Course Project: Write Up

To begin I imported the training and test data sets. I then split the training set into a set with which I would train my model and one I would use for cross validation. Because the training set had so many data points, nearly 20,000, I portioned only 20% into the training subset and left 80% for validation. After some preliminary analysis I saw most of the variables were incomplete so I only included variables which were complete. This still left me with over 50 variables which I considered more than enough to create a sufficient model.

```
## Loading required package: lattice
## Loading required package: ggplot2

#import training and test data sets

testing <- read.csv("pml-testing.csv")
training <- read.csv("pml-training.csv")

#create a smaller more managable training set with 20% of training data. The rest will be used to cr
oss validate.
part <- createDataPartition(training$classe,p=.2,list=F)
training.small <- training[part,-c(1:7,12:36,50:59,69:83,87:101,103:112,125:139,141:150),]
testing.small <- training[-part,-c(1:7,12:36,50:59,69:83,87:101,103:112,125:139,141:150),]</pre>
```

In order to preprocess and train the data I converted all but the classe variable into numeric classes. I then centered and scaled my variables and used a random forest method to create a model. I then validated the model with the remaining portion of the training set.

```
#Convert all but classe column to numeric data type
for (i in 1:52){
   training.small[,i] <- as.numeric(training.small[,i])
  }
#Create Model with preprocessing and evaluate on remainder of training set.
library(randomForest)</pre>
```

```
## Warning: package 'randomForest' was built under R version 3.2.1
```

```
## randomForest 4.6-10
## Type rfNews() to see new features/changes/bug fixes.
```

```
## Confusion Matrix and Statistics
##
##
             Reference
## Prediction
                 Α
                       В
                            C
                                 D
                                       Ε
            A 4417
                      30
                           11
                                 3
                                       3
##
                93 2865
                           76
##
            В
                                 3
                                       0
##
            C
                 0
                      84 2609
                                44
                                       0
            D
                           74 2488
##
                 0
                       6
            F
                 0
                      21
##
                           12
                                13 2839
##
## Overall Statistics
##
                   Accuracy : 0.9696
##
                     95% CI: (0.9668, 0.9722)
##
##
       No Information Rate: 0.2874
       P-Value [Acc > NIR] : < 2.2e-16
##
##
##
                      Kappa: 0.9615
    Mcnemar's Test P-Value: 3.339e-16
##
##
## Statistics by Class:
##
                         Class: A Class: B Class: C Class: D Class: E
##
## Sensitivity
                           0.9794
                                     0.9531
                                              0.9378
                                                        0.9753
                                                                 0.9975
## Specificity
                                              0.9901
                           0.9958
                                     0.9864
                                                        0.9936
                                                                 0.9964
## Pos Pred Value
                           0.9895
                                     0.9434
                                              0.9532
                                                        0.9673
                                                                 0.9841
## Neg Pred Value
                           0.9917
                                     0.9889
                                              0.9866
                                                        0.9952
                                                                 0.9995
## Prevalence
                           0.2874
                                     0.1915
                                              0.1773
                                                        0.1625
                                                                 0.1813
## Detection Rate
                           0.2814
                                     0.1825
                                              0.1662
                                                        0.1585
                                                                 0.1809
## Detection Prevalence
                           0.2844
                                     0.1935
                                              0.1744
                                                        0.1639
                                                                 0.1838
                           0.9876
                                     0.9698
                                              0.9640
                                                        0.9845
                                                                 0.9970
## Balanced Accuracy
```

I played with several preprocessing options many of wich made no difference or made prediction slightly worse. I ended with only centering and scaling the variables. I chose to use a random forest model because it is a model that produces highly accurate results when it has enough data, which I had, and it is good at classification.

The kappa statistic is the realitive accuracy the model adds compared to a random model. I estimated the out

of sample error rate to be 1 minus the kappa statistic, 0.0339. I knew that the out of sample error rate must be higher than the in sample error rate which was 0.0268.

Finally I used my model to make predictions on the test data set.

test.predictions <- predict(ModelFit,testing)</pre>