Term Paper: A replication study of Optimal Financial Knowledge and Wealth Inequality

Jeppe Søndergaard Johansen & Ditlev Kiersgaard Frisch

June 2019

1   Introduction

This is where the introduction goes

$$\alpha + \beta \cdot \sum a_i \tag{1}$$

lars lars

2   Model

2.0.1   State & Choice space

Our state space contains the following variables:

$$s = (a_t, f_t, \eta_t, e) \tag{2}$$

Where e is the education level which stays constant throughout the life cycle of an agent, a is the assets accumulated at time t, and f is the accumulated financial knowledge accumulated at period t. η_t is the sum of the transatory shock and the accumulated persistent shock.

We make a couple of simplifications compared to the original paper, mainly due to reducing the computational load when solving the model. An elaboration of our choice variables are presented below: We have 4 choice variables:

c, i, κ, ψ The consumption is denoted by c, and is made with a grid of 40 points. These 40 points are fractions of the assets accumulated at time t. This follows the paper exactly. The variable $i \in \{0, 1\}$ is a binary choice to whether or not to invest in capital. This contrasts the original paper, where the investment is considered to be an continous variable, which are discretized into 25 points when implemented. The variable $\kappa_t \in \{0, 0.55\}$ is the share of assets to be invested in the risky asset condional on having investing in the risky asset. This implies that $(1 - \kappa)$ will be invested in the risky asset. We assume in this implementation that κ is fixed. This is also a simplification compared to the original paper. The saving is denoted with ψ. The saving can be modelled as the residual i.e. we only need to consider the three choice variables:

$$\text{choice variables} = c, i, \kappa \tag{3}$$

2.0.2 Equations

$$V_t(s_t) = \max_{c_t, i_t, \kappa_t} u(c_t) + \beta \mathbb{E}[V_{t+1}(s_{t+1})] \tag{4}$$

$$a_{t+1} = \tilde{R}_t[a_t + y_t - c_t - \pi(i_t) - c_d I(k_t \neq 0)] \tag{5}$$

$$f_{t+1} = (1-\delta)f_t + i_t \tag{6}$$

$$\tilde{R}_t = (1-\kappa_t)R^{\text{riskfree}} + \kappa_t R^{\text{riskful}}(f_t) \tag{7}$$

$$R^{\text{riskful}}(f_{t+1}) = \bar{r} + r(f_t) + \sigma_\epsilon \epsilon_t \qquad\qquad \sigma_\epsilon = 0.16 \tag{8}$$

$$r(f_t) = f_t^\alpha, \qquad\qquad \alpha = 1, r(f_t) \in [0, 0.04] \tag{9}$$

$$\pi(i_t) = \omega \cdot i_t \tag{10}$$

$$\log y_t = g_{y,t}(t) + \eta_t \qquad\qquad \nu_t \sim N(0, \sigma_y) \tag{11}$$

$$\eta_t = \mu_t + \nu_t \qquad\qquad \nu_t \sim N(0, \sigma_\nu) \tag{12}$$

$$\mu_t = \rho \mu_{t-1} + \omega_t \qquad\qquad \omega_t \sim N(0, \epsilon_\omega) \tag{13}$$

$$g_{y,t}(t) = \text{TO COME (Non parametric estimation)} \tag{14}$$

$$u(c_t) = n_t \cdot CRRA(c_t/n_t) \tag{15}$$

$$CRRA(c_t/n_t) = \frac{(c_t/n_t)^{1-\rho} - 1}{1-\rho} \tag{16}$$

$$tr_t = \xi, \qquad\qquad t > 65 \tag{17}$$

2.0.3 Modelling decisions & parameter choices

This model is to a large extend an extension of the paper **Optimal Financial Knowledge and Wealth Inequality**(REF), but we have made a number of modifications on the model. These modifcations are primarily made, to make the model fit a danish context, and to reduce the size of the state space, that otherwise would slow down the model-solving computations significantly. The state space reductions and is discussed in the section 2.0.1.

Since we want to emulate the danish economy, we have made a set of choices. 1) We have removed the out-of-pocket expendature, which is present in the original model. This is due to the fact, that the danish welfare system in

covers expenditures from illness, which is what the *oop* should model. 2) We have chosen to model government transfers as a constant, since that is how "folkepension" (which is the retirement benefit in Denmark) works.

Other simplifications and additions to the model is: We have chosen to model the function $r(f_t)$ as a potentially concave function. In the original paper, they model acquiring more financial knowledge increasingly more expensive, and have a linear $r(\cdot)$ function. We have chosen to model investing in financial knowledge, i_t, as a binary variable, where agents incur a fixed cost when investing, and it can therefore be argued, that $r(\cdot)$ in our setup should be concave.

3 Solving the model

To solve this model we need to solve the following problem: find the function φ that satisfies:

$$\varphi : (\mu_t, f_t, a_t, e) \mapsto (c_t^*, t_t^*, \kappa_t^*), V^*(\cdot) \tag{18}$$

In other words, for a given state space which action set/choice set should the agent take, if the agent wishes to maximize its cummulative utility during its lifecycle.

The bellman equation, is what allows to only consider two consequtive periods: $(t, t+1)$. We can solve the model, by backwards induction. Solve the model in period T, where the model terminates.