

Supreme Court Stat Review

October Term 2024-2025

(Extended Version)



EmpiricalSCOTUS
Viewing the Supreme Court in an Entirely New Light

Compiled by Adam Feldman (J.D., Ph.D.) and Jake S. Truscott (Ph.D.)

Version: 0.0 (Released)

For additional data and accompanying analysis, please visit
[EmpiricalSCOTUS](#) or [Contact Us](#).

Table of Contents

| | |
|--|----|
| Preface | 2 |
| Data Availability & Disclosure | 3 |
| Oral Arguments | 4 |
| Justice Participation (Words & Time Combined) | 5 |
| Justice Participation (Words & Time by Sitting) | 6 |
| Attorney Participation (Words & Time by Sitting) | 20 |
| Attorney Engagement (By Sitting) | 27 |
| Decisions | 34 |
| Note on Decision Coding | 35 |
| Additional Notes: Opinion Consolidations | 35 |
| Decision Information | 36 |
| Decision Vote Matrices | 39 |
| Coalitions and Unanimity | 42 |
| Circuit Scorecard | 45 |
| Opinion Authorship | 47 |
| Dockets | 50 |
| Variance in Filings | 51 |
| Filings Origins | 53 |
| Amici Variance | 58 |

Preface

The enclosed report represents the culmination of a ten-month effort to provide summary statistics and corresponding analysis for the Supreme Court's 2024 Term (October 2024 to **MONTH** 2025), which we hope to replicate for future terms.

Our goal was to provide a comprehensive overview of the term while recognizing that much of what we offer remains surface-level data. In recent years, some have taken exception to how data such as these are used, particularly as it relates to drawing generalizable claims of the Court and its Justices. We would like to take the time to state our position on these concerns:

First, we fully recognize that our data provides only surface-level inferences. As many have pointed out, the Court retains considerable discretion with respect to the size and scope of its docket. Indeed, a considerable majority of the Court's decision-making is determining which appeals will *not* receive review, rather than what will. It is not lost on us that the population of orally argued and decided cases in the 2024 term are not fully emblematic of the Court's broader decision-making. While we do provide statistics on the docket more generally, we advise pursuing additional scholarship focusing on this area.

Second, our summary analyses do not make distinctions between cases of varying importance to the national discourse. Not because we fail to recognize that these distinctions obviously exist, but because our goal is to provide an overview of the Justices' most observable decision-making behaviors – irrespective of the broader importance some of these decisions may have compared to others. In short, they are numbers – nothing more, nothing less. There is no underlying agenda in our decision to present topline statistics.

Finally, we recognize that these data do not belong to us in perpetuity. Once we have published our report, any person, outlet, or entity are free to use them - just as we have been facilitating open access to our data throughout the term. Nonetheless, we ask those who read our report to be conscientious of the fact that we cannot control - nor do we particularly wish to control - how they are used. The Supreme Court retains special significance in our national discourse, and its decisions can surely draw considerable divisions among observers. Some readers may take exception to how others choose to interpret these data. We ask that you not hold it against us.

We would like to thank all of those who aided in the development of this report – particularly **REVIEWERS** – who offered guidance, research assistance, and constructive criticism.

- Adam Feldman (J.D., Ph.D.)¹
- Jake S. Truscott (Ph.D.)²

¹ Adam Feldman serves as chief proprietor of the EmpiricalSCOTUS blog and the Legalytics Substack. He is also the principal for the legal data consulting firm *Optimized Legal*.

² Jake S. Truscott is an Assistant Professor of Political Science at the University of Florida.

Data Availability & Disclosure

We are particularly grateful to the organized efforts of those maintaining the [Supreme Court Database](#), which is principally hosted by Washington University in St.Louis, Missouri.³ Their data was pivotal for constructing longitudinal analyses that place the Justices' decision-making during the 2023 term into the broader scope of history.

Apart from data used to develop longitudinal analyses, this report was compiled independently and drew on a multitude of resources to sufficiently track developments and behaviors expressed in the Court's oral arguments, decisions, and docket, among other things. These include, but are not limited to, the Supreme Court's [Official Website](#), [Oyez](#), and [Justia](#), among others.

All data used to compile this report will be available [HERE](#). There you will find a collection of CSV (.csv) files containing data relevant to the accompanying analyses on *Decisions* and *Oral Arguments* provided in this report.

We are, of course, happy to provide any additional insights and guidance on replication of data and other analysis in this report. For help, please [Contact Us](#).

We ask that any publication incorporating these data or other material from our report provide a corresponding citation acknowledging the authors, EmpiricalSCOTUS, and any relevant data sources indicated with the enclosed tabular information.

Example Citation: Feldman, A. & Truscott, J. S. (2024, July 1). Supreme Court 2023-2024 Term Stat Review (Version 1.1). *EmpiricalSCOTUS*. Available [HERE](#).

³Harold J. Spaeth, Lee Epstein, et al. 2022 Supreme Court Database, Version 2022 Release 1. URL: [HERE](#).

Oral Arguments




Photo Credit: REUTERS/Jonathan Ernst

Table 1: What's Included (Oral Arguments)

| Area | Topic | Description |
|------------------------------|-------------------|---|
| Justice Participation | Justices (Words) | Aggregate (term-level) bar chart and sitting-level breakdowns re: volume of words uttered by Justice. |
| | Justices (Time) | Aggregate bar chart and sitting-level breakdowns re: extent of time speaking by Justice. |
| Attorney Participation | Attorneys (Words) | Aggregate bar chart and sitting-level breakdowns re: volume of words uttered by arguing attorneys. |
| | Attorneys (Time) | Aggregate bar chart and sitting-level breakdowns re: extent of time speaking by arguing attorneys. |
| Justice-Attorney Interaction | Engagement | Sitting-level breakdowns of Attorney-Justice interactions. |
| Supplemental Information | Attorney Profiles | Summary information on attorneys who argued during the 2024 Term. This includes statistics re: Supreme Court clerkships, demographics, experience in (or with) the Office of the Solicitor General (USG), and law school attendance |


Justice Participation (Words & Time Combined)

Figure 1: Total Number of Words Uttered by Each Justice During Oral Arguments (October Term 2024)



Compiled using `scotustext` (R) and data from [Oyez.com](#)

Figure 2: Total Elapsed Time Speaking by Each Justice During Oral Arguments (October Term 2024)



Compiled using `scotustext` (R) and data from [Oyez.com](#)

Justice Participation (Words & Time by Sitting)

Table 2: October Sitting Word Counts by Justice

| Case |  Roberts |  Thomas |  Alito |  Sotomayor |  Kagan |  Gorsuch |  Kavanaugh |  Barrett |  Jackson |
|------------------------------|---|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| lossip v. Oklahoma | 304 | 631 | 675 | 1749 | 1503 | 0 | 180 | 385 | 1865 |
| illiams v. Washington | 486 | 119 | 600 | 1031 | 973 | 743 | 677 | 658 | 1074 |
| edical Marijuana Inc. v. Hor | 358 | 82 | 408 | 584 | 845 | 506 | 905 | 267 | 1161 |
| ouarafa v. Mayorka | 340 | 97 | 230 | 342 | 539 | 638 | 23 | 101 | 952 |
| ackey v. Stinni | 845 | 116 | 334 | 970 | 1023 | 1108 | 266 | 376 | 1428 |
| oyal Canin v. Wullschlege | 321 | 160 | 438 | 475 | 1068 | 366 | 651 | 577 | 1003 |
| ufkin v. McDonoug | 390 | 48 | 640 | 787 | 704 | 1251 | 262 | 107 | 1436 |
| an Francisco v. EP | 640 | 170 | 431 | 1521 | 1517 | 837 | 1307 | 144 | 1267 |
| arland v. Vandersto | 203 | 173 | 633 | 753 | 330 | 836 | 235 | 402 | 943 |

Table 3: October Sitting Speaking Times (Minutes) by Justice

| Case |  Roberts |  Thomas |  Alito |  Sotomayor |  Kagan |  Gorsuch |  Kavanaugh |  Barrett |  Jackson |
|------------------------------|---|--|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| lossip v. Oklahoma | 2.24 | 4.17 | 4.85 | 11.17 | 8.27 | 0 | 1.1 | 1.88 | 10.29 |
| illiams v. Washington | 2.57 | 0.8 | 3.96 | 6.47 | 5.36 | 4.05 | 3.84 | 3.23 | 6.5 |
| edical Marijuana Inc. v. Hor | 2.26 | 0.61 | 2.71 | 3.86 | 4.4 | 2.67 | 4.82 | 1.18 | 6.09 |
| ouarafa v. Mayorka | 1.74 | 0.57 | 1.54 | 2.01 | 3.12 | 3.39 | 0.11 | 0.4 | 5.56 |
| ackey v. Stinni | 4.55 | 0.93 | 2.24 | 5.64 | 5.64 | 5.74 | 1.4 | 1.68 | 7.85 |
| oyal Canin v. Wullschege | 2.17 | 1.29 | 2.96 | 3.14 | 5.86 | 2.05 | 3.52 | 2.72 | 6.27 |
| ufkin v. McDonoug | 2.31 | 0.3 | 4.33 | 5.19 | 4.05 | 6.59 | 1.5 | 0.51 | 7.92 |
| an Francisco v. EP | 3.43 | 1.76 | 2.8 | 10.42 | 9.08 | 4.72 | 7.46 | 0.67 | 8.8 |
| arland v. Vandersto | 1.29 | 1.23 | 3.93 | 5.18 | 1.93 | 4.46 | 1.4 | 2.12 | 5.43 |

Table 4: November Sitting Word Counts by Justice

| Case |  Roberts |  Thomas |  Alito |  Sotomayor |  Kagan |  Gorsuch |  Kavanaugh |  Barrett |  Jackson |
|---|---|--|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Advocate Christ Medical Center v. Becerra | 75 | 103 | 183 | 499 | 1037 | 353 | 1127 | 243 | 1512 |
| elligatti v. United States | 257 | 195 | 104 | 213 | 566 | 1372 | 100 | 279 | 1299 |
| elazquez v. Garlan | 291 | 128 | 399 | 774 | 888 | 652 | 246 | 302 | 1090 |
| VIDIA Corp. v. E. Ohman J:or Fonder A | 664 | 111 | 611 | 843 | 858 | 728 | 365 | 193 | 1198 |
| acebook v. Amalgamated Ban | 533 | 397 | 1213 | 628 | 1103 | 882 | 950 | 761 | 1211 |

Table 5: November Sitting Speaking Times (Minutes) by Justice

| Case |  Roberts |  Thomas |  Alito |  Sotomayor |  Kagan |  Gorsuch |  Kavanaugh |  Barrett |  Jackson |
|---|---|--|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Advocate Christ Medical Center v. Becerra | 0.63 | 0.8 | 1.11 | 3.35 | 5.84 | 1.83 | 6.23 | 1.19 | 8.38 |
| elligatti v. United States | 1.66 | 1.45 | 0.56 | 1.12 | 3.14 | 7.58 | 0.58 | 1.34 | 7.29 |
| elazquez v. Garlan | 1.9 | 0.97 | 2.43 | 5.32 | 5.08 | 3.33 | 1.45 | 1.43 | 6.61 |
| VIDIA Corp. v. E. Ohman J:or Fonder A | 4.19 | 0.75 | 4.25 | 5.32 | 4.47 | 3.97 | 2.07 | 0.9 | 7.02 |
| acebook v. Amalgamated Ban | 3.61 | 3.02 | 6.76 | 4.45 | 6.51 | 5.27 | 5.16 | 3.66 | 7.39 |

Table 6: December Sitting Word Counts by Justice

| Case |  Roberts |  Thomas |  Alito |  Sotomayor |  Kagan |  Gorsuch |  Kavanaugh |  Barrett |  Jackson |
|---|---|--|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| DA v. Wages and White Lion Investment | 281 | 103 | 480 | 446 | 857 | 877 | 848 | 442 | 1227 |
| nited States v. Skrmett | 886 | 361 | 1677 | 1380 | 846 | 0 | 1678 | 1338 | 2492 |
| eliciano v. Department of Transportation | 596 | 90 | 340 | 763 | 398 | 703 | 610 | 393 | 494 |
| ungary v. Simo | 783 | 242 | 423 | 805 | 692 | 649 | 462 | 719 | 1206 |
| ousis v. United State | 449 | 205 | 659 | 429 | 674 | 944 | 282 | 542 | 1126 |
| even County Infrastructure Coalition v. Eagle Count | 540 | 111 | 106 | 1271 | 1022 | 0 | 593 | 720 | 1748 |
| nited States v. Mille | 51 | 36 | 0 | 221 | 377 | 612 | 63 | 761 | 1091 |

Table 7: December Sitting Speaking Times (Minutes) by Justice

| Case |  Roberts |  Thomas |  Alito |  Sotomayor |  Kagan |  Gorsuch |  Kavanaugh |  Barrett |  Jackson |
|---|---|--|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| DA v. Wages and White Lion Investment | 1.93 | 0.77 | 3.13 | 2.97 | 4.89 | 4.41 | 4.96 | 1.97 | 6.74 |
| nited States v. Skrmett | 6.31 | 2.79 | 12.05 | 9.77 | 4.98 | 0 | 10.24 | 6.62 | 13.87 |
| nited States v. Mille | 0.52 | 0.24 | 0 | 1.43 | 1.93 | 3.26 | 0.37 | 3.9 | 6.5 |
| eliciano v. Department of Transportation | 3.27 | 0.63 | 2.3 | 5.25 | 2.17 | 3.76 | 3.6 | 2.02 | 2.83 |
| ungary v. Simo | 5.03 | 1.89 | 3.13 | 5.12 | 4.3 | 3.92 | 2.86 | 3.62 | 6.84 |
| ousis v. United State | 2.52 | 1.47 | 4.33 | 2.73 | 3.46 | 4.85 | 1.45 | 2.48 | 6.48 |
| even County Infrastructure Coalition v. Eagle Count | 3.39 | 0.75 | 0.68 | 9.13 | 5.33 | 0 | 3.58 | 3.33 | 10.28 |

II

Table 8: January Sitting Word Counts by Justice

| Case |  Roberts |  Thomas |  Alito |  Sotomayor |  Kagan |  Gorsuch |  Kavanaugh |  Barrett |  Jackson |
|--|---|--|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| ewitt v. United State | 213 | 105 | 865 | 1164 | 811 | 791 | 1343 | 293 | 1342 |
| unningham v. Cornell Universit | 224 | 57 | 1160 | 1084 | 571 | 581 | 1071 | 33 | 1117 |
| ompson v. United States | 632 | 91 | 803 | 812 | 1039 | 1080 | 345 | 773 | 1273 |
| ree Speech Coalition v. Paxto | 422 | 317 | 1394 | 1443 | 999 | 959 | 1301 | 1154 | 2080 |
| DA v. R.J. Reynolds Vapor Co | 312 | 113 | 168 | 792 | 830 | 414 | 395 | 553 | 1425 |
| cLaughlin Chiropractic v. McKesson Cor | 99 | 222 | 320 | 771 | 809 | 1182 | 89 | 5 | 836 |
| arnes v. Feli | 275 | 231 | 612 | 994 | 564 | 489 | 721 | 405 | 1143 |
| tanley v. City of Sanfor | 204 | 100 | 1458 | 978 | 744 | 0 | 237 | 0 | 1022 |
| aetzig v. Halliburton Energy Service | 385 | 45 | 0 | 528 | 373 | 761 | 0 | 0 | 754 |

Table 9: January Sitting Speaking Times (Minutes) by Justice

| Case |  Roberts |  Thomas |  Alito |  Sotomayor |  Kagan |  Gorsuch |  Kavanaugh |  Barrett |  Jackson |
|--|---|--|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| ewitt v. United State | 1.67 | 0.67 | 5.64 | 8.05 | 4.62 | 4.26 | 7.79 | 1.32 | 7.64 |
| unningham v. Cornell Universit | 1.62 | 0.43 | 7.23 | 7.66 | 3.16 | 3.36 | 6.13 | 0.15 | 6.7 |
| ompson v. United States | 3.47 | 0.61 | 4.9 | 5.88 | 5.81 | 6.05 | 1.82 | 3.68 | 7.56 |
| ree Speech Coalition v. Paxto | 3.17 | 2.5 | 9.49 | 9.84 | 5.32 | 5.12 | 8.17 | 5.75 | 11.95 |
| DA v. R.J. Reynolds Vapor Co | 2.07 | 0.81 | 1.14 | 5.43 | 4.6 | 2.23 | 2.67 | 2.79 | 8.3 |
| cLaughlin Chiropractic v. McKesson Cor | 0.98 | 1.58 | 2.2 | 5.24 | 4.52 | 5.98 | 0.47 | 0.06 | 4.94 |
| arnes v. Feli | 2.07 | 1.72 | 4.16 | 5.82 | 3.19 | 2.68 | 3.57 | 1.96 | 6.36 |
| aetzig v. Halliburton Energy Service | 2.5 | 0.28 | 0 | 3.86 | 1.85 | 4.01 | 0 | 0 | 4.17 |
| tanley v. City of Sanfor | 1.42 | 0.76 | 8.9 | 6.2 | 4.37 | 0 | 1.36 | 0 | 5.99 |

Table 10: February Sitting Word Counts by Justice

| Case |  Roberts |  Thomas |  Alito |  Sotomayor |  Kagan |  Gorsuch |  Kavanaugh |  Barrett |  Jackson |
|--|---|--|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| mes v. OH Dept. of Youth Service | 324 | 114 | 308 | 256 | 474 | 761 | 417 | 486 | 525 |
| mith and Wesson v. Estados Unidos Mexicano | 597 | 413 | 348 | 720 | 769 | 482 | 453 | 440 | 1696 |
| C/Devas v. Antrix Corp | 87 | 83 | 38 | 367 | 247 | 446 | 0 | 0 | 606 |
| LOM Bank SAL v. Honickma | 50 | 99 | 93 | 377 | 639 | 631 | 129 | 199 | 1273 |
| RC v. Texa | 262 | 312 | 339 | 865 | 829 | 1088 | 507 | 0 | 1170 |
| erttu v. Richard | 146 | 98 | 991 | 1217 | 801 | 913 | 172 | 796 | 1263 |
| steras v. United State | 282 | 54 | 704 | 752 | 796 | 847 | 503 | 333 | 1564 |
| utierrez v. Saen | 233 | 273 | 1127 | 1410 | 1089 | 808 | 1518 | 1084 | 1603 |

Table 11: February Sitting Speaking Times (Minutes) by Justice

| Case |  Roberts |  Thomas |  Alito |  Sotomayor |  Kagan |  Gorsuch |  Kavanaugh |  Barrett |  Jackson |
|--|---|--|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| mes v. OH Dept. of Youth Service | 2.2 | 0.78 | 2.28 | 1.7 | 2.53 | 4.31 | 2.15 | 2.25 | 2.78 |
| mith and Wesson v. Estados Unidos Mexicano | 3.52 | 3.09 | 2.33 | 5.28 | 4.48 | 2.47 | 2.58 | 2.26 | 9.82 |
| C/Devas v. Antrix Corp | 1 | 0.71 | 0.2 | 2.34 | 1.22 | 2.09 | 0 | 0 | 3.63 |
| LOM Bank SAL v. Honickma | 0.48 | 0.65 | 0.73 | 2.52 | 3.78 | 3.37 | 0.72 | 0.97 | 6.8 |
| RC v. Texa | 2.06 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 5.39 | 4.33 | 6.29 | 3.35 | 0 | 6.65 |
| erttu v. Richard | 1.16 | 0.91 | 5.99 | 8.41 | 4.79 | 4.96 | 1.01 | 3.81 | 7.28 |
| steras v. United State | 1.95 | 0.39 | 4.09 | 5.03 | 4.32 | 4.62 | 2.93 | 1.6 | 9.33 |
| utierrez v. Saen | 1.63 | 1.98 | 7.32 | 9.22 | 6.23 | 4.7 | 8 | 5.65 | 9.58 |

Table 12: March Sitting Word Counts by Justice

| Case |  Roberts |  Thomas |  Alito |  Sotomayor |  Kagan |  Gorsuch |  Kavanaugh |  Barrett |  Jackson |
|---|---|--|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| PA v. Calumet Shreveport Refinin | 183 | 293 | 489 | 1015 | 1044 | 1471 | 961 | 524 | 951 |
| iley v. Bond | 334 | 260 | 252 | 704 | 531 | 455 | 44 | 169 | 459 |
| edina v. Planned Parenthood South Atlanti | 204 | 200 | 318 | 1009 | 1120 | 377 | 852 | 1006 | 1638 |
| ivers v. Guerrer | 78 | 75 | 115 | 687 | 0 | 288 | 133 | 96 | 695 |
| ousiana v. Callai | 197 | 167 | 681 | 840 | 1280 | 545 | 459 | 503 | 1181 |
| atholic Charities Bureau v. WI Labor and Industry Revie | 489 | 375 | 397 | 519 | 997 | 1204 | 548 | 1118 | 1728 |
| uld v. PL | 280 | 229 | 805 | 1143 | 699 | 1632 | 558 | 1235 | 1215 |
| CC v. Consumers' Researc | 293 | 264 | 989 | 1480 | 1895 | 2033 | 1194 | 1104 | 1778 |
| klahoma v. EP | 147 | 87 | 0 | 153 | 494 | 404 | 273 | 0 | 824 |

Table 13: March Sitting Speaking Times (Minutes) by Justice

| Case |  Roberts |  Thomas |  Alito |  Sotomayor |  Kagan |  Gorsuch |  Kavanaugh |  Barrett |  Jackson |
|--|---|--|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Oklahoma v. EP | 0.98 | 0.58 | 0 | 1.11 | 3.02 | 2.18 | 1.52 | 0 | 4.99 |
| PA v. Calumet Shreveport Refineries | 1.41 | 2.15 | 2.85 | 7.07 | 5.85 | 7.45 | 5.14 | 2.56 | 5.7 |
| iley v. Bond | 2.68 | 2.02 | 1.7 | 4.94 | 2.96 | 2.48 | 0.21 | 0.81 | 3.12 |
| edina v. Planned Parenthood of South Atlantic | 1.49 | 1.61 | 2 | 7.3 | 6.18 | 2 | 5.11 | 4.82 | 9.16 |
| ivers v. Guerrer | 0.97 | 0.45 | 0.62 | 4.45 | 0 | 1.62 | 0.73 | 0.44 | 4.17 |
| ousiana v. Callai | 1.45 | 1.32 | 4.68 | 5.57 | 7.23 | 3.14 | 2.98 | 2.38 | 6.98 |
| atholic Charities Bureau v. WI Labor and Industry Review Board | 3.49 | 2.83 | 2.48 | 3.42 | 5.85 | 6.03 | 2.93 | 5.39 | 10.57 |
| uld v. PL | 1.97 | 1.89 | 4.97 | 7.99 | 4.15 | 8.39 | 3.32 | 6.34 | 7.48 |
| CC v. Consumers' Research | 2.03 | 2.35 | 6.55 | 10.95 | 11.19 | 10.46 | 6.48 | 5.22 | 9.99 |

Table 14: April Sitting Word Counts by Justice

| Case |  Roberts |  Thomas |  Alito |  Sotomayor |  Kagan |  Gorsuch |  Kavanaugh |  Barrett |  Jackson |
|------------------------------------|---|--|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| J.T. v. Osseo Area School | 319 | 63 | 486 | 732 | 142 | 929 | 1150 | 366 | 1156 |
| arrish v. United State | 258 | 65 | 104 | 583 | 135 | 443 | 145 | 92 | 1361 |
| ahmoud v. Taylo | 426 | 287 | 1724 | 1422 | 1645 | 1406 | 1203 | 2468 | 2892 |
| aboratory Corp. of America v. Davi | 411 | 138 | 908 | 1809 | 1579 | 1304 | 515 | 1335 | 1846 |
| ennedy v. Braidwood MGM | 156 | 228 | 452 | 629 | 865 | 862 | 1060 | 688 | 1287 |
| oto v. United State | 351 | 120 | 332 | 470 | 498 | 355 | 391 | 282 | 958 |
| artin v. United State | 233 | 115 | 140 | 1027 | 91 | 520 | 78 | 0 | 725 |
| K Charter School Board v. Drummon | 464 | 331 | 1045 | 1616 | 1870 | 775 | 1524 | 0 | 2735 |
| ir v. Zuc | 71 | 183 | 65 | 289 | 144 | 815 | 129 | 0 | 676 |
| iamond Alternative Energy v. EP | 105 | 223 | 353 | 615 | 612 | 0 | 219 | 374 | 1094 |

Table 15: April Sitting Speaking Times (Minutes) by Justice

| Case |  Roberts |  Thomas |  Alito |  Sotomayor |  Kagan |  Gorsuch |  Kavanaugh |  Barrett |  Jackson |
|------------------------------------|---|--|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| J.T. v. Osseo Area School | 2.01 | 0.43 | 3.46 | 5.03 | 0.85 | 5.33 | 6.42 | 1.57 | 7.41 |
| arrish v. United State | 1.76 | 0.44 | 0.81 | 3.61 | 0.75 | 2.17 | 0.79 | 0.35 | 7.35 |
| ahmoud v. Taylo | 2.91 | 2.17 | 9.89 | 9.33 | 8.99 | 8.41 | 6.85 | 11.49 | 15.75 |
| aboratory Corp. of America v. Davi | 2.58 | 1.03 | 5.39 | 11.52 | 8.3 | 6.63 | 2.74 | 5.93 | 10.7 |
| ennedy v. Braidwood MGM | 1.14 | 1.64 | 3.13 | 3.92 | 4.64 | 4.39 | 5.87 | 2.88 | 7.11 |
| oto v. United State | 2.12 | 0.89 | 2.08 | 2.62 | 2.76 | 1.68 | 2.28 | 1.32 | 5.66 |
| artin v. United State | 1.51 | 1 | 0.83 | 7.36 | 0.58 | 2.63 | 0.36 | 0 | 4.47 |
| K Charter School Board v. Drummon | 3.55 | 2.33 | 6.25 | 11.48 | 11.57 | 4.29 | 8.73 | 0 | 17.08 |
| ir v. Zuc | 0.53 | 1.33 | 0.39 | 1.8 | 0.74 | 4.21 | 0.76 | 0 | 4.17 |
| iamond Alternative Energy v. EP | 0.99 | 1.54 | 2.19 | 4.33 | 3.11 | 0 | 1.23 | 1.78 | 6.16 |

Attorney Participation (Words & Time by Sitting)

Table 16: October Sitting Attorney Participation

| Case | Docket | Attorney | Time | Words |
|--------------------------------|---------|-------------------------|-------|-------|
| Glossip v. Oklahoma | 22-7466 | Christopher G. Michel | 16.45 | 3502 |
| Glossip v. Oklahoma | 22-7466 | Paul D. Clement | 20.6 | 3898 |
| Glossip v. Oklahoma | 22-7466 | Seth P. Waxman | 21.99 | 2997 |
| Williams v. Washington | 23-191 | Adam G. Unikowsky | 22.72 | 5388 |
| Williams v. Washington | 23-191 | Edmund G. LaCour | 16.32 | 3241 |
| Medical Marijuana Inc. v. Horn | 23-365 | Easha Anand | 20.48 | 4247 |
| Medical Marijuana Inc. v. Horn | 23-365 | Lisa S. Blatt | 19.18 | 4046 |
| Bouarafa v. Mayorkas | 23-583 | Colleen E. Roh Sinzdak | 9.91 | 1792 |
| Bouarafa v. Mayorkas | 23-583 | Samir Deger-Sen | 20.96 | 4687 |
| Lackey v. Stinnie | 23-621 | Anthony A. Yang | 11.13 | 2187 |
| Lackey v. Stinnie | 23-621 | Brian D. Schmalzbach | 15.79 | 3163 |
| Lackey v. Stinnie | 23-621 | Erika L. Maley | 15.29 | 2292 |
| Royal Canin v. Wullscheleg | 23-677 | Ashley C. Keller | 9.88 | 1980 |
| Royal Canin v. Wullscheleg | 23-677 | Katherine B. Wellington | 25.46 | 4775 |
| Bufkin v. McDonough | 23-713 | Melanie L. Bostwick | 22.91 | 4342 |
| Bufkin v. McDonough | 23-713 | Sopan Joshi | 17.1 | 3376 |
| San Francisco v. EPA | 23-753 | Frederick Liu | 25.91 | 4430 |
| San Francisco v. EPA | 23-753 | Tara M. Steeley | 22.46 | 3860 |
| Garland v. Vanderstok | 23-852 | Elizabeth B. Prelogar | 33.6 | 7059 |
| Garland v. Vanderstok | 23-852 | Peter A. Patterson | 15.5 | 3006 |

Table 17: November Sitting Attorney Participation

| Case | Docket | Attorney | Time | Words |
|---|---------------|------------------------|-------------|--------------|
| Advocate Christ Medical Center v. Becerra | 23-715 | Ephraim McDowell | 14.46 | 3100 |
| Advocate Christ Medical Center v. Becerra | 23-715 | Melissa Arbus Sherry | 26.62 | 5267 |
| Delligatti v. United States. | 23-825 | Allon Kedem | 20.01 | 3894 |
| Delligatti v. United States. | 23-825 | Eric J. Feigin | 18.45 | 3271 |
| Velazquez v. Garland | 23-929 | Anthony A. Yang | 20.43 | 3875 |
| Velazquez v. Garland | 23-929 | Gerard J. Cedrone | 18.24 | 3487 |
| NVIDIA Corp. v. E. Ohman J:or Fonder AB | 23-970 | Colleen E. Roh Sinzdak | 6.93 | 1294 |
| NVIDIA Corp. v. E. Ohman J:or Fonder AB | 23-970 | Deepak Gupta | 20.31 | 3688 |
| NVIDIA Corp. v. E. Ohman J:or Fonder AB | 23-970 | Neal Kumar Katyal | 27 | 5217 |
| Facebook v. Amalgamated Bank | 23-980 | Kannon K. Shanmugam | 30.53 | 5614 |
| Facebook v. Amalgamated Bank | 23-980 | Kevin J. Barber | 13.71 | 2544 |
| Facebook v. Amalgamated Bank | 23-980 | Kevin K. Russell | 13.49 | 2753 |

Table 18: December Sitting Attorney Participation

| Case | Docket | Attorney | Time | Words |
|--|---------------|-----------------------|-------------|--------------|
| FDA v. Wages and White Lion Investments | 23-1038 | Curtis E. Gannon | 31.92 | 6241 |
| FDA v. Wages and White Lion Investments | 23-1038 | Eric N. Heyer | 16.36 | 3374 |
| United States v. Skrmetti | 23-477 | Chase B. Strangio | 19.1 | 3515 |
| United States v. Skrmetti | 23-477 | Elizabeth B. Prelogar | 38.69 | 8005 |
| United States v. Skrmetti | 23-477 | J. Matthew Rice | 16.74 | 3162 |
| United States v. Miller | 23-824 | Lisa S. Blatt | 14.32 | 2817 |
| United States v. Miller | 23-824 | Yaira Dubin | 21.11 | 4507 |
| Feliciano v. Department of Transportation. | 23-861 | Andrew T. Tutt | 29.27 | 5460 |
| Feliciano v. Department of Transportation. | 23-861 | Nicole F. Reaves | 18.44 | 3777 |
| Hungary v. Simon | 23-867 | Joshua S. Glasgow | 12.99 | 2259 |
| Hungary v. Simon | 23-867 | Shay Dvoretzky | 18.36 | 3325 |
| Hungary v. Simon | 23-867 | Sopan Joshi | 15.95 | 3071 |
| Kousisis v. United States | 23-909 | Eric J. Feigin | 28.21 | 4928 |
| Kousisis v. United States | 23-909 | Jeffrey L. Fisher | 28.85 | 6282 |
| Seven County Infrastructure Coalition v. Eagle County | 23-975 | Edwin S. Kneedler | 19.72 | 3417 |
| Seven County Infrastructure Coalition v. Eagle County | 23-975 | Paul D. Clement | 28.96 | 5742 |
| Seven County Infrastructure Coalition v. Eagle County | 23-975 | William M. Jay | 25.41 | 4691 |

Table 19: January Sitting Attorney Participation

| Case | Docket | Attorney | Time | Words |
|--|---------|-------------------------|-------|-------|
| Hewitt v. United States | 23-1002 | Masha G. Hansford | 14.4 | 2728 |
| Hewitt v. United States | 23-1002 | Michael B. Kimberly | 17.37 | 2996 |
| Hewitt v. United States | 23-1002 | Michael H. McGinley | 17.24 | 3564 |
| Cunningham v. Cornell University | 23-1007 | Nicole A. Saharsky | 22.41 | 4794 |
| Cunningham v. Cornell University | 23-1007 | Xiao Wang | 18.15 | 3418 |
| Cunningham v. Cornell University | 23-1007 | Yaira Dubin | 13.7 | 3234 |
| Thompson v. United States. | 23-1095 | Caroline A. Flynn | 14.66 | 2851 |
| Thompson v. United States. | 23-1095 | Chris C. Gair | 22.57 | 3474 |
| Free Speech Coalition v. Paxton | 23-1122 | Aaron L. Nielson | 15.49 | 2952 |
| Free Speech Coalition v. Paxton | 23-1122 | Brian H. Fletcher | 20.35 | 4600 |
| Free Speech Coalition v. Paxton | 23-1122 | Derek L. Shaffer | 28.35 | 5781 |
| FDA v. R.J. Reynolds Vapor Co. | 23-1187 | Ryan J. Watson | 17.78 | 3627 |
| FDA v. R.J. Reynolds Vapor Co. | 23-1187 | Vivek Suri | 24.32 | 4404 |
| McLaughlin Chiropractic v. McKesson Corp | 23-1226 | Joseph R. Palmore | 16.91 | 3046 |
| McLaughlin Chiropractic v. McKesson Corp | 23-1226 | Matthew Guarnieri | 10.42 | 2106 |
| McLaughlin Chiropractic v. McKesson Corp | 23-1226 | Matthew W.H. Wessler | 20.57 | 3844 |
| Barnes v. Felix | 23-1239 | Charles L. McCloud | 11.71 | 2533 |
| Barnes v. Felix | 23-1239 | Lanora C. Pettit | 5.88 | 1089 |
| Barnes v. Felix | 23-1239 | Nathaniel A.G. Zelinsky | 14.51 | 2916 |
| Barnes v. Felix | 23-1239 | Zoe A. Jacoby | 12.29 | 2746 |
| Waetzig v. Halliburton Energy Services | 23-971 | Matthew D. McGill | 16.49 | 2448 |
| Waetzig v. Halliburton Energy Services | 23-971 | Vincent Levy | 15.8 | 2778 |
| Stanley v. City of Sanford | 23-997 | Deepak Gupta | 19.4 | 3492 |
| Stanley v. City of Sanford | 23-997 | Frederick Liu | 10.67 | 1798 |
| Stanley v. City of Sanford | 23-997 | Jessica C. Conner | 18.97 | 3160 |

Table 20: February Sitting Attorney Participation

| Case | Docket | Attorney | Time | Words |
|--|---------------|----------------------|-------------|--------------|
| Ames v. OH Dept. of Youth Services | 23-1039 | Ashley Robertson | 10.64 | 2005 |
| Ames v. OH Dept. of Youth Services | 23-1039 | T. Elliot Gaiser | 11.66 | 2297 |
| Ames v. OH Dept. of Youth Services | 23-1039 | Xiao Wang | 11.63 | 2329 |
| Smith and Wesson v. Estados Unidos Mexicanos | 23-1141 | Catherine E. Stetson | 27.5 | 4706 |
| Smith and Wesson v. Estados Unidos Mexicanos | 23-1141 | Noel J. Francisco | 27.82 | 5175 |
| CC/Devas v. Antrix Corp. | 23-1201 | Aaron M. Streett | 8.49 | 1353 |
| CC/Devas v. Antrix Corp. | 23-1201 | Carter G. Phillips | 12.64 | 2406 |
| CC/Devas v. Antrix Corp. | 23-1201 | Matthew D. McGill | 10.89 | 1470 |
| CC/Devas v. Antrix Corp. | 23-1201 | Sarah M. Harris | 6.16 | 1158 |
| BLOM Bank SAL v. Honickman | 23-1259 | Michael H. McGinley | 18.49 | 4080 |
| BLOM Bank SAL v. Honickman | 23-1259 | Michael J. Radine | 14.16 | 2557 |
| NRC v. Texas | 23-1300 | Aaron L. Nielson | 10.96 | 2302 |
| NRC v. Texas | 23-1300 | Brad Fagg | 11.92 | 2209 |
| NRC v. Texas | 23-1300 | David C. Frederick | 14.41 | 2442 |
| NRC v. Texas | 23-1300 | Malcolm L. Stewart | 25.76 | 4578 |
| Perttu v. Richards | 23-1324 | Ann M. Sherman | 22.57 | 3738 |
| Perttu v. Richards | 23-1324 | Lori Alvino McGill | 15.36 | 2561 |
| Esteras v. United States | 23-7483 | Christian J. Grostic | 22.04 | 4087 |
| Esteras v. United States | 23-7483 | Masha G. Hansford | 19.16 | 3763 |
| Gutierrez v. Saenz | 23-7809 | Anne E. Fisher | 25.6 | 4573 |
| Gutierrez v. Saenz | 23-7809 | William F. Cole | 14.57 | 3249 |

Table 21: March Sitting Attorney Participation

| Case | Docket | Attorney | Time | Words |
|---|---------|----------------------|-------|-------|
| Oklahoma v. EPA | 23-1067 | Malcolm L. Stewart | 10.15 | 1737 |
| Oklahoma v. EPA | 23-1067 | Misha Tseytlin | 10.05 | 1859 |
| Oklahoma v. EPA | 23-1067 | Mithun Mansinghani | 13.24 | 2394 |
| EPA v. Calumet Shreveport Refining | 23-1229 | Malcolm L. Stewart | 26.06 | 4565 |
| EPA v. Calumet Shreveport Refining | 23-1229 | Michael R. Huston | 18.77 | 3425 |
| EPA v. Calumet Shreveport Refining | 23-1229 | Seth P. Waxman | 18.33 | 2555 |
| Riley v. Bondi | 23-1270 | Ephraim McDowell | 11.56 | 2280 |
| Riley v. Bondi | 23-1270 | Keith Bradley | 10.51 | 1777 |
| Riley v. Bondi | 23-1270 | Stephen J. Hammer | 13.69 | 3190 |
| Medina v. Planned Parenthood South Atlantic | 23-1275 | John J. Bursch | 19.95 | 4306 |
| Medina v. Planned Parenthood South Atlantic | 23-1275 | Kyle D. Hawkins | 8.55 | 1750 |
| Medina v. Planned Parenthood South Atlantic | 23-1275 | Nicole A. Saharsky | 25.4 | 5761 |
| Rivers v. Guerrero | 23-1345 | Aaron L. Nielson | 8.27 | 1665 |
| Rivers v. Guerrero | 23-1345 | Matthew Guarneri | 5.91 | 1029 |
| Rivers v. Guerrero | 23-1345 | Peter A. Bruland | 24.11 | 4802 |
| Louisiana v. Callais | 24-109 | Edward D. Greim | 13.83 | 2502 |
| Louisiana v. Callais | 24-109 | J. Benjamin Aguinaga | 14.04 | 3008 |
| Louisiana v. Callais | 24-109 | Stuart C. Naifeh | 15.43 | 2761 |
| Catholic Charities Bureau v. WI Labor and Industry Review | 24-154 | Colin T. Roth | 21.16 | 4179 |
| Catholic Charities Bureau v. WI Labor and Industry Review | 24-154 | Curtis E. Gannon | 13.05 | 2504 |
| Catholic Charities Bureau v. WI Labor and Industry Review | 24-154 | Eric C. Rassbach | 22.07 | 4190 |
| Fuld v. PLO | 24-20 | Edwin S. Kneedler | 25.02 | 4083 |
| Fuld v. PLO | 24-20 | Kent A. Yalowitz | 19.25 | 2680 |
| Fuld v. PLO | 24-20 | Mitchell R. Berger | 22.32 | 3937 |
| FCC v. Consumers' Research | 24-354 | Paul D. Clement | 25.41 | 5427 |
| FCC v. Consumers' Research | 24-354 | R. Trent McCotter | 25.63 | 5518 |
| FCC v. Consumers' Research | 24-354 | Sarah M. Harris | 37.04 | 7609 |

Table 22: April Sitting Attorney Participation

| Case | Docket | Attorney | Time | Words |
|--------------------------------------|--------|----------------------|-------|-------|
| A.J.T. v. Osseo Area Schools | 24-249 | Lisa S. Blatt | 22.48 | 4304 |
| A.J.T. v. Osseo Area Schools | 24-249 | Nicole F. Reaves | 13.63 | 2735 |
| A.J.T. v. Osseo Area Schools | 24-249 | Roman Martinez | 17.4 | 3755 |
| Parrish v. United States | 24-275 | Aimee W. Brown | 9.2 | 2025 |
| Parrish v. United States | 24-275 | Amanda Rice | 9.18 | 1930 |
| Parrish v. United States | 24-275 | Michael R. Huston | 17.5 | 3341 |
| Mahmoud v. Taylor | 24-297 | Alan E. Schoenfeld | 30.7 | 6584 |
| Mahmoud v. Taylor | 24-297 | Eric S. Baxter | 26.19 | 5570 |
| Mahmoud v. Taylor | 24-297 | Sarah M. Harris | 16.64 | 3672 |
| Laboratory Corp. of America v. Davis | 24-304 | Deepak Gupta | 25.62 | 4953 |
| Laboratory Corp. of America v. Davis | 24-304 | Noel J. Francisco | 39.64 | 7723 |
| Laboratory Corp. of America v. Davis | 24-304 | Sopan Joshi | 15.2 | 2964 |
| Kennedy v. Braidwood MGMT | 24-316 | Hashim M. Mooppan | 25.66 | 5163 |
| Kennedy v. Braidwood MGMT | 24-316 | Jonathan F. Mitchell | 25.82 | 5704 |
| Soto v. United States | 24-320 | Caroline A. Flynn | 17.64 | 3520 |
| Soto v. United States | 24-320 | Tacy F. Flint | 23.78 | 3914 |
| Martin v. United States | 24-362 | Christopher E. Mills | 5.74 | 985 |
| Martin v. United States | 24-362 | Frederick Liu | 9.34 | 1607 |
| Martin v. United States | 24-362 | Patrick M. Jaicom | 18.55 | 3567 |
| OK Charter School Board v. Drummond | 24-394 | D. John Sauer | 9.68 | 2047 |
| OK Charter School Board v. Drummond | 24-394 | Gregory G. Garre | 29.26 | 6003 |
| OK Charter School Board v. Drummond | 24-394 | James A. Campbell | 15.98 | 3030 |
| OK Charter School Board v. Drummond | 24-394 | Michael H. McGinley | 11 | 2321 |
| Cir v. Zuch | 24-416 | Erica L. Ross | 21.57 | 4573 |
| Cir v. Zuch | 24-416 | Shay Dvoretzky | 12.02 | 2155 |
| Diamond Alternative Energy v. EPA | 24-7 | Edwin S. Kneedler | 12.34 | 1933 |
| Diamond Alternative Energy v. EPA | 24-7 | Jeffrey B. Wall | 20.25 | 4366 |
| Diamond Alternative Energy v. EPA | 24-7 | Joshua A. Klein | 10.92 | 1767 |

Attorney Engagement (By Sitting)

Table 23: October Sitting Attorney Engagement with Justices

| Docket | Attorney |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---------|-------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Roberts | Thomas | Alito | Sotomayor | Kagan | Gorsuch | Kavanaugh | Barrett | Jackson |
| 22-7466 | Christopher G. Michel | 740 | 81 | 0 | 257 | 858 | 0 | 393 | 362 | 794 |
| 22-7466 | Paul D. Clement | 161 | 772 | 208 | 533 | 776 | 0 | 349 | 720 | 379 |
| 22-7466 | Seth P. Waxman | 981 | 372 | 856 | 711 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 77 |
| 23-191 | Adam G. Unikowsky | 1442 | 150 | 676 | 255 | 608 | 595 | 612 | 748 | 302 |
| 23-191 | Edmund G. LaCour | 301 | 154 | 239 | 259 | 416 | 234 | 707 | 385 | 546 |
| 23-365 | Easha Anand | 1078 | 273 | 613 | 0 | 0 | 531 | 1160 | 254 | 338 |
| 23-365 | Lisa S. Blatt | 947 | 255 | 130 | 351 | 637 | 155 | 357 | 204 | 1010 |
| 23-583 | Colleen E. Roh Sinzdak | 362 | 118 | 0 | 67 | 444 | 553 | 28 | 0 | 195 |
| 23-583 | Samir Deger-Sen | 1762 | 692 | 507 | 319 | 582 | 0 | 0 | 473 | 352 |
| 23-621 | Anthony A. Yang | 297 | 194 | 279 | 392 | 251 | 327 | 238 | 0 | 197 |
| 23-621 | Brian D. Schmalzbach | 1063 | 199 | 120 | 204 | 159 | 570 | 460 | 213 | 175 |
| 23-621 | Erika L. Maley | 835 | 132 | 351 | 286 | 264 | 0 | 0 | 54 | 370 |
| 23-677 | Ashley C. Keller | 380 | 139 | 124 | 0 | 444 | 327 | 220 | 313 | 19 |
| 23-677 | Katherine B. Wellington | 1273 | 539 | 762 | 274 | 273 | 159 | 680 | 173 | 642 |
| 23-713 | Melanie L. Bostwick | 1647 | 212 | 430 | 266 | 440 | 439 | 179 | 240 | 489 |
| 23-713 | Sopan Joshi | 695 | 79 | 0 | 293 | 208 | 791 | 126 | 0 | 1184 |
| 23-753 | Frederick Liu | 753 | 267 | 455 | 553 | 397 | 475 | 841 | 321 | 211 |
| 23-753 | Tara M. Steeley | 784 | 407 | 147 | 875 | 520 | 85 | 307 | 0 | 735 |
| 23-852 | Elizabeth B. Prelogar | 1433 | 381 | 1069 | 699 | 898 | 974 | 602 | 657 | 346 |
| 23-852 | Peter A. Patterson | 846 | 421 | 318 | 355 | 0 | 336 | 0 | 226 | 504 |

Note: Values represent total number of words by arguing attorney in response to particular Justice.

Table 24: November Sitting Attorney Engagement with Justices

| Docket | Attorney |  | Roberts |  | Thomas |  | Alito |  | Sotomayor |  | Kagan |  | Gorsuch |  | Kavanaugh |  | Barrett |  | Jackson |
|--------|------------------------|---|---------|---|--------|---|-------|---|-----------|---|-------|---|---------|---|-----------|---|---------|---|---------|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 23-715 | Ephraim McDowell |  | 357 |  | 63 |  | 0 |  | 230 |  | 268 |  | 361 |  | 1026 |  | 115 |  | 680 |
| 23-715 | Melissa Arbus Sherry |  | 1326 |  | 111 |  | 289 |  | 793 |  | 556 |  | 197 |  | 973 |  | 484 |  | 538 |
| 23-825 | Allon Kedem |  | 1366 |  | 211 |  | 66 |  | 230 |  | 611 |  | 420 |  | 0 |  | 644 |  | 346 |
| 23-825 | Eric J. Feigin |  | 817 |  | 256 |  | 0 |  | 6 |  | 492 |  | 661 |  | 227 |  | 0 |  | 812 |
| 23-929 | Anthony A. Yang |  | 441 |  | 414 |  | 0 |  | 105 |  | 927 |  | 565 |  | 371 |  | 228 |  | 824 |
| 23-929 | Gerard J. Cedrone |  | 1375 |  | 214 |  | 646 |  | 412 |  | 114 |  | 115 |  | 0 |  | 523 |  | 67 |
| 23-970 | Colleen E. Roh Sinzdak |  | 343 |  | 72 |  | 160 |  | 191 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 396 |  | 132 |  | 0 |
| 23-970 | Deepak Gupta |  | 983 |  | 286 |  | 719 |  | 137 |  | 321 |  | 509 |  | 512 |  | 0 |  | 176 |
| 23-970 | Neal Kumar Katyal |  | 1841 |  | 190 |  | 470 |  | 494 |  | 631 |  | 466 |  | 351 |  | 101 |  | 673 |
| 23-980 | Kannon K. Shanmugam |  | 1388 |  | 574 |  | 398 |  | 469 |  | 761 |  | 600 |  | 353 |  | 436 |  | 635 |
| 23-980 | Kevin J. Barber |  | 369 |  | 119 |  | 143 |  | 248 |  | 302 |  | 476 |  | 343 |  | 399 |  | 145 |
| 23-980 | Kevin K. Russell |  | 662 |  | 534 |  | 405 |  | 199 |  | 50 |  | 315 |  | 394 |  | 81 |  | 113 |

Note: Values represent total number of words by arguing attorney in response to particular Justice.

Table 25: December Sitting Attorney Engagement with Justices

| Docket | Attorney |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---------|-----------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Roberts | Thomas | Alito | Sotomayor | Kagan | Gorsuch | Kavanaugh | Barrett | Jackson |
| 23-1038 | Curtis E. Gannon | 1376 | 259 | 1207 | 264 | 577 | 666 | 700 | 514 | 678 |
| 23-1038 | Eric N. Heyer | 501 | 436 | 79 | 197 | 620 | 290 | 504 | 172 | 575 |
| 23-477 | Chase B. Strangio | 778 | 356 | 703 | 444 | 0 | 0 | 581 | 389 | 144 |
| 23-477 | Elizabeth B. Prelogar | 1527 | 420 | 1282 | 484 | 797 | 0 | 1788 | 992 | 715 |
| 23-477 | J. Matthew Rice | 247 | 415 | 0 | 294 | 500 | 0 | 185 | 409 | 1112 |
| 23-824 | Lisa S. Blatt | 322 | 436 | 0 | 165 | 147 | 79 | 302 | 710 | 656 |
| 23-824 | Yaira Dubin | 1146 | 186 | 0 | 67 | 582 | 920 | 231 | 944 | 431 |
| 23-861 | Andrew T. Tutt | 1290 | 422 | 733 | 322 | 216 | 293 | 623 | 520 | 1041 |
| 23-861 | Nicole F. Reaves | 1048 | 364 | 0 | 427 | 273 | 726 | 387 | 427 | 125 |
| 23-867 | Joshua S. Glasgow | 420 | 170 | 297 | 326 | 287 | 174 | 0 | 454 | 131 |
| 23-867 | Shay Dvoretzky | 726 | 420 | 77 | 389 | 209 | 96 | 502 | 374 | 532 |
| 23-867 | Sopan Joshi | 248 | 192 | 194 | 141 | 425 | 329 | 812 | 454 | 276 |
| 23-909 | Eric J. Feigin | 968 | 190 | 615 | 269 | 496 | 640 | 884 | 658 | 158 |
| 23-909 | Jeffrey L. Fisher | 1666 | 524 | 211 | 273 | 616 | 643 | 284 | 575 | 1490 |
| 23-975 | Edwin S. Kneedler | 1025 | 173 | 84 | 290 | 576 | 0 | 689 | 321 | 253 |
| 23-975 | Paul D. Clement | 1215 | 552 | 178 | 610 | 1023 | 0 | 645 | 700 | 809 |
| 23-975 | William M. Jay | 417 | 185 | 0 | 249 | 1276 | 0 | 275 | 0 | 2289 |

Note: Values represent total number of words by arguing attorney in response to particular Justice.

Table 26: January Sitting Attorney Engagement with Justices

| Docket | Attorney |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---------|-------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Roberts | Thomas | Alito | Sotomayor | Kagan | Gorsuch | Kavanaugh | Barrett | Jackson |
| 23-1002 | Masha G. Hansford | 204 | 34 | 527 | 526 | 501 | 184 | 558 | 0 | 0 |
| 23-1002 | Michael B. Kimberly | 1113 | 215 | 372 | 52 | 260 | 0 | 507 | 207 | 270 |
| 23-1002 | Michael H. McGinley | 375 | 85 | 106 | 543 | 380 | 520 | 301 | 0 | 1246 |
| 23-1007 | Nicole A. Saharsky | 334 | 204 | 1349 | 298 | 1201 | 0 | 368 | 0 | 984 |
| 23-1007 | Xiao Wang | 1298 | 141 | 416 | 420 | 0 | 25 | 643 | 79 | 396 |
| 23-1007 | Yaira Dubin | 386 | 194 | 331 | 530 | 771 | 256 | 319 | 0 | 447 |
| 23-1095 | Caroline A. Flynn | 744 | 186 | 343 | 324 | 328 | 435 | 56 | 187 | 248 |
| 23-1095 | Chris C. Gair | 806 | 288 | 482 | 167 | 465 | 278 | 184 | 306 | 498 |
| 23-1122 | Aaron L. Nielson | 264 | 196 | 68 | 391 | 622 | 196 | 264 | 458 | 493 |
| 23-1122 | Brian H. Fletcher | 532 | 512 | 622 | 275 | 1100 | 636 | 337 | 228 | 358 |
| 23-1122 | Derek L. Shaffer | 1462 | 278 | 1148 | 342 | 301 | 726 | 764 | 539 | 221 |
| 23-1187 | Ryan J. Watson | 320 | 181 | 0 | 495 | 481 | 389 | 561 | 286 | 914 |
| 23-1187 | Vivek Suri | 1145 | 340 | 322 | 543 | 569 | 282 | 645 | 230 | 328 |
| 23-1226 | Joseph R. Palmore | 318 | 236 | 598 | 138 | 301 | 591 | 399 | 0 | 465 |
| 23-1226 | Matthew Guarnieri | 299 | 105 | 618 | 0 | 381 | 572 | 0 | 0 | 131 |
| 23-1226 | Matthew W.H. Wessler | 1088 | 85 | 0 | 893 | 538 | 335 | 0 | 0 | 905 |
| 23-1239 | Charles L. McCloud | 528 | 294 | 0 | 457 | 423 | 350 | 0 | 71 | 410 |
| 23-1239 | Lanora C. Pettit | 191 | 96 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 389 | 0 | 0 | 413 |
| 23-1239 | Nathaniel A.G. Zelinsky | 578 | 135 | 397 | 452 | 0 | 0 | 907 | 307 | 140 |
| 23-1239 | Zoe A. Jacoby | 709 | 70 | 350 | 144 | 157 | 167 | 437 | 548 | 164 |
| 23-971 | Matthew D. McGill | 392 | 157 | 0 | 133 | 454 | 803 | 0 | 0 | 363 |
| 23-971 | Vincent Levy | 1233 | 201 | 0 | 316 | 197 | 273 | 0 | 0 | 558 |
| 23-997 | Deepak Gupta | 1064 | 324 | 821 | 617 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 666 |
| 23-997 | Frederick Liu | 253 | 355 | 602 | 156 | 296 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 84 |
| 23-997 | Jessica C. Conner | 579 | 205 | 170 | 258 | 546 | 0 | 159 | 0 | 1243 |

Note: Values represent total number of words by arguing attorney in response to particular Justice.

Table 27: February Sitting Attorney Engagement with Justices

| Docket | Attorney |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---------|----------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Roberts | Thomas | Alito | Sotomayor | Kagan | Gorsuch | Kavanaugh | Barrett | Jackson |
| 23-1039 | Ashley Robertson | 300 | 260 | 572 | 0 | 0 | 55 | 0 | 432 | 386 |
| 23-1039 | T. Elliot Gaiser | 314 | 131 | 0 | 265 | 293 | 240 | 448 | 361 | 245 |
| 23-1039 | Xiao Wang | 873 | 106 | 0 | 66 | 192 | 383 | 127 | 161 | 349 |
| 23-1141 | Catherine E. Stetson | 1030 | 966 | 553 | 162 | 177 | 258 | 588 | 305 | 667 |
| 23-1141 | Noel J. Francisco | 1662 | 653 | 0 | 217 | 1067 | 620 | 0 | 321 | 627 |
| 23-1201 | Aaron M. Streett | 180 | 424 | 0 | 333 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 416 |
| 23-1201 | Carter G. Phillips | 446 | 0 | 0 | 127 | 246 | 358 | 0 | 0 | 921 |
| 23-1201 | Matthew D. McGill | 533 | 658 | 0 | 157 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 122 |
| 23-1201 | Sarah M. Harris | 113 | 605 | 269 | 0 | 0 | 171 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 23-1259 | Michael H. McGinley | 965 | 657 | 0 | 241 | 402 | 530 | 43 | 145 | 1097 |
| 23-1259 | Michael J. Radine | 353 | 163 | 210 | 342 | 164 | 215 | 347 | 185 | 578 |
| 23-1300 | Aaron L. Nielson | 188 | 117 | 0 | 318 | 517 | 418 | 366 | 0 | 374 |
| 23-1300 | Brad Fagg | 472 | 200 | 542 | 293 | 0 | 152 | 157 | 0 | 393 |
| 23-1300 | David C. Frederick | 250 | 173 | 0 | 284 | 456 | 169 | 487 | 0 | 623 |
| 23-1300 | Malcolm L. Stewart | 899 | 768 | 543 | 350 | 412 | 862 | 372 | 0 | 372 |
| 23-1324 | Ann M. Sherman | 694 | 282 | 144 | 758 | 372 | 617 | 0 | 58 | 813 |
| 23-1324 | Lori Alvino McGill | 621 | 97 | 567 | 214 | 188 | 62 | 224 | 541 | 47 |
| 23-7483 | Christian J. Grostic | 857 | 261 | 592 | 453 | 318 | 515 | 315 | 278 | 498 |
| 23-7483 | Masha G. Hansford | 906 | 268 | 0 | 282 | 578 | 215 | 384 | 478 | 652 |
| 23-7809 | Anne E. Fisher | 928 | 507 | 950 | 354 | 47 | 388 | 741 | 276 | 382 |
| 23-7809 | William F. Cole | 323 | 216 | 89 | 543 | 547 | 206 | 383 | 356 | 586 |

Note: Values represent total number of words by arguing attorney in response to particular Justice.

Table 28: March Sitting Attorney Engagement with Justices

| Docket | Attorney | Roberts | Thomas | Alito | Sotomayor | Kagan | Gorsuch | Kavanaugh | Barrett | Jackson |
|---------|----------------------|---------|--------|-------|-----------|-------|---------|-----------|---------|---------|
| 23-1067 | Malcolm L. Stewart | 570 | 67 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 242 | 0 | 817 |
| 23-1067 | Misha Tseytlin | 253 | 448 | 0 | 0 | 313 | 21 | 243 | 0 | 442 |
| 23-1067 | Mithun Mansinghani | 864 | 60 | 0 | 279 | 260 | 584 | 85 | 0 | 262 |
| 23-1229 | Malcolm L. Stewart | 702 | 246 | 548 | 387 | 427 | 1208 | 187 | 332 | 528 |
| 23-1229 | Michael R. Huston | 323 | 350 | 195 | 364 | 453 | 398 | 757 | 159 | 426 |
| 23-1229 | Seth P. Waxman | 573 | 499 | 0 | 287 | 0 | 118 | 335 | 69 | 674 |
| 23-1270 | Ephraim McDowell | 179 | 329 | 541 | 197 | 240 | 423 | 122 | 0 | 249 |
| 23-1270 | Keith Bradley | 833 | 355 | 94 | 187 | 0 | 191 | 0 | 0 | 112 |
| 23-1270 | Stephen J. Hammer | 631 | 133 | 0 | 211 | 841 | 537 | 0 | 266 | 571 |
| 23-1275 | John J. Bursch | 918 | 234 | 0 | 421 | 296 | 317 | 681 | 561 | 878 |
| 23-1275 | Kyle D. Hawkins | 292 | 82 | 0 | 298 | 231 | 0 | 416 | 89 | 342 |
| 23-1275 | Nicole A. Saharsky | 1447 | 597 | 795 | 577 | 80 | 529 | 1129 | 518 | 89 |
| 23-1345 | Aaron L. Nielson | 567 | 68 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 127 | 580 | 0 | 323 |
| 23-1345 | Matthew Guarnieri | 251 | 0 | 0 | 173 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 605 | 0 |
| 23-1345 | Peter A. Bruland | 1275 | 544 | 525 | 640 | 0 | 329 | 308 | 0 | 1181 |
| 24-109 | Edward D. Greim | 323 | 51 | 8 | 245 | 607 | 205 | 516 | 0 | 547 |
| 24-109 | J. Benjamin Aguinaga | 886 | 502 | 221 | 322 | 0 | 0 | 464 | 351 | 262 |
| 24-109 | Stuart C. Naifeh | 590 | 400 | 473 | 79 | 218 | 509 | 96 | 244 | 152 |
| 24-154 | Colin T. Roth | 454 | 358 | 304 | 163 | 502 | 909 | 636 | 627 | 226 |
| 24-154 | Curtis E. Gannon | 328 | 557 | 0 | 0 | 523 | 225 | 568 | 80 | 223 |
| 24-154 | Eric C. Rassbach | 780 | 145 | 399 | 430 | 366 | 217 | 507 | 776 | 570 |
| 24-20 | Edwin S. Kneedler | 1197 | 464 | 492 | 359 | 304 | 379 | 299 | 256 | 333 |
| 24-20 | Kent A. Yalowitz | 221 | 197 | 160 | 297 | 547 | 464 | 59 | 290 | 445 |
| 24-20 | Mitchell R. Berger | 357 | 290 | 402 | 299 | 516 | 411 | 0 | 901 | 761 |
| 24-354 | Paul D. Clement | 204 | 494 | 219 | 832 | 651 | 1247 | 448 | 540 | 615 |
| 24-354 | R. Trent McCotter | 405 | 268 | 841 | 386 | 844 | 297 | 1270 | 562 | 645 |
| 24-354 | Sarah M. Harris | 1519 | 1060 | 918 | 323 | 161 | 1251 | 842 | 814 | 721 |

Note: Values represent total number of words by arguing attorney in response to particular Justice.

Table 29: April Sitting Attorney Engagement with Justices

| Docket | Attorney |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--------|----------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Roberts | Thomas | Alito | Sotomayor | Kagan | Gorsuch | Kavanaugh | Barrett | Jackson | |
| 24-249 | Lisa S. Blatt | 722 | 314 | 192 | 608 | 0 | 99 | 1016 | 338 | 1015 | |
| 24-249 | Nicole F. Reaves | 367 | 176 | 509 | 0 | 199 | 269 | 780 | 169 | 266 | |
| 24-249 | Roman Martinez | 1230 | 253 | 165 | 416 | 170 | 223 | 731 | 297 | 238 | |
| 24-275 | Aimee W. Brown | 207 | 164 | 0 | 225 | 0 | 716 | 187 | 256 | 270 | |
| 24-275 | Amanda Rice | 538 | 102 | 95 | 121 | 174 | 348 | 224 | 0 | 299 | |
| 24-275 | Michael R. Huston | 921 | 186 | 265 | 234 | 511 | 0 | 160 | 8 | 1056 | |
| 24-297 | Alan E. Schoenfeld | 557 | 478 | 1310 | 495 | 183 | 1099 | 774 | 1234 | 454 | |
| 24-297 | Eric S. Baxter | 1460 | 370 | 301 | 540 | 629 | 247 | 669 | 710 | 644 | |
| 24-297 | Sarah M. Harris | 304 | 246 | 0 | 189 | 865 | 394 | 532 | 310 | 832 | |
| 24-304 | Deepak Gupta | 764 | 171 | 967 | 253 | 249 | 0 | 1097 | 930 | 522 | |
| 24-304 | Noel J. Francisco | 1136 | 1115 | 588 | 949 | 1116 | 669 | 456 | 587 | 1107 | |
| ω | Sopan Joshi | 347 | 242 | 131 | 168 | 405 | 1189 | 7 | 0 | 475 | |
| | Hashim M. Mooppan | 1485 | 527 | 534 | 195 | 494 | 454 | 578 | 356 | 540 | |
| | Jonathan F. Mitchell | 501 | 257 | 506 | 580 | 985 | 878 | 1143 | 181 | 673 | |
| | Caroline A. Flynn | 660 | 228 | 206 | 291 | 470 | 426 | 300 | 297 | 642 | |
| | Tacy F. Flint | 1297 | 190 | 381 | 303 | 698 | 95 | 438 | 181 | 331 | |
| | Christopher E. Mills | 150 | 184 | 0 | 0 | 549 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 102 | |
| | Frederick Liu | 598 | 93 | 0 | 397 | 0 | 279 | 26 | 0 | 214 | |
| | Patrick M. Jaicomio | 1382 | 224 | 380 | 534 | 0 | 655 | 0 | 0 | 392 | |
| | D. John Sauer | 367 | 224 | 158 | 231 | 480 | 127 | 3 | 0 | 457 | |
| | Gregory G. Garre | 678 | 780 | 1449 | 400 | 317 | 312 | 1395 | 0 | 672 | |
| | James A. Campbell | 380 | 435 | 211 | 297 | 514 | 305 | 510 | 0 | 378 | |
| | Michael H. McGinley | 662 | 309 | 0 | 207 | 536 | 84 | 314 | 0 | 209 | |
| | Erica L. Ross | 984 | 552 | 310 | 332 | 409 | 1314 | 452 | 0 | 220 | |
| | Shay Dvoretzky | 335 | 212 | 53 | 217 | 0 | 187 | 840 | 0 | 311 | |
| 24-7 | Edwin S. Kneedler | 258 | 105 | 264 | 230 | 421 | 0 | 276 | 0 | 379 | |
| 24-7 | Jeffrey B. Wall | 1121 | 242 | 436 | 646 | 392 | 0 | 130 | 506 | 817 | |
| 24-7 | Joshua A. Klein | 177 | 250 | 135 | 0 | 380 | 0 | 177 | 404 | 244 | |

Note: Values represent total number of words by arguing attorney in response to particular Justice.

Decisions



Photo Credit: AP/Jacquelyn Martin

Table 30: What's Included (Decisions)

| Area | Topic | Description |
|------------------------|--------------------|--|
| Overview | Case Information | Overview of cases decided by the Court, including: Dates of Argument and Decision, Majority Author and Majority/Minority Coalition Sizes, etc. |
| | Vote Matrices | Justice-level voting behaviors by case and Justice. |
| | Vote Alignments | Proportion of shared voting preferences by Justice-level pairing. |
| Opinions | Opinion Authorship | Term-level summary of Justice-level opinion authorship behaviors. |
| | Coalition Sizes | Comparison to previous terms' rates of varying coalition sizes. |
| Historical Comparisons | Unanimity | Comparison to previous terms' rates of unanimity. |

Note on Decision Coding

We recognize that the array of potential case-level votes do not always neatly align with a definitive indicator that a Justice should be considered a member of the Majority or Minority (*Dissenting*) coalition. In particular, votes by Justices *Concurring and Dissenting In Part, Concurring in Judgment*, joining (and/or authoring) several concurrences, etc., are not as clear of an indicator as authoring or simply joining the majority. These special votes could lead to varying records of majority and minority coalitions sizes depending on the source. For example, a decision rendered with a single Justice authoring an opinion *Concurring In Part, and Dissenting in Part* could reasonably be coded as either (9-0) or (8-1), given that the Justice neither fully joined – nor fully dissented – from the Court’s majority opinion.

To maintain methodological consistency, we code choices to join the **Minority (Dissent)** as instances where a Justice (1) Authored a Dissenting Opinion or (2) **Only** Joined a Dissenting Opinion. Below we list the cases impacted by this coding scheme.

- *Trump v. J.G.G* (24A931) – Decided January 22, 2025

Additional Notes: Opinion Consolidations

-

Decision Information

Table 31: Decision Information (Part 1)

| Case | Docket | Date Argued | Date Decision | Lower Court | Decision | Author | Coalition |
|---|---------|-------------|---------------|-------------|--------------------|------------|------------|
| Royal Canin v. Wullsleger | 23-677 | 10/07/24 | 01/15/25 | CA8 | Affirm | Kagan | (9-0) |
| E.M.D. Sales Inc v. Carrera | 23-217 | 11/05/24 | 01/15/25 | CA4 | Reverse and Remand | Kavanaugh | (9-0) |
| TikTok Inc. v. Garland | 24-656 | 01/10/25 | 01/17/25 | CADC | Affirm | Per Curiam | (9-0) |
| Andrew v. White | 23-6573 | | 01/21/25 | CA10 | Vacate and Remand | Per Curiam | Per Curiam |
| Hungary v. Simon | 23-867 | 12/03/24 | 02/21/25 | CADC | Vacate and Remand | Sotomayor | (9-0) |
| Wisconsin Bell v. United States ex rel. Heath | 23-1127 | 11/04/24 | 02/21/25 | CA7 | Affirm and Remand | Kagan | (9-0) |
| Williams v. Washington | 23-191 | 10/07/24 | 02/21/25 | SCAL | Reverse and Remand | Kavanaugh | (5-4) |
| Lackey v. Stinnie | 23-621 | 10/08/24 | 02/25/25 | CA4 | Reverse and Remand | Roberts | (7-2) |
| Hamm v. Smith | 23-167 | | 11/04/24 | CA11 | Vacate and Remand | Per Curiam | Per Curiam |
| Facebook v. Amalgamated Bank | 23-980 | 11/06/24 | 11/22/24 | CA9 | DIG | Per Curiam | Per Curiam |
| Bouarafa v. Mayorkas | 23-583 | 10/15/24 | 12/10/24 | CA11 | Affirm | Jackson | (9-0) |
| NVIDIA Corp. v. E. Ohman J:or Fonder AB | 23-970 | | 12/11/24 | CA9 | DIG | Per Curiam | Per Curiam |

Table 32: Decision Information (Part 2)

| Case | Docket | Date Argued | Date Decision | Lower Court | Decision | Author | Coalition |
|--|---------|-------------|---------------|-------------|--------------------|------------|-----------|
| Glossip v. Oklahoma | 22-7466 | 10/09/24 | 02/25/25 | OCCA | Reverse and Remand | Sotomayor | (6-2) |
| Waetzig v. Halliburton Energy Services | 23-971 | 01/14/25 | 02/26/25 | CA10 | Reverse and Remand | Alito | (9-0) |
| Dewberry Group Inc. v. Dewberry Engineers Inc. | 23-900 | 12/11/24 | 02/26/25 | CA4 | Vacate and Remand | Kagan | (9-0) |
| San Francisco v. EPA | 23-753 | 10/16/24 | 03/04/25 | CA9 | Reverse and Remand | Alito | (9-0) |
| Bufkin v. McDonough | 23-713 | 10/16/24 | 03/05/25 | CAFC | Affirm | Thomas | (7-2) |
| Thompson v. United States. | 23-1095 | 01/14/25 | 03/21/25 | CA7 | Vacate and Remand | Roberts | (9-0) |
| Delligatti v. United States. | 23-825 | 11/12/24 | 03/21/25 | CA2 | Affirm | Thomas | (7-2) |
| Garland v. Vanderstok | 23-852 | 10/08/24 | 03/26/25 | CA5 | Reverse and Remand | Gorsuch | (7-2) |
| United States v. Miller | 23-824 | 12/02/24 | 03/26/25 | CA10 | Reverse | Jackson | (8-1) |
| FDA v. Wages and White Lion Investments | 23-1038 | 12/02/24 | 04/02/25 | CA5 | Vacate and Remand | Alito | (9-0) |
| Medical Marijuana Inc. v. Horn | 23-365 | 10/15/24 | 04/02/25 | CA2 | Affirm and Remand | Barrett | (5-4) |
| Department of Education v. California | 24A910 | | 04/04/25 | DCMA | Granted | Per Curiam | (5-4) |

Table 33: Decision Information (Part 3)

| Case | Docket | Date Argued | Date Decision | Lower Court | Decision | Author | Coalition |
|----------------------------------|---------|-------------|---------------|-------------|--------------------|------------|-----------|
| Trump v. J.G.G. | 24A931 | | 04/07/25 | DCDC | Granted | Per Curiam | (5-4)* |
| Cunningham v. Cornell University | 23-1007 | 01/22/25 | 04/17/25 | CA2 | Reverse and Remand | Sotomayor | (9-0) |
| Velazquez v. Garland | 23-929 | 11/12/24 | 04/22/25 | CA10 | Reverse and Remand | Gorusch | (6-3) |

Decision Vote Matrices

Table 34: Decision Vote Matrix (Part 1)

| Case | Roberts | Thomas | Alito | Sotomayor | Kagan | Gorsuch | Kavanaugh | Barrett | Jackson | |
|---|---------|--------|-------|-----------|-------|---------|-----------|---------|---------|--|
| Royal Canin v. Wullschleger | M | M | M | M | M* | M | M | M | M | |
| E.M.D. Sales Inc v. Carrera | M | JRC | M | M | M | RC | M* | M | M | |
| TikTok Inc. v. Garland | M | M | M | SC | M | CJ | M | M | M | |
| Andrew v. White | M | D | CJ | M | M | JD | M | M | M | |
| Hungary v. Simon | M | M | M | M* | M | M | M | M | M | |
| Wisconsin Bell v. United States ex rel. Heath | M | RC-JRC | JRC | M | M* | M | RC-JRC | M | M | |
| Williams v. Washington | M | D | JD | M | M | JD | M* | JD | M | |
| Lackey v. Stinnie | M* | M | M | JD | M | M | M | M | D | |
| Hamm v. Smith | M | M | M | M | M | M | M | M | M | |
| Facebook v. Amalgamated Bank | M | M | M | M | M | M | M | M | M | |
| Bouarafa v. Mayorkas | M | M | M | M | M | M | M | M | M* | |
| NVIDIA Corp. v. E. Ohman J:or Fonder AB | M | M | M | M | M | M | M | M | M | |

M* = Majority Author; M = Majority Coalition; RC = Wrote Concurrence; JRC = Joined Regular Concurrence

D = Wrote Dissent; JD = Joined Dissent; SC = Special Concurrence; DNP = Did Not Participate

Table 35: Decision Vote Matrix (Part 2)

| Case | Roberts | Thomas | Alito | Sotomayor | Kagan | Gorsuch | Kavanaugh | Barrett | Jackson | |
|--|---------|--------|-------|-----------|-------|---------|-----------|---------|---------|--|
| Glossip v. Oklahoma | M | D | JD | M* | M | DNP | M | SC | M | |
| Waetzig v. Halliburton Energy Services | M | M | M* | M | M | M | M | M | M | |
| Dewberry Group Inc. v. Dewberry Engineers Inc. | M | M | M | RC | M* | M | M | M | M | |
| San Francisco v. EPA | M | M | M* | JSC | JSC | M | M | SC | JSC | |
| Bufkin v. McDonough | M | M* | M | M | M | JD | M | M | D | |
| Thompson v. United States. | M* | M | RC | M | M | M | M | M | RC | |
| Delligatti v. United States. | M | M* | M | M | M | D | M | M | JD | |
| Garland v. Vanderstok | M | D | D | RC | M | M* | RC | M | RC | |
| United States v. Miller | M | M | M | M | M | D | M | M | M* | |
| FDA v. Wages and White Lion Investments | M | M | M* | RC | M | M | M | M | M | |
| Medical Marijuana Inc. v. Horn | JD | D | JD | M | M | M | D | M* | M | |
| Department of Education v. California | JD | M | M | JD | D | M | M | M | D | |

M* = Majority Author; M = Majority Coalition; RC = Wrote Concurrence; JRC = Joined Regular Concurrence

D = Wrote Dissent; JD = Joined Dissent; SC = Special Concurrence; DNP = Did Not Participate

Table 36: Decision Vote Matrix (Part 3)

| Case | Roberts | Thomas | Alito | Sotomayor | Kagan | Gorsuch | Kavanaugh | Barrett | Jackson | |
|----------------------------------|---------|--------|-------|-----------|-------|---------|-----------|---------|---------|--|
| Trump v. J.G.G. | M | M | M | D | JD | M | RC | JSC | JD | |
| Cunningham v. Cornell University | M | JRC | RC | M* | M | M | JRC | M | M | |
| Velazquez v. Garland | M | D | D-JD | M | M | M* | JD | D-JD | M | |

M* = Majority Author; M = Majority Coalition; RC = Wrote Concurrence; JRC = Joined Regular Concurrence

D = Wrote Dissent; JD = Joined Dissent; SC = Special Concurrence; DNP = Did Not Participate

Coalitions and Unanimity

Figure 3: Coalition Alignments (October Term 2024)




Figure 4: Coalition Alignments (2021–2024 Terms)





Figure 5: Proportion of Decisions Reached Unanimously (2021-2024 Terms)



Values represent proportion of cases decided unanimously (or without dissenting Justice) conditioned on the total number of decisions offered that term. Term-level coalitions for the 2021-2023 terms recovered using the [Supreme Court Database \(WUSTL/Penn State\)](#). Proportions include opinions decided *Per Curiam* with no noted dissents.

Circuit Scorecard

Table 37: Circuit Scorecard (Not Including Consolidated Cases)

| Circuit | Affirm | Affirm & Reverse | Reverse & Remand | Reverse | Vacate & Remand | DIG |
|---------|--------|------------------|------------------|---------|-----------------|-----|
| CA2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | |
| CA4 | | | 2 | 1 | | |
| CA5 | | | 1 | 1 | | |
| CA7 | | 1 | | 1 | | |
| CA8 | 1 | | | | | |
| CA9 | | | 1 | | | 2 |
| CA10 | | | 2 | 1 | 1 | |
| CA11 | 1 | | | 1 | | |
| CADC | 1 | | | 1 | | |
| CAFC | 1 | | | | | |

Table 38: Circuit Scorecard (with Consolidated Cases)

| Circuit | Affirm | Affirm & Reverse | Reverse & Remand | Reverse | Vacate & Remand | DIG |
|---------|--------|------------------|------------------|---------|-----------------|-----|
| CA2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | |
| CA4 | | | 2 | 1 | | |
| CA5 | | | 1 | 1 | | |
| CA7 | | 1 | | 1 | | |
| CA8 | 1 | | | | | |
| CA9 | | | 1 | | | 2 |
| CA10 | | | 2 | 1 | 1 | |
| CA11 | 1 | | | 1 | | |
| CADC | 1 | | | 1 | | |
| CAFC | 1 | | | | | |

Opinion Authorship

Table 39: Opinion Lengths (October Term 2024, Part 1)

| Case | Justice | Opinion | Word Count |
|---|-----------|-------------|------------|
| Glossip v. Oklahoma | Sotomayor | Opinion | 9621 |
| Glossip v. Oklahoma | Barrett | Special | 1422 |
| | | Concurrence | |
| Glossip v. Oklahoma | Thomas | Dissent | 14532 |
| Cunningham v. Cornell University | Sotomayor | Opinion | 4728 |
| Cunningham v. Cornell University | Alito | Concurrence | 772 |
| FDA v. Wages and White Lion Investments | Alito | Opinion | 14299 |
| FDA v. Wages and White Lion Investments | Sotomayor | Concurrence | 197 |
| Thompson v. United States. | Roberts | Opinion | 2722 |
| Thompson v. United States. | Alito | Concurrence | 976 |
| Thompson v. United States. | Jackson | Concurrence | 229 |
| Wisconsin Bell v. United States ex rel. Heath | Kagan | Opinion | 4345 |
| Wisconsin Bell v. United States ex rel. Heath | Thomas | Concurrence | 3689 |
| Wisconsin Bell v. United States ex rel. Heath | Kavanaugh | Concurrence | 103 |
| Williams v. Washington | Kavanaugh | Opinion | 2999 |
| Williams v. Washington | Thomas | Dissent | 3796 |
| E.M.D. Sales Inc v. Carrera | Kavanaugh | Opinion | 2251 |
| E.M.D. Sales Inc v. Carrera | Gorsuch | Concurrence | 207 |
| Medical Marijuana Inc. v. Horn | Barrett | Opinion | 5862 |
| Medical Marijuana Inc. v. Horn | Jackson | Concurrence | 114 |
| Medical Marijuana Inc. v. Horn | Thomas | Dissent | 3362 |
| Medical Marijuana Inc. v. Horn | Kavanaugh | Dissent | 8325 |
| Bouarafa v. Mayorkas | Jackson | Opinion | 3472 |
| Lackey v. Stinnie | Roberts | Opinion | 4106 |
| Lackey v. Stinnie | Jackson | Dissent | 5802 |
| Andrew v. White | Alito | Concurrence | 87 |
| Andrew v. White | Thomas | Dissent | 5754 |
| Royal Canin v. Wullschleger | Kagan | Opinion | 6487 |
| Bufkin v. McDonough | Thomas | Opinion | 5097 |
| Bufkin v. McDonough | Jackson | Dissent | 6250 |
| Advocate Christ Medical Center v. Becerra | Barrett | Opinion | 4927 |

Table 40: Opinion Lengths (Part 2)

| Case | Justice | Opinion | Word Count |
|--|-----------|-------------|------------|
| Advocate Christ Medical Center v. Becerra | Jackson | Dissent | 5689 |
| San Francisco v. EPA | Alito | Opinion | 5978 |
| San Francisco v. EPA | Barrett | Dissent | 3986 |
| United States v. Miller | Jackson | Opinion | 5798 |
| United States v. Miller | Gorsuch | Dissent | 663 |
| Delligatti v. United States. | Thomas | Opinion | 4718 |
| Delligatti v. United States. | Gorsuch | Dissent | 6414 |
| Garland v. Vanderstok | Gorsuch | Opinion | 7314 |
| Garland v. Vanderstok | Sotomayor | Concurrence | 482 |
| Garland v. Vanderstok | Kavanaugh | Concurrence | 430 |
| Garland v. Vanderstok | Jackson | Concurrence | 214 |
| Garland v. Vanderstok | Thomas | Dissent | 8036 |
| Garland v. Vanderstok | Alito | Dissent | 1509 |
| Feliciano v. Department of Transportation. | Gorsuch | Opinion | 4968 |
| Feliciano v. Department of Transportation. | Thomas | Dissent | 5321 |
| Hungary v. Simon | Sotomayor | Opinion | 6844 |
| Dewberry Group Inc. v. Dewberry Engineers Inc. | Kagan | Opinion | 2391 |
| Dewberry Group Inc. v. Dewberry Engineers Inc. | Sotomayor | Concurrence | 951 |
| Velazquez v. Garland | Gorsuch | Opinion | 5760 |
| Velazquez v. Garland | Thomas | Dissent | 5568 |
| Velazquez v. Garland | Alito | Dissent | 3275 |
| Velazquez v. Garland | Barrett | Dissent | 876 |
| Waetzig v. Halliburton Energy Services | Alito | Opinion | 4212 |
| TikTok Inc. v. Garland | Sotomayor | Concurrence | 226 |
| TikTok Inc. v. Garland | Gorsuch | Concurrence | 1374 |
| Department of Education v. California | Kagan | Dissent | 295 |
| Department of Education v. California | Jackson | Dissent | 5292 |
| Trump v. J. G. G. | Kavanaugh | Concurrence | 293 |
| Trump v. J. G. G. | Sotomayor | Dissent | 5281 |
| Trump v. J. G. G. | Jackson | Dissent | 419 |

Table 41: Number of Authored Opinions by Justice and Opinion Type (October Term 2024)

| Justice | Majority | Concurrence | Dissent |
|-----------|----------|-------------|---------|
| Alito | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| Barrett | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| Gorsuch | 3 | 2 | 2 |
| Jackson | 2 | 3 | 5 |
| Kagan | 3 | 0 | 1 |
| Kavanaugh | 2 | 3 | 1 |
| Roberts | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Sotomayor | 3 | 4 | 1 |
| Thomas | 2 | 1 | 7 |

Note: *Concurrence* includes Special Concurrences (In Part)

Table 42: Number of Authored Opinions by Justice and Opinion Type (2021-2024 Terms)

| Term | Justice | Majority | Concurrence | Dissent |
|-------------|----------------|-----------------|--------------------|----------------|
| 2021 | Alito | 6 | 6 | 4 |
| 2022 | Alito | 6 | 4 | 7 |
| 2023 | Alito | 4 | 8 | 4 |
| 2024 | Alito | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| 2021 | Barrett | 6 | 4 | 2 |
| 2022 | Barrett | 6 | 5 | 4 |
| 2023 | Barrett | 6 | 7 | 2 |
| 2024 | Barrett | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| 2021 | Breyer | 6 | 1 | 9 |
| 2021 | Gorsuch | 6 | 6 | 8 |
| 2022 | Gorsuch | 6 | 12 | 3 |
| 2023 | Gorsuch | 6 | 10 | 6 |
| 2024 | Gorsuch | 3 | 2 | 2 |
| 2022 | Jackson | 6 | 5 | 5 |
| 2023 | Jackson | 5 | 12 | 8 |
| 2024 | Jackson | 2 | 3 | 5 |
| 2021 | Kagan | 6 | 2 | 9 |
| 2022 | Kagan | 6 | 1 | 4 |
| 2023 | Kagan | 7 | 3 | 2 |
| 2024 | Kagan | 3 | 0 | 1 |
| 2021 | Kavanaugh | 5 | 7 | 2 |
| 2022 | Kavanaugh | 7 | 6 | 2 |
| 2023 | Kavanaugh | 6 | 7 | 3 |
| 2024 | Kavanaugh | 2 | 3 | 1 |
| 2021 | Roberts | 8 | 2 | 2 |
| 2022 | Roberts | 6 | 0 | 1 |
| 2023 | Roberts | 7 | 1 | 1 |
| 2024 | Roberts | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 2021 | Sotomayor | 7 | 4 | 12 |
| 2022 | Sotomayor | 5 | 6 | 4 |
| 2023 | Sotomayor | 7 | 4 | 7 |
| 2024 | Sotomayor | 3 | 4 | 1 |
| 2021 | Thomas | 7 | 7 | 8 |
| 2022 | Thomas | 6 | 7 | 9 |
| 2023 | Thomas | 6 | 12 | 5 |
| 2024 | Thomas | 2 | 1 | 7 |

Note: *Concurrence* includes Special Concurrences (In Part)

Dockets




Photo Credit: Democracy Docket/Mac Brower

Table 43: What's Included (Dockets)

| Area | Topic | Description |
|---------------|---------------------|---|
| Filings | Variance in Filings | Cumulative & monthly variance in OT24 docket filings by type (Certiorari Petitions, Applications, and Motions), as well as In Forma Pauperis (IFP) vs. Paid Certiorari Petitions. |
| | Petition Origins | Cumulative origins of petitions filed in OT24, as well as circuit-level variance by type. |
| Amicus Curiae | Filings | Variance in the number of amici petitions under consideration in OT24 by volume of amici. |

Variance in Filings

Figure 6: Cumulative Filings by Month (OT24)



Note: Values represent cumulative number of filings by month during OT24 – i.e., each subsequent month represents the aggregate number of filings in that month and those preceding.

Figure 7: Month-Level Docket Trends (OT24)




Table 44: Variance IFP & Paid Certiorari Petitions (OT24)

| Type | Count |
|------|-------|
| IFP | 2125 |
| Paid | 1129 |

Filings Origins

Table 45: Petition Origins (OT24, U.S. Circuit Courts of Appeal)

| Circuit | Certiorari | Application | Motion |
|----------------------|------------|-------------|--------|
| First Circuit | 63 | 21 | 2 |
| Second Circuit | 204 | 70 | 4 |
| Third Circuit | 139 | 50 | 2 |
| Fourth Circuit | 241 | 49 | 3 |
| Fifth Circuit | 398 | 104 | 6 |
| Sixth Circuit | 185 | 41 | 3 |
| Seventh Circuit | 117 | 33 | 4 |
| Eighth Circuit | 156 | 46 | 6 |
| Ninth Circuit | 397 | 133 | 11 |
| Tenth Circuit | 105 | 36 | 1 |
| Eleventh Circuit | 247 | 101 | 4 |
| District of Columbia | 54 | 55 | 2 |
| Federal | 53 | 34 | 4 |
| Armed Forces | 10 | 6 | 1 |

Table 46: Petition Origins (OT24, Part 1)

| Court | All Filings |
|---|-------------|
| Fifth Circuit | 398 |
| Ninth Circuit | 397 |
| Eleventh Circuit | 247 |
| Fourth Circuit | 241 |
| Second Circuit | 204 |
| Sixth Circuit | 185 |
| Eighth Circuit | 156 |
| Third Circuit | 139 |
| Seventh Circuit | 117 |
| Tenth Circuit | 105 |
| First Circuit | 63 |
| District of Columbia Circuit | 54 |
| Federal Circuit | 53 |
| Supreme Court of Florida | 43 |
| Court of Criminal Appeals of Texas | 36 |
| Court of Appeal of California Second Appellate District | 22 |
| Court of Appeals of New York | 20 |
| Supreme Court of California | 20 |
| Court of Criminal Appeals of Oklahoma | 16 |
| District Court of Appeal of Florida Fourth District | 14 |
| Supreme Court of Georgia | 14 |
| Supreme Court of South Carolina | 14 |
| Court of Appeals of Colorado | 13 |
| Court of Appeals of Michigan | 12 |
| Court of Appeal of California First Appellate District | 11 |

Table 47: Petition Origins (OT24, Part 2)

| Court | All Filings |
|--|-------------|
| Court of Appeals of Indiana | 11 |
| Supreme Court of Virginia | 11 |
| District of Columbia Court of Appeals | 10 |
| Armed Forces | 10 |
| Appellate Court of Illinois First District | 9 |
| District Court of Appeal of Florida First District | 9 |
| Supreme Court of Delaware | 9 |
| Supreme Court of Montana | 9 |
| Supreme Court of Nevada | 9 |
| Appeals Court of Massachusetts | 8 |
| Appellate Court of Illinois Fourth District | 8 |
| District Court of Appeal of Florida Second District | 8 |
| Supreme Court of Arkansas | 8 |
| Supreme Court of Louisiana | 8 |
| Supreme Court of Mississippi | 8 |
| Court of Appeal of California Sixth Appellate District | 7 |
| Court of Appeal of California Third Appellate District | 7 |
| Court of Appeals of Minnesota | 7 |
| Court of Appeals of Texas Fourteenth District | 7 |
| District Court of Appeal of Florida Third District | 7 |
| Superior Court of Pennsylvania Harrisburg Office | 7 |
| Supreme Court of Ohio | 7 |
| Court of Appeals of Georgia | 6 |
| District Court of Appeal of Florida Fifth District | 6 |
| Superior Court of New Jersey Appellate Division | 6 |

Table 48: Petition Origins (OT24, Part 3)

| Court | All Filings |
|---|-------------|
| Supreme Court of Arizona | 6 |
| Appellate Division Supreme Court of New York First Ju-dicial Department | 5 |
| Court of Appeals of Arizona Division One | 5 |
| Court of Appeals of Arizona Division Two | 5 |
| Court of Appeals of Kansas | 5 |
| Court of Appeals of Oregon | 5 |
| Court of Appeals of Texas Fifth District | 5 |
| Court of Appeals of Washington Division 1 | 5 |
| Supreme Court of Illinois | 5 |
| Supreme Court of Iowa | 5 |
| Supreme Court of Kentucky | 5 |
| Supreme Court of Missouri | 5 |
| Supreme Court of Puerto Rico | 5 |
| Supreme Court of Vermont | 5 |
| Supreme Judicial Court of Massachusetts | 5 |
| Appellate Court of Illinois Second District | 4 |
| Appellate Division Supreme Court of New York Fourth Judicial Department | 4 |
| Circuit Court of Maryland Baltimore County | 4 |
| Court of Appeal of California Fifth Appellate District | 4 |
| Court of Appeal of California Fourth Appellate District Division Three | 4 |
| Court of Appeal of Louisiana Second Circuit | 4 |
| Court of Appeals of South Carolina | 4 |
| Court of Appeals of Tennessee Eastern Division | 4 |
| Court of Appeals of Texas First District | 4 |
| Court of Appeals of Texas Fourth District | 4 |

Table 49: Petition Origins (OT24, Part 2)

| Court | All Filings |
|--|-------------|
| Court of Appeals of Indiana | 11 |
| Supreme Court of Virginia | 11 |
| District of Columbia Court of Appeals | 10 |
| Armed Forces | 10 |
| Appellate Court of Illinois First District | 9 |
| District Court of Appeal of Florida First District | 9 |
| Supreme Court of Delaware | 9 |
| Supreme Court of Montana | 9 |
| Supreme Court of Nevada | 9 |
| Appeals Court of Massachusetts | 8 |
| Appellate Court of Illinois Fourth District | 8 |
| District Court of Appeal of Florida Second District | 8 |
| Supreme Court of Arkansas | 8 |
| Supreme Court of Louisiana | 8 |
| Supreme Court of Mississippi | 8 |
| Court of Appeal of California Sixth Appellate District | 7 |
| Court of Appeal of California Third Appellate District | 7 |
| Court of Appeals of Minnesota | 7 |
| Court of Appeals of Texas Fourteenth District | 7 |
| District Court of Appeal of Florida Third District | 7 |
| Superior Court of Pennsylvania Harrisburg Office | 7 |
| Supreme Court of Ohio | 7 |
| Court of Appeals of Georgia | 6 |
| District Court of Appeal of Florida Fifth District | 6 |
| Superior Court of New Jersey Appellate Division | 6 |

Table 50: Petition Origins (OT24, Part 5)

| Court | All Filings |
|--|-------------|
| Supreme Court of Colorado | 3 |
| Supreme Court of Kansas | 3 |
| Supreme Court of Maryland | 3 |
| Supreme Court of Michigan | 3 |
| Supreme Court of Minnesota | 3 |
| Supreme Court of North Dakota | 3 |
| Supreme Court of Oklahoma | 3 |
| Supreme Court of Pennsylvania Eastern District | 3 |
| Supreme Court of Texas | 3 |
| United States District Court for the Western District of Louisiana | 3 |
| Appellate Court of Connecticut | 2 |
| Appellate Court of Illinois Fifth District | 2 |
| Appellate Court of Illinois Third District | 2 |
| Court of Appeal of Louisiana Fifth Circuit | 2 |
| Court of Appeals of Iowa | 2 |
| Court of Appeals of Kentucky | 2 |
| Court of Appeals of Nebraska | 2 |
| Court of Appeals of North Carolina | 2 |
| Court of Appeals of Ohio Cuyahoga County | 2 |
| Court of Appeals of Ohio Trumbull County | 2 |
| Court of Appeals of Texas Sixth District | 2 |
| Court of Appeals of Utah | 2 |
| Court of Appeals of Wisconsin District IV | 2 |
| Court of Criminal Appeals of Tennessee Middle Division | 2 |
| Supreme Court of Connecticut | 2 |

95

Table 51: Petition Origins (OT24, Part 2)

| Court | All Filings |
|--|-------------|
| Court of Appeals of Indiana | 11 |
| Supreme Court of Virginia | 11 |
| District of Columbia Court of Appeals | 10 |
| Armed Forces | 10 |
| Appellate Court of Illinois First District | 9 |
| District Court of Appeal of Florida First District | 9 |
| Supreme Court of Delaware | 9 |
| Supreme Court of Montana | 9 |
| Supreme Court of Nevada | 9 |
| Appeals Court of Massachusetts | 8 |
| Appellate Court of Illinois Fourth District | 8 |
| District Court of Appeal of Florida Second District | 8 |
| Supreme Court of Arkansas | 8 |
| Supreme Court of Louisiana | 8 |
| Supreme Court of Mississippi | 8 |
| Court of Appeal of California Sixth Appellate District | 7 |
| Court of Appeal of California Third Appellate District | 7 |
| Court of Appeals of Minnesota | 7 |
| Court of Appeals of Texas Fourteenth District | 7 |
| District Court of Appeal of Florida Third District | 7 |
| Superior Court of Pennsylvania Harrisburg Office | 7 |
| Supreme Court of Ohio | 7 |
| Court of Appeals of Georgia | 6 |
| District Court of Appeal of Florida Fifth District | 6 |
| Superior Court of New Jersey Appellate Division | 6 |

Table 52: Petition Origins (OT24, Part 7)

| Court | All Filings |
|--|-------------|
| Court of Appeals of Ohio Franklin County | 1 |
| Court of Appeals of Ohio Lucas County | 1 |
| Court of Appeals of Ohio Mahoning County | 1 |
| Court of Appeals of Ohio Richland County | 1 |
| Court of Appeals of Ohio Stark County | 1 |
| Court of Appeals of Ohio Summit County | 1 |
| Court of Appeals of Ohio Tuscarawas County | 1 |
| Court of Appeals of Ohio Warren County | 1 |
| Court of Appeals of Puerto Rico | 1 |
| Court of Appeals of Texas Eighth District | 1 |
| Court of Appeals of Texas Tenth District | 1 |
| Court of Appeals of Washington Division 3 | 1 |
| Court of Appeals of Wisconsin District I | 1 |
| Court of Civil Appeals of Oklahoma First Division | 1 |
| Court of Civil Appeals of Oklahoma Second Division | 1 |
| District Court of Iowa Fremont County | 1 |
| District Court of New Mexico San Juan County | 1 |
| Intermediate Court of Appeals of Hawaii | 1 |
| Superior Court of Georgia Baldwin County | 1 |
| Superior Court of Georgia Fulton County | 1 |
| Superior Court of Georgia Rockdale County | 1 |
| Superior Court of Georgia Telfair County | 1 |
| Supreme Court of Alaska | 1 |
| Supreme Court of Appeals of West Virginia | 1 |
| Supreme Court of Guam | 1 |

Table 53: Petition Origins (OT24, Part 8)

| Court | All Filings |
|---|-------------|
| Supreme Court of Idaho | 1 |
| Supreme Court of New York Bronx County | 1 |
| Supreme Court of New York New York County | 1 |
| Supreme Court of North Carolina | 1 |
| Supreme Court of Tennessee Western Division | 1 |
| Supreme Judicial Court of Maine | 1 |

Amici Variance

Table 54: Variance in No. Amici Filed for Certiorari Petitions (OT24 Filings)

| No. Amici | Count |
|-----------|-------|
| 0 | 3190 |
| 1 | 36 |
| 2 | 9 |
| 3 | 6 |
| 4 | 3 |
| 5 | 2 |
| 6 | 1 |
| 7 | 1 |
| 8 | 1 |
| 9 | 1 |
| 20 | 2 |
| 22 | 1 |
| 25 | 1 |