Recall: Let V be a vector space over F, let TELOV), and let An-shu be the distinct eigenvalues of T. For each leb-le, there exists me satisfyry

Note: me 21 because de is an eigenvalue.

 $1 \leq m_{\ell} \leq n = dim(V)$ ,  $\Re((T-\lambda_{\ell}I)^{j}) \leq \Re((T-\lambda_{\ell}I)^{j+1})$ , j=0,-m-1,  $\Re((T-\lambda_{\ell}I)^{j}) = \Re((T-\lambda_{\ell}I)^{m_{\ell}}) \quad \forall j \geq m_{\ell}.$ 

We call  $G(\lambda_{\ell},T)=\mathfrak{N}((T-\lambda_{\ell}I)^{m_{\ell}})$  the generalized eigenspace of T corresponding to  $\lambda_{\ell}$ .

Lemma: Let V be a vector space over F, let Tedlv), let NEF, and let mEZ+. The gr ((T-NI)m) is invariant under T.

Proof: Let ve 91 (CT-XII). The

 $(T-\lambda E)^m(T(\lambda)) = T((T-\lambda I)^m(\lambda))$  (Since polynamicle in T commit) = T(0)= O,

and home TWENLLT-2011),//

Theorem: Let V be a vector space over F, let TELV), let  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$  be distinct eigenvalues of T, and let  $v_1, \dots, v_k$  be generalized eigenvalues of T corresponding to  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$ , respectively. Then  $\{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$  is linearly inelegendent.

 $\frac{\gamma_{rdof:}}{\gamma_{rdof:}}$  Suppose  $\alpha_{1,-1}, \alpha_{k} \in F$  satisfy  $\alpha_{1}, \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \cdots, \gamma_{k} \in F$ 

and let j satisfy  $1 \le j \le k$ . Since j is arbitrary, it suffices to prove that  $\alpha_j = 0$ . Let  $t \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  be the largest named the largest named that

 $(T-\lambda_j I)^t (v_j) \neq 0$ .

I+ follows that, if  $w = (T - \lambda_j \coprod^t iv_j)$ , then  $w \neq 0$  and  $(T - \lambda_j \coprod) |w| = 0 \Rightarrow T(w) = \lambda_j w$ .

Thus wis an eigenvector of T corresponding to by, and  $(T-\lambda_e I)^n (r) = (\lambda_j - \lambda_e)^n v_j \neq 0 \ \forall \ l \neq j$ 

(here n= dim (v)). Note that

(T-) = 0 + L=1,2,-,h

(Since G(Ze,T) = M((T-Ze)me) = M((T-ZeI)m) because me in).

We now have

$$\begin{pmatrix}
(T-\lambda_{j}I)^{t} & \downarrow \\
 & \downarrow$$

$$= \begin{cases} \lambda_{1} & (\lambda_{1} - \lambda_{1}) \\ l \neq j \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} \lambda_{1} & (\lambda_{1} - \lambda_{2}) \\ l \neq j \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} \lambda_{1} & (\lambda_{1} - \lambda_{2}) \\ l \neq j \end{cases}$$

and hence

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k} \alpha_{j} v_{j} = 0 \implies \alpha_{j} = 0 \quad (\text{sinu } \lambda_{\ell} - \lambda_{j} \neq 0 \text{ for } \ell \neq j)$$

$$\alpha \neq 0 \text{ for } \ell \neq 0$$

This completes the proof.

Definition: Let V be a vector space over F and let TeL(V). We say that T is nilpotent iff there exists  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  such that  $T^k = 0$ .

Theorem: Let V be a nontrivial finite-dimensional vector space over F, let TELIVI be nilpotent, and let kEIt be the smullest positive integer such that Th=U. Then:

- . O is an eigenvalue of T.
- · T has no nonzero eigenvalues.
- · If ve V satisfrer Th-1(v) ≠ 0 and The (v)=0, then {v,Th, -, Th-1(v)} is linearly independent.

Proof: Since k is the smallest positive integer such that  $T^k=0$ , there exists ve V such that  $T^{k-1}(v)\neq 0$ , But then

Which shows that O is an eigenvalue of I with eigenvector The lv).

If I is any eigenvalue of T with eigenvector u, The

=) 
$$\lambda^h = 0$$
 (since  $u \neq 0$ )

Finally, suppose VEV has the property that Th-1/v1 \$0.

If {v, TW, -, Thill) is linearly dependent, then there exist LEZ+

Such that Sv, Tlv1, -> Te-1/v1) is linearly independent and

Tlul & span (v, 7/v1, --, 72-1/v1);

Shy

Where 20, dy-, de are not all O (since Tl(v) +0).

It follows that

$$=$$
)  $\alpha_0 = 0$ 

Continuing in this fashion, we can prove that  $x_j = 0$  for j = 0,1,-,l-1, a contradiction. Thus  $\{v_i, T(v), -, T^{k-1}(v)\}$  nest be linearly inhyundarly

Lemma: Let V be a vector space over F, let Tedlv), and let NEF be an eigenvalue of T. Then (T-XI) [ is nilpotent.

Proof: There exists me Z+ such that

M((T-XI)) = M((T-XI)) \Jzm.

By definition,  $V \in G(\lambda,T)$  iff there exists  $j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  such that  $V \in \mathfrak{N}((T-\lambda \mathbf{I})^j)$ . But  $\mathfrak{N}((T-\lambda \mathbf{I})^j) \subseteq \mathfrak{N}((T-\lambda \mathbf{I})^m)$   $\forall j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , and have

VEN(IT-ADI) YVEG(A,T)

$$(T-\lambda I)^{m}|_{G(\lambda,T)}=0$$

Let's consider a special case: TEL(V),  $\lambda \in F$  is an eigenvalue of T,  $m \ge 1$  is the smallest positive integer such that  $\mathfrak{N}((T-\lambda E)^{j}) = \mathfrak{N}((T-\lambda E)^{m})$  by  $\mathbb{N}(T-\lambda E)^{m}$  (so that  $G(\lambda,T) = \mathfrak{N}((T-\lambda E)^{m})$ ), and  $G(\lambda,T) = m$  (this last condition need not hold, which is why this is a special case). From above, we know that  $G(\lambda,T)$  is invariant under T and there exists  $V \in G(\lambda,T)$ ,

 $V \neq U_1$  such that  $\{V, (T-\lambda I)(V), ---, (T-\lambda I)^{m-1}(V)\}$  is linearly independent. Thus  $B = \{V_1, V_2, ---, V_m\}$ , where  $V_1 = (T-\lambda I)^{m-1}(V), V_2 = (T-\lambda I)^{m-2}(V), ---, V_m = V$  is a basis for  $G(\lambda, T)$ .

Define  $SEI(G(\lambda,7))$  by  $S=T|_{G(\lambda,7)}$ . What is  $M_{D,D}(S)$ ?

Recall that we just need to idutify  $T(v_j)$  for each j.

We have

 $(T-\lambda I)(v_1) = (T-\lambda I)^m(v) = 0 \Rightarrow T(v_1) = \lambda v_1,$   $(T-\lambda I)(v_2) = (T-\lambda I)^{m-1}(v) = v_1 \Rightarrow T(v_2) = \lambda v_2 + v_1$   $(T-\lambda I)(v_3) = (T-\lambda I)^{m-2}(v) = v_2 \Rightarrow T(v_3) = \lambda_3 v_3 + v_2$ 

 $(T-\lambda I)(v_n) = (T-\lambda I)(v) = v_{m-1} \implies T(v_m) = \lambda v_m + v_{m-1}$ 

It follows that 9M B, 8 (5) = A & Fran, where

$$A_{ij} = \begin{cases} \lambda & \text{if } j=i, \\ l & \text{if } j=i+1, \\ O & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

that is

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & \lambda & \lambda \\ \lambda & \lambda & \lambda \\ \lambda & \lambda & \lambda \end{pmatrix}.$$