Problem Set 2

Jakub Gadawski Optimization methods

March 16, 2020

Exercise 1

Check, if:

a)
$$\forall_{i \in I} Z_i - convex \, set \implies \bigcap_{i \in I} Z_i - convex \, set$$

Proof.

Let $a, b \in \bigcap_{i \in I} Z_i$

We have to check, if $\forall_{\lambda \in [0,1]} \lambda a + (1-\lambda)b \in \bigcap_{i \in I} Z_i$

But $\forall_{i \in I} a, b \in Z_i$ and $Z_i - convex$

Which means $\forall_{i \in I} \forall_{\lambda \in [0,1]} \lambda a + (1 - \lambda)b \in Z_i$

Which implies $\forall_{\lambda \in [0,1]} \lambda a + (1-\lambda)b \in \bigcap_{i \in I} Z_i$

b)
$$Z - convex set \implies conv(Z) = Z$$

Proof.

Suppose, that $Z - convex \, set \wedge conv(Z) \neq Z$

From definition of convex hull $Z \subset conv(Z)$, so the only possibility to $conv(Z) \neq Z$ is $conv(Z) \nsubseteq Z$

From that $\exists_{a,b\in Z}\exists_{\lambda\in[0,1]}:\lambda a+(1-\lambda)b\in conv(Z)\wedge\lambda a+(1-\lambda)b\notin Z$

But that implies, that Z - not convex set, which goes against the assumption.

c)
$$Z_1, Z_2 - convex sets \implies Z_1 + Z_2 - convex set$$

Proof.

Let $x, y \in Z_1 + Z_2$, that means $\exists_{\substack{x_1, y_1 \in Z_1 \\ x_2, y_2 \in Z_2}} \quad x = x_1 + x_2, \ y = y_1 + y_2$

We have to check, if $\forall_{\lambda \in [0,1]} \lambda x + (1-\lambda)y \in Z_1 + Z_2$

Let's establish the specific $\lambda \in [0, 1]$

Consider points:

$$\lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda)y_1 \in Z_1 \text{ (because } Z_1 - convex \, set)$$

 $\lambda x_2 + (1 - \lambda)y_2 \in Z_2 \text{ (because } Z_2 - convex \, set)$

Sum of that points: $\lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda)y_1 + \lambda x_2 + (1 - \lambda)y_2$ is producing $\lambda(x_1 + x_2) + (1 - \lambda)(y_1 + y_2)$,

and that's $\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y$

d) $Z_1 \subset \mathbb{R}^n, Z_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ -convex sets $\implies Z_1 \times Z_2$ - convex set in $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m$

Proof.

Let $x, y \in Z_1 \times Z_2$,

$$x = (a_1^1, a_2^1, \dots, a_n^1, b_1^1, b_2^1, \dots, b_m^1), y = (a_1^2, a_2^2, \dots, a_n^2, b_1^2, b_2^2, \dots, b_m^2)$$

 $a_1, a_2 \in Z_1, b_1, b_2 \in Z_2$

We have to check, if $\forall_{\lambda \in [0,1]} \lambda x + (1-\lambda)y \in Z_1 \times Z_2$

We can rewrite it as $\forall_{\lambda \in [0,1]}$

$$(\lambda a_1^1 + (1-\lambda)a_1^2, \lambda a_2^1 + (1-\lambda)a_2^2, \dots, \lambda a_n^1 + (1-\lambda)a_n^2, \lambda b_1^1 + (1-\lambda)b_1^2, \lambda b_2^1 + (1-\lambda)b_2^2, \dots, \lambda b_m^1 + (1-\lambda)b_m^2)$$

Let's establish the specific $\lambda \in [0, 1]$

Consider points:

$$\lambda a_1 + (1 - \lambda)a_2 \in Z_1$$
 (because $Z_1 - convex \, set$)
 $\lambda b_1 + (1 - \lambda)b_2 \in Z_2$ (because $Z_2 - convex \, set$)

In Cartesian product $Z_1 \times Z_2$ those points will give us:

$$(\lambda a_1^1 + (1-\lambda)a_1^2, \lambda a_2^1 + (1-\lambda)a_2^2, \dots, \lambda a_n^1 + (1-\lambda)a_n^2, \lambda b_1^1 + (1-\lambda)b_1^2, \lambda b_2^1 + (1-\lambda)b_2^2, \dots, \lambda b_m^1 + (1-\lambda)b_m^2)$$

and that's
$$\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y \in Z_1 \times Z_2$$

e) $Z - convex set \implies \forall_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}} \alpha Z - convex set$

Proof.

Let's establish specific $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$

Let $x, y \in \alpha Z$

We have to check, if $\forall_{\lambda \in [0,1]} \lambda x + (1-\lambda)y \in \alpha Z$

If $x, y \in \alpha Z$, then $\exists_{x_1, y_1 \in Z} x = \alpha x_1, \quad y = \alpha y_1$

Let's establish the specific $\lambda \in [0,1]$

And consider point $\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y$, equals $\lambda \alpha x_1 + (1 - \lambda)\alpha y_1$

Let's transform to $\alpha(\lambda x_1 + (1-\lambda)y_1)$

Point $\lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda)y_1 \in Z$

Exercise 2

Check, if sets are convex:

a)
$$H = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle x, a \rangle = \gamma \}, \quad a \in \mathbb{R}^n, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$$

Set H is the convex set

Proof.

Let $x, y \in H$

We have to consider, if $\forall_{\lambda \in [0,1]} \lambda x + (1-\lambda)y \in H$, or equivalently $\forall_{\lambda \in [0,1]} < \lambda x + (1-\lambda)y$, $a >= \gamma$ $< \lambda x + (1-\lambda)y$, $a >= < \lambda x$, $a > + < (1-\lambda)y$, $a >= \lambda < x$, $a > + (1-\lambda) < y$, a >= But we know (definition x, y), that $< x, a >= \gamma$, $< x, a >= \gamma$ Finally, we have $\lambda \gamma + (1-\lambda)\gamma = \gamma \implies \lambda x + (1-\lambda)y \in H$

b)
$$\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n_+$$

Sets \mathbb{R}^n and \mathbb{R}^n_+ are convex

Proof.

Let $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$, $y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$, $\forall_{i \in \{1, \dots, n\}} x_i, y_i \in \mathbb{R}$ Let's establish the specific λ

Then,
$$\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y = (\lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda)y_1, \lambda x_2 + (1 - \lambda)y_2, \dots, \lambda x_n + (1 - \lambda)y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

Let $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$, $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$, $y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$, $\forall_{i \in \{1, \dots, n\}} x_i, y_i \in \mathbb{R}_+$ Let's establish the specific $\lambda \in [0, 1]$

Let's establish the specific
$$\lambda \in [0, 1]$$

$$\mathbb{R}_{+}$$
Then, $\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y = (\lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda)y_1, \lambda x_2 + (1 - \lambda)y_2, \dots, \lambda x_n + (1 - \lambda)y_n) \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^n$

c) Open/closed ball, sphere

Open/closed ball is a convex set, sphere is not

Proof.

We can assume, that center of sphere/ball is (0,0,0) and radius is equal r (We'll call it B) Let $x=(x_1,x_2,x_3), \quad y=(y_1,y_2,y_3), \quad x_1^2+x_2^2+x_3^2\leq r^2, \quad y_1^2+y_2^2+y_3^2\leq r^2$ Let's establish the specific $\lambda\in[0,1]$

Then $\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y = (\lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda)y_1, \lambda x_2 + (1 - \lambda)y_2, \lambda x_3 + (1 - \lambda)y_3)$

We want to prove, that $(\lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda)y_1)^2 + (\lambda x_2 + (1 - \lambda)y_2)^2 + (\lambda x_3 + (1 - \lambda)y_3)^2 \le r^2$ Let's transform left side of inequality to:

$$\lambda^{2}(\overbrace{x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}+x_{3}^{2}}^{\leq r^{2}}) + 2\lambda(1-\lambda)(\overbrace{x_{1}y_{1}+x_{2}y_{2}+x_{3}y_{3}}^{We'll\ prove,\ that\ \leq r^{2}}) + (1-\lambda)^{2}(\overbrace{y_{1}^{2}+y_{2}^{2}+y_{3}^{2}}^{\leq r^{2}}) \leq \\ \leq r^{2}(\lambda^{2}+2\lambda(1-\lambda)+(1-\lambda)^{2}) = r^{2}(\lambda+(1-\lambda))^{2} = r^{2}\\ \text{So, if only } x_{1}y_{1}+x_{2}y_{2}+x_{3}y_{3}\leq r^{2},\ \lambda x+(1-\lambda)y\in B$$

But, we can easily see, that:

$$x_1y_1 + x_2y_2 + x_3y_3 = \langle x, y \rangle = |x| |y| |\cos \alpha \le r^2 \quad \alpha \in [0, \pi]$$

We can apply the same reasoning to open ball

To sphere, let's take sphere with center in (0,0,0) and radius 1. We can take segment S = ((1,0,0),(-1,0,0)). Then $(0,0,0) \in S$, but (0,0,0) is not in sphere.

d)
$$E = \{(x_1, x_2) : 3x_1^2 + x_2^2 \ge 6\}$$

E is not a convex set

Proof.

Let's take points A = (-4,0), B = (4,0) and segment ABWe can easily see, that $(0,0) \in AB$ and $A,B \in E$, but $(0,0) \notin E$

e)
$$F = \{(x_1, x_2) : x^1 x_2 \le 0 \land x_1, x_2 \ge 0\}$$

F is not a convex set

Proof.

Let's take points A = (0, 2), B = (2, 0) and segment ABWe can easily see, that $(1, 1) \in AB$ and $A, B \in F$, but $(0, 0) \notin F$

f)
$$Z = Z_1 \cup Z_2, Z_1, Z_2 - convex sets$$

Z is not a convex set

Proof.

Let's take sets
$$Z_1 = \{(x_1, x_2) : x_1^2 + x_2^2 \le 1, x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}\}, Z_2 = \{(3, 3)\}$$

Then, $Z = \{(x_1, x_2) : x_1^2 + x_2^2 \le 1\} \cup \{(2, 2)\}$
Because $Z - convex \ set \implies \forall_{x,y \in Z} \forall_{\lambda \in [0,1]} \lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y \in Z$
Let's take points $A = (0, 0), B = (3, 3)$ and segment AB
We can easily see, that $(2, 2) \in AB$ and $A, B \in Z$, but $(2, 2) \notin Z$