The relationship between trust in institutions and use of news media sources in the early phase of the COVID-19 pandemic

An analysis based on the GESIS Panel Special Survey on the Coronavirus SARS-CoV-2 Outbreak in Germany

R User

2021-11-18

Research question

We want to investigate whether the sources of information that people have used for getting current information about the Corona virus are related to their trust in different groups and institutions.

Methods

We used R version 4.1.2 (2021-11-01) (R Core Team, 2021) for all data wrangling and analyses.

Sample

The data we use comes from the Public Use File (PUF) of the GESIS Panel Special Survey on the Coronavirus SARS-CoV-2 Outbreak in Germany (GESIS Panel Team, 2020). The data set includes data for N=3765 respondents, of which n=1832 were female and n=1933 were male.

Measures

The variables we are interested in for this analysis are the ones measuring trust in 1) the federal government, 2) the World Health Organization (WHO), and 3) scientists in dealing with the Corona virus as predictors, and the use of a) national public television or radio and b) Facebook as sources of current information about the Corona virus.

The predictors assessing trust were measured on a 5-point scale ranging from "1 - Do not trust at all" to "5 - Entirely trust," with the additional response option "Don't know" (those responses were excluded from our analyses). The two outcome variables were binary items. Respondents could either indicate that they used the respective source (in our case: national public television or radio and Facebook) for getting current information about the Corona virus or not. All data wrangling was done with the dplyr package (Wickham et al., 2021) which is part of the tidyverse (Wickham et al., 2019).

Results

Descriptive statistics

 $Table\ 1$ shows the descriptive statistics for the trust variables.

Table 1: Descriptive statistics

Statistic	N	Mean	St. Dev.	Min	Pctl(25)	Pctl(75)	Max
Trust in federal government	2,761	3.66	0.99	1.00	3.00	4.00	5.00
Trust in WHO	2,731	4.03	0.91	1.00	4.00	5.00	5.00
Trust in scientists	2,728	4.26	0.76	1.00	4.00	5.00	5.00

Note: The table was produced with the stargazer package (Hlavac, 2018).

Correlations

Table 2 shows the correlations between the perceived personal risk variables. Correlations were computed using the corr package (Kuhn et al., 2020).

Table 2: Correlations between the trust variables

Measure	1	2	3
Trust in federal government	_	_	
Trust in WHO	.47	_	_
Trust in scientists	.35	.44	_

Regression analysis

We use a logistic regression model to explore how the use of 1) national public television and radio and 2) Facebook as sources of current information about the Corona virus are predicted by trust in the federal government, the WHO, and scientists in general.

The general formula for a logistic regression model with three predictors is $Pr(Y_i=1|X_i)=\frac{exp(\beta_0+\beta_1X_i+\beta_2X_2+\beta_3X_3)}{1+exp(\beta_0+\beta_1X_i+\beta_2X_2+\beta_3X_3)}$

Accordingly, in our case, the formulas for the two models are:

$$\log \left[\frac{P(\text{info_nat_pub_br} = 1)}{1 - P(\text{info_nat_pub_br} = 1)} \right] = \beta_0 + \beta_1(\text{trust_government}) + \beta_2(\text{trust_who}) + \beta_3(\text{trust_scientists})$$
(1)

$$\log \left[\frac{P(\inf o_f b = 0)}{1 - P(\inf o_f b = 0)} \right] = \beta_0 + \beta_1(\operatorname{trust_government}) + \beta_2(\operatorname{trust_who}) + \beta_3(\operatorname{trust_scientists})$$
 (2)

Note: To create the formulas for our models, we used the equationatic package (Anderson et al., 2021). Table 3 shows the results of the two logistic regression models.

Table 3: Results of the two logistic regression models

	Dependent variable:			
	Public broadcasting as info source	e Facebook as info source		
	(1)	(2)		
Trust in federal government	0.528***	-0.193^{***}		
	(0.066)	(0.052)		
Trust in WHO	-0.014	0.066		
	(0.075)	(0.061)		
Trust in scientists	0.167**	-0.133**		
	(0.080)	(0.065)		
Constant	-0.224	-0.467^{*}		
	(0.325)	(0.269)		
Observations	3,027	3,027		
Log Likelihood	-927.508	-1,459.690		
Akaike Inf. Crit.	1,863.016	2,927.379		
Note:	*	n<0.1: **n<0.05: ***n<0.01		

Note: The table was produced with the stargazer package (Hlavac, 2018).

Discussion

Trust in the federal government and trust in scientists emerged as significant positive predictors of using national public broadcasting as a source of current information about the Corona virus, meaning that people

who show higher trust in these institutions are more likely to use this source of information. Contrarily, these two trust measures negatively predicted the use of Facebook as a source of information, meaning that individuals with lower levels of trust in the federal government and scientists are more likely to use Facebook as an information source. These results show that trust in institutions and information behavior are related.

References

- Anderson, D., Heiss, A., & Sumners, J. (2021). Equationatic: Transform models into LaTeX equations. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=equatiomatic
- GESIS Panel Team. (2020). GESIS Panel Special Survey on the Coronavirus SARS-CoV-2 Outbreak in GermanyGESIS Panel Special Survey on the Coronavirus SARS-CoV-2 Outbreak in Germany. GESIS Data Archive. https://doi.org/10.4232/1.13520
- Hlavac, M. (2018). Stargazer: Well-formatted regression and summary statistics tables. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=stargazer
- Kuhn, M., Jackson, S., & Cimentada, J. (2020). Corrr: Correlations in r. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=corrr
- R Core Team. (2021). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing. https://www.R-project.org/
- Wickham, H., Averick, M., Bryan, J., Chang, W., McGowan, L. D., François, R., Grolemund, G., Hayes, A., Henry, L., Hester, J., Kuhn, M., Pedersen, T. L., Miller, E., Bache, S. M., Müller, K., Ooms, J., Robinson, D., Seidel, D. P., Spinu, V., ... Yutani, H. (2019). Welcome to the tidyverse. *Journal of Open Source Software*, 4(43), 1686. https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.01686
- Wickham, H., François, R., Henry, L., & Müller, K. (2021). Dplyr: A grammar of data manipulation. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=dplyr

Reproducibility information

- R version 4.1.2 (2021-11-01), x86 64-w64-mingw32
- Running under: Windows 10 x64 (build 18362)
- Matrix products: default
- Base packages: base, datasets, graphics, grDevices, methods, stats, utils
- Other packages: corrr 0.4.3, dplyr 1.0.6, equatiomatic 0.3.0, forcats 0.5.1, fs 1.5.0, ggplot2 3.3.3, purrr 0.3.4, readr 1.4.0, stargazer 5.2.2, stringr 1.4.0, tibble 3.1.2, tidyr 1.1.3, tidyverse 1.3.1
- Loaded via a namespace (and not attached): assertthat 0.2.1, backports 1.2.1, broom 0.7.6, bslib 0.2.5.1, cellranger 1.1.0, cli 2.5.0, colorspace 2.0-1, compiler 4.1.2, crayon 1.4.1, DBI 1.1.1, dbplyr 2.1.1, digest 0.6.27, ellipsis 0.3.2, evaluate 0.14, fansi 0.4.2, fastmap 1.1.0, generics 0.1.0, glue 1.4.2, grid 4.1.2, gtable 0.3.0, haven 2.4.3, highr 0.9, hms 1.1.0, htmltools 0.5.1.1, httpuv 1.6.1, httr 1.4.2, jquerylib 0.1.4, jsonlite 1.7.2, knitr 1.33, later 1.2.0, lifecycle 1.0.0, lubridate 1.7.10, magrittr 2.0.1, mime 0.10, modelr 0.1.8, munsell 0.5.0, pillar 1.6.1, pkgconfig 2.0.3, promises 1.2.0.1, R6 2.5.0, Rcpp 1.0.6, readxl 1.3.1, reprex 2.0.0, rlang 0.4.11, rmarkdown 2.11, rstudioapi 0.13, rvest 1.0.0, sass 0.4.0, scales 1.1.1, shiny 1.6.0, stringi 1.6.2, tidyselect 1.1.1, tinytex 0.31, tools 4.1.2, utf8 1.2.1, vctrs 0.3.8, withr 2.4.2, xfun 0.23, xml2 1.3.2, xtable 1.8-4, yaml 2.2.1