# Final Dissertation: Final mark and feedback



### Student

### Name

Jagat Kiran Alla

#### **Programme**

**Data Analytics** 

#### **Cohort**

PGT 2021/22

### Markers

#### **Supervisor**

Joab Winkler

#### Second marker

Ramsay Taylor

### **Project & Files**

No project title (view proposal)

No files attached

## Feedback available

You can view your feedback but marks are not available. Marks for this stage will not be released to students.

# Feedback categories

## **Student Performance**

#### Joab Winkler said:

The student's performance was excellent. Initially he spent time on ML techniques, even though this was not in the project description. He them used the intended approach and showed initiative and an enquiring mind.

Only the supervisor completes this section.

# **Quality of Products**

### Joab Winkler said:

Excellent. He obtained many good and useful results on performed detailed experiments on three images. He performed detailed experiments on each image and he analysed the results.

### Ramsay Taylor said:

The system seems to work, and is very well described and justified.

# **Quality of Processes**

## Joab Winkler said:

The background theory and a discussion of the removal of blur from an image is described very well. The advantages and disadvantages of each method in the image processing toolbox in MATLAB are explained well.

# Ramsay Taylor said:

The background is well documented and well used. The final technique has clearly been developed in a thorough manner.

## **Amount of Work Completed**

### Joab Winkler said:

A lot of good work was completed and the project reached definitive conclusions and was therefore successful.

### Ramsay Taylor said:

A comprehensive technique that is well designed and motivated.

# Writing Quality and Professional Standard

### Joab Winkler said:

The report was nicely written in LaTex and the images and diagrams were oh high quality. But the spacing is not correct in some sentences.

## Ramsay Taylor said:

The document is very well presented and well written throughout.

# **Project Presentation Sessions**

Only the second marker completes this section.

### Ramsay Taylor said:

The presentation was ok. It wasn't as clearly described as the final dissertation, and there weren't brilliant answers to the questions posed but it was adequate.

# **Quality of evaluation**

Joab Winkler said:

As noted above, this was excellent.

### Ramsay Taylor said:

There is a detailed mathematical evaluation. I question the general validity of using mathematically derived linear blur to test a process to mathematically remove linear blur - I would have liked to have seen some "real" blurred images.

# Final mark



## **Ethics review**

Only the supervisor completes this section.

Does this project require ethics review?

No

# **General feedback**

Joab Winkler said:

Overall an excellent project.

### Ramsay Taylor said:

This is a very thorough and detailed project. Although I think it would have benefited from some final validation, it is indisputably well presented and described.