Theorem (the spectral theorem for complex normal operators): Let V be a finite-dimensional inner product space over C and let TE L(V) be normal. Then there exists an arthurand basis B of V such that MB, B (T) is diagonal.

Proof: By Schur's theorem, there exists an orthonomal basist B of V such that $A = \mathcal{M}_{B,B}(T)$ is upper triangular. We will show that A must be diagonal. Recall that $\mathcal{M}_{B,B}(T^*) = \mathcal{M}_{B,B}(T)^* = A^*$. Write $B = SV_{1,1},...,V_{1,2}$. We have

$$T(v_j) = \sum_{i=1}^{j} A_{i,j} v_i, \quad T^*(v_j) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (A^*)_{i,j} v_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \widetilde{A}_{i,i} v_i$$

(using the fact that A is upper triangular and hence A*is lower triangular). In particular,

$$T(v_i) = A_{ii} \vee_{i}, \quad T^*(v_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \overline{A}_{ii} \vee_{i}$$

and

$$||T(v_{i})||^{2} = ||T^{*}(v_{i})||^{2} \implies |A_{i,i}|^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} |A_{i,i}|^{2}$$

$$\implies \sum_{i=1}^{n} |A_{i,i}|^{2} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow A_{12} = A_{13} = -- = A_{1n} = 0.$$

It follows that

$$T(v_2) = A_{i_2}v_1 + A_{22}v_2 = A_{22}v_1 \quad (s) hc \quad A_{i_2} = 0),$$

$$T^*(v_2) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \overline{A}_{2i}v_{i_1}^{i}$$

50

$$||T(v_{2})||^{2} = ||T^{*}(v_{2})||^{2} \implies |A_{22}|^{2} = \sum_{i=2}^{n} |A_{2i}|^{2}$$

$$\implies \sum_{i=3}^{n} |A_{2i}|^{2} = 0$$

$$\implies A_{23} = A_{24} = --- = A_{2n} = 0.$$

Continuing in this fashion, we can show that all entries of A above the diagonal are zero, and hence A is diagonal!

Alternate proof: We argue by induction on the dimension of V.

If din(V)=1, the result holds because every 1×1 mentrix is diagonal. Suppose the result holds for vector spaces of dimension n-1, where $n\geq 2$, let din(V)=n, and let $T\in\mathcal{L}(V)$ be normal. Since V is a complex vector space, T has an eigenpair λ_1, V_1 . Wlog we can assume that $||V_1||=1$. Define $U=spin(V_1)$; then $V=U\oplus U^{\perp}$

Now, since T is normal,

 $T(v_i|=\lambda_i v_i \implies T^*(v_i)=\overline{\lambda_i} v_i$

> U is invariant under T*

⇒ U[⊥] is invariant under T (by an earlier result).

Of course, U is also invariant under T and hence U' is invariant under Th

Define S: U-, U+ by S(u)=T(u) \u00e4 neU+. We claim that S is normal. For u, we U+,

 $\langle S(u), w \rangle = \langle T(u), w \rangle = \langle u, T^*(w) \rangle.$

Since T*(w) EU ! for all well !, this shows that S*: U =>U is

defined by S*(w)=T*(w) Ywell! I + now follows that

\(\frac{1}{2}\) \(\text{L}\) \

=T(5*/all

= S(5*/W),

Thus S is normal. Applying the induction hypothesis, there is an orthonormal basis (vs. -vn) for U + such that

S(vj)=2jv; for j=2,3, ...,n

Since {vi,vi,->vi} is orthogonal, this shows that T is diagonalizable by an arthogonal besis, and the proof by induction is complete.

Next, we wish to prove the spectral theorem for real self-adjoint Operators. (Note that the preceding theorem applies to a self-adjoint operator on a complex space.) The proof is similar to the alternate proof above, given the following lemma.

Lemma: Let V be a real inner product space and let TEL(V) be self-adjoint. Then T has an eigenvalue.

We will give the proof of the lemma after the theorem.

Theorem (the spectral theorem for real self-adjoint operators): Let V be a real inner product space and let TELLV) be self-adjoint. Then there exists an arthmormal basis B of V such that MBB(T) is diagonal.

Proof: We argue by induction an dim (V). If dim(W)=1, the result is obviously true, so suppose it holds in every real inner product space of dimension n-1, where $n\geq 2$. Let V be a real inner product space of dimension n and let $T\in L(V)$ be self-adjoint. By the preceding lemma, T has an eigmphix $\lambda_{U}V_{1}$ ($\lambda_{1}\in\mathbb{R}$). Assume, why, that $|V_{1}|=1$, and define $U=spen(v_{1})$. Then $V=U\oplus U^{\frac{1}{2}}$. We know that $U^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is invariant under $T^{*}=T$. It is straightforward to show that S=T[U] is a self-adjoint element of $L(U^{\frac{1}{2}})$. Hence, by the induction hypothesis, there exists an arthonormal basis $S_{V_{2}}V_{2},...,V_{n}J$ of $U^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and scalars $\lambda_{2},\lambda_{3},...,\lambda_{n}\in\mathbb{R}$ such that

S(v;)= 2; v; for J=2,3,...,n.

But then This = Ship = Livi for j=2,3,-, n and hence Ship,-,-, us is an arthonormal basis for V with

ナ(ツー)シー, ブラルシーの.

This completes the proof by industring

It remains to prove that every real, self-adjoint operator has a (real) eigenvalue.

· Proof 1: Complexity V and T to get Vc and Tc. Prove that

To is a self-adjoint element of LlVc). Then Tc has an eigenvalue of Lince Vc is a complex space) that must be real since Tc it self-adjoint. Prove that it is also an eigenvalue of T.

This is straightforward but a bit tedious.

· Proof 2:

Lemma: Let V be a finite-dimensional real inner product space, let TEdW be self-adjoint, and let b, CEIR satisfy b'-4c<0. Then

is invertible.

Proof: For any VEV, V \$0, we have

$$\angle (T^2+bT+cT)(x),v7= < T^2(v),v7+b< T(v),v7+c< v,v7$$

Note how we used the Caushy-Schwarz inequality:

[< T(w), v>] < ||T(w) || U v ||

=> < T(W, v) > - 11T(W) 11 Vol.

= < T(N),T(N) + 6 < T(N),V) + C < V,V)

> 117/13/12-61/17/11/11/11 +c/11/1/2

 $= \|T(v)\|^{2} - b\|T(v)\|\|v\| + \frac{b^{2}}{4}\|v\|^{2} + (c - \frac{b^{2}}{4})\|v\|^{2}$

= (IIT(v)11-211/11)2+ 4c-1211/112

> 4c-b2 | 1 v1 2 > 0 (since 4c-b2 > 0).

It follows that (T2+bT+cI)(V) \$\neq 0\$ for all \$V\$0. Therefore

T2+bT+cI is nonsingular and hence invertible.

Now let \$V \in V\$ be nonzero and consider \$\langle V, \text{TV}\rangle, \to \text{TVV}\rangle,

Where \$n = \dim (V)\$. Since this set is linearly dependent,

there exist \$\pi_0, \pi_1, \to \rangle \pi \R\rangle, not all \$0\$, such that

\$\pi_1 V + \pi_1 \text{TV} + \to + \pi_n \text{TNV} = 0.

The polynamial

do + dixt --- taux"

Can be factored lover IR) into a product of linear and irreducible quadratic factors:

(In principle, he or I could be 0. $\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 x_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n x^n = C(x^2 + b_1 x_1 + c_1) - \cdots + (x^2 + b_n x_1 + c_n)(x - \lambda_1) - \cdots - (x - \lambda_n)$ But we will see (b₁, \cdots, \daybe{b}₁, \cdots, \daybe{c}₁, \cdots, \daybe{c}₁, \cdots, \daybe{c}₁, \daybe{c}₁

(T-2,I)---(T-2,I).

Now, auIta, Tt--tant" is singular and each

T2+b; T+c; I

is nousingular (by the preceding lemma). It follows that there must be at least one factor of the form T-x; I that is

Singular. Thus T has at least one eigenvalue 15+1R.