## Problem Set 3

## Jakub Gadawski Optimization methods

March 22, 2020

## Exercise 1

Check, if set is a cone:

**a)** 
$$A = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_i \ge 0\}$$

The set is a cone.

Proof. We have to check, if  $\forall_{x \in A} \forall_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \alpha \geq 0} \alpha x \in A$ Let  $x \in A, x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \alpha \geq 0$ Then,  $\alpha x = (\overbrace{\alpha x_1}, \overbrace{\alpha x_2}, \dots, \overbrace{\alpha x_n}) \in A$ 

c) 
$$C = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle x, a \rangle \leq 0\}, a \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

The set is a cone.

 $\begin{array}{ll} \textit{Proof.} \ \ \text{Let} \ x \in C, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \alpha \geq 0 \\ \text{Then} < \alpha x, a > = \alpha < x, a > \leq 0 \implies \alpha x \in C \end{array}$ 

**d)** 
$$D = (a) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x = \alpha a, \alpha \ge 0\}, a \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

The set is a cone.

Proof. Let  $x \in D$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\alpha \ge 0$ Then  $\alpha x \in D$  (definition of D)

e) 
$$E = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x = \alpha a, \alpha > 0\}, a \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

The set is a cone for a = 0.

If  $\alpha = 0 \land a \neq 0 \implies \alpha x \notin E \implies E$  - not a cone.

**f)** 
$$F = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x_1 = 2\}$$

The set is a cone.

If  $\alpha = 2 \land x = (2,4) \implies \alpha x = (4,8) \notin F \implies F$  - not a cone.

## Exercise 2

Prove, that:

a) 
$$C_1, C_2 - cones \implies C_1 \cap C_2 - cone$$

Proof. Let  $x \in C_1 \cap C_2$ Then  $x \in C_1 \wedge x \in C_2$ But  $C_1, C_2 - cones \implies \forall_{\alpha \geq 0} (x\alpha \in C_1 \wedge x\alpha \in C_2) \implies \forall_{\alpha \geq 0} x\alpha \in C_1 \cap C_2 \implies C_1 \cap C_2 - cone$ 

If sets are convex, then intersection is a convex set.

**b)** 
$$S_1, S_2 - cones \implies S_1 \cup S_2 - cone$$

*Proof.* Let  $x \in S_1 \cup S_2$ 

Then  $x \in S_1 \lor x \in S_2$ 

If  $x \in S_1 \implies \forall_{\alpha \geq 0} \alpha x \in S_1 \implies S_1 \cup S_2$ 

If  $x \in S_2 \implies \forall_{\alpha \geq 0} \alpha x \in S_2 \implies S_1 \cup S_2$ 

So  $S_1 \cup S_2 - cone$ 

Let 
$$S_1 = \{x : \alpha[1, 1], \alpha \ge 0\}, S_2 = \{x : \alpha[-1, 1], \alpha \ge 0\}$$

Then  $S_1, S_2$  - convex cones, but point (0,1) is in segment ((-1,1),(1,1)) and  $(0,1) \notin S_1 \cup S_2 \implies S_1 \cup S_2 - not convex set$ 

c) 
$$S_1, S_2 - cones \implies S_1 + S_2 - cone$$

*Proof.* Let  $x \in S_1 + S_2, x = x_1 + x_2, x_1 \in S_1, x_2 \in S_2$ 

Then  $\forall_{\alpha \geq 0} \alpha x_1 \in S_1, \alpha x_2 \in S_2$ 

And  $\forall_{\alpha \geq 0} \alpha x_1 + \alpha x_2 \iff \forall_{\alpha \geq 0} \alpha x$ 

And all x could be presented as  $x_1 + x_2, x_1 \in S_1, x_2 \in S_2$ 

For all convex sets  $S_1, S_2, S_1 + S_2 - convex$ 

d) 
$$S-cone \implies -S-cone$$

Proof. Let  $x \in -S$ 

Then 
$$\forall_{\alpha \geq 0} \alpha(-x) = -\alpha x, \alpha x \in S \implies -S - cone$$

e) 
$$S \subset \mathbb{R}^n - cone, f : \mathbb{R}^n \mapsto \mathbb{R}^m - linear \implies f(S) - cone$$

Proof. Let  $x \in f(S), \alpha \geq 0, x = f(x_1), x_1 \in S$ 

Because any linear transformation could be represented as a matrix  $f = A, A \in M_{m \times n}$ 

Then 
$$\alpha x = \alpha f(x_1) = \alpha A x_1 = A \alpha x_1 = f(\alpha x_1)$$

and 
$$\alpha x \in S$$
, because  $S - cone \implies f(S) - cone$