THEN SEEMED NECESSARY IN SOME CASES. OVERALL
GREAT INSTRUCTOR!

YOUR SIGNATURE AN BORGESS

MAT <u>MAT</u> SECT. <u>228</u>

DATE 12-6-2017

COPY STRUCTOR'S

NOTE: IF YOU WANT YOUR COMMENTS PLACED IN YOUR INSTRUCTOR'S
PERSONNEL FILE, YOU MUST SIGN THIS SHEET.

WRITTEN COMMENTS:

The best in the
Math department

Not beaching Style is hery good,
his class tought me more about calc III,
but the importants of math in general.
So much that I've decided to transfer my

Major to Math.

5 Stars, give this man a raise.

tands to break chark while writing on

INSTRUCTOR DV. Down	MAT 278 SECT. 006
YOUR SIGNATURE	DATE 12/6/17
PRINT NAME Angel Loreda	II

NOTE: IF YOU WANT YOUR COMMENTS PLACED IN YOUR INSTRUCTOR'S PERSONNEL FILE, YOU MUST SIGN THIS SHEET.

### **WRITTEN COMMENTS:**

Very enthusiastic and kind teacher.

Peview sessions were helpfull.

Aspired learning in this tourse.

Exam 3 grading was very harsh.

INSTRUCTOR	Dhar
YOUR SIGNATURE	Auguey Makal
PRINT NAME _ Ah	drey Kloker

MAT 128 SECT. 006

DATE 17-6-17

NOTE: IF YOU WANT YOUR COMMENTS PLACED IN YOUR INSTRUCTOR'S PERSONNEL FILE, YOU MUST SIGN THIS SHEET.

### **WRITTEN COMMENTS:**

Always available and willing to help ar momer any questions. Cared very deeply about his students and their projects

INSTRUCTOR Sangate Dhar	MAT _728 _SECT. 006
YOUR SIGNATURE and we felle	DATE_12/6/17
PRINT NAME Andrew Levesque	

NOTE: IF YOU WANT YOUR COMMENTS PLACED IN YOUR INSTRUCTOR'S PERSONNEL FILE, YOU MUST SIGN THIS SHEET.

#### **WRITTEN COMMENTS:**

The best calculus teacher I have had so far, he explaned everything very well. His greathation on the plackboards were easy to follow. I will be recommending other students with need to take calc 3 to take it with Prof Dhar. Very good professor.

INSTRUCTOR Sougata Dhar	
YOUR SIGNATURE Michelmon Charse	
PRINT NAME Nicholas Chasse	

MAT 228 SECT. COS DATE 12/6/17



**NOTE**: IF YOU WANT YOUR COMMENTS PLACED IN YOUR INSTRUCTOR'S PERSONNEL FILE, YOU <u>MUST</u> SIGN THIS SHEET.

### **WRITTEN COMMENTS:**

Very little Partial credit war given in my opinion on Quizes and Test. Compared to other math courses we taken, The grading was much harsher in This course.

YOUR SIGNATURE Ryan Lofter
PRINT NAME Ryan Lofter

MAT 228 SECT. 006

DATE 12/6/17

NOTE: IF YOU WANT YOUR COMMENTS PLACED IN YOUR INSTRUCTOR'S PERSONNEL FILE, YOU MUST SIGN THIS SHEET.

WRITTEN COMMENTS: This professor was always willing to meet with me asside of class and look time to make some I was on top of the curse motorial.

INSTRUCTOR Surgeta Oner MAT 228 SECT. 506
YOUR SIGNATURE & P. Sect. 506 PRINT NAME \_ And Brangan