## Math 600 Lecture 6

Theorem: Let (X,d) be a metric space and ECX. The Eisopon iff EC is closed. (Equivalently, E is closed iff EC is open.)

Proof: Suppose first that E is open. We must show that E' conteins all of its limit points. Equivoletly, we must show that if  $x \in E$ , then x is not a limit point of E' (this is equivalent since X = E U E' and  $E \wedge E = \emptyset$ ). So assume that  $x \in E$ . Then there exists  $E \wedge U = \emptyset$  such that  $E \wedge U = \emptyset$ . So assume that  $E \wedge U = \emptyset$ , But this implies that  $E \wedge U = \emptyset$  but this implies that  $E \wedge U = \emptyset$  but this implies that  $E \wedge U = \emptyset$  but a limit point of  $E \wedge U = \emptyset$ . Hence  $E \wedge U = \emptyset$  but this implies that  $E \wedge U = \emptyset$  but this implies that  $E \wedge U = \emptyset$  but a limit point of  $E \wedge U = \emptyset$  but this implies that  $E \wedge U = \emptyset$  but this implies that  $E \wedge U = \emptyset$  but this implies that  $E \wedge U = \emptyset$  but this implies that  $E \wedge U = \emptyset$  but this implies that  $E \wedge U = \emptyset$  but this implies that  $E \wedge U = \emptyset$  but this implies that  $E \wedge U = \emptyset$  but this implies that  $E \wedge U = \emptyset$  but this implies that  $E \wedge U = \emptyset$  but this implies that  $E \wedge U = \emptyset$  but this implies that  $E \wedge U = \emptyset$  but this implies that  $E \wedge U = \emptyset$  but this implies that  $E \wedge U = \emptyset$  but this implies that  $E \wedge U = \emptyset$  but this implies that  $E \wedge U = \emptyset$  but the implies that  $E \wedge U = \emptyset$  but this implies that  $E \wedge U = \emptyset$  but this implies that  $E \wedge U = \emptyset$  but the implies tha

Conversely, suppose  $E^{C}$  is closed. Then  $E^{C}$  contains all of its limit point. Let  $x \in E$ . Then, since  $x \notin E^{C}$ , x is not a limit point of  $E^{C}$ , and hence there exists r>0 such that  $B_{r}(x) \cap E^{C} = \emptyset$ . But this implies that  $B_{r}(x) \subset E$ . Since  $x \in E$  was arbitrary, this preves that E is open,

Theorem: Let X be a set, let A be another set (not necessarily a subset of X), and, for each  $\alpha \in A$ , let  $E_{\alpha}$  be a subset of X. Then

$$\left(\bigcup_{\alpha\in A}\mathsf{E}_{\alpha}\right)^{\mathsf{C}}=\bigwedge_{\alpha\in A}\mathsf{E}_{\alpha}^{\mathsf{C}},$$

$$(\Lambda E_{\alpha})^{C} = U E_{\alpha}^{C}$$

Proof: We have

$$X \in \left(\bigcup_{\alpha \in A} E_{\alpha}\right)^{C} \iff X \notin \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} E_{\alpha}$$

$$\iff \forall \alpha \in A, X \notin E_{\alpha}$$

$$\iff \forall \alpha \in A, X \in E_{\alpha}^{C}$$

$$\iff X \in \bigwedge_{\alpha \in A} E_{\alpha}^{C}.$$

Thus

Similarly,

$$X \in \left(\bigcap_{x \in A} E_{x}\right)^{c} \iff X \notin \bigcap_{x \in A} E_{x}$$

$$\iff \exists_{x \in A}, x \notin E_{x}$$

$$\iff X \in \bigcup_{x \in A} E_{x}$$

$$\iff X \in \bigcup_{x \in A} E_{x}$$

and this

Theorem: Let (x,d) be a metric space.

· Suppose A is a set and, for all QEA, Ex CX is upon. The

is open.

· Suppose Ei,..., En are open subsets of X. Then

15 open.

Proof: We have

Thus every  $X \in U$  Ex 13 an inserior point and herce U Ex is again a 6A

Now consider open sots E, ..., En. If  $x \in \bigcap_{k=1}^{N} E_{n}$ , then

$$\forall k=1,...,n, \ r \leq r_k \Rightarrow \forall k=1,...,n, \ B_r(k) \subset E_k$$

$$\Rightarrow$$
  $B_r(k) \subset \bigwedge_{k=1}^{n} E_k$ .

Thus we have shown that every  $x \in \bigwedge_{k=1}^{n} E_{k}$  is an interior point, and hence  $\bigwedge_{k=1}^{n} E_{k}$  is open.

Corollary: Let (x,d) be a metric space.

· If A is a set and, for allowed, Ex is a closed subset of X, then

is closed.

· If ne It and E, , .. , En are dised subsets of X, the

is closed.

Proof: We have that

$$\left( \bigwedge_{\alpha A} E_{\alpha} \right)^{c} = \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} E_{\alpha}^{c}$$

and

Es closed YarA => Es is open YarA

But then A Ex is closed (because its complement is open).

Similarly,

Thur DE is closed because h=1

$$\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{n} E_{\alpha}\right)^{c} = \bigwedge_{k=1}^{n} E_{\alpha}^{c}$$
 is open.

Theorem: Let (X,d) he a metric space and assume ECX. The

- 1. E is closed
- 2. E=E iff E is down
- 3, If FCX is closed and ECF, then ECF (i.e. E is the smallest open set containing E).

Proof: Exercise.

Definition: Let (X,d) be a metric space and let YCX.

Note that Y is a metric space under the same metric. (Note: Technically, it's not the same metric because the domain is different. The metric on Y is dy: YXY-TR defined by dy. (YUX) = d(YUX) YYUX EY.) Given ECY, we say that E is open relative to Y iff for all xEE, there exists T>O such that YEY and d(YX) are implies that YEE (that is, iff for all XEE, there

exists r>0 such that Br/x) MYCE).

Note:  $B_r(x) \Lambda Y = \{y \in Y \mid d(y,x) \geq r\}$  is simply the ball of radius r contened at X, assuming that Y is the nature space. Thus, if we are discussing both X and  $Y \subset X$ , we will write  $B_r(x)$  for the ball in X and  $Y \cap B_r(x)$  for the ball in Y.

Example: Let S= {reQ|OZrZI}, If regarded as a subset of RI S is not open (why?). But S is open relative to Q.

Theoren: Let (X,d) be a metric space and let  $Y \subset X$ . Then  $S \subset Y$  is open relative to Y iff their exists an open set E in X such that  $S = Y \cap E$ .

Proof: Suppree first that  $S = Y \cap E$ , where  $E \subset X$  is open. It  $Y \in S$ , then  $Y \in E$  and hence, since E is open, there exists Y > 0 such that  $B_r(y) \subset E$ . But then  $Y \cap B_r(y) \subset Y \cap E = S$ , which shows that  $Y \cap S$  an interior point of S (relative to Y). Since Y was chosen arbitrarily, this shows that S is open relative to S.

Conversely, suppose that  $S \subset Y$  is open relative to Y. Then, for each yes, there exists  $r_y > 0$  such that  $Y \cap B_{r_y}(y) \subset S$ . Define

$$E = \bigcup_{y \in S} B_{ry}(y)$$
.

Then E is open in X (because an arbitrary union of open sets is open) and

YNE = YN (
$$U_{yes}B_{r_y}(y)$$
)
$$= U(YNB_{r_y}(y)),$$
yes

which shows that  $Y \cap E \subset S$  (since  $Y \cap B_{r_y(y)} \subset S$  by ES). Since  $S \subset Y \cap E$  obviously holds (by definition,  $Y \in B_{r_y(y)} \subset E$  by ES), we see that  $S = Y \cap E$ , as desired.