

More examples :

- John likes anyone who likes wine.

likes(john, X) :- likes(X, wine).

- John likes any female who likes wine.

likes(john, X) :- female(X), likes(X, wine).

- Defining sisterof(X, Y) predicate

sisterof(X, Y) :- female(X), parents(X, M, F), parents(Y, M, F).

V

Database

male(albert).

male(edward).

female(alice).

female(victoria).

parents(edward, victoria, albert).

parents(alice, victoria, albert).

sisterof(X, Y) :- female(X), parents(X, M, F),

goal: sistersof (alice, edward)

1. X b.t. alice
- Y b.t. edward
2. PROLOG tries to satisfy female (alice).
female (alice) succeeds.
3. Tries to satisfy parents (alice, M, F)
M b.t. victoria
F b.t. albert
4. Tries to satisfy parents (edward, victoria, albert)

Entire goal succeeds

Yes

Next goal: Find out if alice is anyone's sister.

?- sister_of(alice, X).

1. X in the goal and Y in the clause are shared.

X in the clause is bound to alice.

2. PROLOG tries to satisfy: female(alice) which succeeds

3. PROLOG tries to satisfy parents(alice, M, F)
which succeeds with M b.t. victoria and F b.t. albert.

4. PROLOG tries to satisfy parents(Y, victoria, albert).

It succeed with Y b.t. edward.

So, a solution is "edward".

If ";" is pressed (In Std. PROLOG),
Then ?

Second Solution generated is "alice" !!

To eliminate "alice" from the solution,
we can write

Sisterof (x, y) :- female (x), parents (x, m, F),
parents (y, m, F), $x \neq y$.

- However, $x \neq y$ can't be put immediately after female (x) as that would result into an error "Free Variable in the expression".

OR alternatively in the goal section:

sisterof (alice, x), $y = \text{alice}$, $x \neq y$.

$x = \text{edward}$, $y = \text{alice}$

1 solution

We can't write sisterof (alice, x), $x \neq \text{alice}$
as these are objects of different types, as
per PROLOG

Example

predicates

likes (symbol, symbol)

clauses

likes (marry, food).

likes (marry, flowers).

likes (john, food).

likes (john, food).

likes (john, wine).

I goal : likes(marry, x), likes(john, x).

x = food

x = food

2 solutions

II goal : likes(john, x), likes(marry, x).

x = food

x = food

2 solutions

III goal : likes(john, x), likes(john, x).

(31)

$X = \text{food}$

$X = \text{food}$

$(\text{clothes}, \text{leaving})$ exist

$X = \text{food}$

$X = \text{food}$

$X = \text{wine}$

5 solutions.

IV goal: likes(john, x), likes(marry, y).

fact: $\exists X$

$X = \text{food}$ $Y = \text{food}$

$X = \text{food}$ $Y = \text{flowers}$

$X = \text{food}$ $Y = \text{food}$

$X = \text{food}$ $Y = \text{flowers}$

$X = \text{wine}$ $Y = \text{food}$

$X = \text{wine}$ $Y = \text{flowers}$

6 solutions.

Database

female(marry).

mother(john, ann).

mother(marry, ann).

father(marry, fred).

father(john, fred).

sisterof(X, Y) :- female(X), parent(X, M, F),
 parent(Y, M, F).

parent(X, M, F) :- mother(X, M),
 father(X, F).

goal: sisterof(marry, Y).

Y = john

Y = marry (Immediately after Y=marry,
 the 2nd solution is found,
 PROLOG returns to prompt.
 Further possibilities are
 not there, so not tried)

Question: How to exclude "marry" from the soln?

goal: sisterof(marry, Y), Y <> marry.

Error: Turbo-PROLOG says:

"Expression may not contain Objects
 of this type."

goal: sisterof(marry, Y), X=marry, X<Y

Y = john, X = marry \models sol^W.

Example /* Only for illustration. */

female(marry).

parent(john, ann, fred).

parent(john, lilly, fred).

parent(marry, ann, fred).

parent(marry, ann, bill).

sisterof(X, Y) :- female(X), parent(X, M, F),
parent(Y, M, F).

goal: sisterof(marry, X).

M = ann
F = fred

$\boxed{X = \text{john}}$
 $\boxed{X = \text{marry}}$

M = ann
F = bill

$\rightarrow X = \text{marry}$

3 solutions

List

- List is an ordered sequence of elements, where order of elements matter.
- Elements of a list may be constant, variable or list themselves.
- List can contain an unlimited number of elements.
- All the objects in a list must be of the same type, but may be very complex.
- In LISP, the only data structure available is List apart from constants.
- The list can be bifurcated as Head and Tail.

The notation is [Head | Tail].

Head - Contains the first element of a list

Tail - It's a list which contains all the elements except the Head.

Example

List	Head	Tail
$[a, b, c]$	a	$[b, c]$
$[\text{the}, [\text{cat}, \text{sat}]]$	the	$[[\text{cat}, \text{sat}]]$
$[]$	None	None

Matching of Lists

List 1 List 2 Instantiation

$[x, y, z]$ $[\text{john}, \text{likes}, \text{fish}]$ $x = \text{john}$
 $y = \text{likes}$
 $z = \text{fish}$

$[\text{cat}]$ $[x | y]$ $x = \text{cat}$
 $y = []$

$[x, y | z]$ $[\text{marry}, \text{likes}, \text{wine}]$ $x = \text{marry}$
 $y = \text{likes}$
 $z = [\text{wine}]$

$[[\text{the}, y] | z]$ $[[x], [\text{is}, \text{here}]]$ $x = \text{the}$
 $y = \text{hare}$
 $z = [[\text{is}, \text{here}]]$

$[\text{vate}, \text{horse}]$ $[\text{horse}, x]$ Don't match

Declaring list in PROLOG

(36)

domains

list = symbol *

predicates

member (symbol, list)

clauses

member (x, [x|_]).

member (x, [-|T]) :- member (x, T).

goal: member (susan, [tom, bill, susan])

Call: member (susan, [tom, bill, susan])

Fail: member (susan, [tom, bill, susan])

Redo: member (susan, [tom, bill, susan])

Call: member (susan, [bill, susan])

Fail: member (susan, [bill, susan])

Redo: member (susan, [bill, susan])

Call: member (susan, [susan])

Ret: member (susan, [susan])

Ret: member (susan, [bill, susan])

Ret: member (susan, [tom, bill, susan])

True

Goal : $\text{writeln}([a, b, c])$.

a

b

c

Yes

domains

list = symbol *

predicates

writeln (list)

clauses

$\text{writeln}([Head | Tail]) :- \text{write}(Head), \text{nl}, \text{writeln}(Tail).$

Output :

a

b

c

No

How to eliminate "No" from the answer?

Solⁿ : By writing writeln [] as last clause.

Even, if we write writeln([]), over the given clause, still answer doesn't change.

In Recursive Definitions, we must look for:

Initialization recursive (I)

(a) Left Recursion

This arises when a rule causes the invocation of a goal that is essentially equivalent to the original goal that caused the rule to be used.

Thus, if we defined:

mother(lilly, adam).

person(X) :- person(Y), mother(X, Y).

person(adam).

Goal: person(Z).

Problem ?

Backtracking is not possible, because in

order to backtrack a clause must fail.

Solⁿ: If we put person(adam) over a rule,
then PROLOG has chance to look for
finding solutions.

Heuristics: Put facts over rules in DB.

Ques. Define term and give example of circular definition

(b) Circular Definitions

$\text{parent}(x, y) :- \text{child}(y, x).$

$\text{child}(x, y) :- \text{parent}(y, x).$

Example 2

domains

$\text{list} = \text{integer} *$

predicates

$\text{testlist}(\text{list})$

clauses

$/* c1 */ \quad \text{testlist}([- | \text{Tail}]) :- \text{testlist}(\text{Tail}).$

$/* c2 */ \quad \text{testlist}([]).$

$/* \text{goal: testlist}([- | \text{Tail}]) :- \text{testlist}(\text{Tail}). */$

Yes

goal: $\text{testlist}([1, 2]).$

Yes

goal: $\text{testlist}(x).$

Stack overflow

If we interchange C1 and C2, then O/P will be

$x = []$

(40)

Appending a list

append([], L, L).

append([X1|L1], L2, [X1|L3]) :-

append(L1, L2, L3).

Goal: append([a,b,c], [d,e,f], X)

X = [a,b,c,d,e,f]

1 solution.

Goal: append(X, [a,b,c], [d,e,a,b,c])

X = [d,e]

1 solution.

Execution Trace:

Call Goal: append(["a", "b"], ["c", "d"], ~~X~~)

Redo : append(["a", "b"], ["c", "d"], -)

Call: append(["b"], ["c", "d"], -)

Redo: append(["b"], ["c", "d"], -)

Call: append([], ["c", "d"], -)

Ret: append([], ["c", "d"], ["c", "d"])

Ret: append(["b"], ["c", "d"], ["b", "c", "d"])

Ret: append(["a", "b"], ["c", "d"], ["a", "b", "c", "d"])

Reversing a List

(6) (41)

domains

list = integer *

predicates

reverse (list, list, list)

clauses

newreverse ([], Inputlist, Inputlist).

newreverse ([Head | Tail], List1, List2) :-

newreverse (Tail, [Head | List1], List2).

goal: reverse ([1,2], [], z)

z = [2,1]

| solution

or if the goal is
reverse (List1, z)
?- newreverse (List1,
[], z).

{ goal: reverse (z, [], [1,2]) /* Error */ }

Call: reverse ([1,2], [], -)

Redo: reverse ([1,2], [], -)

Call: reverse ([2], [1], list2)

Redo: reverse ([2], [1], -)

Call: reverse ([], [2,1], -)

Ret: reverse ([], [2,1], [2,1])

Ret: reverse ([2], [1], [2,1])

Ret: reverse ([1,2], [], [2,1])

Rotates ~~right~~ left

?- append (T, [H], X) :- append (T, X)

domains

list = integer *

predicates

last-element (list, integer)

clauses

last-element ([z], x) :- z = x.

This can be written as
last-element ([x], x).

last-element ([- 1 Tail], x) :-

last-element (Tail, x).

Goal: last-element ([1,2,3], x)

x = 3

1 solution

Goal: last-element ([1,2,3], 3)

Yes

Goal: last-element ([], x)

No solution

What if we change 1st predicate : last-element (z, z).

With this change, if the goal is last-element ([1,2,3], x)

x = [1,2,3]

x = [2,3]

x = [3]

x = []

4 sol^u

[Here, the declaration of the list should be
last-element (list, list)]

Execution Trace of the last element with above change:

Call: l-element ([1, 2, 3], -)

Ret: l-element ([1, 2, 3], [1, 2, 3])

↑ First Solⁿ ← First Clause

Redo: l-element ([1, 2, 3], -) ← Second Clause Call

Call: l-element ([2, 3], -)

Ret: l-element ([2, 3], [2, 3]) ← First Clause success

Ret: l-element ([1, 2, 3], [2, 3]) ← second Clause success
↑ 2nd Solⁿ

Redo: l-element ([2, 3], -) ← Second clause

Call: l-element ([3], -)

Ret: l-element ([3], [3]) ← First Clause

Ret: l-element ([2, 3], [3]) ←

Ret: l-element ([1, 2, 3], [3])
↑ 3rd Solution

Redo: l-element ([3], -)

Call: l-element ([], -)

Ret: l-element ([], E) ← First Clause

Ret: l-element ([3], [])

Ret: l-element ([2, 3], [])

Another Version of L-E
Last element using append

Last element (L, R):-

Append (-, [R], L).

Ret: l-element ([1, 2, 3], [])

↑ 4th Solⁿ

Redo: l-element ([], -) ← second clause

fail: l-element ([], -)

Finding n th element of a list

~~Goal: nth_element([1,2,3], 2, X).~~
domains

list = integer *

predicates

$\text{nth_element}(\text{list}, n, \text{integer})$

clauses

$\text{nth_element}([\text{Head} | \text{Tail}], 1) :-$

 write(Head), nl.

$\text{nth_element}([- | \text{Tail}], N) :-$

 NN = N - 1,

 nth_element(Tail, NN).

goal: $\text{nth_element}([1, 2, 3], 3)$

3

Yes

goal: $\text{nth_element}([1, 2, 3], 4)$

No

Note: This works for N to be > 1 .

A slight modification can be made so that it works for any integer N (Putting $N > 0$ as a condition)

Finding Maximum of a list

Goal: maximum ([5, 2, 7, 3], X)

$$X = 7 \quad 1 \text{ so } 7$$

V1

/*c3*/ max ([], Max, Max).

/*c1*/ max ([Head | Tail], Max, R) :-

$$\text{Head} > \text{Max}, \max(\text{Tail}, \text{Head}, \text{R}).$$

/*c2*/ max ([Head | Tail], Max, R) :-

$$\text{Head} \leq \text{Max}, \max(\text{Tail}, \text{Max}, \text{R}).$$

If we put 'cut' in c1, Then Head \leq Max

is not required. 'cut' may be put as the

last element in c1.

V2

max ([X], X).

max ([Head | Tail], Head) :-

max(Tail, X),

Head $>$ X.

max ([Head | Tail], X) :-

max(Tail, X),

Finding length of a list

goal: $\text{length}([2, 1, 6], X)$

$$X = 3 \quad \text{I Solved}$$

$\text{length}([], 0).$

$\text{length}([-1T], N) :- \text{length}(T, NN),$

$$N = NN + 1.$$

$NN = N - 1$ won't work

("Free Variable in Expression" error)

$$N = NN + 1, \text{length}(T, NN)$$

won't work and give same error
as above.

Finding Sum of first N Natural Numbers

$\text{sum}(0, 0).$

$\text{sum}(N, Sum) :- N > 0, N1 = N - 1,$

$$\text{sum}(N1, R1), Sum = R1 + N.$$

OR

Without Recursion

$$\text{Sum}(N, Sum) :- \text{Sum} = N * (N + 1) / 2.$$

Finding odd & even elements separately and framing lists, i.e. split the input lists into two lists, 1 containing odd elements and the other containing even elements.

goal: $\text{oe}([5, 3, 2, 1], X, Y)$.

$$X = [5, 3, 1]$$

$$Y = [2]$$

1 solution.

$\text{oe}([], [], [])$.

$\text{oe}([H|T], [H|T1], T2)$

$$\therefore H \bmod 2 \neq 0,$$

$\text{oe}(T, T1, T2)$.

$\text{oe}([H|T], T1, [H|T2])$

$$\therefore H \bmod 2 = 0,$$

$\text{oe}(T, T1, T2)$.