

Math 672 Lecture 13

Why do we care about linear functionals and V' ?

1. Linear functionals arise in many contexts:

- Evaluation (at a point) of continuous functions:

- E.g. Given $c \in [a, b]$, then

$$e_c: C[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R},$$

$$e_c(f) = f(c)$$

is a linear functional on $C[a, b]$.

- Integration (or taking the mean):

$$m: C[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R},$$

$$m(f) = \int_a^b f(x) dx \quad (\text{or } m(f) = \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x) dx)$$

is a linear functional.

- The trace of a matrix:

$$\text{tr}: \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R},$$

$$\text{tr}(A) = \sum_{i=1}^n A_{ii}$$

is a linear functional (with interesting properties, e.g.,

$\text{tr}(A)$ = the sum of the eigenvalues of A).

- Most importantly, derivatives are defined by linear functionals.

Suppose V is a vector space over \mathbb{R} and $f: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is

a functional (not necessarily linear). Assuming we have a norm $\| \cdot \|$ defined on V (we discuss norms later in the course), we define the derivative of f at $v \in V$ (if it exists) to be $l \in \mathcal{L}(V, \mathbb{R})$ satisfying

$$\lim_{w \rightarrow 0} \frac{|f(v+w) - f(v) - l(w)|}{\|w\|} = 0.$$

(If such an l does not exist, then f is not differentiable at v .) There are many notations for the derivative of f at v ; the most common are probably $f'(v)$ or $Df(v)$. Note that $f'(v) \in \mathcal{L}(V, \mathbb{R})$ (or $Df(v) \in \mathcal{L}(V, \mathbb{R})$).

Question: If $f'(v)$ is a linear functional, then why do we say (in calculus class) that, for $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $f'(x)$ is a number?

Why do we care about the dual map T' of $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$?

This will be more evident when we introduce inner product spaces, in which context the dual map T' becomes the adjoint T^* .

However, here is one important application: Suppose $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ and $f: W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (f is presumably nonlinear). Then $g = f \circ T$ maps V into \mathbb{R} , and we can ask for $g'(v) \in V'$. By the chain rule,

$$g'(v) = f'(T(v)) \circ T = T'(f'(T(v)))$$

($f'(T(v)) \in W'$ and $T': W' \rightarrow V'$, so $T'(f'(T(v))) \in V'$, as expected).

I wish to prove two facts about dual maps.

Theorem: $\dim(R(T')) = \dim(R(T))$.

Proof: Choose $v_1, \dots, v_n \in V$ such that $\{T(v_1), \dots, T(v_n)\}$ is a basis for $R(T)$. Extend it to a basis $\{w_1, \dots, w_m\}$ for W , where

$w_j = T(v_j)$ for $j=1, 2, \dots, n \leq m$. Let $\{\psi_1, \dots, \psi_m\}$ be the dual

basis for W' . We claim that $\{T'(\psi_1), \dots, T'(\psi_n)\}$ is a

basis for $R(T')$. First, $\{T'(\psi_1), \dots, T'(\psi_n)\}$ is linearly independent:

$$\alpha_1 T'(\psi_1) + \dots + \alpha_n T'(\psi_n) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow T'(\alpha_1 \psi_1 + \dots + \alpha_n \psi_n) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow ((\alpha_1 \psi_1 + \dots + \alpha_n \psi_n) \circ T)(v) = 0 \quad \forall v \in V$$

$$\Rightarrow (\alpha_1 \psi_1 + \dots + \alpha_n \psi_n)(T(v_j)) = 0 \quad \forall j=1, \dots, n$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \psi_i(T(v_j)) = 0 \quad \forall j=1, \dots, n$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha_j = 0 \quad \forall j=1, \dots, n.$$

Now suppose $\varphi \in \mathcal{Q}(T')$, say $\varphi = T'(\psi)$ for some $\psi \in W'$.

We have

$$\varphi = \psi \circ T$$

$$\Rightarrow \varphi = (\alpha_1 \psi_1 + \dots + \alpha_n \psi_n) \circ T \text{ for some } \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$$

$$\Rightarrow \varphi(v) = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j \psi_j(T(v)) \quad \forall v \in V$$

$$\text{Fix } v \in V; \text{ then } T(v) \in \mathcal{Q}(T), \text{ so } T(v) = \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i T(v_i)$$

for some β_1, \dots, β_n . It follows that

$$\psi_j(T(v)) = 0 \quad \forall j = 1, \dots, n$$

$$\Rightarrow \varphi(v) = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j \psi_j(T(v))$$

$$= \left((\alpha_1 \psi_1 + \dots + \alpha_n \psi_n) \circ T \right)(v)$$

Thus

$$\varphi = (\alpha_1 \psi_1 + \dots + \alpha_n \psi_n) \circ T$$

$$= \alpha_1 \psi_1 \circ T + \dots + \alpha_n \psi_n \circ T$$

$$= \alpha_1 T'(\psi_1) + \dots + \alpha_n T'(\psi_n)$$

$$\Rightarrow \varphi \in \text{span}(T'(\psi_1), \dots, T'(\psi_n)). //$$

Theorem Let V, W be finite-dimensional vector spaces over a field F with bases \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C} , respectively, and let $\mathcal{B}', \mathcal{C}'$ be the dual bases of \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C} , respectively. Then

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{C}', \mathcal{B}'}(T') = \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}}(T)^T \quad (\text{matrix transpose}).$$

Proof: Let us write $\mathcal{B} = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$, $\mathcal{C} = \{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_m\}$,

$$\mathcal{B}' = \{\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_n\}, \mathcal{C}' = \{\psi_1, \psi_2, \dots, \psi_m\}, A = \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}}(T), B = \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{C}', \mathcal{B}'}(T').$$

Let $v \in V$, $\psi \in W'$ be given, and note that

$$\psi(T(v)) = (T'(\psi))(v).$$

Suppose

$$v = \sum_{j=1}^n x_j v_j, \quad \psi = \sum_{i=1}^m y_i \psi_i.$$

Then

$$T(v) = \sum_{k=1}^m (Ax)_k w_k \quad (\text{since } \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{C}}(T(v)) = A \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}}(v))$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_i(T(v)) &= \psi_i \left(\sum_{k=1}^m (Ax)_k w_k \right) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^m (Ax)_k \psi_i(w_k) \\ &= (Ax)_i \quad (\text{since } \psi_i(w_k) = \delta_{ik}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Rightarrow \varphi(T(v)) &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_i \varphi_i \right) (T(v)) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_i \varphi_i(T(v)) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_i (Ax_i) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_i \left(\sum_{j=1}^n A_{ij} x_j \right) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n A_{ij} x_j \gamma_i.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$T'(\varphi) = \sum_{j=1}^n (By)_j \varphi_j \quad (\text{since } \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}'}(T'(\varphi)) = \mathcal{B} \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{C}'}(\varphi))$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Rightarrow (T'(\varphi))(v) &= \left(\sum_{j=1}^n (By)_j \varphi_j \right) (v) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^n (By)_j \varphi_j(v).
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi_j(v) &= \varphi_j \left(\sum_{k=1}^n x_k v_k \right) \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^n x_k \varphi_j(v_k)
\end{aligned}$$

$$= x_j \quad (\text{since } \varphi_j(v_k) = \delta_{jk}),$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (T'(\varphi))(v) &= \sum_{j=1}^n (By)_j x_j \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\sum_{i=1}^m B_{ji} y_i \right) x_j \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^m B_{ji} x_j y_i \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n B_{ji} x_j y_i. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(T(v)) &= (T'(\varphi))(v) \\ \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n A_{ij} x_j y_i &= \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n B_{ji} x_j y_i \end{aligned}$$

Now, this holds for all $v \in V$ and all $\varphi \in W'$, that is,

for all $x \in F^n$ and all $y \in F^m$. Therefore,

$$B_{ji} = A_{ij} \quad \forall i=1,2,\dots,m, j=1,2,\dots,n,$$

that is, $B = A^T //$