

Introduction to Networking and Systems Measurements

Reproducible Experiments



Dr Andrew W. Moore

andrew.moore@cl.cam.ac.uk

Dr Noa Zilberman

noa.zilberman@cl.cam.ac.uk

Reproducibility vs Repeatability

- **Repeatability** measures the variation in measurements taken by a single instrument or person under the same conditions
- **Reproducibility** measures whether an entire study or experiment can be reproduced in its entirety.

Why?

- Establish variance – **Repeatability**
- Establish reliability – **Repeatability**

- Evaluate a new method – **Reproducibility**
- Eval. a new environment – **Reproducibility**
- Evaluate a new approach – **Reproducibility**

Variables and Constants

- *Why* will tell us what we want to *vary*
and
- *Why* what we need to hold constant

Random-ish

- Rarely do we want true-random
- Typically we want pseudo-random
- Often we want to specify the seed(s)
- Knuth gets this right – take care everywhere



Method and Environment

- Simulation?
- Emulation?
- Implementation driven evaluation?
- Deployment?
- Partial emulation?
- Partial implementation driven evaluation?

Software tools: Scripts, Make, etc

- We have some quite useful repeatability tools:
- Make (links dependencies)
- Scripts – sort of documents what you actually need to do to get from (A) to (B)
- So please use them.

Machines (/Hardware)

- Memory? CPU? Disk type and config?
- Hyperthreading and temperature controls?
- Which slots where stuff in?
- Switch config? Switch hardware? Which *actual* Switch?
- Which transceivers? NICs? cables?

- Tell me again which disk did you dump data to?
- (Oh you forgot to mention the periodic process that moved the data from your machine to another machine so the local disk didn't overrun....)

Workloads

- Why is this workload the right one?
 - Stress testing?
- Did you use the workload-generator correctly?
- Record everything from command line options to software and library versions.

Benchmarks

- Often well equipped to run with good reproducibility
- Often not representative of what you want
- Benchmarks might exercise, but just like in fitness training: exercise is not *competition*.

Logged data

- Lets talk about time....
 - No god clock
 - Many representations
 - TimeZone is fun
 - UNIX time is fine, sometimes...
- Text records are nice (for humans)
- Binary records are nice (for programmes)

So what is meta-data?

The other stuff needed to repeat precisely the same experiment

- Make and Model (and firmware and config)
- DNS (yep the whole damn thing – ok just the entries for your systems)
- Bootp/dhcp/activedirectory - all state

Examples where I screwed up

Things I forgot:

- DNS (yep the whole damn thing – ok just the DNS entries for all hosts in the trace)
- Snapshot of the code base of the executable we used
- Photo of the setup

Try stuff!
(don't be hipster flanders)



Other peoples work

To reproduce other peoples work

You must get inside other peoples heads

Very few *high-bars* in reproducibility

<http://www.cl.cam.ac.uk/research/srg/netos/qjump/repro.html>