FORMALISING $det(e^A) = e^{tr(A)}$ IN LEAN

1. The non-formal proof

We list some facts that the proof follows from. The matrix A is assumed to have entries in $\mathbb C$ and P is an invertible matrix with entries in $\mathbb C$.

- (1) For all M, P, we have $det(e^M) = det(Pe^M P^{-1})$.
- (2) For every matrix A, there is an invertible P such that $A = PUP^{-1}$, with U upper-triangular.
- (3) $\det(U) = \prod (U)_{(i,i)}$.
- (4) If U is upper-triangular, then $(e^U)_{(i,i)} = e^{(U)_{(i,i)}}$.
- (5) For complex numbers a and b, we have $e^{a+b} = e^a e^b$.
- (6) For all M, P, we have $tr(PMP^{-1}) = tr(M)$.
- (7) For all M, P, we have $\det(PMP^{-1}) = \det(M)$.

The proof now follows in the following argument:

Proof.

$$\begin{split} \det(e^A) &= \det(Pe^UP^{-1}) & \text{by 1 and 2} \\ &= \det(e^U) & \text{by 7} \\ &= \prod e^{(U)_{(i,i)}} & \text{by 3 and 4} \\ &= e^{\sum U_{(i,i)}} & \text{by 5} \\ &= e^{\operatorname{tr}(U)} & \text{by definition of trace} \\ &= e^{\operatorname{tr}(PUP^{-1})} & \text{by 6} \\ &= e^{\operatorname{tr}(A)} & \text{by 2 again} \end{split}$$

We list the difficulties of the various parts.

- (1) This already exists in Lean, in Matrix.exp_conj.
- (2) This will need proving. This can be done by showing that no minimal counterexample exists, using the fact that every matrix over with entries in \mathbb{C} has an eigenvector.

- (3) This can be proven inductively using Matrix.det_succ_column.
- (4) This will need proving.
- (5) This should follow from Matrix.trace_mul_cycle, since applying one cyclic permutation allows us to cancel *P* with its inverse.
- (6) This should be in Lean (what is it called?).

1