

Problem Set 3

Jakub Gadawski
Optimization methods

March 22, 2020

Exercise 1

Check, if set is a cone:

a) $A = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_i \geq 0\}$

The set is a cone.

Proof. We have to check, if $\forall_{x \in A} \forall_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \alpha \geq 0} \alpha x \in A$

Let $x \in A, x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \alpha \geq 0$

Then, $\alpha x = (\overbrace{\alpha x_1}^{R_+}, \overbrace{\alpha x_2}^{R_+}, \dots, \overbrace{\alpha x_n}^{R_+}) \in A$ ■

c) $C = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle x, a \rangle \leq 0\}, a \in \mathbb{R}^n$

The set is a cone.

Proof. Let $x \in C, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \alpha \geq 0$

Then $\langle \alpha x, a \rangle = \alpha \langle x, a \rangle \leq 0 \implies \alpha x \in C$ ■

d) $D = (a) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x = \alpha a, \alpha \geq 0\}, a \in \mathbb{R}^n$

The set is a cone.

Proof. Let $x \in D, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \alpha \geq 0$

Then $\alpha x \in D$ (definition of D) ■

e) $E = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x = \alpha a, \alpha > 0\}, a \in \mathbb{R}^n$

The set is a cone for $a = 0$.

If $\alpha = 0 \wedge a \neq 0 \implies \alpha x \notin E \implies E$ - not a cone.

f) $F = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x_1 = 2\}$

The set is a cone.

If $\alpha = 2 \wedge x = (2, 4) \implies \alpha x = (4, 8) \notin F \implies F$ - not a cone.

Exercise 2

Prove, that:

a) $C_1, C_2 - \text{cones} \implies C_1 \cap C_2 - \text{cone}$

Proof. Let $x \in C_1 \cap C_2$

Then $x \in C_1 \wedge x \in C_2$

But $C_1, C_2 - \text{cones} \implies \forall_{\alpha \geq 0} (x\alpha \in C_1 \wedge x\alpha \in C_2) \implies \implies \forall_{\alpha \geq 0} x\alpha \in C_1 \cap C_2 \implies C_1 \cap C_2 - \text{cone}$ ■

If sets are convex, then intersection is a convex set.

b) $S_1, S_2 - \text{cones} \implies S_1 \cup S_2 - \text{cone}$

Proof. Let $x \in S_1 \cup S_2$

Then $x \in S_1 \vee x \in S_2$

If $x \in S_1 \implies \forall_{\alpha \geq 0} \alpha x \in S_1 \implies S_1 \cup S_2$

If $x \in S_2 \implies \forall_{\alpha \geq 0} \alpha x \in S_2 \implies S_1 \cup S_2$

So $S_1 \cup S_2 - \text{cone}$ ■

Let $S_1 = \{x : \alpha[1, 1], \alpha \geq 0\}, S_2 = \{x : \alpha[-1, 1], \alpha \geq 0\}$

Then $S_1, S_2 - \text{convex cones}$, but point $(0, 1)$ is in segment $((-1, 1), (1, 1))$ and $(0, 1) \notin S_1 \cup S_2 \implies S_1 \cup S_2 - \text{notconvexset}$

c) $S_1, S_2 - \text{cones} \implies S_1 + S_2 - \text{cone}$

Proof. Let $x \in S_1 + S_2, x = x_1 + x_2, x_1 \in S_1, x_2 \in S_2$

Then $\forall_{\alpha \geq 0} \alpha x_1 \in S_1, \alpha x_2 \in S_2$

And $\forall_{\alpha \geq 0} \alpha x_1 + \alpha x_2 \iff \forall_{\alpha \geq 0} \alpha x$

And all x could be presented as $x_1 + x_2, x_1 \in S_1, x_2 \in S_2$ ■

For all convex sets $S_1, S_2, S_1 + S_2 - \text{convex}$

d) $S - \text{cone} \implies -S - \text{cone}$

Proof. Let $x \in -S$

Then $\forall_{\alpha \geq 0} \alpha(-x) = -\alpha x, \alpha x \in S \implies -S - \text{cone}$ ■

e) $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n - \text{cone}, f : \mathbb{R}^n \mapsto \mathbb{R}^m - \text{linear} \implies f(S) - \text{cone}$

Proof. Let $x \in f(S), \alpha \geq 0, x = f(x_1), x_1 \in S$

Because any linear transformation could be represented as a matrix $f = A, A \in M_{m \times n}$

Then $\alpha x = \alpha f(x_1) = \alpha A x_1 = A \alpha x_1 = f(\alpha x_1)$

and $\alpha x \in f(S)$, because $S - \text{cone} \implies f(S) - \text{cone}$ ■

Exercise 3

Give geometric interpretation of cone and conjugated cone:

c) $D = ([2, -1, 6]^T)$

It's half line set down by $[2, -1.6]$, conjugated cone is $D^* = \{(x, y, z) : 2x - y + 6z \leq 0\}$

d) $E = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x_i \geq 0\}$

Conjugated cone to E is $E^* = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x_i \leq 0\}$

e) $F = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & -1 \end{bmatrix} x \geq 0\}$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} \geq 0$$

$$y \geq \frac{-x}{2} \wedge y \leq 2x$$

$$F = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x_1 \in R \wedge \frac{-x_1}{2} \leq x_2 \leq 2x_1\}$$

$$F^* = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x_1 \in R \wedge \frac{-x_1}{2} \geq x_2 \geq 2x_1\}$$