Recall: Let (x,d) be a metric space

- A sequence $\{x_n\}$ is called <u>Cauchy</u> iff $\forall E \neq \emptyset \ \exists \ N \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \ (m,n \geq N \Rightarrow d(x_m,x_n) \leq E \}.$
 - · If Exal is Cauchy, then it is bounded.
 - · If {xn} CE is Cauchy, where E is compact, then {xn} converges to a point of E.
 - · If Ixn } is convergent, then it is Cauchy.
 - · If X=R' and 5xn3CR' is Cauchy, then 5xn3 converges to a point of R'. (Thus, in R', 5xn3 converges iff it is Cauchy.)
 - * Finally, X is called <u>complete</u> iff every Cauchy segume in X converges to a point of X. (Thus IR4, and in particular IR, is complete.)

Sequences of real numbers

Definition: Let [xn] be a sequence of real numbers. We say that [xn] is increasing iff xn = xn+1 & n ∈ Z+, strictly increasing iff xn < xn+1 & n ∈ Z+, decreasing iff xn+1 < xn & n ∈ Z+, strictly electeding iff xn+1 < xn & n ∈ Z+, and monotonic iff it is either increasing or decreasing.

Theorem: Let {xn} be a sequence of real numbers.

1. If {xn} is increasing and bounded obor, then it converges to some xER.

2. If {xm} is decreasing and bounded below, then it converges to some XER.

Proof: We will prove the first result; the proof of the second is analogous.

Since [xn] is haruld above, x = sup [xn] exists. We have

and, for all EDO, there exist Ne Z+ such that

 $\chi_{N} > x - \varepsilon$

Cotherwise X-8 would be an upper bound of [xu] less then the least upper bound). Since [xu] is increasing, it follows that

 $n \geq N \Rightarrow x_n \geq x_n \Rightarrow x_n \in (x-\varepsilon,x) \subset B_{\varepsilon}(x)$.

This shows that Xa -> X://

Definition: Let {xn} be a sequence of real numbers. We say that {xn} diverges to a (or +00) and write $x_n \rightarrow \infty$ (or $x_n \rightarrow +\infty$) iff $\forall M \in \mathbb{R} \ \exists \ N \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \ (n \ge N \Rightarrow x_n \ge M)$.

Analogously, we say that [xn] diverges to -oo and write xn -oo iff

YMEIR] NEZ+ (n >N = xn = M).

Lemma: Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence of positive real numbers. Then $x_n \rightarrow \infty$ iff $\frac{1}{x_n} \rightarrow 0$.

Proof: Exercise.

Lemma: Let a & IRt. Then a 1/2 - 1.

Proof: Suppose first that a > 1. Then $a^{th} > 1$ (since it is easy to prove by induction that $y \le 1 \Rightarrow y^n \le 1$). Recall that

and this

$$|+ n(a^{1/2}-1) < (|+a^{1/2}-1)^n = G$$

$$\Rightarrow$$
 $a^{1}-1 \rightarrow 0$ (since we know that $a^{1}-1>0$)

$$\Rightarrow a^{\prime\prime} \rightarrow 1.$$

Now suppose that Ocacl. Then $b = \frac{1}{6} > 1$ and we have

$$\Rightarrow \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^{1/4} \rightarrow 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^{1/4}} \rightarrow 1$$

Examples

1. If pro, then no so

2. It as l, then an -> .

3. If $\rho > 0$ and a > 1, then $\frac{m^{\rho}}{a^{\alpha}} \rightarrow 0$.

Proof: 1. Let M>0 be give. Then

n° ≥ M (=> n ≥ M 1/2.

So choose NEZ+ such that N = M1. Then

NEN=) nem's => nºzM.

Thur no. (Note: We are using standard properties of powers, such as the fact that x is well defined for all xEIRt and peix, and that x+>x is an increasing function of x if p>0. It's a bit teding to prove all of these properties; see Exercise 6 from Chapter I of Rudin for the most important of them.)

2. Let M>O be give.

an >M = a>M".

By the previous lemma, M'm->1, and a>1 by assumption. Hence there exists NEZ+ such that

nzN = Mh<a = anoM.

Thus an - > 00.

3. Suppose pro and arl. Choose he Z+ such that hrp. Write a=1+0, where 0x >0. Note that

$$a^n = (1+\alpha)^n = \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} \binom{n-j}{j} = \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} \alpha^j > \binom{n}{k} \alpha^k \quad \text{(if n ≥ k)}.$$

Assume that n>2h. Then

$$a^{n} > {n \choose u} \alpha^{k} = \frac{n!}{(n-k)! \, k!} \alpha^{k} = \frac{n(n-1)\cdots(n-k+1)}{k!} \alpha^{k} > \frac{{n \choose 2}^{k}}{k!} \alpha^{k}$$

$$= \frac{n^{k} \alpha^{k}}{2^{k} k!}.$$

Thus, if n > 2h, we here

$$0 < \frac{n^{p}}{a^{n}} < \frac{n^{p}}{\frac{n^{k} a^{k}}{2^{k} k!}} = \frac{2^{k} k!}{a^{k}} n^{p-k} = (anst.) n^{p-k}$$

Since ksp,
$$n^{\rho-k} \rightarrow 0$$
 as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus $\frac{n^{\rho}}{a^{r}} \rightarrow 0$.

The lest result is important (and a bit snipprising). It shows that any (increasing) exponential grows faster than any power function.

For example,

$$\frac{n^{10^{6}}}{(1+10^{-6})^{n}} \rightarrow 0. \quad (!)$$