

Soccer-Player-Re-Identification

1. Problem Definition

Cross-camera player tracking faces the fundamental challenge of data association: when a player detected in Camera A disappears and a new detection appears in Camera B, how do we determine if they represent the same individual? This becomes exponentially complex with multiple simultaneous players and overlapping camera coverage.

2. Hungarian Algorithm Application

2.1 Core Methodology

The Hungarian algorithm transforms the tracking problem into a bipartite graph matching optimization:

- **Nodes:** Previous player tracks (set A) and current detections (set B)
- **Edges:** Weighted by similarity/distance metrics
- **Objective:** Minimize total assignment cost while ensuring one-to-one correspondence

Cost Function Design :

The algorithm's effectiveness heavily depends on the cost matrix construction:

Appearance-Based Costs:

- Color histogram distances
- Deep feature embeddings (ResNet, VGG features)
- Texture descriptors (LBP, HOG)

Spatial-Temporal Costs:

- Euclidean distance between predicted and observed positions
- Velocity consistency checks
- Entry/exit point proximity in overlapping zones

3. Implementation Architecture

3.1 System Pipeline

1. **Detection Phase:** Object detection in each camera feed
2. **Feature Extraction:** Compute appearance and motion descriptors
3. **Cost Matrix Generation:** Calculate pairwise assignment costs
4. **Hungarian Optimization:** Solve assignment problem
5. **Track Update:** Merge assignments with existing trajectories

3.2 Computational Considerations

- **Time Complexity:** $O(n^3)$ where n is the number of tracks/detections
- **Space Complexity:** $O(n^2)$ for the cost matrix
- **Real-time Constraints:** Typically acceptable for $n < 50$ simultaneous tracks

4. Performance Characteristics

4.1 Strengths

- **Global Optimality:** Guarantees minimum cost assignment
- **Robustness:** Handles partial occlusions and temporary disappearances
- **Scalability:** Efficient for moderate numbers of simultaneous tracks
- **Deterministic:** Consistent results for identical inputs

4.2 Limitations

- **Perfect Matching Requirement:** Struggles with unequal numbers of tracks and detections
- **Static Cost Assumption:** Doesn't adapt costs based on historical performance
- **Computational Scaling:** Becomes prohibitive for very large tracking scenarios