## Math 600 Lecture 9

Let (x,d) be a metric space and let ECX. Define "E is bounded."

Prove: If E is unbounded, then there exists a sequence [xn] with no limit point.

Lemma: Let (X,d) be a metric space and let ECX, If E is compact, then E is bounded.

Proof: Choose any point  $x \in E$  and note that  $\{B_n(x) \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$  is an open cover of E levery point  $y \in E$  satisfies  $d(y,x) \times n$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Thus, if E is compact, a finite subcollection  $\{B_n(x), B_{n_k}(x), \dots, B_{n_k}(x)\}$  covers E. But then

 $E \subset B_{r}(x),$ 

When r=mex {n,,n2,...,nk}, Thus E is bounded //

Thus, a compact set is closed and bounded. In IR's, the converse is true.

Theorem (Heine-Bord) Let k \in Rk and let ECIR's be closed and bounded.

Then E is compact.

Proof: Since E is bounded, it is a subset of some k-cell C. We have seen a k-cell is compact, and we have also seen that a closed subset of a compact set is compact. Thus E is compact.

By an earlier result, every infinite subset of a compact set has a limit point M that set. The converse is also true in any metric space. Theorem: Let (X,d) be a matric space and let KCX. If every infinite subset E of K his a limit point in K, then K is compact.

The proof is a bit involved and requires some additional concepts.

Definition: Let (X,d) be a metric space. We say that ECX is dense in X iff for all xeX and all r zo, then exist eEE such that d(e,x) < r.

Definition: Let (X,d) be a motor space. We say that X is separable iff it contains a countable donse subspace.

Example: Let be Zt. Thun Bk is separable.

Proof: Define  $S = \{ (r_1, r_2, ..., r_k) | r_1, ..., r_k \in \mathbb{Q} \} = \mathbb{Q}^k$ . By an earlier theorem.

(C) k is countable. Let  $x = (x_1, ..., x_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k$  and r > 0 he given.

For each j=1,...,k, there exists  $r_j \in (x_j - \frac{r_j}{k_k}, x_j + \frac{r_j}{k_k}) \cap \mathbb{Q}$ . It follows that  $d(r,x) = \|r-x\|_1 = \left[\sum_{j=1}^k (r_j - x_j)^2\right]^{l/2} \leq \left[\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{r_j}{k_j}\right]^{l/2} = \Gamma.$ 

Three Q' is dense in IR'.

Definition: Let (X,d) be a metric space. A collection & Unlead of open subsets of X is called a base for X (or for the topology on X) iff for every open subset G of X and for every XEG, there exists a'EA such that XEU of G.

Theorem: Let (X,d) he a separable metric space. Then there exists a countable base for X.

Proof: Let S = {xn} he a countable dense subset of X and define

Then, M is constable (since it's a countable union of constable sets). We claim that M is a base for X. Let G be an open subset of X and let  $X \in G$ . Thus there exists  $r \in \mathbb{R}^+$  such that  $B_r(x) \subset G$ . Choose  $r' \in (0, \frac{r}{2}) \land Q$  and  $x_n \in S$  such that  $d(x_n, x_n) < r'$ . Then

$$X \in \beta_r(k_n) \subset \beta_r(k_n) \subset G$$
.

(To see this, note that

 $y \in B_r(x_n) \Rightarrow d(y,x_n) + d(x_n,x) \leq r' + r' = 2r' \geq r$ . Thus  $B_r(x_n) \subset B_r(x)$ .) This completes the proof.

Theorem: Let (x,d) be a metric space with a constable base. If ECX is any set and {GalacA} is an open cover for E, then there is a countable subcorr (i.e. there exists a fixite or countably infinite subset A' of A such that ECUGO).

Proof: Let ? Un ne Z+) be a convitable bose for X, let ECX, and let [GalacA] be an open over for E. For each XEE, there exists axe A such that XE Gax. Since {U,} is a base for the topology of X, for each XEE, there exists nxEZ+ such that XEUnx = Gox. Define B = [Unx IXEE] < [Un] and note that B is courtable. By anstrution, for each UEB, there exists Gay such that UC Gu (there may be many such Gu, but we need only one). But the

{ Gy [NEB}

13 a courtable open covor for E.//

Theorem: Let (X,d) be a metric space with the proporty that every infinite subset of X has a limit point in X. Then X is separable.

Proof: Choose any 570 and select XIEX. Construct X2, X3,... as follows: Given Xun, Xu such that

d(xi,xj)≥8 ∀i,j=b-,k,i+j,

Choose Xin (if possible) so that

d(xi, xax) 28 Vi=1, -, h.

We claim that this process mut end after a finite number of steps. It not, we obtain a segmence IXA 3 such that

d(xn,xn) 28 YmneZ+, m=n.

But such a sequence cannot have a limit post in X (any ball of radius &

contains at most are port in this segment. Thus, there exists is and points xi,..., xing such that

$$X = \bigcup_{j=1}^{n_s} B_s(x_j).$$

Write  $S_8 = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ . Note that, for all  $x \in X$ , there exists some  $X_j \in S_8$  such that  $d(x_1, x_j) \geq S$ .

Now define

Let \$>0 be arbitrary and let  $x \in X$ , We wish to prove that there exists  $y \in S$  such that  $d(x,y) \in E$ . But if we choose  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  such that  $\frac{1}{n} \in S$ , then there exists  $y \in S_n \subset S$  such that

Thus S is done m X, that is, X is separable.

Theorem: Suppose (x,d) is a metric space with the property that every infinite subset of X has a limit point in X. Then X is compact.

Proof: By the above results, X is separable, hence there exists a countable basis for X, hence every open cover for X contains a countable subcover for X. Thus it suffices to poor that if SGn3 is a countable open cover for X, then it contains a finite subcover.

So let  $\{6n\}$  be a countable open cover for X. Define, for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ ,

$$F_{\lambda} = \left( \begin{array}{c} \hat{\mathcal{D}} G_{j} \\ \hat{J} = 1 \end{array} \right)^{C} = \begin{array}{c} \hat{\Lambda} G_{j}^{C} \\ \hat{J} = 1 \end{array}$$

Let us argue by contradiction and assume that  $\{6n\}$  contains no finite subcover of X. Then  $\{6,,-,6n\}$  does not cover X for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  and hence  $F_n \neq \emptyset$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . However,

$$\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n = \bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} G_j^c = \left( \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} G_j \right)^c = X^c = \emptyset.$$

For each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , let  $x_n \in F_n$ . By assumption,  $\{x_n\}$  has a limit point  $x \in X$ . Note that each  $F_n$  is closed and

Thus x is a limit point of each  $F_n$  and hence, since each  $F_n$  is classed,  $X \in F_n$   $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . But then  $X \in \bigwedge^\infty F_n$ , a contradiction.

Thus 86n3 must cowhile a finite subcover, and we have proved that X is compactiff