

CS-6190: Homework 1

James Brissette

September 24, 2019

1 Warm Up

1. To get $p(x_1)$ from $x = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}$ we need to use a fair bit of wizardry, and assume $\Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_{11} & \Sigma_{12} \\ \Sigma_{21} & \Sigma_{22} \end{bmatrix}$, so $\Sigma^{-1} = V = \begin{bmatrix} V_{11} & V_{12} \\ V_{21} & V_{22} \end{bmatrix}$. Furthermore, we know that $x^T A x = \sum_i \sum_j x_i A_{ij} x_j$, so the term in our multivariate gaussian exponent becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{k}{2}} \frac{1}{|\Sigma|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \exp\left[\frac{1}{2} x^T \Sigma^{-1} x\right] \\ &= x_1^T V_{11} x_1 + x_1^T V_{12} x_2 + x_2^T V_{21} x_1 + x_2^T V_{22} x_2 \end{aligned}$$

When we go through and tediously complete the square we get something that looks like the following:

$$x^T \Sigma^{-1} x = (x_2 + V_{22}^{-1} V_{21} x_1)^T V_{22} (x_2 + V_{22}^{-1} V_{21} x_1) + x_1^T (V_{11} - V_{21}^T V_{22}^{-1} V_{21}) x_1$$

and with some guidance from various sources that illustrate that $f(x) = f(x_2|x_1)f(x_1)$, we can simplify this and recover the marginal distribution of x_1 as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_2|x_1) &\propto \exp(x_2 + V_{22}^{-1} V_{21} x_1)^T V_{22} (x_2 + V_{22}^{-1} V_{21} x_1) \\ f(x_1) &\propto \exp((x_1^T - \mu)^T (V_{11} - V_{21}^T V_{22}^{-1} V_{21}) (x_1 - \mu)) \\ X_1 &\sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, (V_{11} - V_{21}^T V_{22}^{-1} V_{21})) \end{aligned}$$

Which turns out to be exactly $X_1 \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \Sigma_{11})$

2.

3.

4. We know that $KL(q||p) = \int [\log(q(x)) - \log(p(x))] p(x) dx$. If we expand out each term we get:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \log\left[\frac{1}{2|\Lambda|} \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}(x-m)^T \Lambda^{-1}(x-m)\right)\right] - \log\left[\frac{1}{2|\Sigma|} \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}(x-\mu)^T \Sigma^{-1}(x-\mu)\right)\right] p(x) dx \\ &= \int \left[\frac{1}{2} \log\frac{|\Lambda|}{|\Sigma|} - \frac{1}{2}(x-m)^T \Lambda^{-1}(x-m) + \frac{1}{2}(x-\mu)^T \Sigma^{-1}(x-\mu)\right] p(x) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \log\frac{|\Lambda|}{|\Sigma|} - \text{tr}[E[(x-\mu)(x-\mu)^T] \Sigma^{-1}] + \frac{1}{2} E[(x-m)^T \Lambda^{-1}(x-m)] \end{aligned}$$

and we know from the matrix cookbook that $E(x^T A x) = \text{tr}(A \Sigma) + m^T A m$:

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{1}{2} \log\frac{|\Lambda|}{|\Sigma|} - \text{tr}[I_d] + \frac{1}{2}(m-\mu)^T + \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\Sigma^{-1}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[\log\frac{|\Lambda|}{|\Sigma|} - d + \text{tr}(\Lambda^{-1} \Sigma) + (m-\mu)^T \Lambda^{-1} (m-\mu) \right] \end{aligned}$$

5. So if we use a fair bit of what is in the lecture notes, this isn't too bad. We know that $\nabla \log(Z(\eta)) = \frac{\nabla Z(\eta)}{Z(\eta)}$, that $Z(\eta) = \int h(x) \exp(u(x)^T \eta) dx$ and that $\nabla Z(\eta) = \int h(x) \exp(u(x)^T \eta) u(x) dx$.

If we combine terms to simplify the gradient by taking $\frac{1}{Z(\eta)}$ to be $\exp(-\log(Z(\eta)))$ we can combine terms and calculate the second derivative as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla \log(Z(\eta)) &= \int u(x) h(x) \exp(u(x)^T \eta - \log(Z(\eta))) \\ \nabla^2 \frac{\log(Z(\eta))}{d\eta} &= \int u(x) h(x) \exp(u(x)^T \eta - \log(Z(\eta))) (u(x) - \nabla \log(Z(\eta)))\end{aligned}$$

And we can simplify $u(x) \exp(u(x)^T \eta - \log(Z(\eta))) = \mathbb{E}[u(x)]$ which gives us:

$$\begin{aligned}&= \int u(x)^2 \exp(u(x)^T \eta - \log(Z(\eta))) - u(x) \mathbb{E}[u(x)] \exp(u(x)^T \eta - \log(Z(\eta))) \\&= \mathbb{E}[u(x)^2] - \mathbb{E}[u(x)]^2 \\&= \mathbb{V}[u(x)]\end{aligned}$$

6. I've never heard of negative Variance, so the covariance matrix must all be positive, meaning it's positive semi-definite, meaning it's convex.
7. In order to calculate the mutual information in terms of the entropy of two random variables, we'll start with the definition for Mutual Information:

$$\begin{aligned}I &= - \int \int p(x, y) \log \frac{p(x)p(y)}{p(x, y)} \\&= - \int \int p(x, y) \left[\log \frac{p(y)}{p(x, y)} + \log(p(x)) \right] \\&= - \int \int p(x, y) * \log \frac{p(y)}{p(x, y)} + \int \int p(x, y) \log(p(x)) \\&= - \int \int p(y) p(x|y) * \log(p(x|y)) + \int \int p(x, y) \log(p(x)) \\&= - \int p(y) \int p(x|y) * \log(p(x|y)) + \int \log(p(x)) \int p(x, y) \\&= - \int p(y) * H(x|y) + \int \log(p(x)) * p(x) \\&= - \int p(y) * H(x|y) + H(x) \\&= -H(x|y) + H(x) \\&= H(x) - H(x|y)\end{aligned}$$

8. (a)
(b)
(c)

9.

10. Yes.

11. (a)
(b)
(c)
(d)