Matthew Sirota

(7.71)

a) Neutral: some of the points are outside the control lines, so it is approximately normal. I values should be used because n < 30 and 5 unknown

Sad: points inside control lines so it is normal. I should be used because n < 30 and 5 unknown

neutral 14 0.571 0.730 sad 17 2.118 1.244

e) null: Ho: Here is not a significant difference in the mean price of the waters

Ho: MI = M2 or MI-M2 = 0

alt: H_A : there is a significant difference in the mean price of the waters H_A : $M_1 \neq M_2$ or $M_1 - M_2 \neq 0$

d) $N_1 = 14$ 0.05 $N_2 = 17$ $\overline{X}_1 = 0.571$ $\overline{X}_2 = 2.118$ $\overline{X}_2 = 1.244$

$$f = \frac{0.571 - 2.118}{\int \frac{(0.730)^2}{14} - \frac{(1.244)^2}{17}} = \frac{-4.306}{p \text{ value} = 0}$$

p-value 2 oc, so reject Ho conclusion: There is sufficient sample evidence to warrant the conclusion that there is a significant difference between the means

$$\begin{array}{ll} + = 2.16 & \text{for } 3f & \text{at } 0.05 \\ (0.571 - 2.118) & \pm & (2.16) & \sqrt{\frac{(0.730)^{12}}{14} - \frac{(1.244)^{2}}{17}} \\ & = -1.547 \pm 0.776 \\ & = -2.323 \leq M_1 - M_2 \leq -0.771 \end{array}$$

I am 95% confident The true difference in means for these two groups is between 2.323 and 0.771

(7.89) a) Ho: MB = MF hemogloba in breast fed o formula

HA: MB 7 MF hemogloba in breast fed 7 formula

$$\frac{1.7^{2}}{\sqrt{\frac{1.7^{2}}{23} + \frac{1.8^{2}}{19}}} = \frac{1.654}{0.05370.05}, \text{ so FTR Ho}$$

conclusion: There is not significant evidence to support the claim the homoglobin in breast fed is greater than formula,

b)
$$\psi = 2.101$$
 for $df = 18$ at 95% cmf.
 $(13.3-12.4) \pm 2.101$ $\sqrt{\frac{1.7^2}{23} + \frac{1.8^2}{19}}$

= -0.2434 EMB-MF & 2.0434

I am 95% conflicte true difference in means for these two groups is between 0.2434 and 2.0434

c) The procedures are only valid when the samples are independent from the population

Ho: 61=62 HA: 6, \$ 620 0 0 14 00 11.0

(7.102) a) TS (F=0.38)

and M

110-2 1 1 1 2 - 0 11. b) Funtual = (n,-1, n2-1) 1º (10, 15) = 2.59

c) F value is not within costical region, so fail to reject Ho

There is not sufficient evidence to suggest that the standard deviations are equal

(7.122) a) X, = 49.69 X2: 30.55 51:12:32 \$ 52: 1.92 5,2. 3.686 5,2 : 5.38

TS = + = -0.9 p-val = 0.383 df = 9

prem = -0.853 $5^2 = 1.611$ 4 = -2.13 p = 0.062 df = 9

the results show no difference between the population means **c**)

and as the to the state of the

and the text of the

117 17 4016 1 18 - 184 31 W Mar 200 63 425 7 -18 (3

\$ NO. 4 . 1048)

boys:
$$\rho_b = \frac{52}{132} = 0.394$$

standard error: $(0.394)(1-0.394) = (0.0425)$

b)
$$z:1.96$$

$$(0.8-0.394) \pm 1.96$$

$$(0.8)(1-0.8) + (0.394)(1-0.394)$$

$$(32)$$

$$= 0.4061 \pm 0.131 = 0.275 \leq \rho_9 - \rho_b \leq 0.5372$$

proportions is between 0.275 and 0.5372

$$\frac{2}{0.52(1-0.52)(\frac{1}{60}+\frac{1}{32})} \approx 5.22$$

5.22 is in rejection region so reject Ho

conclusion: there is a difference in the proportions of the two