

## Math 600 Lecture 32

Recall: If  $f_n: E \rightarrow Y \ \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ ,  $f: E \rightarrow Y$  ( $E \subset X$ ), then  $f_n \rightarrow f$  uniformly on  $E$  iff

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \ \exists N \in \mathbb{Z}^+ (n \geq N \text{ and } x \in E) \Rightarrow d_Y(f_n(x), f(x)) < \varepsilon.$$

Theorem: Let  $(X, d_X), (Y, d_Y)$  be metric spaces, let  $E \subset X$ , and assume that for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ ,  $f_n: E \rightarrow Y$  is a continuous function. If  $f_n \rightarrow f$  uniformly on  $E$ , where  $f: E \rightarrow Y$ , then  $f$  is continuous on  $E$ .

Proof: Let  $x \in E$  and let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. Since  $f_n \rightarrow f$  uniformly, there exists  $N \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  such that

$$n \geq N \text{ and } u \in E \Rightarrow d_Y(f_n(u), f(u)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}.$$

In particular,

$$\forall u \in E, d_Y(f_N(u), f(u)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}.$$

By assumption,  $f_N$  is continuous. Hence there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$(u \in E \text{ and } d_X(u, x) < \delta) \Rightarrow d_Y(f_N(u), f_N(x)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}.$$

But then

$$\begin{aligned} (u \in E \text{ and } d_X(u, x) < \delta) \Rightarrow d_Y(f(u), f(x)) &\leq d_Y(f(u), f_N(u)) + d_Y(f_N(u), f_N(x)) + d_Y(f_N(x), f(x)) \\ &< \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} = \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $f$  is continuous at  $x$ . Since  $x \in E$  was arbitrary, it follows that  $f$  is continuous on  $E$ . //

Theorem: For each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , let  $f_n: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be Riemann integrable and suppose  $f_n \rightarrow f$  uniformly on  $[a, b]$ , where  $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $f$  is Riemann integrable on  $[a, b]$  and

$$\int_a^b f_n \rightarrow \int_a^b f.$$

Proof: First, we prove that  $f$  is Riemann integrable on  $[a, b]$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. Since  $f_n \rightarrow f$  uniformly on  $[a, b]$ , there exists  $N \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  such that

$$n \geq N \Rightarrow |f_n(x) - f(x)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3(b-a)} \quad \forall x \in [a, b].$$

In particular,

$$|f_N(x) - f(x)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3(b-a)} \quad \forall x \in [a, b].$$

Since  $f_N$  is Riemann integrable on  $[a, b]$ , there exists a partition  $P = \{x_0, \dots, x_n\}$  on  $P$  such that

$$U(P, f_N) - L(P, f_N) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}.$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} U(P, f) &= \sum_{j=1}^n \sup \{f(x) \mid x_{j-1} \leq x \leq x_j\} \Delta x_j \\ &< \sum_{j=1}^n \left( \sup \{f_N(x) \mid x_{j-1} \leq x \leq x_j\} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3(b-a)} \right) \Delta x_j \end{aligned}$$

$$= U(p, f_N) + \frac{\varepsilon}{3(b-a)} \sum_{j=1}^n \Delta x_j$$

$$= U(p, f_N) + \frac{\varepsilon}{3},$$

Similarly,

$$L(p, f) > L(p, f_N) - \frac{\varepsilon}{3}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} U(p, f) - L(p, f) &< (U(p, f_N) + \frac{\varepsilon}{3}) - (L(p, f_N) - \frac{\varepsilon}{3}) \\ &= U(p, f_N) - L(p, f_N) + \frac{2\varepsilon}{3} \\ &< \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \frac{2\varepsilon}{3} = \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $f$  is Riemann integrable on  $[a, b]$ .

Now we show that  $\int_a^b f_n \rightarrow \int_a^b f$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. There exists  $N \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  such that

$$n \geq N \Rightarrow \left( |f_n(x) - f(x)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{b-a} \quad \forall x \in [a, b] \right).$$

But then

$$n \geq N \Rightarrow \left| \int_a^b f_n - \int_a^b f \right| \leq \int_a^b |f_n - f| < \int_a^b \frac{\varepsilon}{b-a} = \varepsilon.$$

This completes the proof. //

The above theorems show why uniform convergence is so powerful. We now give some technical results that are useful for verifying uniform convergence.

Theorem: Let  $(X, d_X), (Y, d_Y)$  be metric spaces, let  $E \subset X$  and let  $f_n: E \rightarrow Y, n=1,2,3,\dots$ , and  $f: E \rightarrow Y$  be given functions. Define

$$M_n = \sup \{ d_Y(f_n(x), f(x)) \mid x \in E \}.$$

Then  $f_n \rightarrow f$  uniformly on  $E$  iff  $M_n \rightarrow 0$ .

Proof: Immediate from the definition of uniform convergence. //

Theorem (the Cauchy criterion for uniform convergence): Let  $(X, d_X), (Y, d_Y)$  be metric spaces, let  $E \subset X$ , and let  $f_n: E \rightarrow Y, n=1,2,3,\dots$ , be given functions. Then, if  $\{f_n\}$  converges uniformly on  $E$ , then

$$(*) \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists N \in \mathbb{Z}^+ (m, n \geq N \Rightarrow (d_Y(f_m(x), f_n(x)) < \varepsilon \quad \forall x \in E)).$$

If  $Y$  is complete, then the converse holds.

Proof: Suppose first that  $\{f_n\}$  converges uniformly on  $E$ , say  $f_n \rightarrow f$  uniformly on  $E$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. Then there exists  $N \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  such that

$$n \geq N \Rightarrow (d_Y(f_n(x), f(x)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \quad \forall x \in E).$$

But then

$$m, n \geq N \Rightarrow (d_Y(f_m(x), f_n(x)) \leq d_Y(f_m(x), f(x)) + d_Y(f(x), f_n(x)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon \quad \forall x \in E).$$

Thus  $(*)$  holds.

Conversely, suppose  $(*)$  holds and  $Y$  is complete. Then  $(*)$  implies that

$\{f_n(x)\}$  is a Cauchy sequence for each  $x \in E$ , and hence converges (since  $Y$  is

complete). Define  $f: E \rightarrow Y$  by

$$f(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) \quad \forall x \in E.$$

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. By (\*), there exists  $N \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  such that

$$m, n \geq N \Rightarrow (d_Y(f_m(x), f_n(x)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \quad \forall x \in E).$$

We claim that

$$n \geq N \Rightarrow (d_Y(f_n(x), f(x)) < \varepsilon \quad \forall x \in E).$$

To see this, let  $n \geq N$  be fixed. For any  $x \in E$ , there exists  $N_x \geq N$  such that

$$m \geq N_x \Rightarrow d_Y(f_m(x), f(x)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

But then

$$\begin{aligned} d_Y(f_n(x), f(x)) &\leq d_Y(f_n(x), f_{N_x}(x)) + d_Y(f_{N_x}(x), f(x)) \\ &< \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Thus (\*) holds, and the proof is complete. //

A series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n$  of (real-valued) functions converges uniformly iff the sequence  $\left\{ \sum_{n=1}^N f_n \right\}$  converges uniformly.

Theorem (the Weierstrass M-test): Let  $E \subset \mathbb{R}$  and suppose  $f_n: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a given function for each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . If there exists a sequence  $\{M_n\}$  of nonnegative real numbers such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} M_n \text{ converges}$$

and

$$|f_n(x)| \leq M_n \quad \forall x \in E \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^+,$$

then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n$$

converges uniformly on  $E$ .

Proof: Let  $\{S_n\}$  denote the sequence of partial sums:

$$S_n(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n f_k(x) \quad \forall x \in E.$$

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. Then, since  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M_k$  converges, there exists  $N \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  such that

$$m \geq n \geq N \Rightarrow \left| \sum_{k=n}^m M_k \right| < \varepsilon = \sum_{k=n}^m M_k < \varepsilon$$

(the Cauchy criterion for series). But then

$$m, n \geq N \Rightarrow |S_m(x) - S_n(x)| = \left| \sum_{k=n+1}^m f_k(x) \right| \leq \sum_{k=n+1}^m |f_k(x)| \leq \sum_{k=n+1}^m M_k < \varepsilon \quad \forall x \in E,$$

and hence  $\{S_n\}$  is uniformly Cauchy on  $E$ . It follows that  $\{S_n\}$

converges uniformly on  $E$ , that is,  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n$  converges uniformly on  $E$ . //