Nested vs. Splitted Structures in Data Simulation and Inference

Yingqi Jing

July 22, 2025

Contents

List of Figures

List of Tables



Figure 1: nest and split operations for a data.frame

The development of `dplyr` and `purrr` packages makes the workflow of R programming more smooth and flexible. The dplyr package provides an elegant way of manipulating data.frames or tibbles in a column-wise (e.g., **select**, **filter**, **mutate**, **arrange**, **group_by**, **summarise** and **case_when**) or row-wise (**rowwise**, **c_across**, and **ungroup**) manner. It also fits well with the `map` function by applying an anonymous function to each column, or applying a user-defined function to each row.

Figure 2: column-wise and row-wise operations in dplyr

The purrr package allows us to map a function to each element of a list. You can also **select**, **filter**, **modify**, **combine** and **summarise** a list (see this blog for an overview). Note that the default output from `map` function is a list of the same length as the input data, though you can easily reformat the output into a data.frame via `map_dfr` and `map_dfc` functions.

```
args <- list(sd = c(1, 2, 3, 4), n = c(1, 2, 3, 4))
purrr::pmap(args, rnorm, mean = 0)
```



Figure 3: pmap function to each row of a list in purrr package

Here we focus on comparing and understanding the `nest` and `split` functions in data simulation and inference. Specifically, two data structures (**nested data** vs. **splitted data**) are used for simulating and fitting linear regression models across different types.

1 Linear regression via nested structures

1.1 Function

We first define a function to generate the response (y) by providing the predictor (x), *intercept* and *slope*.

```
# function to generate the response
generate_response <- function(x, intercept, slope) {
  x * slope + intercept + rnorm(length(x), 0, 30)
}
```

1.2 Simulation with given parameters

To simulate data for each type (A, B or C), we put the parameters in a tibble, since it allows nested objects with a list of vectors as a column. To generate the response, we use a **rowwise** function by applying the **generate_response** function to each row.

```r
# it is recommended to use tibble format
parameters <- tibble(
  type = c("A", "B", "C"),
  x = list(1:100, 1:100, 1:100),
  intercept = c(1, 3, 5),
  slope = c(2, 4, 3)
)
# note: convert the vector responses into a list
simulated_df <- parameters %>%
  rowwise() %>%
  mutate(y = list(generate_response(x, intercept, slope))) %>%
  ungroup() %>%
  unnest(c(x, y))
```

```
# It is recommended to use tibble format
parameters ← tibble(
  type = c("A", "B", "C"),
  x = list(1:100, 1:100, 1:100),
  intercept = c(1, 3, 5),
  slope = c(2, 4, 3)
)
# A tibble: 3 × 4
  type  x           intercept slope
  <chr> <list>          <dbl> <dbl>
1 A     <int [100]>         1     2
2 B     <int [100]>         3     4
3 C     <int [100]>         5     3

# rowwise operation to generate response
simulated_df ← parameters %>%
  rowwise() %>%
  mutate(y = list(generate_response(x, intercept, slope))) %>%
  ungroup() %>%
  unnest(c(x, y))
# A tibble: 300 × 5
   type      x intercept slope      y
   <chr> <int>     <dbl> <dbl>  <dbl>
 1 A         1         1     2  -9.04
 2 A         2         1     2  45.5
 3 A         3         1     2   9.65
```

Figure 4: Simulated data plot

1.3 Run the linear model

With the simulated data.frame or tibble, we can create a nested data and map the linear model for each type. After that, we can extract the predicted values and 95% credible intervals.

```
# nesting data by each type and run lm via map
lm_results <- simulated_df %>%
  group_by(type) %>%
```

```r
  nest() %>%
  mutate(
    models = map(data, ~ lm(y ~ x, data = .x)),
    summaries = map(models, ~ broom::glance(.x)),
    model_coef = map(models, ~ broom::tidy(.x)),
    pred = map(models, ~ predict(.x, interval = "confidence"))
  )
# extract the predicted results
pred_ci <- lm_results %>%
  dplyr::select(type, pred) %>%
  unnest(pred) %>%
  pull(pred) %>%
  set_colnames(c("fit", "lwr", "upr"))
```

```r
lm_results ← simulated_df %>%
  group_by(type) %>%
  nest() %>%
  # group_nest(type) %>%
  mutate(
    models = map(data, ~ lm(y ~ x, data = .x)),
    summaries = map(models, ~ broom::glance(.x)),
    model_coef = map(models, ~ broom::tidy(.x))
    pred = map(models, ~ predict(.x, interval = "confidence"))
  )
# A tibble: 3 × 6
  type                data models summaries model_coef pred
  <chr> <list<tibble[,4]>> <list> <list>    <list>     <list>
1 A              [100 × 4] <lm>   <tibble>  <tibble>   <dbl[…]>
2 B              [100 × 4] <lm>   <tibble>  <tibble>   <dbl[…]>
3 C              [100 × 4] <lm>   <tibble>  <tibble>   <dbl[…]>

# extract the predicted results
pred_ci ← lm_results %>%
  dplyr::select(type, pred) %>%
  unnest(pred) %>%
  pull(pred) %>%
  set_colnames(c("fit", "lwr", "upr"))
       fit        lwr      upr
1 10.60067 -1.8463723 23.04771
2 12.50523  0.2453462 24.76511
3 14.40979  2.3360579 26.48353
```

Figure 5: Linear model results

1.4 Visualization

To visualize the raw data and the fitted lines, we need to combine them by row, and draw the fitted line and credible intervals via *geom_line* and *geom_ribbon* functions from ggplot2.

```r
cbind(simulated_df, pred_ci) %>%
  ggplot(., aes(x = x, y = y, color = type)) +
  geom_point() +
  geom_ribbon(aes(ymin = lwr, ymax = upr, fill = type, color = NULL),
    alpha = .6
  ) +
  geom_line(aes(y = fit), size = 1) +
  facet_wrap(~type) +
  theme(legend.position = "none")
```



Figure 6: Fitted results from the linear regression models

2 Linear regression via splitted structures

Alternatively, we can split the simulated data by each type and replicate the whole analysis by using `map` functions. Note that you may still need to convert the data into a data.frame so as to combine them by row.

```r
parameters <- list(
  type = c("A", "B", "C"),
  x = list(1:100, 1:100, 1:100),
  intercept = c(1, 3, 5),
  slope = c(2, 4, 3)
)
```

```r
simulated_df <- parameters %>%
  pmap_dfr(., function(type, x, intercept, slope) {
    data.frame(
      type = type, x = x,
      y = generate_response(x, intercept, slope)
    )
  })
```

```
simulated_df ← parameters %>%
  pmap_dfr(., function(type, x, intercept, slope) {
    data.frame(
      type = type, x = x,
      y = generate_response(x, intercept, slope)
    )
  }
  type x          y
1    A 1  -9.951014
2    A 2  25.949311
3    A 3 -12.650472
```

Figure 7: Splitted simulation

```r
pred_ci <- simulated_df %>%
  split(.$type) %>%
  map(~ lm(y ~ x, data = .x)) %>%
  map_dfr(~ as.data.frame(predict(.x, interval = "confidence")))
```

```r
cbind(simulated_df, pred_ci) %>%
  ggplot(., aes(x = x, y = y, color = type)) +
  geom_point() +
  geom_ribbon(aes(ymin = lwr, ymax = upr, fill = type, color = NULL),
    alpha = .6
  ) +
  geom_line(aes(y = fit), size = 1) +
  facet_wrap(~type) +
  theme(legend.position = "none")
```



Figure 8: Linear regression model with split data

3 Concluding remarks

- The combination of `split` and `map` functions seems to be a bit more intuitive and easier to follow. You do not need to constantly `nest` and `unnest` the data. More importantly, nested objects are somehow compressed in tibble objects and make them less tractable.
- Nested data structure is useful when you want to apply several functions to each model object and the results can be directly saved in a tidy data.frame. For the splitted data structure, you need to apply the function to each model object separately.
- Nested structure often relies on lists for data simulation and packing model outputs, whereas the splitted structure needs to convert and combine the outputs into data.frames for visualization.

4 Useful links

- https://towardsdev.com/a-gentle-introduction-to-purrr-4cfe78e92392
- https://medium.com/p/da3638b5f46c
- https://adv-r.hadley.nz/functionals.html