

Finding Maximum of a List

predicates

maximum (list, integer, integer)

clauses

maximum ([], Max, Max).

maximum ([Head|Tail], Max, X) :-

Head > Max, maximum (Tail, Head, X), !.

maximum ([-1|Tail], Max, X)

:- maximum (Tail, Max, X).

goal: maximum ([1, 2, 6, 9, 5], 0, Y).

Y = 9, 1 solution

goal: maximum ([1, 1, 1], 0, Y)

Y = 1 1 solution

goal: maximum ([-1, -2, -3], 0, Y)

Y = 0 1 solution

Instead of this, we may write clause as:

largest (H | T, X) :- maximum (T, H, X).

This is preferred.

Factorial of a Number

Goal: factorial(3, N).

$$N = 6 \quad 180141$$

goal: factorial(N, NFact)

:- fact(N, NFact, 1, 1).

/* CL1 */ fact(N, NFact, N, NFact) :- !.

/* CL2 */ fact(N, NFact, I, J) :-

$$\text{NextI} = I + 1, \text{NextJ} = \text{NextI} * J,$$

fact(N, NFact, NextI, NextJ).

Execution Trace / Tree

Goal: factorial(3, X) calls fact(3, NFact, I, 1)

fact(3, NFact, 1, 1)

Matches with CL1, but fails as 1st & 3rd
arguments are different. Triggers CL2



NextI = 2, NextJ = 2 (2 * 1)



fact(3, NFact, 2, 2) CL1 Matching fails.
CL2 is invoked



NextI = 3, NextJ = 3 * 2 = 6 /* NextJ is actually
(NextI)! */

fact(3, NFact, 3, 6) CL1 succeeds this time
and binds 6 to NFact
and thus X=6 is the result

Here, finally when NextI is N, NextJ is already N!,
our answer

Rotating Right Elements Of a list

goal: $\text{rr}([1, 2, 3, 4], R)$.
 /* Version 1 */
 $R = [4, 1, 2, 3]$
 domains
 I soln

list = integer *

predicates

$\text{findlast}(\text{list}, \text{integer})$

$\text{findstart}(\text{list}, \text{list})$

$\text{append}(\text{list}, \text{list}, \text{list})$

$\text{rr}(\text{list}, \text{list})$

clauses

$\text{findstart}([]), []).$

$\text{findstart}([Head | List1]), [Head | List2])$

$\quad :- \text{findstart}(List1, List2).$

$\text{findlast}([LastElement], LastElement).$

$\text{findlast}([-1 List1], Answer) :-$

$\quad \text{findlast}(List1, Answer).$

$\text{append}([], L, L).$

$\text{append}([Head | List1], List2, [Head | List3])$
 $\quad :- \text{append}(List1, List2, List3).$

4
rr(Z , X) :- findlast(Z , last),
findstart(Z , start),
append([Last], Start, X).
Version 2 — Using 2 "Appends"

rr(List, Res) :- last-element(List, Z),
append(Prefix, [Z], List),
append([Z], Prefix, Res).

Version 3 — Using 2 "Reverse"

rr(List, Res) :- rev(List, [H | T]),
rev(T , $T1$),
append([H], $T1$, Res).
 \downarrow
4 1 2 3

Version 4 — Using "Append" w/o last-element

rr(List, Res) :- append(Prefix, [Z], List),
append([Z], Prefix, Res).

Removes Duplicates from a list

goal: rm-dup ([1,2,3,1,2], x)

$$x = [3, 1, 2] \quad 1 \text{ sol}^y$$

Goal: rm-dup ([1,1,2,2,3,3], X).

$$X = [1, 2, 3]$$

1 801^u

rm-dup ([], []) :- !.

$\text{ym-dup}([H|T], R) :- \text{member}(H, T),$
 $\quad \quad \quad \text{ym-dup}(T, R), !.$

γ_M -dup ([HIT], [HI Rest]) :-

$\gamma m\text{-dup}(T, \text{Rest})$.

Member(H, [H | -]).

`member(H, [- | Tail]) :- member(H, Tail).`

To determine whether a string is a prefix
in the list or not

goal: prefix([1, 2], [1, 2, 3, 4]).

Yes

goal: prefix([], [1, 2, 3]).

Yes

Version 1 - w/o Append

prefix([], _).

prefix([H | List1], [H | List2])

:- prefix(List1, List2).

Version 2 - Using Append

prefix(R, L) :- append(R, [], L).

- To determine whether a string is a suffix
of the other list

domains list = integer *

predicates

goal: suffix([3,4],
[1,2,3,4])

Yes

suffix (list, list)

goal: suffix([], [1,2,3,4])

append (list, list, list) Yes

clauses

append ([], L, L).

append ([x1 L1], L2, [x1 L3]) :-
append (L1, L2, L3).

suffix (S, L) :- append (-, S, L).

Assignment

goal: intin (pp, happy)

Yes

- To find out all the suffixes of a list.

goal: allsuffix ([1,2,3], X).

X = [1,2,3]

X = [2,3]

X = [3]

X = []

4 soln

allsuffix (Z, Z).

allsuffix ([-1 tail], Z)

:- allsuffix (tail, Z).

- Deletes all the occurrences of an element in a list

goal: $\text{delete}(1, [1, 2, 3, 1], X)$.

$X = [2, 3]$ is soln/

predicate

$\text{delete}(\text{integer}, \text{list}, \text{list})$

clauses

$\text{delete}(-, [], []) :- !.$

This could have been
 $\text{delete}(X, [], [])$ for clarity,
 but a warning

$\text{delete}(X, [X | T], R) :-$

$\text{delete}(X, T, R), !.$

$\text{delete}(X, [Y | T], [Y | R]) :-$

$\text{delete}(X, T, R).$

Delete first occurrence of an element

goal: $\text{del}([1, 2, 2, 3, 1], 1, X)$.

$X = [2, 2, 3, 1]$ I soln

goal: $\text{del}([2, 2, 3, 1], 1, X)$.

$X = [2, 2, 3]$ I soln

$\text{del}([], _, []).$

$\text{del}([x | Tail], _, X, Tail) :- !.$

$\text{del}([y | Tail], X, [y | Res]) :-$

$\text{del}(Tail, X, Res).$

- Finding last element of a list

$\text{last_element}(L, R)$

$\therefore \text{append}(_, [R], L).$

- Finding Last 3 elements of a list

$\text{last3element}(L, [x, y, z]) :-$

$\text{append}(_, [x, y, z], L).$

Finding last N elements of a list

goal: lastnеле ([1, 2, 3, 4], 2, T)

T = [3, 4] ↳ so 1^W

goal: lastnеле ([1, 2, 3, 4], 0, T)

T = [] ↳ so 1^W

lastnеле (L, N, R) :-

append (-, R, L),

length (R, N).

Determining whether one set is a subset of other set

goal: subset ([4, 3], [2, 3, 5, 4])

Yes

goal: subset ([], [1, 2])

Yes

Clauses

subset ([x | Tail], List) :-

member (x, List),
subset (Tail, List).

subset ([], -).

Union of two list₂

goal: union([1,2], [3,4], X).

X = [1,2,3,4] I solⁿ

goal: union(X, [3,4], [1,2,3,4])

gives stack overflow.

clauses

/* C1 - Head is in the second list. Ignore it */
 union([x|List1], List2, Res) :-

member(X, List2),

union(List1, List2, Res), !.

/* C2 - Head is not in second list, add it to 3rd list */

union([x|List1], List2, [x|Res]) :-

union(List1, List2, Res).

/* C3 - Terminating condition */

union([], Z, Z).

Count Vowels in a list

goal: nr-vowel([], X).

$$X = 0$$

goal: nr-vowel([a, e, i], X)

$$X = 3 \quad \text{1 soln}$$

goal: nr-vowel([s, e, e, d], X).

$$X = 2 \quad \text{1 soln}$$

vowel(X) :- member(X, [a, e, i, o, u]).

nr-vowel([], 0).

nr-vowel([x1 T], N) :- vowel(X),

nr-vowel(T, N1),

$$N = N1 + 1.$$

nr-vowel([x1 T], N) :- nr-vowel(T, N).

Subset Using Append — Ver 2

$\text{subset}([], -)$.

$\text{subset}([H|T], \text{List}) :- \text{append}(-, [H|T], \text{List}),$
 $\text{subset}(T, \text{List}).$

Counting Number of occurrences in a list

goal : $\text{num-occ}([2, 3, 2, 3], 3, Z).$

$Z = 2, \quad 1 \text{ soln}$

goal : $\text{num-occ}([], 2, Z).$

$Z = 0, \quad 1 \text{ soln}$

Predicates

$\text{num-occ}(\text{list}, \text{integer}, \text{integer})$

Clauses

c1 $\text{num-occ}([], -, 0).$

c2 $\text{num-occ}([x|Tail], X, N) :-$

*cut is mandatory
in c2 place
that be any*

$\text{num-occ}(\text{Tail}, X, NN),$

$N = NN + 1, !.$

c3 $\text{num-occ}([-|Tail], X, N)$

$:- \text{num-occ}(\text{Tail}, X, N).$

To add an element at the beginning

goal: addbeg (3, [4, 1, 2, 6], x).

x = [3, 4, 1, 2, 6]

1 so 1^m/

clauses

addbeg (x, List, [x | List]).

To check whether a list is ordered or not.

in ascending order — Using Append

goal: ordered ([1, 2, 3, 4]).

Yes

goal: ordered ([5, 4, 2, 2]).

No

goal: ordered ([]).

No

ordered ([]). /* can't write ordered [] */

ordered ([Head, Head1 | Tail])

:- Head1 > Head, append ([Head1], Tail,
List),

ordered (List).

Using Cut

- Consider the following predicate max/3 that succeeds if the third argument is the maximum of the first two

```
max(X,Y,Y):- X =< Y.  
max(X,Y,X):- X>Y.
```

?- max(2,3,2).

no

?- max(2,3,5).

no

The max/3 predicate

- What is the problem?
- There is a potential inefficiency
 - Suppose it is called with ?- max(3,4,Y).
 - It will correctly unify Y with 4
 - But when asked for more solutions, it will try to satisfy the second clause. This is completely pointless!

```
max(X,Y,Y):- X =< Y.  
max(X,Y,X):- X > Y.
```

max/3 with cut

- With the help of cut this is easy to fix

```
max(X,Y,Y):- X =< Y, !.  
max(X,Y,X):- X>Y.
```

- Note how this works:
 - If the $X = < Y$ succeeds, the cut commits us to this choice, and the second clause of max/3 is not considered
 - If the $X = < Y$ fails, Prolog goes on to the second clause

Green Cuts

- Cuts that do not change the meaning of a predicate are called **green cuts**
- The cut in max/3 is an example of a green cut:
 - the new code gives exactly the same answers as the old version,
 - but it is more efficient

Another max/3 with cut

- Why not remove the body of the second clause? After all, it is redundant.

```
max(X,Y,Y):- X =< Y, !.  
max(X,Y,X).
```

- How good is it?

Another max/3 with cut

- Why not remove the body of the second clause? After all, it is redundant.

```
max(X,Y,Y):- X =< Y, !.  
max(X,Y,X).
```

- How good is it?
 - okay

```
?- max(200,300,X).  
X=300  
yes
```

Another max/3 with cut

- Why not remove the body of the second clause? After all, it is redundant.

```
max(X,Y,Y):- X <= Y, !.  
max(X,Y,X).
```

- How good is it?
 - okay

```
?- max(400,300,X).  
X=400  
yes
```

Another max/3 with cut

- Why not remove the body of the second clause? After all, it is redundant.

```
max(X,Y,Y):- X =< Y, !.  
max(X,Y,X).
```

- How good is it?
 - oops....

```
?- max(200,300,200).  
yes
```

Problem is of "Output Unification",

i.e. data is changed before the conditions are tested (Unification made before cut)

Revised max/3 with cut

- Unification after crossing the cut

```
max(X,Y,Z):- X <= Y, !, Y = Z.  
max(X,Y,X).
```

- This does work

```
?- max(200,300,200).  
no
```

Red Cuts

- Cuts that change the meaning of a predicate are called red cuts
- The cut in the revised max/3 is an example of a red cut:
 - If we take out the cut, we don't get an equivalent program
- Programs containing red cuts
 - Are not fully declarative
 - Can be hard to read
 - Can lead to subtle programming mistakes

//

https://en.wikibooks.org/wiki/Prolog/Cuts_and_Negation

Negation

not(X) is the way to implement negation in Prolog; however **not(X)** does **not** mean that **X** is false, it means that **X** can't be proven true.

For example, with the database:

```
man('Adam').  
woman('Eve').
```

asking *not(man('Abel'))*. will return yes.

Cut-Fail negation

```
not(Goal) :- call(Goal), !, fail.  
not(Goal).
```