FACULTY OF FUNDAMENTAL PROBLEMS OF TECHNOLOGY

WROCŁAW UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

EIGENMODES IN NEARLY INTEGRABLE QUANTUM CHAINS

JAKUB PAWŁOWSKI

INDEX NUMBER: 250193

Master thesis
under supervision of
prof. dr hab. Marcin Mierzejewski

Wrocław
University
of Science
and Technology

WROCŁAW 2023

This page is intentionally left blank.

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to prof. dr hab. Marcin Mierzejewski for being my

This page is intentionally left blank.

Abstract

Apparent incompatibility of classical irreversible thermodynamics with

This page is intentionally left blank.

Contents

This page is intentionally left blank.

1
Introduction

The results concerning spin transport in the long range XXZ have already been published in Mierzejewski et al. [1]. Writing something about computational basis

2

Krylov subspace methods for quantum many-body systems

One of the two purposes of this thesis is to develop and test a set of numerical tools based on the Lanczos algorithm, which is an iterative method for finding extremal eigenvalues of large, sparse matrices. Therefore, this chapter serves as a pedagogical introduction to the core ideas of these methods, however without going too deep into details of algorithm analysis. For the better part of this exposition we follow the excellent treatments found in Sandvik [2] and PhD thesis by Crivelli [3]. The interested and mathematically inclined reader is referred to the classic textbook of numerical linear algebra by Trefethen and Bau [4].

The first part of this chapter is devoted to the Lanczos algorithm in its simplest form, that is useful for efficient calculation of extremal eigenvalues and eigenvectors of sparse Hamiltonians, in particular (the) ground state, thus for determining their ground state properties. However, in this work we are mainly interested in infinite temperature calculations, for which in principle sampling of the whole spectrum is required. To this end, in subsequent sections we develop a scheme for time evolution of arbitrary state, called the Krylov propagator [5], and combine it with the idea of Dynamical Quantum Typicality (DQT), which states that a single pure state can have the same properties as an ensemble density matrix [6, 7, 8]. We finish this chapter with a proposal of employing this method to the identification of local integrals of motion in a given tight-binding system. (cite my bachelors)

For the remainder of this chapter, let H denote arbitrary tight-binding Hamiltonian, $\mathcal{H}$ the associated Hilbert space, and $\mathcal{D} = \dim(\mathcal{H}) < \infty$ its dimension.

2.1 Lanczos method for ground state calculation

2.1.1 Problems with Exact Diagonalization

The most straightforward numerical method for studying discrete quantum many-body systems is without a doubt Exact Diagonalization (ED) [9]. It allows one to obtain numerically exact set of eigenvalues and eigenvectors and subsequently compute any desired properties of the

system, be it thermal expectation values, time evolution, Green's functions etc. Unfortunately, the starting point of any ED calculation is the expression of the Hamiltonian as a dense matrix, in the Hilbert space basis of choice. Taking into account the fact that the dimension many-body Hilbert space grows exponentially with the size of the system, the memory cost quickly becomes prohibitive, even when exploiting conservation laws and related symmetries. For example, in the case of a spin chain of length L, with on-site basis dimension being 2, the full dimension of the Hilbert space would be 2^L. Taking a modest length of 25 sites, that gives $2^{25} = 33554432 \approx 3.36 \cdot 10^7$ basis states and a memory footprint of Hamiltonian matrix of around 9PB (using double-precision floating point numbers), which is 9000 times more than the typical consumer hard drive capacity of 1TB. Even assuming some kind of distributed memory platform allowing for handling such large matrices, the computational complexity of ED, requiring $O(\mathcal{D}^3)$ operations, is the next major hurdle. Therefore, it is exceedingly difficult to probe the thermodynamic limit physics and ED calculations suffer from finite size effects.

Closer investigation of the Hamiltonian matrix, expressed in computational basis (cf. eq ...) quickly reveals the inefficiency of dense storage. Looking at Figure (Here figure with Hamiltonian, basis ordered by magnetization), we see that most of the matrix elements are zero. In fact only about $\mathcal{D}_0 \propto \mathcal{D}$ out of $\mathcal{D}^2$ matrix elements are non-zero. Hence, a numerical scheme leveraging this sparsity is highly desirable. This is exactly what the Lanczos algorithm does. Summarized in mathematical terms, the Lanczos algorithm is equivalent to choosing a special subspace $\mathcal{K}$ of the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, which represents well the extremal eigenvectors, then constructing a projection operator onto that subspace, and finally diagonalizing the projected Hamiltonian. In computer science terms, it could be described as a lossy compression algorithm, prioritizing faithful representation of extremal eigenvalues.

2.1.2   Arnoldi iteration

The Lanczos method is special case of a more general algorithm, called Arnoldi iteration, designed to transform a general, nonhermitian matrix A via a orthogonal similarity transformation to a Hessenberg form $A = QHQ^\dagger$.

Definition 2.1 *A square, $m \times m$ matrix H is said to be in upper **Hessenberg** form if $\forall i,j \in \{1, \ldots, n\} : i > j + 1 \implies (A)_{i,j} = 0$. It is said to be in **lower Hessenberg form**, if its transpose is in upper Hessenberg form.*

A Hessenberg matrix differs from a triangular one by one additional super- or subdiagonal. Such form is desirable, because many numerical algorithms in linear algebra experience considerable speedup from leveraging triangular structure of a matrix, and sometimes those benefits carry over to this almost-triangular case. A particularly important strength of the Arnoldi iteration is that it can be interrupted before completion, thus producing only an approximation of the full Hessenberg form in situation where m is so large, that full computations are infeasible (eg. in quantum many-body physics).

Assume now that we are able to only compute the first $n < m$ columns of the equation $AQ = QH$. Let Q_n be the restriction of Q to n columns and let them be denoted by $q_1, q_2, \ldots q_n$.

2.1.3 Restriction to hermitian case: Lanczos iteration

3

Spin transport in long range XXZ model

The results concerning spin transport in the long range XXZ have already been published in Mierzejewski et al. [1].

4

Relaxation eigenmodes in long range XXZ model

The results concerning spin transport in the long range XXZ have already been published in Mierzejewski et al. [1].

5
Summary

AAA

Bibliography

[1] M. Mierzejewski et al. "Quasiballistic transport in the long-range anisotropic Heisenberg model". In: *Physical Review B* 107.4 (Jan. 2023), p. 045134. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB. 107.045134. URL: https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.107.045134 (visited on 02/16/2023).

[2] Anders W. Sandvik. "Computational studies of quantum spin systems". In: *AIP Conference Proceedings* 1297.2010 (Jan. 18, 2011), pp. 135–338. ISSN: 0094-243X. DOI: 10.1063/ 1.3518900. arXiv: 1101.3281.

[3] Dawid Crivelli. "Particle and energy transport in strongly driven one-dimensional quantum systems". PhD thesis. Uniwersytet Śląski w Katowicach, 2016.

[4] Lloyd N. Trefethen and David III Bau. *Numerical Linear Algebra*. Philadelphia, 1997. ISBN: 9780898713619.

[5] Tae Jun Park and J. C. Light. "Unitary quantum time evolution by iterative Lanczos reduction". In: *The Journal of Chemical Physics* 85.10 (Nov. 1986), pp. 5870–5876. ISSN: 0021-9606. DOI: 10.1063/1.451548. URL: https://aip.scitation.org/doi/10.1063/1.451548 (visited on 12/23/2022).

[6] J. Gemmer and G. Mahler. "Distribution of local entropy in the Hilbert space of bi-partite quantum systems: origin of Jaynes' principle". en. In: *The European Physical Journal B - Condensed Matter and Complex Systems* 31.2 (Jan. 2003), pp. 249–257. ISSN: 1434-6036. DOI: 10.1140/epjb/e2003-00029-3. URL: https://doi.org/10.1140/epjb/e2003-00029-3 (visited on 12/23/2022).

[7] Sheldon Goldstein et al. "Canonical Typicality". In: *Physical Review Letters* 96.5 (Feb. 2006), p. 050403. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.050403. URL: https://link.aps.org/doi/10. 1103/PhysRevLett.96.050403 (visited on 12/23/2022).

[8] Sandu Popescu, Anthony J. Short, and Andreas Winter. "Entanglement and the foundations of statistical mechanics". en. In: *Nature Physics* 2.11 (Nov. 2006), pp. 754–758. ISSN: 1745-2481. DOI: 10.1038/nphys444. URL: https://www.nature.com/articles/nphys444 (visited on 12/23/2022).

[9] Alexander Weiße and Holger Fehske. "Exact Diagonalization Techniques". en. In: ed. by H. Fehske, R. Schneider, and A. Weiße. Lecture Notes in Physics. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer, 2008, pp. 529–544. ISBN: 9783540746867. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-540-74686-7_18. URL: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-74686-7_18 (visited on 03/11/2023).

A

Hilbert subspaces with fixed momentum