# Stewart-Gough Platform Kinematics

Jak\_o\_Shadows

November 28, 2015

# 1 Coordinate System Definitions

Two coordinate systems are used. The first corresponds to the base plate, and the second the moveable upper platform. For each coordinate system,  $\hat{z}$  is upwards, and  $\hat{x}$ ,  $\hat{y}$  are orthogonal within each plate. These can be seen in Figure 1 below.

Denoting the coordinate systems as follows

- Base  $\boldsymbol{B}$
- ullet Platform  $oldsymbol{P}$

A set of coordinates would then be denoted as below if in the base coordinate system

$$m{x^B} = egin{bmatrix} x_{coord} \ y_{coord} \ z_{coord} \end{bmatrix}$$

# 2 Sensor/Actuator Locations

A Stewart-Gough platform has 6 actuators or sensors (henceforce sensor). These are typically attached radially around the edge of the base and platform.

- $b_i^B = \begin{bmatrix} x_i & y_i & z_i \end{bmatrix}^T$  be the attachment location of the  $i_{th}$  sensor to the base. Note it is in the base coordinate system.
- $p_i^P = \begin{bmatrix} x_i & y_i & z_i \end{bmatrix}^T$  be the attachment location of the  $i_{th}$  sensor to the platform. Note it is in the platform coordinate system.

## 3 Coordinate Transformation

To do FK or IK, it is necessary to know both ends of each sensor in the same coordinate system. As the platform coordinate system would (usually) be moving with regards to the world, the sensor platform attachment positions are converted to the base coordinate system.

To fully describe the P coordinate system with respect to B, a translation and rotation is needed. Let

$$\boldsymbol{a} = \begin{bmatrix} x_p & y_p & z_p & \alpha & \beta & \gamma \end{bmatrix}^T$$

where:

Figure 1: Coordinate system definition

- $\alpha$ : Roll angle rotation about x-axis
- $\beta$ : Pitch angle rotation about y-axis
- $\bullet\,$   $\gamma :$  Yaw angle rotation about z-axis
- x, y, z: Position of origin of P coordinate system

#### 3.1 Translation Effects

Hence transform platform attachment coordinates to base coordinate system.

$$p_i^{I\!\!\!P} \longrightarrow p_i^{I\!\!\!B}$$

The purely translational effect is achieved through:

$$oldsymbol{p_i^B} = oldsymbol{p_i^P} - egin{bmatrix} x_p \ y_p \ z_p \end{bmatrix}$$

However the effect of the rotation of the platform is more complicated.

# 3.2 Euler Angles & Rotation Matrices

DO THIS SECTION FIX MEDO THIS SECTION FIX MEDO THIS SECTION FIX MEDO THIS SECTION FIX ME 3-2-1 rotation. http://ntrs.nasa.gov/search.jsp?R=19770024290 Rotation matrices about the three coordinate axis are:

• 
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
 gives rotation matrix, for  $\theta_1$ ,  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos \theta_1 & -\sin \theta_1 \\ 0 & \sin \theta_1 & \cos \theta_1 \end{bmatrix}$ 

• 
$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
 gives rotation matrix, for  $\theta_2$ , 
$$\begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta_2 & 0 & \sin \theta_2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -\sin \theta_2 & 0 & \cos \theta_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

• 
$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
 gives rotation matrix, for  $\theta_3$ ,  $\begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta_3 & -\sin \theta_3 & 0 \\ \sin \theta_3 & \cos \theta_3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ 

Hence, as  $\theta_1 = \alpha$ ,  $\theta_2 = \beta$ ,  $\theta_3 = \gamma$ , performing a 3-2-1 rotation gives the rotation matrix:

$$R_{zyx} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos\theta_1\cos\theta_2 & \cos\theta_1\sin\theta_2\sin\theta_3 - \sin\theta_1\cos\theta_2 & \cos\theta_1\sin\theta_2\cos\theta_3 + \sin\theta_1\sin\theta_3\\ \sin\theta_1\cos\theta_2 & \sin\theta_1\sin\theta_2\sin\theta_3 + \cos\theta_1\cos\theta_3 & \sin\theta_1\sin\theta_2\cos\theta_3 - \cos\theta_1\sin\theta_3\\ -\sin\theta_2 & \cos\theta_2\sin\theta_3 & \cos\theta_2\cos\theta_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

Using the transformation matrix is just:

$$\boldsymbol{x}_{uvw} = R_{zyx} \boldsymbol{p}_i^{\boldsymbol{P}}$$

## 3.3 Translation and Rotation

Hence the platform sensor attachment locations in the base coordinate system are:

$$oldsymbol{p_i^B} = \left(R_{zyx}oldsymbol{p_i^P}
ight) + \left(oldsymbol{p_i^B} - egin{bmatrix} x_p \ y_p \ z_p \end{bmatrix}
ight)$$

However, FK and IK only need the length of the sensors. Denoting this  $\bar{x}$ :

$$ar{oldsymbol{x}} = egin{bmatrix} ar{ar{x}} \ ar{ar{y}} \ ar{ar{z}} \end{bmatrix} = oldsymbol{p_i^B} - oldsymbol{b_i^B}$$

The length of this vector is simply:

$$l_i = \|\bar{x}\| = \sqrt{\bar{x}^2 + \bar{y}^2 + \bar{z}^2}$$

## 4 Kinematics

For both forward and inverse kinematics both involve finding that the DO THIS SECTION FIX MEDO THIS SECTION FIX MEDO

### 4.1 Inverse Kinematics

DO THIS SECTION FIX MEDO THIS SECTION FIX MEDO THIS SECTION FIX MEDO THIS SECTION FIX MEDO THIS SECTION FIX ME

It is possible to rewrite  $l_i$  directly in terms of the elements of the rotation matrix  $R_{zyx}$  —this allows  $l_i$  to be written directly as a function of  $\alpha, p_i^P, b_i^B$  DO THIS SECTION FIX MEDO THIS SECTION FIX M

#### 4.2 Forward Kinematics

The basic idea behind forward kinematics of a stewart-gough platform is to find the position of the platform such that the model sensor lengths are the same as your sensor values. This leads to a quadratic optimisation problem.

#### 4.2.1 Objective Function

The objective functions for this problem are related to  $l_i$ . Denoting  $L_i$  as the input length of each sensor, the functions to be minimised are:

$$f_i(\boldsymbol{a}) = -\left(l_i^2 - L_i^2\right)$$
$$f_i(\boldsymbol{a}) = -\left(\bar{x_i}^2 + \bar{y_i}^2 + \bar{z_i}^2 - L_i^2\right)$$

As these functions are unimodal (as quadratic functions), optimisation will provide the global minimum (simplifying things a bit —it gets more complicated in higher dimensions, as we are here). It is reasonable (guessing) to seek to minimise the sum of these functions, ie.

$$f\left(\boldsymbol{a}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{6} f_i\left(\boldsymbol{a}\right)$$

Optimisation will have occurred when this value is within a specified tolerance

#### 4.2.2 Constraints

It is reasonable to define a series of constraints on the allowed length of each sensor in the model. However, as these constraints take the form

$$\bar{x_i}^2 + \bar{y_i}^2 + \bar{z_i}^2 \ge 0$$

they are difficult to include in an optimisation scheme.

#### Solving using Newton-Raphson Iteration 4.2.3

Newton-Raphson iteration is method of solving

DO THIS SECTION FIX MEDO THIS SECTION FIX MEDO THIS SECTION FIX MEDO THIS SECTION FIX ME

Hence calculate  $\nabla_{\alpha} f_i(\boldsymbol{a})$ . As  $f_i(\boldsymbol{a}) = -(\bar{x_i}^2 + \bar{y_i}^2 + \bar{z_i}^2 - L_i^2)$ :

$$\nabla_{\alpha} f_{i} = \begin{bmatrix} 2\bar{x}_{i} \\ 2\bar{y}_{i} \\ 2\bar{z}_{i} \\ 2\bar{x}_{i} \frac{\partial \bar{x}_{i}}{\partial \alpha} + 2\bar{y}_{i} \frac{\partial \bar{y}_{i}}{\partial \alpha} + 2\bar{z}_{i} \frac{\partial \bar{z}_{i}}{\partial \beta} \\ 2\bar{x}_{i} \frac{\partial \bar{x}_{i}}{\partial \beta} + 2\bar{y}_{i} \frac{\partial \bar{y}_{i}}{\partial \beta} + 2\bar{z}_{i} \frac{\partial \bar{z}_{i}}{\partial \beta} \\ 2\bar{x}_{i} \frac{\partial \bar{x}_{i}}{\partial \gamma} + 2\bar{y}_{i} \frac{\partial \bar{y}_{i}}{\partial \gamma} + 2\bar{z}_{i} \frac{\partial \bar{z}_{i}}{\partial \beta} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2\bar{x}_{i} \\ 2\bar{y}_{i} \\ 2\bar{z}_{i} \\ 2(\bar{x}_{i} \frac{\partial \bar{x}_{i}}{\partial \alpha} + \bar{y}_{i} \frac{\partial \bar{y}_{i}}{\partial \alpha} + \bar{z}_{i} \frac{\partial \bar{z}_{i}}{\partial \alpha}) \\ 2(\bar{x}_{i} \frac{\partial \bar{x}_{i}}{\partial \beta} + \bar{y}_{i} \frac{\partial \bar{y}_{i}}{\partial \beta} + \bar{z}_{i} \frac{\partial \bar{z}_{i}}{\partial \beta}) \\ 2(\bar{x}_{i} \frac{\partial \bar{x}_{i}}{\partial \gamma} + \bar{y}_{i} \frac{\partial \bar{y}_{i}}{\partial \gamma} + \bar{z}_{i} \frac{\partial \bar{z}_{i}}{\partial \gamma}) \end{bmatrix}$$

Note that the only components of  $x_i$  which are dependent on the angles  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  come from the  $x_{uvw} = R_{zyx}p_i^P$ terms. Hence using the normal derivative rules, the partial derivatives of  $R_{zyx}$  with respect to the variables in  $\alpha$ 

$$\frac{\partial R_{zyx}}{\partial \alpha} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \cos(\alpha)\cos(\gamma)\sin(\beta) + \sin(\alpha)\sin(\gamma) & \cos(\gamma)\sin(\alpha) - \cos(\alpha)\sin(\beta)\sin(\gamma) \\ 0 & \cos(\gamma)\sin(\alpha)\sin(\beta) - \cos(\alpha)\sin(\gamma) & -\cos(\alpha)\cos(\gamma) - \sin(\alpha)\sin(\beta)\sin(\gamma) \\ 0 & \cos(\beta)\cos(\gamma) & -\cos(\beta)\sin(\gamma) & -\cos(\beta)\sin(\gamma) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\frac{\partial R_{zyx}}{\partial \beta} = \begin{bmatrix} -\cos(\alpha)\sin(\beta) & \cos(\alpha)\cos(\beta)\sin(\gamma) & \cos(\alpha)\cos(\beta)\cos(\gamma) \\ -\sin(\alpha)\sin(\beta) & \cos(\beta)\sin(\alpha)\sin(\gamma) & \cos(\beta)\cos(\gamma)\sin(\alpha) \\ -\cos(\beta) & -\sin(\beta)\sin(\gamma) & -\cos(\gamma)\sin(\beta) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\frac{\partial R_{zyx}}{\partial \beta} = \begin{bmatrix} -\cos(\alpha)\sin(\beta) & \cos(\alpha)\cos(\beta)\sin(\gamma) & \cos(\alpha)\cos(\beta)\cos(\gamma) \\ -\sin(\alpha)\sin(\beta) & \cos(\beta)\sin(\alpha)\sin(\gamma) & \cos(\beta)\cos(\gamma)\sin(\alpha) \\ -\cos(\beta) & -\sin(\beta)\sin(\gamma) & -\cos(\gamma)\sin(\beta) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\frac{\partial R_{zyx}}{\partial \gamma} = \begin{bmatrix} -\cos(\beta)\sin(\alpha) & -\cos(\alpha)\cos(\gamma) - \sin(\alpha)\sin(\beta)\sin(\gamma) & \cos(\alpha)\sin(\gamma) - \cos(\gamma)\sin(\alpha)\sin(\beta) \\ \cos(\alpha)\cos(\beta) & \cos(\alpha)\sin(\beta)\sin(\gamma) - \cos(\gamma)\sin(\alpha) & \cos(\alpha)\cos(\gamma)\sin(\beta) + \sin(\alpha)\sin(\gamma) \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Note that as  $\nabla_{\alpha} x_{uvw} = \nabla_{\alpha} R_{zyx} p_i^P$  these lead to the following. Denote  $p_{ix}^P$  as the x component of  $p_i^P$ :

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{uvw}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\alpha}} = \begin{bmatrix} (\cos(\alpha)\cos(\gamma)\sin(\beta) + \sin(\alpha)\sin(\gamma))p_{iy}^P + (\cos(\gamma)\sin(\alpha) - \cos(\alpha)\sin(\beta)\sin(\gamma))p_{iz}^P \\ (\cos(\gamma)\sin(\alpha)\sin(\beta) - \cos(\alpha)\sin(\gamma))p_{iy}^P + (-\cos(\alpha)\cos(\gamma) - \sin(\alpha)\sin(\beta)\sin(\gamma))p_{iz}^P \\ \cos(\beta)\cos(\gamma)p_{iy}^P - \cos(\beta)\sin(\gamma)p_{iz}^P \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{uvw}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\beta}} = \begin{bmatrix} -\cos(\alpha)\sin(\beta)p_{ix}^P + \cos(\alpha)\cos(\beta)\sin(\gamma)p_{iy}^P + \cos(\alpha)\cos(\beta)\cos(\gamma)p_{iz}^P \\ -\sin(\alpha)\sin(\beta)p_{ix}^P + \cos(\beta)\sin(\alpha)\sin(\gamma)p_{iy}^P + \cos(\beta)\cos(\gamma)\sin(\alpha)p_{iz}^P \\ -\cos(\beta)p_{ix}^P - \sin(\beta)\sin(\gamma)p_{iy}^P - \cos(\gamma)\sin(\beta)p_{iz}^P \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{uvw}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\gamma}} = \begin{bmatrix} -\cos(\beta)\sin(\alpha)p_{ix}^P + (-\cos(\alpha)\cos(\gamma) - \sin(\alpha)\sin(\beta)\sin(\gamma))p_{iy}^P + (\cos(\alpha)\sin(\gamma) - \cos(\gamma)\sin(\alpha)\sin(\beta))p_{iz}^P \\ \cos(\alpha)\cos(\beta)p_{ix}^P + (\cos(\alpha)\sin(\beta)\sin(\gamma) - \cos(\gamma)\sin(\alpha))p_{iy}^P + (\cos(\alpha)\cos(\gamma)\sin(\beta) + \sin(\alpha)\sin(\gamma))p_{iz}^P \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

It is not obvious, however that this simplifies  $\nabla_{\alpha} f_i(\mathbf{a})$ . Denote  $u_i, v_i, w_i$  as the components of  $\mathbf{x}_{uvw}$ .

$$\nabla_{\alpha} f_{i}\left(\boldsymbol{a}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} 2\bar{x}_{i} \\ 2\bar{y}_{i} \\ 2\bar{z}_{i} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$2 \bar{y}_{i} \\ 2 \bar{y}_{i} \\ 2 \left[ (\bar{x}_{i} - u_{i}) \left[ R_{zyx} \right]_{1,3} + (\bar{y}_{i} - v_{i}) \left[ R_{zyx} \right]_{2,3} + (\bar{z}_{i} - w_{i}) \left[ R_{zyx} \right]_{3,3} \right]$$

$$2 \left[ ((\bar{x}_{i} - u_{i}) \cos \alpha + (\bar{y}_{i} - v_{i}) \sin \alpha) w_{i} - (p_{ix}^{P} \cos \beta + p_{iy}^{P} \sin \beta \sin \gamma) (\bar{z}_{i} - w_{i}) \right]$$

$$2 \left[ (\bar{x}_{i} - u_{i}) v_{i} + (\bar{y}_{i} - v_{i}) u_{i} \right]$$

Hence Newton-Raphson iteration can be written as:

$$\boldsymbol{a}_{new} = \boldsymbol{a} + \left[\nabla_{\alpha} f_{i}\left(\boldsymbol{a}\right)\right]^{-1} f_{i}\left(\boldsymbol{a}\right)$$

Using appropriate software (NumPy, Matlab, etc), this is a simple linear algebra problem —easily solvable. Convergence is achieved when there is no significant change in the value of  $\boldsymbol{a}$ .

Newton-Raphson iteration, as used in this instance, is not actually finding the minimum of a generic quadratic function. It is actually finding a zero (or root) of the function. However due to the nature of this problem, as well as it's constraints, these come to the same value.