Team Name:		Date:	
GitHub URL:			
Team Members			
Name:	StudentID:	Name: StudentID:	
Name:	StudentID:	Name: StudentID:	
Video Details:			
0-No feature, 1-Atte	mpt, 2-Working, 3-Excellent		
	Each sub-component should be give e.g., 2	en 0-3	0-3
Demonstrate	③ Working Client/Server Game		
Understanding of HTML, CSS and	☐ HTML, CSS and Javascript validat☐ Creative use of CSS styling (which		
Javascript	Advanced CSS3 layout (which lin	•	
	Creative use of Javascript (which lines/files why creative)		
	HTML5 semantic elements used appropriately (evidenced, how, has the HTML been run through HTML validation software W3C and included in the submission)		
	☐ Game/website should be respon browsers) – Code for managing clie	sive (also responsive in a mobile/different nt/server delays, e.g., Interpolation, aronous Javascript (avoid stalling GUI)	,
	(e.g., all files commented, license	e/author details top `all' files, indentation be the same for all team members (project	
Client/Server	games should not be running `separmanage concurrent/client server in conflicts)  Handle issues (delays, network is	en multiple `concurrent' client/server (i.e., the rately' but shared interactive experience) — teraction of data (e.g., collisions/data ssues, corruption, ) game (delay is over 5 seconds), smart Al	
Databasa/Sasurity	Data is grouped for the coelector	-ff-stirely and efficiently (Companing Database)	
Database/Security	☐ Database (working reading/writh high scores, ]	effectively and efficiently (Server/Database) ting) [stores variety of information, such as	
	☐ Data is automatically backed up☐ Whitelist/validation testing for d		
		ent from server (corruption/value ranges	
	_	L commands are `security checked' avoid SQL	
		ecoded/validated somehow (e.g.,	
	☐ IP tracking (prevent spamming/a	ittack logging)	
	_	and login/store/continue game progress)	
		um allowed tries, attack prevention)	
	$\square$ User passwords hash encoded w	ith salting (or similar complex encryption)	

Code Structure	☐ Clear separation of code/styling ☐ <b>Tidy code organisation</b> , file and folder names (js folders/css folder,) ☐ Clear variable names, constants for fixed values, avoid using `magic' hard coded numbers scattered around code, ☐ Appropriate code nesting and indentation (methods with clear purposes, descriptions/comments — avoid adhoc/hack fixes), scoping and not having everything `global' ☐ Informative code comments (readme, `all' files commented, every function, style,)	
Usability	□ Easy to navigate and use (Website/Game) (Accessibility testing? Different browsers, screen resolution, mobile/desktop, language - `evidenced' – detailed in the documentation) □ Clear awareness of accessibility principles (evidenced in the website, e.g., about/help page, also in the documentation/readme/code) □ Disclaimers/warnings – e.g., photosensitive epilepsy/flashing images □ Effective navigation at all sizes, content easy to locate Font size (scales to different screens, not just `fixed/hardcoded'), text/information not in margins, bright/animated buttons/text so easy to see/identify on the screen □ Limitations and optimizations Profiling data statistics, jpg vs bmp, appropriate file sizes/download considerations (avoid downloading everything for anything), sending only required data to specific clients (not all data to all clients)	
Development Progress	□ Shows even work distribution (group work)  (evidence on code written/bugs fixed/testing/) □ Evidence of task development, tuning, feature refinement  (week by week log of task development, i.e., not the last week or 2 weeks – over the full duration of the project) □ Live site (Github website)  (GitHub page, with readme, and access to material/source files) □ Project management  (team synergy, evidence of team working together on GitHub, helping/each other, such as, task lists, issues lists, bug fix lists available on GitHub over the duration of the project)	
Testing	☐ Testing integrated into the project from the start (as each feature was added a set of tests was defined/included in the code/documentation) ☐ Validation/verification process for ensuring reliability (e.g., code, standards,) ☐ Documentation on the tests (e.g., where delays added to the server to simulate poor connections, automatic errors added to the send/receive data, soak testing (left running 3+ days), larger number of instances created to simulate 100s or 1000s of simultaneous users)	

Notes/Comments (Extra Features)