We mentioned that the main significance of invariant subspaces is that they allow us to understand the structure of the operator as reflected in its matrix representation.

In perticular, if TELLV), B= {v,,-,vn} is a basis for V, and U= span(u,,-,un), W= span(u,,-,un) are invariant under T, The MB,B(T) is block diagnel:

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B},\mathcal{B}}(T) = \begin{bmatrix} A^{(1,1)} & O \\ \hline O & A^{(1,2)} \end{bmatrix}$$

Suppose we are fortunate enough to have a basis

B= [Vi,--, Vn] for V such that each Vj is an eigenvector of T:

Then

$$T\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{j} v_{j}\right) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{j} T(v_{j}) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j} x_{j} v_{j},$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}}(v) = x \implies \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}}(\tau(v)) = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_{1} x_{1} \\ \lambda_{2} x_{1} \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_{n} x_{n} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_{1} \\ \lambda_{2} \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_{n} \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_{1} \\ x_{2} \\ \vdots \\ x_{n} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{B}}(v)$$

(0=diag (x, x2, --, x)). The,

MBB (T) = D

DEF is diagonal iff

Dij=0 Vi,j=1,2,->n, i≠j.

is diagonal in this case. This is the best possible case. In this case, we say that T is diagonalizable.

As we will see, not every TEL(V) is diaganclizable, even when V is a complex vector space (i.e. a vector space over C).

However, it is always possible to choose a basis $B = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ So that $\mathcal{M}_{B,B}(T)$ is upper triangular, provided V is a complex vector space.

Note that AEFnxn is called upper triangular iff

Aij = 0 \forall i,j=1,2,-,n, i>j.

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} 100 \\ 020 \\ 00-1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ is diagacl}$$

Lemma: Given $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ and a basis $B = \{v_1 v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ for V, $g_{B,B}(T)$ is upper triangular iff $T(v_1) \in Span(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_j) \quad \forall j = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Proof: Recall that, if A = MB, B (T), then

$$T(v_j) = \sum_{i=1}^n A_{ij} v_i.$$

Thus

$$T(v_j) \in Spin(v_1,...,v_j) \iff A_{ij} = 0 \ \forall i \neq j$$

The result follows.//

Note that

This suggests a proof of the following theorem.

Theorem: Let V be an n-dimensional vector space over C, and let $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$. Thu there exists a basis B of V such that $\mathfrak{M}_{B,B}(T)$ is upper triangular.

Proof: We argue by induction on n, the dimension of V, First, every matrix $A \in F^{1\times 1}$ is upper triangular, so the result helds for n=1.

Suppose the result holds for all complex vector spaces of dimension n-1. Let V be a complex vector space of dimension v and let $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{C}$, $v_i \in V$ be an eigenvalue/eigenvector pair of T. Extend $\{v_i\}$ to a basis $\{v_i, w_2, \dots, w_n\}$ of V and define

U = Span (v,), W = Span (wz, --, wn).

We know that V=UDW. Define PEL(V,W) by $P(u+w)=w \quad \forall u+w \in UDW=V$

and note that

(I-P) (usw)= u Yutwell W.

Defne SEL(W,W) by S(W)=P(T(W)) YWEW. Since din (W) = n-1, there exists a basis $B' = \{v_2,...,v_n\}$ of W such that $M_{B',B'}(s)$ is upper triangular.

Defre

$$A' = \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}',\mathcal{B}'}(S) \in \mathcal{F}^{(n-1)\times(n-1)}$$

and write the entrier of A' as

$$A_{ij}^{\prime}$$
, $2 \leq i,j \leq n$.

Thus

$$S_{v_j} = \sum_{i=2}^{j} A'_{ij} v_{i,j} = 2,3,...,n.$$

For each vj, j=2, ..., n, we have

$$\Rightarrow$$
 $(I-P)(T(v_j))=C_jV$, for some $C_j\in C$.

Thus

$$T_{6,\gamma}=\lambda_{,\nu_{1}}\in Span(\nu_{,}),$$

$$T(v_j) = P(T(v_j)) + (I-P)(T(v_j))$$

= $(PT)(v_j) + C_j V_j$
= $S(v_j) + C_j V_j$

$$= \sum_{i=2}^{n} A_{ij}^{\prime} v_{i}^{-} + c_{j}^{-} V_{j}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} A_{ij}^{\prime} v_{i}^{-} \qquad \text{for } j=2,3,\dots,n,$$

where AEFnx is defined by

$$A_{ij} = \begin{cases} \lambda_{i} & \text{if } i = j = 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } j = 1, i = 2, \dots, n \\ c_{j} & \text{if } i = 1, j = 2, \dots, n \\ A_{ij} & \text{if } i = 2, 3, \dots, n \end{cases}$$

We have

$$T(v_j) = \sum_{\bar{i}=1}^n A_{i\bar{j}} v_i \quad \forall j = 1, 2, -, \nu$$

$$=$$
) $A = \mathcal{M}_{0,0}(T), B = \{v_1, v_2, -1, v_k\}_{1}$

and A is upper triangular. This completes the proof by induction.

Theorem: Let V be a fruite-dimensional vector space over F,
let B = SV1, V2, -, V2 he a basis for V, let T \in \mathbb{Z}(V), and suppose

A = 9MB, B(T) is upper triangular. Then T is singular iff at least

one diagonal entry of A is zero.

Proof: Suppose first that ALR = 0. We have

$$T(v_{j}) \in Span(v_{1,-2}v_{j}) \subseteq Span(v_{1,-2}v_{k-1}) \ \forall j=1,2,...,4-)$$

(since A is upper triangular) and

$$T(v_{i}) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} A_{ik} v_{i} = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} A_{ik} v_{i} \quad (\text{since } A_{kk} = 0)$$

=> The Espan (V, --, Vh-1)

Thus

Conversely, suppose all of the diagnal entries of A are nonzero.

Then

Now assume, by way of aduction, that \[T(v_1), --, \T(v_{i-1})\] is linearly independent. We have

ad

$$T(v_k) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} A_{ik} v_i = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} A_{ik} v_i + A_{ik} v_k \notin Syan(v_1, v_2, v_{k-1})$$

Since Aun \$0. Thus Ethri), -- , Thuis is linearly diclegerated.

By induction, it follows that

[TIVI], --, Thui) is linearly undependent

- =) dm(R(H) 2n
- 二) の(T)ンV
- =) T is surjedur
- => T is mjecture (Stree TELIVIT
- => T is nousingular.

This completes the proof.

Corollary: Let V be a fruite-dimensional vector space over F, let $B = \{V_1, V_2, \dots, V_n\}$ be a basis for V, let $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$, and suppose $A = \mathcal{M}_{B,B}(T)$ is upper triangular. Then the eigenvelnes of T are precisely the diagonal entries of A.

Proof: For any $\lambda \in I$, $\mathfrak{M}_{B,B}(\lambda I) = \lambda I$ (the first "I" is the

identity operator on V and the second is the identity matrix to $F^{n\times n}$. (The proof is straightforward.) Thus

MB, P(T-1) = A-7]

and

XEF is an eigenvalue of T

E) T-AI is singular

←) At least one diagonal entry of A-AI is zero

←) Aun-λ=0 for some h, 15h ≤n

E) I equals one of the diagonal entries of A./