

# Introduction to Networking and Systems Measurements

## Measurement Pitfalls

---



**Andrew W. Moore**  
[andrew.moore@cl.cam.ac.uk](mailto:andrew.moore@cl.cam.ac.uk)

# Common Measurement Pitfalls

- What are the hidden assumptions?
- What did you not notice (in the system, setup, ....)?
- What can your tool do?
- Vantage points
- Repeatability pitfalls
- Performance pitfalls
- Reading the results

# Hidden Assumptions - Examples

- The path from A to B is the same (reverse) as the path from B to A
- There is no packet reordering
- Device throughput is the same for all packet sizes
- Test packets will experience the same effects as application's traffic
- The effect of DNS lookup is negligible
- The measurement tool has negligible overhead
- Previous work was correct

# Another take:

## 8 fallacies of Distributed Systems

- The network is reliable
- Latency is zero
- Bandwidth is infinite
- The network is secure
- Topology doesn't change
- There is one administrator
- Transport cost is zero
- The network is homogeneous

# System and Setup

## Did you notice that....

- There are other jobs running on the same core
- ICMP traffic is throttled by the OS
- CPU frequency scaling is enabled
- The CPU that you are using is not connected directly to the NIC
- Kernel version has been updated overnight
- The 2x40G NIC uses PCIe Gen 3 x8 (~60Gbps)
- There is a new Errata...

# What can your tool do? - Examples


- SSD can write at 450MB/s
  - Don't try to write data captured at 10Gbps
- The latency for reading CPU timestamp is ~tens of cycles
  - Don't try to use it to measure cache access time
- DAG resolution is 4ns
  - Don't try to measure the propagation delay through 1m fibre
- OSNT can only capture at low rate
  - Don't try to measure latency of 10Gbps flows

# Vantage Points: Example 2 (Lecture 5)

- Mellanox Spectrum vs Broadcom Tomahawk
  - Tolly report, 2016  
<http://www.mellanox.com/related-docs/products/tolly-report-performance-evaluation-2016-march.pdf>
- Bandwidth distribution, 3→1 scenario
  - Source ports 25,26,27, Destination port 31  
**33%** BW from each port, on both devices
  - Source ports 24,25,26, Destination port 31  
**33%** BW from each port, on Spectrum  
**25%** from ports 25,26, **50%** from port 24 on Tomahawk
- What does it mean?


# Vantage Points: Example 2

## Or: What is wrong with Broadcom Tomahawk?







# Repeatability Pitfalls - Examples



Running on bare metal  
(local)




Running on a VM  
(local)



Running in the cloud

*Increased performance variance*

# Repeatability Pitfalls - Examples



Apache Webserver - Running in the cloud  
**38%** difference in median performance

# Latency Pitfalls - Examples


- What is the definition of “latency”?
  - Propagation delay? Inter packet gap? Round trip time? Flow completion time?
- How was the latency measured?
  - Start of packet to start of packet? Start of packet to end of packet?
  - Single packet? Packet-pair? Packet-train?
- Where was the timestamp taken?
  - ...and how did it affect the measurement?
- Resolution, precision and accuracy...

# Bandwidth Pitfalls - Examples

- What is the definition of “bandwidth”?
  - Link capacity? Average throughput? Peak throughput?
- Controllability
  - Packet size? Protocol? QoS?
- What was the status of the network?
- Net neutrality?
- Did you pass through the bottlenecks?
- Resolution, precision and accuracy...


# Example: Timestamp difference between ports

- Recall Lab 2, experiment 2.1 b
- Measuring the timestamp difference between 2 ports:




# Example: Timestamp difference between ports

- 100,000 packets, **1024B**
- Different Inter Packet Gaps (IPG)




# Example: Timestamp difference between ports

- 100,000 packets, **64B**
- Different Inter Packet Gaps (IPG)



# Example: Timestamp difference between ports

- 100,000 packets, **64B**, running 10 times
- Same Inter Packet Gap (IPG)





# Example: Switch Throughput

- The reported iperf result for a NetFPGA reference switch is 9.4Gbps
- User complaint: I see only 8.9Gbps and packet drop in the switch

Connecting to host 10.0.0.13, port 5201

[ 4] local 10.0.0.12 port 54764 connected to 10.0.0.13 port 5201

[ ID]	Interval		Transfer	Bandwidth	Retr	Cwnd
[ 4]	0.00-1.00	sec	1.02 GBytes	8.76 Gbits/sec	74	313 KBytes
[ 4]	1.00-2.00	sec	1.03 GBytes	8.86 Gbits/sec	34	198 KBytes
[ 4]	2.00-3.00	sec	1.03 GBytes	8.87 Gbits/sec	34	281 KBytes
[ 4]	3.00-4.00	sec	1.04 GBytes	8.92 Gbits/sec	34	238 KBytes
[ 4]	4.00-5.00	sec	1.04 GBytes	8.93 Gbits/sec	32	208 KBytes
[ 4]	5.00-6.00	sec	1.04 GBytes	8.92 Gbits/sec	29	187 KBytes
[ 4]	6.00-7.00	sec	1.04 GBytes	8.95 Gbits/sec	27	365 KBytes
[ 4]	7.00-8.00	sec	1.04 GBytes	8.94 Gbits/sec	28	233 KBytes
[ 4]	8.00-9.00	sec	1.03 GBytes	8.88 Gbits/sec	30	420 KBytes
[ 4]	9.00-10.00	sec	1.04 GBytes	8.96 Gbits/sec	33	423 KBytes


[ ID]	Interval		Transfer	Bandwidth	Retr	
[ 4]	0.00-10.00	sec	10.4 GBytes	8.90 Gbits/sec	355	sender
[ 4]	0.00-10.00	sec	10.4 GBytes	8.90 Gbits/sec		receiver

# Example: Switch Throughput

- Debug: Have you tried changing rx-usec?
- User: no more packet drop in the switch!
- ...but bandwidth is down to 7.5Gbps...
  
- New insight: NIC used on reference setup (Solarflare) is different than the NIC used by user (Intel)
- (skipping a few steps forward)


# Example: Switch Throughput

- Switch throughput over time (10ms sampling resolution)




# Example: Switch Throughput

- Switch throughput over time (100 $\mu$ s sampling resolution)



# Example: Switch Throughput

- What else is different?



# Example: TSC Access


- Goals:
  - Evaluate the accuracy & precision of time-taking using CPU time stamp counter (TSC)
- Methodology:
  - Read TSC twice
  - Measure the time-gap between the two consecutive reads
- Results:
  - Min/Median/99.9%: 9ns/10ns/11ns

# Example: TSC Access

```
1  while (!done)
2  {
3      //Read TSC twice, one immediately after the other
4      do_rdtscp(tsc, cpu);
5      do_rdtscp(tsc2, cpu2);
6      //If the gap between the two reads is above a
          certain threshold, save it
7      if ((tsc2 - tsc > threshold) && (cpu == cpu2))
8          buffer[samples++] = tsc2 - tsc;
9  }
```

# Example: TSC Access

What happens over time?





# Example: TSC Access




- Source data:

X ≤	User space Events
10	91428291492
11	404700
12	268521
22	268291
120	267465
1097	10768
10869	1

X ≤	Kernel Events
9	11117819727
10	3973891503
49	287
53	201
98	90
1155	86
1184	85
1241	77
1982	1

---

# Example: TSC Access



# Example: Topology Measurements

- Goal:

- Build a map of network connectivity that assigns IP addresses to locations


- Method:

- Simple option: name resolution
  - 4.69.166.1  $\Rightarrow$  ae-119-3505.edge4.London1.Level3.net
  - But many times information is missing, not indicative or is inaccurate
- Better option: use geolocation services
  - Most services claim to be over 99% accurate

# Example: Topology Measurements

## Building a map of the network:


- Measurements for connectivity
- Geolocation databases for location




# Example: Topology Measurements

## What is your ground truth?

- Geolocation databases are over 99% accurate



Verizon/MCI/UUNET (ASN 703)  
10-nodes PoP




Heatmap – Median distance between databases  
(2011)



# Validation

- Measurements need to be validated
- Don't make assertions!
- Use ground truth (where available)
- Compare different tools and methodologies
- Do the results make sense?
  - RTT can't be faster than traveling at the speed of light...
- Have I mentioned validation?



# Labs 4-5 and Final Report

- Each student assigned an artifact
- Black-box evaluation
- Running in Azure – Accept invite!
- Read the handout before Lab 4
  - Lab will provide a walk through and Q&A time
- Prepare a reproducibility review of a paper
- Extra mark for reproducing an experiment from the paper
- Lab 5 – discussion of the test plan, Q&A

# Final Report - Recommendations

- Include all figures within the report
  - Use proper scale, adapt the template if need be
- Make sure that your environment does not affect the results
- Do not make assertions
  - Support your claims through experimentations
- Discuss your results in depth:
  - Compare and contrast results gained through different vantage points, using different tools, on different platforms etc
  - Provide side-by-side comparisons
  - Use the questions in the handouts as guiding examples
- Use the right terminology (accuracy, precision, resolution)
- Correct typos and grammar mistakes
- Make sure not to run out of budget
- Follow the instructions in the handout



# Course Summary

- This course has covered measurements tools and measurement techniques
- But also “why out most basic assumptions are wrong”, “graphs lie”, “what you don’t know about your system”, ...
- Remember:
  - Constant vigilance
  - Look at the data, best-practice, think.
- These ideas apply to  
**all** types of measurements

