

Supreme Court Stat Review

October Term 2024-2025

(Extended Version)



EmpiricalSCOTUS
Viewing the Supreme Court in an Entirely New Light

Compiled by Adam Feldman (J.D., Ph.D.) and Jake S. Truscott (Ph.D.)

Version: 0.0 (Released)

For additional data and accompanying analysis, please visit
[EmpiricalSCOTUS](#) or [Contact Us](#).

Table of Contents

Preface	2
Data Availability & Disclosure	3
Oral Arguments	4
Justice Participation (Words & Time Combined)	5
Justice Participation (Words & Time by Sitting)	6
Attorney Participation (Words & Time by Sitting)	20
Attorney Engagement (By Sitting)	27
Decisions	34
Note on Decision Coding	35
Additional Notes: Opinion Consolidations	35
Decision Information	36
Decision Vote Matrices	39
Coalitions and Unanimity	42
Circuit Scorecard	45
Opinion Authorship	47
Dockets	50
Variance in Filings	51
Filings Origins	53
Amici Variance	58

Preface

The enclosed report represents the culmination of a ten-month effort to provide summary statistics and corresponding analysis for the Supreme Court's 2024 Term (October 2024 to **MONTH** 2025), which we hope to replicate for future terms.

Our goal was to provide a comprehensive overview of the term while recognizing that much of what we offer remains surface-level data. In recent years, some have taken exception to how data such as these are used, particularly as it relates to drawing generalizable claims of the Court and its Justices. We would like to take the time to state our position on these concerns:

First, we fully recognize that our data provides only surface-level inferences. As many have pointed out, the Court retains considerable discretion with respect to the size and scope of its docket. Indeed, a considerable majority of the Court's decision-making is determining which appeals will *not* receive review, rather than what will. It is not lost on us that the population of orally argued and decided cases in the 2024 term are not fully emblematic of the Court's broader decision-making. While we do provide statistics on the docket more generally, we advise pursuing additional scholarship focusing on this area.

Second, our summary analyses do not make distinctions between cases of varying importance to the national discourse. Not because we fail to recognize that these distinctions obviously exist, but because our goal is to provide an overview of the Justices' most observable decision-making behaviors – irrespective of the broader importance some of these decisions may have compared to others. In short, they are numbers – nothing more, nothing less. There is no underlying agenda in our decision to present topline statistics.

Finally, we recognize that these data do not belong to us in perpetuity. Once we have published our report, any person, outlet, or entity are free to use them - just as we have been facilitating open access to our data throughout the term. Nonetheless, we ask those who read our report to be conscientious of the fact that we cannot control - nor do we particularly wish to control - how they are used. The Supreme Court retains special significance in our national discourse, and its decisions can surely draw considerable divisions among observers. Some readers may take exception to how others choose to interpret these data. We ask that you not hold it against us.

We would like to thank all of those who aided in the development of this report – particularly **REVIEWERS** – who offered guidance, research assistance, and constructive criticism.

- Adam Feldman (J.D., Ph.D.)¹
- Jake S. Truscott (Ph.D.)²

¹ Adam Feldman serves as chief proprietor of the EmpiricalSCOTUS blog and the Legalytics Substack. He is also the principal for the legal data consulting firm *Optimized Legal*.

² Jake S. Truscott is an Assistant Professor of Political science at the University of Florida.

Data Availability & Disclosure

We are particularly grateful to the organized efforts of those maintaining the [Supreme Court Database](#), which is principally hosted by Washington University in St.Louis, Missouri.³ Their data was pivotal for constructing longitudinal analyses that place the Justices' decision-making during the 2023 term into the broader scope of history.

Apart from data used to develop longitudinal analyses, this report was compiled independently and drew on a multitude of resources to sufficiently track developments and behaviors expressed in the Court's oral arguments, decisions, and docket, among other things. These include, but are not limited to, the Supreme Court's [Official Website](#), [Oyez](#), and [Justia](#), among others.

All data used to compile this report will be available [HERE](#). There you will find a collection of CSV (.csv) files containing data relevant to the accompanying analyses on *Decisions* and *Oral Arguments* provided in this report.

We are, of course, happy to provide any additional insights and guidance on replication of data and other analysis in this report. For help, please [Contact Us](#).

We ask that any publication incorporating these data or other material from our report provide a corresponding citation acknowledging the authors, EmpiricalSCOTUS, and any relevant data sources indicated with the enclosed tabular information.

Example Citation: Feldman, A. & Truscott, J. S. (2024, July 1). Supreme Court 2023-2024 Term Stat Review (Version 1.1). *EmpiricalSCOTUS*. Available [HERE](#).

³Harold J. Spaeth, Lee Epstein, et al. 2022 Supreme Court Database, Version 2022 Release 1. URL: [HERE](#).

Oral Arguments




Photo Credit: REUTERS/Jonathan Ernst

Table 1: What's Included (Oral Arguments)

Area	Topic	Description
Justice Participation	Justices (Words)	Aggregate (term-level) bar chart and sitting-level breakdowns re: volume of words uttered by Justice.
	Justices (Time)	Aggregate bar chart and sitting-level breakdowns re: extent of time speaking by Justice.
Attorney Participation	Attorneys (Words)	Aggregate bar chart and sitting-level breakdowns re: volume of words uttered by arguing attorneys.
	Attorneys (Time)	Aggregate bar chart and sitting-level breakdowns re: extent of time speaking by arguing attorneys.
Justice-Attorney Interaction	Engagement	Sitting-level breakdowns of Attorney-Justice interactions.
Supplemental Information	Attorney Profiles	Summary information on attorneys who argued during the 2024 Term. This includes statistics re: Supreme Court clerkships, demographics, experience in (or with) the Office of the Solicitor General (USG), and law school attendance


Justice Participation (Words & Time Combined)

Figure 1: Total Number of Words Uttered by Each Justice During Oral Arguments (October Term 2024)



Compiled using `scotustext` (R) and data from [Oyez.com](#)

Figure 2: Total Elapsed Time Speaking by Each Justice During Oral Arguments (October Term 2024)



Compiled using `scotustext` (R) and data from [Oyez.com](#)

Justice Participation (Words & Time by Sitting)

Table 2: October Sitting Word Counts by Justice

Case	 Roberts	 Thomas	 Alito	 Sotomayor	 Kagan	 Gorsuch	 Kavanaugh	 Barrett	 Jackson
lossip v. Oklahoma	304	631	675	1749	1503	0	180	385	1865
illiams v. Washington	486	119	600	1031	973	743	677	658	1074
edical Marijuana Inc. v. Hor	358	82	408	584	845	506	905	267	1161
ouarafa v. Mayorka	340	97	230	342	539	638	23	101	952
ackey v. Stinni	845	116	334	970	1023	1108	266	376	1428
oyal Canin v. Wullschlege	321	160	438	475	1068	366	651	577	1003
ufkin v. McDonoug	390	48	640	787	704	1251	262	107	1436
an Francisco v. EP	640	170	431	1521	1517	837	1307	144	1267
arland v. Vandersto	203	173	633	753	330	836	235	402	943

Table 3: October Sitting Speaking Times (Minutes) by Justice

Case	 Roberts	 Thomas	 Alito	 Sotomayor	 Kagan	 Gorsuch	 Kavanaugh	 Barrett	 Jackson
lossip v. Oklahoma	2.24	4.17	4.85	11.17	8.27	0	1.1	1.88	10.29
illiams v. Washington	2.57	0.8	3.96	6.47	5.36	4.05	3.84	3.23	6.5
edical Marijuana Inc. v. Hor	2.26	0.61	2.71	3.86	4.4	2.67	4.82	1.18	6.09
ouarafa v. Mayorka	1.74	0.57	1.54	2.01	3.12	3.39	0.11	0.4	5.56
ackey v. Stinni	4.55	0.93	2.24	5.64	5.64	5.74	1.4	1.68	7.85
oyal Canin v. Wullschlege	2.17	1.29	2.96	3.14	5.86	2.05	3.52	2.72	6.27
ufkin v. McDonoug	2.31	0.3	4.33	5.19	4.05	6.59	1.5	0.51	7.92
an Francisco v. EP	3.43	1.76	2.8	10.42	9.08	4.72	7.46	0.67	8.8
arland v. Vandersto	1.29	1.23	3.93	5.18	1.93	4.46	1.4	2.12	5.43

Table 4: November Sitting Word Counts by Justice

Case	 Roberts	 Thomas	 Alito	 Sotomayor	 Kagan	 Gorsuch	 Kavanaugh	 Barrett	 Jackson
Advocate Christ Medical Center v. Becerra	75	103	183	499	1037	353	1127	243	1512
elligatti v. United States	257	195	104	213	566	1372	100	279	1299
elazquez v. Garlan	291	128	399	774	888	652	246	302	1090
VIDIA Corp. v. E. Ohman J:or Fonder A	664	111	611	843	858	728	365	193	1198
acebook v. Amalgamated Ban	533	397	1213	628	1103	882	950	761	1211

Table 5: November Sitting Speaking Times (Minutes) by Justice

Case	 Roberts	 Thomas	 Alito	 Sotomayor	 Kagan	 Gorsuch	 Kavanaugh	 Barrett	 Jackson
Advocate Christ Medical Center v. Becerra	0.63	0.8	1.11	3.35	5.84	1.83	6.23	1.19	8.38
elligatti v. United States	1.66	1.45	0.56	1.12	3.14	7.58	0.58	1.34	7.29
elazquez v. Garlan	1.9	0.97	2.43	5.32	5.08	3.33	1.45	1.43	6.61
VIDIA Corp. v. E. Ohman J:or Fonder A	4.19	0.75	4.25	5.32	4.47	3.97	2.07	0.9	7.02
acebook v. Amalgamated Ban	3.61	3.02	6.76	4.45	6.51	5.27	5.16	3.66	7.39

Table 6: December Sitting Word Counts by Justice

Case	 Roberts	 Thomas	 Alito	 Sotomayor	 Kagan	 Gorsuch	 Kavanaugh	 Barrett	 Jackson
DA v. Wages and White Lion Investment	281	103	480	446	857	877	848	442	1227
nited States v. Skrmett	886	361	1677	1380	846	0	1678	1338	2492
eliciano v. Department of Transportation	596	90	340	763	398	703	610	393	494
ungary v. Simo	783	242	423	805	692	649	462	719	1206
ousis v. United State	449	205	659	429	674	944	282	542	1126
even County Infrastructure Coalition v. Eagle Count	540	111	106	1271	1022	0	593	720	1748
nited States v. Mille	51	36	0	221	377	612	63	761	1091

Table 7: December Sitting Speaking Times (Minutes) by Justice

Case		Roberts		Thomas		Alito		Sotomayor		Kagan		Gorsuch		Kavanaugh		Barrett		Jackson
DA v. Wages and White Lion Investment		1.93		0.77		3.13		2.97		4.89		4.41		4.96		1.97		6.74
nited States v. Skrmett		6.31		2.79		12.05		9.77		4.98		0		10.24		6.62		13.87
nited States v. Mille		0.52		0.24		0		1.43		1.93		3.26		0.37		3.9		6.5
eliciano v. Department of Transportation		3.27		0.63		2.3		5.25		2.17		3.76		3.6		2.02		2.83
ungary v. Simo		5.03		1.89		3.13		5.12		4.3		3.92		2.86		3.62		6.84
ousis v. United State		2.52		1.47		4.33		2.73		3.46		4.85		1.45		2.48		6.48
even County Infrastructure Coalition v. Eagle Count		3.39		0.75		0.68		9.13		5.33		0		3.58		3.33		10.28

II

Table 8: January Sitting Word Counts by Justice

Case	 Roberts	 Thomas	 Alito	 Sotomayor	 Kagan	 Gorsuch	 Kavanaugh	 Barrett	 Jackson
ewitt v. United State	213	105	865	1164	811	791	1343	293	1342
unningham v. Cornell Universit	224	57	1160	1084	571	581	1071	33	1117
ompson v. United States	632	91	803	812	1039	1080	345	773	1273
ee Speech Coalition v. Paxto	422	317	1394	1443	999	959	1301	1154	2080
DA v. R.J. Reynolds Vapor Co	312	113	168	792	830	414	395	553	1425
cLaughlin Chiropractic v. McKesson Cor	99	222	320	771	809	1182	89	5	836
arnes v. Feli	275	231	612	994	564	489	721	405	1143
tanley v. City of Sanfor	204	100	1458	978	744	0	237	0	1022
aetzig v. Halliburton Energy Service	385	45	0	528	373	761	0	0	754

Table 9: January Sitting Speaking Times (Minutes) by Justice

Case	 Roberts	 Thomas	 Alito	 Sotomayor	 Kagan	 Gorsuch	 Kavanaugh	 Barrett	 Jackson
ewitt v. United State	1.67	0.67	5.64	8.05	4.62	4.26	7.79	1.32	7.64
unningham v. Cornell Universit	1.62	0.43	7.23	7.66	3.16	3.36	6.13	0.15	6.7
ompson v. United States	3.47	0.61	4.9	5.88	5.81	6.05	1.82	3.68	7.56
ree Speech Coalition v. Paxto	3.17	2.5	9.49	9.84	5.32	5.12	8.17	5.75	11.95
DA v. R.J. Reynolds Vapor Co	2.07	0.81	1.14	5.43	4.6	2.23	2.67	2.79	8.3
cLaughlin Chiropractic v. McKesson Cor	0.98	1.58	2.2	5.24	4.52	5.98	0.47	0.06	4.94
arnes v. Feli	2.07	1.72	4.16	5.82	3.19	2.68	3.57	1.96	6.36
aetzig v. Halliburton Energy Service	2.5	0.28	0	3.86	1.85	4.01	0	0	4.17
tanley v. City of Sanfor	1.42	0.76	8.9	6.2	4.37	0	1.36	0	5.99

Table 10: February Sitting Word Counts by Justice

Case	 Roberts	 Thomas	 Alito	 Sotomayor	 Kagan	 Gorsuch	 Kavanaugh	 Barrett	 Jackson
mes v. OH Dept. of Youth Service	324	114	308	256	474	761	417	486	525
mith and Wesson v. Estados Unidos Mexicano	597	413	348	720	769	482	453	440	1696
C/Devas v. Antrix Corp	87	83	38	367	247	446	0	0	606
LOM Bank SAL v. Honickma	50	99	93	377	639	631	129	199	1273
RC v. Texa	262	312	339	865	829	1088	507	0	1170
erttu v. Richard	146	98	991	1217	801	913	172	796	1263
steras v. United State	282	54	704	752	796	847	503	333	1564
utierrez v. Saen	233	273	1127	1410	1089	808	1518	1084	1603

Table 11: February Sitting Speaking Times (Minutes) by Justice

Case	 Roberts	 Thomas	 Alito	 Sotomayor	 Kagan	 Gorsuch	 Kavanaugh	 Barrett	 Jackson
mes v. OH Dept. of Youth Service	2.2	0.78	2.28	1.7	2.53	4.31	2.15	2.25	2.78
mith and Wesson v. Estados Unidos Mexicano	3.52	3.09	2.33	5.28	4.48	2.47	2.58	2.26	9.82
C/Devas v. Antrix Corp	1	0.71	0.2	2.34	1.22	2.09	0	0	3.63
LOM Bank SAL v. Honickma	0.48	0.65	0.73	2.52	3.78	3.37	0.72	0.97	6.8
RC v. Texa	2.06	2.4	2.3	5.39	4.33	6.29	3.35	0	6.65
erttu v. Richard	1.16	0.91	5.99	8.41	4.79	4.96	1.01	3.81	7.28
steras v. United State	1.95	0.39	4.09	5.03	4.32	4.62	2.93	1.6	9.33
utierrez v. Saen	1.63	1.98	7.32	9.22	6.23	4.7	8	5.65	9.58

Table 12: March Sitting Word Counts by Justice

Case	 Roberts	 Thomas	 Alito	 Sotomayor	 Kagan	 Gorsuch	 Kavanaugh	 Barrett	 Jackson
PA v. Calumet Shreveport Refinin	183	293	489	1015	1044	1471	961	524	951
iley v. Bond	334	260	252	704	531	455	44	169	459
edina v. Planned Parenthood South Atlanti	204	200	318	1009	1120	377	852	1006	1638
ivers v. Guerrer	78	75	115	687	0	288	133	96	695
ousiana v. Callai	197	167	681	840	1280	545	459	503	1181
atholic Charities Bureau v. WI Labor and Industry Revie	489	375	397	519	997	1204	548	1118	1728
uld v. PL	280	229	805	1143	699	1632	558	1235	1215
CC v. Consumers' Researc	293	264	989	1480	1895	2033	1194	1104	1778
klahoma v. EP	147	87	0	153	494	404	273	0	824

Table 13: March Sitting Speaking Times (Minutes) by Justice

Case	 Roberts	 Thomas	 Alito	 Sotomayor	 Kagan	 Gorsuch	 Kavanaugh	 Barrett	 Jackson
Oklahoma v. EP	0.98	0.58	0	1.11	3.02	2.18	1.52	0	4.99
PA v. Calumet Shreveport Refineries	1.41	2.15	2.85	7.07	5.85	7.45	5.14	2.56	5.7
iley v. Bond	2.68	2.02	1.7	4.94	2.96	2.48	0.21	0.81	3.12
edina v. Planned Parenthood of South Atlantic	1.49	1.61	2	7.3	6.18	2	5.11	4.82	9.16
ivers v. Guerrer	0.97	0.45	0.62	4.45	0	1.62	0.73	0.44	4.17
ousiana v. Callai	1.45	1.32	4.68	5.57	7.23	3.14	2.98	2.38	6.98
atholic Charities Bureau v. WI Labor and Industry Review Board	3.49	2.83	2.48	3.42	5.85	6.03	2.93	5.39	10.57
uld v. PL	1.97	1.89	4.97	7.99	4.15	8.39	3.32	6.34	7.48
CC v. Consumers' Research	2.03	2.35	6.55	10.95	11.19	10.46	6.48	5.22	9.99

Table 14: April Sitting Word Counts by Justice

Case	 Roberts	 Thomas	 Alito	 Sotomayor	 Kagan	 Gorsuch	 Kavanaugh	 Barrett	 Jackson
J.T. v. Osseo Area School	319	63	486	732	142	929	1150	366	1156
arrish v. United State	258	65	104	583	135	443	145	92	1361
ahmoud v. Taylo	426	287	1724	1422	1645	1406	1203	2468	2892
aboratory Corp. of America v. Davi	411	138	908	1809	1579	1304	515	1335	1846
ennedy v. Braidwood MGM	156	228	452	629	865	862	1060	688	1287
oto v. United State	351	120	332	470	498	355	391	282	958
artin v. United State	233	115	140	1027	91	520	78	0	725
K Charter School Board v. Drummon	464	331	1045	1616	1870	775	1524	0	2735
ir v. Zuc	71	183	65	289	144	815	129	0	676
iamond Alternative Energy v. EP	105	223	353	615	612	0	219	374	1094

Table 15: April Sitting Speaking Times (Minutes) by Justice

Case	 Roberts	 Thomas	 Alito	 Sotomayor	 Kagan	 Gorsuch	 Kavanaugh	 Barrett	 Jackson
J.T. v. Osseo Area School	2.01	0.43	3.46	5.03	0.85	5.33	6.42	1.57	7.41
arrish v. United State	1.76	0.44	0.81	3.61	0.75	2.17	0.79	0.35	7.35
ahmoud v. Taylo	2.91	2.17	9.89	9.33	8.99	8.41	6.85	11.49	15.75
aboratory Corp. of America v. Davi	2.58	1.03	5.39	11.52	8.3	6.63	2.74	5.93	10.7
ennedy v. Braidwood MGM	1.14	1.64	3.13	3.92	4.64	4.39	5.87	2.88	7.11
oto v. United State	2.12	0.89	2.08	2.62	2.76	1.68	2.28	1.32	5.66
artin v. United State	1.51	1	0.83	7.36	0.58	2.63	0.36	0	4.47
K Charter School Board v. Drummon	3.55	2.33	6.25	11.48	11.57	4.29	8.73	0	17.08
ir v. Zuc	0.53	1.33	0.39	1.8	0.74	4.21	0.76	0	4.17
iamond Alternative Energy v. EP	0.99	1.54	2.19	4.33	3.11	0	1.23	1.78	6.16

Attorney Participation (Words & Time by Sitting)

Table 16: October Sitting Attorney Participation

Case	Docket	Attorney	Time	Words
Glossip v. Oklahoma	22-7466	Christopher G. Michel	16.45	3502
Glossip v. Oklahoma	22-7466	Paul D. Clement	20.6	3898
Glossip v. Oklahoma	22-7466	Seth P. Waxman	21.99	2997
Williams v. Washington	23-191	Adam G. Unikowsky	22.72	5388
Williams v. Washington	23-191	Edmund G. LaCour	16.32	3241
Medical Marijuana Inc. v. Horn	23-365	Easha Anand	20.48	4247
Medical Marijuana Inc. v. Horn	23-365	Lisa S. Blatt	19.18	4046
Bouarafa v. Mayorkas	23-583	Colleen E. Roh Sinzdak	9.91	1792
Bouarafa v. Mayorkas	23-583	Samir Deger-Sen	20.96	4687
Lackey v. Stinnie	23-621	Anthony A. Yang	11.13	2187
Lackey v. Stinnie	23-621	Brian D. Schmalzbach	15.79	3163
Lackey v. Stinnie	23-621	Erika L. Maley	15.29	2292
Royal Canin v. Wullscheleg	23-677	Ashley C. Keller	9.88	1980
Royal Canin v. Wullscheleg	23-677	Katherine B. Wellington	25.46	4775
Bufkin v. McDonough	23-713	Melanie L. Bostwick	22.91	4342
Bufkin v. McDonough	23-713	Sopan Joshi	17.1	3376
San Francisco v. EPA	23-753	Frederick Liu	25.91	4430
San Francisco v. EPA	23-753	Tara M. Steeley	22.46	3860
Garland v. Vanderstok	23-852	Elizabeth B. Prelogar	33.6	7059
Garland v. Vanderstok	23-852	Peter A. Patterson	15.5	3006

Table 17: November Sitting Attorney Participation

Case	Docket	Attorney	Time	Words
Advocate Christ Medical Center v. Becerra	23-715	Ephraim McDowell	14.46	3100
Advocate Christ Medical Center v. Becerra	23-715	Melissa Arbus Sherry	26.62	5267
Delligatti v. United States.	23-825	Allon Kedem	20.01	3894
Delligatti v. United States.	23-825	Eric J. Feigin	18.45	3271
Velazquez v. Garland	23-929	Anthony A. Yang	20.43	3875
Velazquez v. Garland	23-929	Gerard J. Cedrone	18.24	3487
NVIDIA Corp. v. E. Ohman J:or Fonder AB	23-970	Colleen E. Roh Sinzdak	6.93	1294
NVIDIA Corp. v. E. Ohman J:or Fonder AB	23-970	Deepak Gupta	20.31	3688
NVIDIA Corp. v. E. Ohman J:or Fonder AB	23-970	Neal Kumar Katyal	27	5217
Facebook v. Amalgamated Bank	23-980	Kannon K. Shanmugam	30.53	5614
Facebook v. Amalgamated Bank	23-980	Kevin J. Barber	13.71	2544
Facebook v. Amalgamated Bank	23-980	Kevin K. Russell	13.49	2753

Table 18: December Sitting Attorney Participation

Case	Docket	Attorney	Time	Words
FDA v. Wages and White Lion Investments	23-1038	Curtis E. Gannon	31.92	6241
FDA v. Wages and White Lion Investments	23-1038	Eric N. Heyer	16.36	3374
United States v. Skrmetti	23-477	Chase B. Strangio	19.1	3515
United States v. Skrmetti	23-477	Elizabeth B. Prelogar	38.69	8005
United States v. Skrmetti	23-477	J. Matthew Rice	16.74	3162
United States v. Miller	23-824	Lisa S. Blatt	14.32	2817
United States v. Miller	23-824	Yaira Dubin	21.11	4507
Feliciano v. Department of Transportation.	23-861	Andrew T. Tutt	29.27	5460
Feliciano v. Department of Transportation.	23-861	Nicole F. Reaves	18.44	3777
Hungary v. Simon	23-867	Joshua S. Glasgow	12.99	2259
Hungary v. Simon	23-867	Shay Dvoretzky	18.36	3325
Hungary v. Simon	23-867	Sopan Joshi	15.95	3071
Kousisis v. United States	23-909	Eric J. Feigin	28.21	4928
Kousisis v. United States	23-909	Jeffrey L. Fisher	28.85	6282
Seven County Infrastructure Coalition v. Eagle County	23-975	Edwin S. Kneedler	19.72	3417
Seven County Infrastructure Coalition v. Eagle County	23-975	Paul D. Clement	28.96	5742
Seven County Infrastructure Coalition v. Eagle County	23-975	William M. Jay	25.41	4691

Table 19: January Sitting Attorney Participation

Case	Docket	Attorney	Time	Words
Hewitt v. United States	23-1002	Masha G. Hansford	14.4	2728
Hewitt v. United States	23-1002	Michael B. Kimberly	17.37	2996
Hewitt v. United States	23-1002	Michael H. McGinley	17.24	3564
Cunningham v. Cornell University	23-1007	Nicole A. Saharsky	22.41	4794
Cunningham v. Cornell University	23-1007	Xiao Wang	18.15	3418
Cunningham v. Cornell University	23-1007	Yaira Dubin	13.7	3234
Thompson v. United States.	23-1095	Caroline A. Flynn	14.66	2851
Thompson v. United States.	23-1095	Chris C. Gair	22.57	3474
Free Speech Coalition v. Paxton	23-1122	Aaron L. Nielson	15.49	2952
Free Speech Coalition v. Paxton	23-1122	Brian H. Fletcher	20.35	4600
Free Speech Coalition v. Paxton	23-1122	Derek L. Shaffer	28.35	5781
FDA v. R.J. Reynolds Vapor Co.	23-1187	Ryan J. Watson	17.78	3627
FDA v. R.J. Reynolds Vapor Co.	23-1187	Vivek Suri	24.32	4404
McLaughlin Chiropractic v. McKesson Corp	23-1226	Joseph R. Palmore	16.91	3046
McLaughlin Chiropractic v. McKesson Corp	23-1226	Matthew Guarnieri	10.42	2106
McLaughlin Chiropractic v. McKesson Corp	23-1226	Matthew W.H. Wessler	20.57	3844
Barnes v. Felix	23-1239	Charles L. McCloud	11.71	2533
Barnes v. Felix	23-1239	Lanora C. Pettit	5.88	1089
Barnes v. Felix	23-1239	Nathaniel A.G. Zelinsky	14.51	2916
Barnes v. Felix	23-1239	Zoe A. Jacoby	12.29	2746
Waetzig v. Halliburton Energy Services	23-971	Matthew D. McGill	16.49	2448
Waetzig v. Halliburton Energy Services	23-971	Vincent Levy	15.8	2778
Stanley v. City of Sanford	23-997	Deepak Gupta	19.4	3492
Stanley v. City of Sanford	23-997	Frederick Liu	10.67	1798
Stanley v. City of Sanford	23-997	Jessica C. Conner	18.97	3160

Table 20: February Sitting Attorney Participation

Case	Docket	Attorney	Time	Words
Ames v. OH Dept. of Youth Services	23-1039	Ashley Robertson	10.64	2005
Ames v. OH Dept. of Youth Services	23-1039	T. Elliot Gaiser	11.66	2297
Ames v. OH Dept. of Youth Services	23-1039	Xiao Wang	11.63	2329
Smith and Wesson v. Estados Unidos Mexicanos	23-1141	Catherine E. Stetson	27.5	4706
Smith and Wesson v. Estados Unidos Mexicanos	23-1141	Noel J. Francisco	27.82	5175
CC/Devas v. Antrix Corp.	23-1201	Aaron M. Streett	8.49	1353
CC/Devas v. Antrix Corp.	23-1201	Carter G. Phillips	12.64	2406
CC/Devas v. Antrix Corp.	23-1201	Matthew D. McGill	10.89	1470
CC/Devas v. Antrix Corp.	23-1201	Sarah M. Harris	6.16	1158
BLOM Bank SAL v. Honickman	23-1259	Michael H. McGinley	18.49	4080
BLOM Bank SAL v. Honickman	23-1259	Michael J. Radine	14.16	2557
NRC v. Texas	23-1300	Aaron L. Nielson	10.96	2302
NRC v. Texas	23-1300	Brad Fagg	11.92	2209
NRC v. Texas	23-1300	David C. Frederick	14.41	2442
NRC v. Texas	23-1300	Malcolm L. Stewart	25.76	4578
Perttu v. Richards	23-1324	Ann M. Sherman	22.57	3738
Perttu v. Richards	23-1324	Lori Alvino McGill	15.36	2561
Esteras v. United States	23-7483	Christian J. Grostic	22.04	4087
Esteras v. United States	23-7483	Masha G. Hansford	19.16	3763
Gutierrez v. Saenz	23-7809	Anne E. Fisher	25.6	4573
Gutierrez v. Saenz	23-7809	William F. Cole	14.57	3249

Table 21: March Sitting Attorney Participation

Case	Docket	Attorney	Time	Words
Oklahoma v. EPA	23-1067	Malcolm L. Stewart	10.15	1737
Oklahoma v. EPA	23-1067	Misha Tseytlin	10.05	1859
Oklahoma v. EPA	23-1067	Mithun Mansinghani	13.24	2394
EPA v. Calumet Shreveport Refining	23-1229	Malcolm L. Stewart	26.06	4565
EPA v. Calumet Shreveport Refining	23-1229	Michael R. Huston	18.77	3425
EPA v. Calumet Shreveport Refining	23-1229	Seth P. Waxman	18.33	2555
Riley v. Bondi	23-1270	Ephraim McDowell	11.56	2280
Riley v. Bondi	23-1270	Keith Bradley	10.51	1777
Riley v. Bondi	23-1270	Stephen J. Hammer	13.69	3190
Medina v. Planned Parenthood South Atlantic	23-1275	John J. Bursch	19.95	4306
Medina v. Planned Parenthood South Atlantic	23-1275	Kyle D. Hawkins	8.55	1750
Medina v. Planned Parenthood South Atlantic	23-1275	Nicole A. Saharsky	25.4	5761
Rivers v. Guerrero	23-1345	Aaron L. Nielson	8.27	1665
Rivers v. Guerrero	23-1345	Matthew Guarneri	5.91	1029
Rivers v. Guerrero	23-1345	Peter A. Bruland	24.11	4802
Louisiana v. Callais	24-109	Edward D. Greim	13.83	2502
Louisiana v. Callais	24-109	J. Benjamin Aguinaga	14.04	3008
Louisiana v. Callais	24-109	Stuart C. Naifeh	15.43	2761
Catholic Charities Bureau v. WI Labor and Industry Review	24-154	Colin T. Roth	21.16	4179
Catholic Charities Bureau v. WI Labor and Industry Review	24-154	Curtis E. Gannon	13.05	2504
Catholic Charities Bureau v. WI Labor and Industry Review	24-154	Eric C. Rassbach	22.07	4190
Fuld v. PLO	24-20	Edwin S. Kneedler	25.02	4083
Fuld v. PLO	24-20	Kent A. Yalowitz	19.25	2680
Fuld v. PLO	24-20	Mitchell R. Berger	22.32	3937
FCC v. Consumers' Research	24-354	Paul D. Clement	25.41	5427
FCC v. Consumers' Research	24-354	R. Trent McCotter	25.63	5518
FCC v. Consumers' Research	24-354	Sarah M. Harris	37.04	7609

Table 22: April Sitting Attorney Participation

Case	Docket	Attorney	Time	Words
A.J.T. v. Osseo Area Schools	24-249	Lisa S. Blatt	22.48	4304
A.J.T. v. Osseo Area Schools	24-249	Nicole F. Reaves	13.63	2735
A.J.T. v. Osseo Area Schools	24-249	Roman Martinez	17.4	3755
Parrish v. United States	24-275	Aimee W. Brown	9.2	2025
Parrish v. United States	24-275	Amanda Rice	9.18	1930
Parrish v. United States	24-275	Michael R. Huston	17.5	3341
Mahmoud v. Taylor	24-297	Alan E. Schoenfeld	30.7	6584
Mahmoud v. Taylor	24-297	Eric S. Baxter	26.19	5570
Mahmoud v. Taylor	24-297	Sarah M. Harris	16.64	3672
Laboratory Corp. of America v. Davis	24-304	Deepak Gupta	25.62	4953
Laboratory Corp. of America v. Davis	24-304	Noel J. Francisco	39.64	7723
Laboratory Corp. of America v. Davis	24-304	Sopan Joshi	15.2	2964
Kennedy v. Braidwood MGMT	24-316	Hashim M. Mooppan	25.66	5163
Kennedy v. Braidwood MGMT	24-316	Jonathan F. Mitchell	25.82	5704
Soto v. United States	24-320	Caroline A. Flynn	17.64	3520
Soto v. United States	24-320	Tacy F. Flint	23.78	3914
Martin v. United States	24-362	Christopher E. Mills	5.74	985
Martin v. United States	24-362	Frederick Liu	9.34	1607
Martin v. United States	24-362	Patrick M. Jaicom	18.55	3567
OK Charter School Board v. Drummond	24-394	D. John Sauer	9.68	2047
OK Charter School Board v. Drummond	24-394	Gregory G. Garre	29.26	6003
OK Charter School Board v. Drummond	24-394	James A. Campbell	15.98	3030
OK Charter School Board v. Drummond	24-394	Michael H. McGinley	11	2321
Cir v. Zuch	24-416	Erica L. Ross	21.57	4573
Cir v. Zuch	24-416	Shay Dvoretzky	12.02	2155
Diamond Alternative Energy v. EPA	24-7	Edwin S. Kneedler	12.34	1933
Diamond Alternative Energy v. EPA	24-7	Jeffrey B. Wall	20.25	4366
Diamond Alternative Energy v. EPA	24-7	Joshua A. Klein	10.92	1767

Attorney Engagement (By Sitting)

Table 23: October Sitting Attorney Engagement with Justices

Docket	Attorney									
		Roberts	Thomas	Alito	Sotomayor	Kagan	Gorsuch	Kavanaugh	Barrett	Jackson
22-7466	Christopher G. Michel	740	81	0	257	858	0	393	362	794
22-7466	Paul D. Clement	161	772	208	533	776	0	349	720	379
22-7466	Seth P. Waxman	981	372	856	711	0	0	0	0	77
23-191	Adam G. Unikowsky	1442	150	676	255	608	595	612	748	302
23-191	Edmund G. LaCour	301	154	239	259	416	234	707	385	546
23-365	Easha Anand	1078	273	613	0	0	531	1160	254	338
23-365	Lisa S. Blatt	947	255	130	351	637	155	357	204	1010
23-583	Colleen E. Roh Sinzdak	362	118	0	67	444	553	28	0	195
23-583	Samir Deger-Sen	1762	692	507	319	582	0	0	473	352
23-621	Anthony A. Yang	297	194	279	392	251	327	238	0	197
23-621	Brian D. Schmalzbach	1063	199	120	204	159	570	460	213	175
23-621	Erika L. Maley	835	132	351	286	264	0	0	54	370
23-677	Ashley C. Keller	380	139	124	0	444	327	220	313	19
23-677	Katherine B. Wellington	1273	539	762	274	273	159	680	173	642
23-713	Melanie L. Bostwick	1647	212	430	266	440	439	179	240	489
23-713	Sopan Joshi	695	79	0	293	208	791	126	0	1184
23-753	Frederick Liu	753	267	455	553	397	475	841	321	211
23-753	Tara M. Steeley	784	407	147	875	520	85	307	0	735
23-852	Elizabeth B. Prelogar	1433	381	1069	699	898	974	602	657	346
23-852	Peter A. Patterson	846	421	318	355	0	336	0	226	504

Note: Values represent total number of words by arguing attorney in response to particular Justice.

Table 24: November Sitting Attorney Engagement with Justices

Docket	Attorney		Roberts		Thomas		Alito		Sotomayor		Kagan		Gorsuch		Kavanaugh		Barrett		Jackson
23-715	Ephraim McDowell		357		63		0		230		268		361		1026		115		680
23-715	Melissa Arbus Sherry		1326		111		289		793		556		197		973		484		538
23-825	Allon Kedem		1366		211		66		230		611		420		0		644		346
23-825	Eric J. Feigin		817		256		0		6		492		661		227		0		812
23-929	Anthony A. Yang		441		414		0		105		927		565		371		228		824
23-929	Gerard J. Cedrone		1375		214		646		412		114		115		0		523		67
23-970	Colleen E. Roh Sinzdak		343		72		160		191		0		0		396		132		0
23-970	Deepak Gupta		983		286		719		137		321		509		512		0		176
23-970	Neal Kumar Katyal		1841		190		470		494		631		466		351		101		673
23-980	Kannon K. Shanmugam		1388		574		398		469		761		600		353		436		635
23-980	Kevin J. Barber		369		119		143		248		302		476		343		399		145
23-980	Kevin K. Russell		662		534		405		199		50		315		394		81		113

Note: Values represent total number of words by arguing attorney in response to particular Justice.

Table 25: December Sitting Attorney Engagement with Justices

Docket	Attorney									
		Roberts	Thomas	Alito	Sotomayor	Kagan	Gorsuch	Kavanaugh	Barrett	Jackson
23-1038	Curtis E. Gannon	1376	259	1207	264	577	666	700	514	678
23-1038	Eric N. Heyer	501	436	79	197	620	290	504	172	575
23-477	Chase B. Strangio	778	356	703	444	0	0	581	389	144
23-477	Elizabeth B. Prelogar	1527	420	1282	484	797	0	1788	992	715
23-477	J. Matthew Rice	247	415	0	294	500	0	185	409	1112
23-824	Lisa S. Blatt	322	436	0	165	147	79	302	710	656
23-824	Yaira Dubin	1146	186	0	67	582	920	231	944	431
23-861	Andrew T. Tutt	1290	422	733	322	216	293	623	520	1041
23-861	Nicole F. Reaves	1048	364	0	427	273	726	387	427	125
23-867	Joshua S. Glasgow	420	170	297	326	287	174	0	454	131
23-867	Shay Dvoretzky	726	420	77	389	209	96	502	374	532
23-867	Sopan Joshi	248	192	194	141	425	329	812	454	276
23-909	Eric J. Feigin	968	190	615	269	496	640	884	658	158
23-909	Jeffrey L. Fisher	1666	524	211	273	616	643	284	575	1490
23-975	Edwin S. Kneedler	1025	173	84	290	576	0	689	321	253
23-975	Paul D. Clement	1215	552	178	610	1023	0	645	700	809
23-975	William M. Jay	417	185	0	249	1276	0	275	0	2289

Note: Values represent total number of words by arguing attorney in response to particular Justice.

Table 26: January Sitting Attorney Engagement with Justices

Docket	Attorney										Roberts	Thomas	Alito	Sotomayor	Kagan	Gorsuch	Kavanaugh	Barrett	Jackson	
23-1002	Masha G. Hansford	204	34	527	526	501	184	558	0	0	0	204	34	527	526	501	184	558	0	0
23-1002	Michael B. Kimberly	1113	215	372	52	260	0	507	207	270	207	1113	215	372	52	260	0	507	207	270
23-1002	Michael H. McGinley	375	85	106	543	380	520	301	0	1246	0	375	85	106	543	380	520	301	0	1246
23-1007	Nicole A. Saharsky	334	204	1349	298	1201	0	368	0	984	0	334	204	1349	298	1201	0	368	0	984
23-1007	Xiao Wang	1298	141	416	420	0	25	643	79	396	79	1298	141	416	420	0	25	643	79	396
23-1007	Yaira Dubin	386	194	331	530	771	256	319	0	447	0	386	194	331	530	771	256	319	0	447
23-1095	Caroline A. Flynn	744	186	343	324	328	435	56	187	248	187	744	186	343	324	328	435	56	187	248
23-1095	Chris C. Gair	806	288	482	167	465	278	184	306	498	306	806	288	482	167	465	278	184	306	498
23-1122	Aaron L. Nielson	264	196	68	391	622	196	264	458	493	458	264	196	68	391	622	196	264	458	493
23-1122	Brian H. Fletcher	532	512	622	275	1100	636	337	228	358	228	532	512	622	275	1100	636	337	228	358
23-1122	Derek L. Shaffer	1462	278	1148	342	301	726	764	539	221	539	1462	278	1148	342	301	726	764	539	221
23-1187	Ryan J. Watson	320	181	0	495	481	389	561	286	914	286	320	181	0	495	481	389	561	286	914
23-1187	Vivek Suri	1145	340	322	543	569	282	645	230	328	230	1145	340	322	543	569	282	645	230	328
23-1226	Joseph R. Palmore	318	236	598	138	301	591	399	0	465	0	318	236	598	138	301	591	399	0	465
23-1226	Matthew Guarnieri	299	105	618	0	381	572	0	0	131	0	299	105	618	0	381	572	0	0	131
23-1226	Matthew W.H. Wessler	1088	85	0	893	538	335	0	0	905	0	1088	85	0	893	538	335	0	0	905
23-1239	Charles L. McCloud	528	294	0	457	423	350	0	71	410	71	528	294	0	457	423	350	0	71	410
23-1239	Lanora C. Pettit	191	96	0	0	0	389	0	0	413	0	191	96	0	0	0	389	0	0	413
23-1239	Nathaniel A.G. Zelinsky	578	135	397	452	0	0	907	307	140	307	578	135	397	452	0	0	907	307	140
23-1239	Zoe A. Jacoby	709	70	350	144	157	167	437	548	164	548	709	70	350	144	157	167	437	548	164
23-971	Matthew D. McGill	392	157	0	133	454	803	0	0	363	0	392	157	0	133	454	803	0	0	363
23-971	Vincent Levy	1233	201	0	316	197	273	0	0	558	0	1233	201	0	316	197	273	0	0	558
23-997	Deepak Gupta	1064	324	821	617	0	0	0	0	666	0	1064	324	821	617	0	0	0	0	666
23-997	Frederick Liu	253	355	602	156	296	0	52	0	84	0	253	355	602	156	296	0	52	0	84
23-997	Jessica C. Conner	579	205	170	258	546	0	159	0	1243	0	579	205	170	258	546	0	159	0	1243

Note: Values represent total number of words by arguing attorney in response to particular Justice.

Table 27: February Sitting Attorney Engagement with Justices

Docket	Attorney									
		Roberts	Thomas	Alito	Sotomayor	Kagan	Gorsuch	Kavanaugh	Barrett	Jackson
23-1039	Ashley Robertson	300	260	572	0	0	55	0	432	386
23-1039	T. Elliot Gaiser	314	131	0	265	293	240	448	361	245
23-1039	Xiao Wang	873	106	0	66	192	383	127	161	349
23-1141	Catherine E. Stetson	1030	966	553	162	177	258	588	305	667
23-1141	Noel J. Francisco	1662	653	0	217	1067	620	0	321	627
23-1201	Aaron M. Streett	180	424	0	333	0	0	0	0	416
23-1201	Carter G. Phillips	446	0	0	127	246	358	0	0	921
23-1201	Matthew D. McGill	533	658	0	157	0	0	0	0	122
23-1201	Sarah M. Harris	113	605	269	0	0	171	0	0	0
23-1259	Michael H. McGinley	965	657	0	241	402	530	43	145	1097
23-1259	Michael J. Radine	353	163	210	342	164	215	347	185	578
23-1300	Aaron L. Nielson	188	117	0	318	517	418	366	0	374
23-1300	Brad Fagg	472	200	542	293	0	152	157	0	393
23-1300	David C. Frederick	250	173	0	284	456	169	487	0	623
23-1300	Malcolm L. Stewart	899	768	543	350	412	862	372	0	372
23-1324	Ann M. Sherman	694	282	144	758	372	617	0	58	813
23-1324	Lori Alvino McGill	621	97	567	214	188	62	224	541	47
23-7483	Christian J. Grostic	857	261	592	453	318	515	315	278	498
23-7483	Masha G. Hansford	906	268	0	282	578	215	384	478	652
23-7809	Anne E. Fisher	928	507	950	354	47	388	741	276	382
23-7809	William F. Cole	323	216	89	543	547	206	383	356	586

Note: Values represent total number of words by arguing attorney in response to particular Justice.

Table 28: March Sitting Attorney Engagement with Justices

Docket	Attorney	Roberts	Thomas	Alito	Sotomayor	Kagan	Gorsuch	Kavanaugh	Barrett	Jackson
23-1067	Malcolm L. Stewart	570	67	0	0	0	36	242	0	817
23-1067	Misha Tseytlin	253	448	0	0	313	21	243	0	442
23-1067	Mithun Mansinghani	864	60	0	279	260	584	85	0	262
23-1229	Malcolm L. Stewart	702	246	548	387	427	1208	187	332	528
23-1229	Michael R. Huston	323	350	195	364	453	398	757	159	426
23-1229	Seth P. Waxman	573	499	0	287	0	118	335	69	674
23-1270	Ephraim McDowell	179	329	541	197	240	423	122	0	249
23-1270	Keith Bradley	833	355	94	187	0	191	0	0	112
23-1270	Stephen J. Hammer	631	133	0	211	841	537	0	266	571
23-1275	John J. Bursch	918	234	0	421	296	317	681	561	878
23-1275	Kyle D. Hawkins	292	82	0	298	231	0	416	89	342
23-1275	Nicole A. Saharsky	1447	597	795	577	80	529	1129	518	89
23-1345	Aaron L. Nielson	567	68	0	0	0	127	580	0	323
23-1345	Matthew Guarnieri	251	0	0	173	0	0	0	605	0
23-1345	Peter A. Bruland	1275	544	525	640	0	329	308	0	1181
24-109	Edward D. Greim	323	51	8	245	607	205	516	0	547
24-109	J. Benjamin Aguinaga	886	502	221	322	0	0	464	351	262
24-109	Stuart C. Naifeh	590	400	473	79	218	509	96	244	152
24-154	Colin T. Roth	454	358	304	163	502	909	636	627	226
24-154	Curtis E. Gannon	328	557	0	0	523	225	568	80	223
24-154	Eric C. Rassbach	780	145	399	430	366	217	507	776	570
24-20	Edwin S. Kneedler	1197	464	492	359	304	379	299	256	333
24-20	Kent A. Yalowitz	221	197	160	297	547	464	59	290	445
24-20	Mitchell R. Berger	357	290	402	299	516	411	0	901	761
24-354	Paul D. Clement	204	494	219	832	651	1247	448	540	615
24-354	R. Trent McCotter	405	268	841	386	844	297	1270	562	645
24-354	Sarah M. Harris	1519	1060	918	323	161	1251	842	814	721

Note: Values represent total number of words by arguing attorney in response to particular Justice.

Table 29: April Sitting Attorney Engagement with Justices

Docket	Attorney									
		Roberts	Thomas	Alito	Sotomayor	Kagan	Gorsuch	Kavanaugh	Barrett	Jackson
24-249	Lisa S. Blatt	722	314	192	608	0	99	1016	338	1015
24-249	Nicole F. Reaves	367	176	509	0	199	269	780	169	266
24-249	Roman Martinez	1230	253	165	416	170	223	731	297	238
24-275	Aimee W. Brown	207	164	0	225	0	716	187	256	270
24-275	Amanda Rice	538	102	95	121	174	348	224	0	299
24-275	Michael R. Huston	921	186	265	234	511	0	160	8	1056
24-297	Alan E. Schoenfeld	557	478	1310	495	183	1099	774	1234	454
24-297	Eric S. Baxter	1460	370	301	540	629	247	669	710	644
24-297	Sarah M. Harris	304	246	0	189	865	394	532	310	832
24-304	Deepak Gupta	764	171	967	253	249	0	1097	930	522
24-304	Noel J. Francisco	1136	1115	588	949	1116	669	456	587	1107
ω	Sopan Joshi	347	242	131	168	405	1189	7	0	475
	Hashim M. Mooppan	1485	527	534	195	494	454	578	356	540
24-316	Jonathan F. Mitchell	501	257	506	580	985	878	1143	181	673
24-320	Caroline A. Flynn	660	228	206	291	470	426	300	297	642
24-320	Tacy F. Flint	1297	190	381	303	698	95	438	181	331
24-362	Christopher E. Mills	150	184	0	0	549	0	0	0	102
24-362	Frederick Liu	598	93	0	397	0	279	26	0	214
24-362	Patrick M. Jaicomio	1382	224	380	534	0	655	0	0	392
24-394	D. John Sauer	367	224	158	231	480	127	3	0	457
24-394	Gregory G. Garre	678	780	1449	400	317	312	1395	0	672
24-394	James A. Campbell	380	435	211	297	514	305	510	0	378
24-394	Michael H. McGinley	662	309	0	207	536	84	314	0	209
24-416	Erica L. Ross	984	552	310	332	409	1314	452	0	220
24-416	Shay Dvoretzky	335	212	53	217	0	187	840	0	311
24-7	Edwin S. Kneedler	258	105	264	230	421	0	276	0	379
24-7	Jeffrey B. Wall	1121	242	436	646	392	0	130	506	817
24-7	Joshua A. Klein	177	250	135	0	380	0	177	404	244

Note: Values represent total number of words by arguing attorney in response to particular Justice.

Decisions



Photo Credit: AP/Jacquelyn Martin

Table 30: What's Included (Decisions)

Area	Topic	Description
Overview	Case Information	Overview of cases decided by the Court, including: Dates of Argument and Decision, Majority Author and Majority/Minority Coalition Sizes, etc.
	Vote Matrices	Justice-level voting behaviors by case and Justice.
	Vote Alignments	Proportion of shared voting preferences by Justice-level pairing.
Opinions	Opinion Authorship	Term-level summary of Justice-level opinion authorship behaviors.
	Coalition Sizes	Comparison to previous terms' rates of varying coalition sizes.
Historical Comparisons	Unanimity	Comparison to previous terms' rates of unanimity.

Note on Decision Coding

We recognize that the array of potential case-level votes do not always neatly align with a definitive indicator that a Justice should be considered a member of the Majority or Minority (*Dissenting*) coalition. In particular, votes by Justices *Concurring and Dissenting In Part, Concurring in Judgment*, joining (and/or authoring) several concurrences, etc., are not as clear of an indicator as authoring or simply joining the majority. These special votes could lead to varying records of majority and minority coalitions sizes depending on the source. For example, a decision rendered with a single Justice authoring an opinion *Concurring In Part, and Dissenting in Part* could reasonably be coded as either (9-0) or (8-1), given that the Justice neither fully joined – nor fully dissented – from the Court’s majority opinion.

To maintain methodological consistency, we code choices to join the **Minority (Dissent)** as instances where a Justice (1) Authored a Dissenting Opinion or (2) **Only** Joined a Dissenting Opinion. Below we list the cases impacted by this coding scheme.

- *Trump v. J.G.G* (24A931) – Decided January 22, 2025

Additional Notes: Opinion Consolidations

-

Decision Information

Table 31: Decision Information (Part 1)

Case	Docket	Date Argued	Date Decision	Lower Court	Decision	Author	Coalition
Royal Canin v. Wullsleger	23-677	10/07/24	01/15/25	CA8	Affirm	Kagan	(9-0)
E.M.D. Sales Inc v. Carrera	23-217	11/05/24	01/15/25	CA4	Reverse and Remand	Kavanaugh	(9-0)
TikTok Inc. v. Garland	24-656	01/10/25	01/17/25	CADC	Affirm	Per Curiam	(9-0)
Andrew v. White	23-6573		01/21/25	CA10	Vacate and Remand	Per Curiam	Per Curiam
Hungary v. Simon	23-867	12/03/24	02/21/25	CADC	Vacate and Remand	Sotomayor	(9-0)
Wisconsin Bell v. United States ex rel. Heath	23-1127	11/04/24	02/21/25	CA7	Affirm and Remand	Kagan	(9-0)
Williams v. Washington	23-191	10/07/24	02/21/25	SCAL	Reverse and Remand	Kavanaugh	(5-4)
Lackey v. Stinnie	23-621	10/08/24	02/25/25	CA4	Reverse and Remand	Roberts	(7-2)
Hamm v. Smith	23-167		11/04/24	CA11	Vacate and Remand	Per Curiam	Per Curiam
Facebook v. Amalgamated Bank	23-980	11/06/24	11/22/24	CA9	DIG	Per Curiam	Per Curiam
Bouarafa v. Mayorkas	23-583	10/15/24	12/10/24	CA11	Affirm	Jackson	(9-0)
NVIDIA Corp. v. E. Ohman J:or Fonder AB	23-970		12/11/24	CA9	DIG	Per Curiam	Per Curiam

Table 32: Decision Information (Part 2)

Case	Docket	Date Argued	Date Decision	Lower Court	Decision	Author	Coalition
Glossip v. Oklahoma	22-7466	10/09/24	02/25/25	OCCA	Reverse and Remand	Sotomayor	(6-2)
Waetzig v. Halliburton Energy Services	23-971	01/14/25	02/26/25	CA10	Reverse and Remand	Alito	(9-0)
Dewberry Group Inc. v. Dewberry Engineers Inc.	23-900	12/11/24	02/26/25	CA4	Vacate and Remand	Kagan	(9-0)
San Francisco v. EPA	23-753	10/16/24	03/04/25	CA9	Reverse and Remand	Alito	(9-0)
Bufkin v. McDonough	23-713	10/16/24	03/05/25	CAFC	Affirm	Thomas	(7-2)
Thompson v. United States.	23-1095	01/14/25	03/21/25	CA7	Vacate and Remand	Roberts	(9-0)
Delligatti v. United States.	23-825	11/12/24	03/21/25	CA2	Affirm	Thomas	(7-2)
Garland v. Vanderstok	23-852	10/08/24	03/26/25	CA5	Reverse and Remand	Gorsuch	(7-2)
United States v. Miller	23-824	12/02/24	03/26/25	CA10	Reverse	Jackson	(8-1)
FDA v. Wages and White Lion Investments	23-1038	12/02/24	04/02/25	CA5	Vacate and Remand	Alito	(9-0)
Medical Marijuana Inc. v. Horn	23-365	10/15/24	04/02/25	CA2	Affirm and Remand	Barrett	(5-4)
Department of Education v. California	24A910		04/04/25	DCMA	Granted	Per Curiam	(5-4)

Table 33: Decision Information (Part 3)

Case	Docket	Date Argued	Date Decision	Lower Court	Decision	Author	Coalition
Trump v. J.G.G.	24A931		04/07/25	DCDC	Granted	Per Curiam	(5-4)*
Cunningham v. Cornell University	23-1007	01/22/25	04/17/25	CA2	Reverse and Remand	Sotomayor	(9-0)
Velazquez v. Garland	23-929	11/12/24	04/22/25	CA10	Reverse and Remand	Gorusch	(6-3)

Decision Vote Matrices

Table 34: Decision Vote Matrix (Part 1)

Case	Roberts	Thomas	Alito	Sotomayor	Kagan	Gorsuch	Kavanaugh	Barrett	Jackson	
Royal Canin v. Wullschleger	M	M	M	M	M*	M	M	M	M	
E.M.D. Sales Inc v. Carrera	M	JRC	M	M	M	RC	M*	M	M	
TikTok Inc. v. Garland	M	M	M	SC	M	CJ	M	M	M	
Andrew v. White	M	D	CJ	M	M	JD	M	M	M	
Hungary v. Simon	M	M	M	M*	M	M	M	M	M	
Wisconsin Bell v. United States ex rel. Heath	M	RC-JRC	JRC	M	M*	M	RC-JRC	M	M	
Williams v. Washington	M	D	JD	M	M	JD	M*	JD	M	
Lackey v. Stinnie	M*	M	M	JD	M	M	M	M	D	
Hamm v. Smith	M	M	M	M	M	M	M	M	M	
Facebook v. Amalgamated Bank	M	M	M	M	M	M	M	M	M	
Bouarafa v. Mayorkas	M	M	M	M	M	M	M	M	M*	
NVIDIA Corp. v. E. Ohman J:or Fonder AB	M	M	M	M	M	M	M	M	M	

M* = Majority Author; M = Majority Coalition; RC = Wrote Concurrence; JRC = Joined Regular Concurrence

D = Wrote Dissent; JD = Joined Dissent; SC = Special Concurrence; DNP = Did Not Participate

Table 35: Decision Vote Matrix (Part 2)

Case	Roberts	Thomas	Alito	Sotomayor	Kagan	Gorsuch	Kavanaugh	Barrett	Jackson	
Glossip v. Oklahoma	M	D	JD	M*	M	DNP	M	SC	M	
Waetzig v. Halliburton Energy Services	M	M	M*	M	M	M	M	M	M	
Dewberry Group Inc. v. Dewberry Engineers Inc.	M	M	M	RC	M*	M	M	M	M	
San Francisco v. EPA	M	M	M*	JSC	JSC	M	M	SC	JSC	
Bufkin v. McDonough	M	M*	M	M	M	JD	M	M	D	
Thompson v. United States.	M*	M	RC	M	M	M	M	M	RC	
Delligatti v. United States.	M	M*	M	M	M	D	M	M	JD	
Garland v. Vanderstok	M	D	D	RC	M	M*	RC	M	RC	
United States v. Miller	M	M	M	M	M	D	M	M	M*	
FDA v. Wages and White Lion Investments	M	M	M*	RC	M	M	M	M	M	
Medical Marijuana Inc. v. Horn	JD	D	JD	M	M	M	D	M*	M	
Department of Education v. California	JD	M	M	JD	D	M	M	M	D	

M* = Majority Author; M = Majority Coalition; RC = Wrote Concurrence; JRC = Joined Regular Concurrence

D = Wrote Dissent; JD = Joined Dissent; SC = Special Concurrence; DNP = Did Not Participate

Table 36: Decision Vote Matrix (Part 3)

Case	Roberts	Thomas	Alito	Sotomayor	Kagan	Gorsuch	Kavanaugh	Barrett	Jackson	
Trump v. J.G.G.	M	M	M	D	JD	M	RC	JSC	JD	
Cunningham v. Cornell University	M	JRC	RC	M*	M	M	JRC	M	M	
Velazquez v. Garland	M	D	D-JD	M	M	M*	JD	D-JD	M	

M* = Majority Author; M = Majority Coalition; RC = Wrote Concurrence; JRC = Joined Regular Concurrence

D = Wrote Dissent; JD = Joined Dissent; SC = Special Concurrence; DNP = Did Not Participate

Coalitions and Unanimity

Figure 3: Coalition Alignments (October Term 2024)




Figure 4: Coalition Alignments (2021–2024 Terms)





Figure 5: Proportion of Decisions Reached Unanimously (2021-2024 Terms)



Values represent proportion of cases decided unanimously (or without dissenting Justice) conditioned on the total number of decisions offered that term. Term-level coalitions for the 2021-2023 terms recovered using the [Supreme Court Database \(WUSTL/Penn State\)](#). Proportions include opinions decided *Per Curiam* with no noted dissents.

Circuit Scorecard

Table 37: Circuit Scorecard (Not Including Consolidated Cases)

Circuit	Affirm	Affirm & Reverse	Reverse & Remand	Reverse	Vacate & Remand	DIG
CA2	1	1	1			
CA4			2	1		
CA5			1	1		
CA7		1		1		
CA8	1					
CA9			1			2
CA10			2	1	1	
CA11	1			1		
CADC	1			1		
CAFC	1					

Table 38: Circuit Scorecard (with Consolidated Cases)

Circuit	Affirm	Affirm & Reverse	Reverse & Remand	Reverse	Vacate & Remand	DIG
CA2	1	1	1			
CA4			2	1		
CA5			1	1		
CA7		1		1		
CA8	1					
CA9			1			2
CA10			2	1	1	
CA11	1			1		
CADC	1			1		
CAFC	1					

Opinion Authorship

Table 39: Opinion Lengths (October Term 2024, Part 1)

Case	Justice	Opinion	Word Count
Glossip v. Oklahoma	Sotomayor	Opinion	9621
Glossip v. Oklahoma	Barrett	Special	1422
		Concurrence	
Glossip v. Oklahoma	Thomas	Dissent	14532
Cunningham v. Cornell University	Sotomayor	Opinion	4728
Cunningham v. Cornell University	Alito	Concurrence	772
FDA v. Wages and White Lion Investments	Alito	Opinion	14299
FDA v. Wages and White Lion Investments	Sotomayor	Concurrence	197
Thompson v. United States.	Roberts	Opinion	2722
Thompson v. United States.	Alito	Concurrence	976
Thompson v. United States.	Jackson	Concurrence	229
Wisconsin Bell v. United States ex rel. Heath	Kagan	Opinion	4345
Wisconsin Bell v. United States ex rel. Heath	Thomas	Concurrence	3689
Wisconsin Bell v. United States ex rel. Heath	Kavanaugh	Concurrence	103
Williams v. Washington	Kavanaugh	Opinion	2999
Williams v. Washington	Thomas	Dissent	3796
E.M.D. Sales Inc v. Carrera	Kavanaugh	Opinion	2251
E.M.D. Sales Inc v. Carrera	Gorsuch	Concurrence	207
Medical Marijuana Inc. v. Horn	Barrett	Opinion	5862
Medical Marijuana Inc. v. Horn	Jackson	Concurrence	114
Medical Marijuana Inc. v. Horn	Thomas	Dissent	3362
Medical Marijuana Inc. v. Horn	Kavanaugh	Dissent	8325
Bouarafa v. Mayorkas	Jackson	Opinion	3472
Lackey v. Stinnie	Roberts	Opinion	4106
Lackey v. Stinnie	Jackson	Dissent	5802
Andrew v. White	Alito	Concurrence	87
Andrew v. White	Thomas	Dissent	5754
Royal Canin v. Wullschleger	Kagan	Opinion	6487
Bufkin v. McDonough	Thomas	Opinion	5097
Bufkin v. McDonough	Jackson	Dissent	6250
Advocate Christ Medical Center v. Becerra	Barrett	Opinion	4927

Table 40: Opinion Lengths (Part 2)

Case	Justice	Opinion	Word Count
Advocate Christ Medical Center v. Becerra	Jackson	Dissent	5689
San Francisco v. EPA	Alito	Opinion	5978
San Francisco v. EPA	Barrett	Dissent	3986
United States v. Miller	Jackson	Opinion	5798
United States v. Miller	Gorsuch	Dissent	663
Delligatti v. United States.	Thomas	Opinion	4718
Delligatti v. United States.	Gorsuch	Dissent	6414
Garland v. Vanderstok	Gorsuch	Opinion	7314
Garland v. Vanderstok	Sotomayor	Concurrence	482
Garland v. Vanderstok	Kavanaugh	Concurrence	430
Garland v. Vanderstok	Jackson	Concurrence	214
Garland v. Vanderstok	Thomas	Dissent	8036
Garland v. Vanderstok	Alito	Dissent	1509
Feliciano v. Department of Transportation.	Gorsuch	Opinion	4968
Feliciano v. Department of Transportation.	Thomas	Dissent	5321
Hungary v. Simon	Sotomayor	Opinion	6844
Dewberry Group Inc. v. Dewberry Engineers Inc.	Kagan	Opinion	2391
Dewberry Group Inc. v. Dewberry Engineers Inc.	Sotomayor	Concurrence	951
Velazquez v. Garland	Gorsuch	Opinion	5760
Velazquez v. Garland	Thomas	Dissent	5568
Velazquez v. Garland	Alito	Dissent	3275
Velazquez v. Garland	Barrett	Dissent	876
Waetzig v. Halliburton Energy Services	Alito	Opinion	4212
TikTok Inc. v. Garland	Sotomayor	Concurrence	226
TikTok Inc. v. Garland	Gorsuch	Concurrence	1374
Department of Education v. California	Kagan	Dissent	295
Department of Education v. California	Jackson	Dissent	5292
Trump v. J. G. G.	Kavanaugh	Concurrence	293
Trump v. J. G. G.	Sotomayor	Dissent	5281
Trump v. J. G. G.	Jackson	Dissent	419

Table 41: Number of Authored Opinions by Justice and Opinion Type (October Term 2024)

Justice	Majority	Concurrence	Dissent
Alito	3	3	2
Barrett	2	1	2
Gorsuch	3	2	2
Jackson	2	3	5
Kagan	3	0	1
Kavanaugh	2	3	1
Roberts	2	0	0
Sotomayor	3	4	1
Thomas	2	1	7

Note: *Concurrence* includes Special Concurrences (In Part)

Table 42: Number of Authored Opinions by Justice and Opinion Type (2021-2024 Terms)

Term	Justice	Majority	Concurrence	Dissent
2021	Alito	6	6	4
2022	Alito	6	4	7
2023	Alito	4	8	4
2024	Alito	3	3	2
2021	Barrett	6	4	2
2022	Barrett	6	5	4
2023	Barrett	6	7	2
2024	Barrett	2	1	2
2021	Breyer	6	1	9
2021	Gorsuch	6	6	8
2022	Gorsuch	6	12	3
2023	Gorsuch	6	10	6
2024	Gorsuch	3	2	2
2022	Jackson	6	5	5
2023	Jackson	5	12	8
2024	Jackson	2	3	5
2021	Kagan	6	2	9
2022	Kagan	6	1	4
2023	Kagan	7	3	2
2024	Kagan	3	0	1
2021	Kavanaugh	5	7	2
2022	Kavanaugh	7	6	2
2023	Kavanaugh	6	7	3
2024	Kavanaugh	2	3	1
2021	Roberts	8	2	2
2022	Roberts	6	0	1
2023	Roberts	7	1	1
2024	Roberts	2	0	0
2021	Sotomayor	7	4	12
2022	Sotomayor	5	6	4
2023	Sotomayor	7	4	7
2024	Sotomayor	3	4	1
2021	Thomas	7	7	8
2022	Thomas	6	7	9
2023	Thomas	6	12	5
2024	Thomas	2	1	7

Note: *Concurrence* includes Special Concurrences (In Part)

Dockets




Photo Credit: Democracy Docket/Mac Brower

Table 43: What's Included (Dockets)

Area	Topic	Description
Filings	Variance in Filings	Cumulative & monthly variance in OT24 docket filings by type (Certiorari Petitions, Applications, and Motions), as well as In Forma Pauperis (IFP) vs. Paid Certiorari Petitions.
	Petition Origins	Cumulative origins of petitions filed in OT24, as well as circuit-level variance by type.
Amicus Curiae	Filings	Variance in the number of amici petitions under consideration in OT24 by volume of amici.

Variance in Filings

Figure 6: Cumulative Filings by Month (OT24)



Note: Values represent cumulative number of filings by month during OT24 – i.e., each subsequent month represents the aggregate number of filings in that month and those preceding.

Figure 7: Month-Level Docket Trends (OT24)




Table 44: Variance IFP & Paid Certiorari Petitions (OT24)

Type	Count
IFP	2125
Paid	1129

Filings Origins

Table 45: Petition Origins (OT24, U.S. Circuit Courts of Appeal)

Circuit	Certiorari	Application	Motion
First Circuit	63	21	2
Second Circuit	204	70	4
Third Circuit	139	50	2
Fourth Circuit	241	49	3
Fifth Circuit	398	104	6
Sixth Circuit	185	41	3
Seventh Circuit	117	33	4
Eighth Circuit	156	46	6
Ninth Circuit	397	133	11
Tenth Circuit	105	36	1
Eleventh Circuit	247	101	4
District of Columbia	54	55	2
Federal	53	34	4
Armed Forces	10	6	1

Table 46: Petition Origins (OT24, Part 1)

Court	All Filings
Fifth Circuit	398
Ninth Circuit	397
Eleventh Circuit	247
Fourth Circuit	241
Second Circuit	204
Sixth Circuit	185
Eighth Circuit	156
Third Circuit	139
Seventh Circuit	117
Tenth Circuit	105
First Circuit	63
District of Columbia Circuit	54
Federal Circuit	53
Supreme Court of Florida	43
Court of Criminal Appeals of Texas	36
Court of Appeal of California Second Appellate District	22
Court of Appeals of New York	20
Supreme Court of California	20
Court of Criminal Appeals of Oklahoma	16
District Court of Appeal of Florida Fourth District	14
Supreme Court of Georgia	14
Supreme Court of South Carolina	14
Court of Appeals of Colorado	13
Court of Appeals of Michigan	12
Court of Appeal of California First Appellate District	11

Table 47: Petition Origins (OT24, Part 2)

Court	All Filings
Court of Appeals of Indiana	11
Supreme Court of Virginia	11
District of Columbia Court of Appeals	10
Armed Forces	10
Appellate Court of Illinois First District	9
District Court of Appeal of Florida First District	9
Supreme Court of Delaware	9
Supreme Court of Montana	9
Supreme Court of Nevada	9
Appeals Court of Massachusetts	8
Appellate Court of Illinois Fourth District	8
District Court of Appeal of Florida Second District	8
Supreme Court of Arkansas	8
Supreme Court of Louisiana	8
Supreme Court of Mississippi	8
Court of Appeal of California Sixth Appellate District	7
Court of Appeal of California Third Appellate District	7
Court of Appeals of Minnesota	7
Court of Appeals of Texas Fourteenth District	7
District Court of Appeal of Florida Third District	7
Superior Court of Pennsylvania Harrisburg Office	7
Supreme Court of Ohio	7
Court of Appeals of Georgia	6
District Court of Appeal of Florida Fifth District	6
Superior Court of New Jersey Appellate Division	6

Table 48: Petition Origins (OT24, Part 3)

Court	All Filings
Supreme Court of Arizona	6
Appellate Division Supreme Court of New York First Ju-dicial Department	5
Court of Appeals of Arizona Division One	5
Court of Appeals of Arizona Division Two	5
Court of Appeals of Kansas	5
Court of Appeals of Oregon	5
Court of Appeals of Texas Fifth District	5
Court of Appeals of Washington Division 1	5
Supreme Court of Illinois	5
Supreme Court of Iowa	5
Supreme Court of Kentucky	5
Supreme Court of Missouri	5
Supreme Court of Puerto Rico	5
Supreme Court of Vermont	5
Supreme Judicial Court of Massachusetts	5
Appellate Court of Illinois Second District	4
Appellate Division Supreme Court of New York Fourth Judicial Department	4
Circuit Court of Maryland Baltimore County	4
Court of Appeal of California Fifth Appellate District	4
Court of Appeal of California Fourth Appellate District Division Three	4
Court of Appeal of Louisiana Second Circuit	4
Court of Appeals of South Carolina	4
Court of Appeals of Tennessee Eastern Division	4
Court of Appeals of Texas First District	4
Court of Appeals of Texas Fourth District	4

Table 49: Petition Origins (OT24, Part 2)

Court	All Filings
Court of Appeals of Indiana	11
Supreme Court of Virginia	11
District of Columbia Court of Appeals	10
Armed Forces	10
Appellate Court of Illinois First District	9
District Court of Appeal of Florida First District	9
Supreme Court of Delaware	9
Supreme Court of Montana	9
Supreme Court of Nevada	9
Appeals Court of Massachusetts	8
Appellate Court of Illinois Fourth District	8
District Court of Appeal of Florida Second District	8
Supreme Court of Arkansas	8
Supreme Court of Louisiana	8
Supreme Court of Mississippi	8
Court of Appeal of California Sixth Appellate District	7
Court of Appeal of California Third Appellate District	7
Court of Appeals of Minnesota	7
Court of Appeals of Texas Fourteenth District	7
District Court of Appeal of Florida Third District	7
Superior Court of Pennsylvania Harrisburg Office	7
Supreme Court of Ohio	7
Court of Appeals of Georgia	6
District Court of Appeal of Florida Fifth District	6
Superior Court of New Jersey Appellate Division	6

Table 50: Petition Origins (OT24, Part 5)

Court	All Filings
Supreme Court of Colorado	3
Supreme Court of Kansas	3
Supreme Court of Maryland	3
Supreme Court of Michigan	3
Supreme Court of Minnesota	3
Supreme Court of North Dakota	3
Supreme Court of Oklahoma	3
Supreme Court of Pennsylvania Eastern District	3
Supreme Court of Texas	3
United States District Court for the Western District of Louisiana	3
Appellate Court of Connecticut	2
Appellate Court of Illinois Fifth District	2
Appellate Court of Illinois Third District	2
Court of Appeal of Louisiana Fifth Circuit	2
Court of Appeals of Iowa	2
Court of Appeals of Kentucky	2
Court of Appeals of Nebraska	2
Court of Appeals of North Carolina	2
Court of Appeals of Ohio Cuyahoga County	2
Court of Appeals of Ohio Trumbull County	2
Court of Appeals of Texas Sixth District	2
Court of Appeals of Utah	2
Court of Appeals of Wisconsin District IV	2
Court of Criminal Appeals of Tennessee Middle Division	2
Supreme Court of Connecticut	2

95

Table 51: Petition Origins (OT24, Part 2)

Court	All Filings
Court of Appeals of Indiana	11
Supreme Court of Virginia	11
District of Columbia Court of Appeals	10
Armed Forces	10
Appellate Court of Illinois First District	9
District Court of Appeal of Florida First District	9
Supreme Court of Delaware	9
Supreme Court of Montana	9
Supreme Court of Nevada	9
Appeals Court of Massachusetts	8
Appellate Court of Illinois Fourth District	8
District Court of Appeal of Florida Second District	8
Supreme Court of Arkansas	8
Supreme Court of Louisiana	8
Supreme Court of Mississippi	8
Court of Appeal of California Sixth Appellate District	7
Court of Appeal of California Third Appellate District	7
Court of Appeals of Minnesota	7
Court of Appeals of Texas Fourteenth District	7
District Court of Appeal of Florida Third District	7
Superior Court of Pennsylvania Harrisburg Office	7
Supreme Court of Ohio	7
Court of Appeals of Georgia	6
District Court of Appeal of Florida Fifth District	6
Superior Court of New Jersey Appellate Division	6

Table 52: Petition Origins (OT24, Part 7)

Court	All Filings
Court of Appeals of Ohio Franklin County	1
Court of Appeals of Ohio Lucas County	1
Court of Appeals of Ohio Mahoning County	1
Court of Appeals of Ohio Richland County	1
Court of Appeals of Ohio Stark County	1
Court of Appeals of Ohio Summit County	1
Court of Appeals of Ohio Tuscarawas County	1
Court of Appeals of Ohio Warren County	1
Court of Appeals of Puerto Rico	1
Court of Appeals of Texas Eighth District	1
Court of Appeals of Texas Tenth District	1
Court of Appeals of Washington Division 3	1
Court of Appeals of Wisconsin District I	1
Court of Civil Appeals of Oklahoma First Division	1
Court of Civil Appeals of Oklahoma Second Division	1
District Court of Iowa Fremont County	1
District Court of New Mexico San Juan County	1
Intermediate Court of Appeals of Hawaii	1
Superior Court of Georgia Baldwin County	1
Superior Court of Georgia Fulton County	1
Superior Court of Georgia Rockdale County	1
Superior Court of Georgia Telfair County	1
Supreme Court of Alaska	1
Supreme Court of Appeals of West Virginia	1
Supreme Court of Guam	1

Table 53: Petition Origins (OT24, Part 8)

Court	All Filings
Supreme Court of Idaho	1
Supreme Court of New York Bronx County	1
Supreme Court of New York New York County	1
Supreme Court of North Carolina	1
Supreme Court of Tennessee Western Division	1
Supreme Judicial Court of Maine	1

Amici Variance

Table 54: Variance in No. Amici Filed for Certiorari Petitions (OT24 Filings)

No. Amici	Count
0	3190
1	36
2	9
3	6
4	3
5	2
6	1
7	1
8	1
9	1
20	2
22	1
25	1