

# CS-6190: Homework 0

James Brissette

September 5, 2019

## 1 Warm Up

1.

$$p(A \cup B) \leq p(A) + p(B)$$

We know from set probability theory that  $p(A \cup B) = p(A) + p(B) - p(A \cap B)$ , which allows us to examine two possible scenarios about set A and set B – one in which the events are independent and one in which they’re not. In either case, we know  $0 \leq p(A \cap B) \leq 1$ , and the following holds:

$$\begin{aligned} p(A \cup B) &= p(A) + p(B) \text{ for } A, B \text{ independent} \\ p(A \cup B) &< p(A) + p(B) \text{ for } p(A \cap B) > 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$p(A \cap B) \leq p(A)$$

Again, we can imagine sketching out two sets and observing that at either extreme,  $0 \leq p(A \cap B) \leq 1$

$$p(A \cup B) \leq p(A)$$

explain

2. For the following induction, we will first prove the base case when  $i = 2$ , and then the general case using  $i = n - 1$

$$p\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n p(A_i)$$

Base case:

$$p(A_1 \cup A_2) = p(A_1) + p(A_2) - p(A_1 \cap A_2)$$

which we know from Q1 above is

$$p(A_1 \cup A_2) \leq p(A_1) + p(A_2)$$

Next, we assume our base case is correct and generalize our induction step as follows:

$$p\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} A_i\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} p(A_i)$$

At the point where we run into  $i = n$ , based on our base case we get:

$$p\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i\right) = p\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} A_i\right) + p(A_n) - p\left(\bigcap_{i=0}^n A_i\right)$$

$$p\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i\right) \leq p\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} A_i\right) + p(A_n)$$

Substituting in our induction step we get:

$$p\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} p(A_i) + p(A_n)$$

which is equivalent to:

$$p\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n p(A_i)$$

3. For this question we have the following:

	$Y = 0$	$Y = 1$
$X = 0$	3/10	1/10
$X = 1$	2/10	4/10

(a) i. Marginal Distributions:

	$p(X)$	$p(Y)$
0	40%	50%
1	60%	50%

ii. Conditional Distributions:

	$p(X Y)$	$p(Y X)$
0	10%	20%
1	40%	40%

iii. Expectation/Variance:

$$\mathbb{E}(X) = 0\left(\frac{4}{10}\right) + 1\left(\frac{6}{10}\right) = 60\%$$

$$\mathbb{V}(X) = 0^2\left(\frac{4}{10}\right) + 1^2\left(\frac{6}{10}\right) - \left(\frac{3}{5}\right)^2 = 36\%$$

$$\mathbb{E}(Y) = 0\left(\frac{5}{10}\right) + 1\left(\frac{5}{10}\right) = 50\%$$

$$\mathbb{V}(Y) = 0^2\left(\frac{5}{10}\right) + 1^2\left(\frac{5}{10}\right) - \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^2 = 25\%$$

iv. Conditional Expectation/Variance:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}(Y|X=0) &= 0\left(\frac{3}{10}\right) + 1\left(\frac{1}{10}\right) = 10\% \\ \mathbb{V}(Y|X=0) &= 0^2\left(\frac{3}{10}\right) + 1^2\left(\frac{1}{10}\right) - \left(\frac{1}{10}\right)^2 = 9\% \\ \mathbb{E}(Y|X=1) &= 0\left(\frac{2}{10}\right) + 1\left(\frac{4}{10}\right) = 40\% \\ \mathbb{V}(Y|X=1) &= 0^2\left(\frac{2}{10}\right) + 1^2\left(\frac{4}{10}\right) - \left(\frac{4}{10}\right)^2 = 24\%\end{aligned}$$

v. Covariance:

$$\begin{aligned}&= (0)(0)(3/10) + (0)(1)(1/10) + \\ &\quad (1)(0)(2/10) + (1)(1)(4/10) - (3/5)(5/10) \\ &= (4/10) - (3/10) = 10\%\end{aligned}$$

- (b)  $X$  and  $Y$  are NOT independent, and we can see this from the fact that there exists a non-zero covariance between variables.
- (c) When  $X$  is not assigned a specific value then  $\mathbb{E}(Y|X)$  and  $\mathbb{V}(Y|X)$  become Expectations and Variances of a Random Variable, making them Random Variables themselves. If  $X$  is non-constant (e.g. a Random Variable), then  $\mathbb{E}(Y|X)$  and  $\mathbb{V}(Y|X)$  are NOT constants.

4. (a)

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}(Y) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x)p(x)dx \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-x^2} \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}} dx \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-x^2} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} dx \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-3x^2} dx \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\end{aligned}$$

(b)

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{V}(Y) &= \mathbb{E}[X^2] - \mu^2 \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [g(x)]^2 p(x) dx - \mu^2 \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{[e^{-x^2}]^2 e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} dx - \frac{1}{3} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-2x^2} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} dx - \frac{1}{3} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{5x^2}{2}} dx - \frac{1}{3} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} - \frac{1}{3}\end{aligned}$$

5. (a) By definition, when we take a function that transforms a  $RV(X)$  into a  $RV(Y)$ , then the PDF of  $Y$  is given by:

$$p(y) = \left| \frac{d}{dy} (f^{-1}(y)) \right| p(f^{-1}(y))$$

For  $Y = X^3$  and  $X \sim \mathcal{N}(X|0, 1)$  we see:

$$\begin{aligned} p(y) &= \left| \frac{d}{dy} (y^{\frac{1}{3}}) \right| p(y^{\frac{1}{3}}) \\ &= \left| \frac{1}{3} (y^{-\frac{2}{3}}) \right| \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{y^{2/3}}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

- (b) Assuming the matrix  $G$  is invertible, then from  $Y = GX$ , we get  $X = G^{-1}Y$  and the PDF of  $Y$  becomes:

$$f_y(y) = f_x(G^{-1}y) / \det(G)$$

6. (a) Law of Total Expectation:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(Y|X) &= \sum_y y * P(y|x) \\ \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}(Y|X)) &= \sum_x \sum_y (y * P(y|x)) * P(x) \\ &= \sum_y \sum_x y * P(y|x) * P(x) \\ &= \sum_y y \sum_x P(y|x) * P(x) \\ &= \sum_y y \sum_x P(y, x) \\ &= \sum_y y * P(y) = \mathbb{E}(Y) \end{aligned}$$

- (b) Law of Total Variance:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{V}(Y) &= \mathbb{E}(Y^2) - (\mathbb{E}(Y))^2 \\ \mathbb{V}(Y|X) &= \mathbb{E}[Y^2|X] - \mathbb{E}[Y|X]^2 \\ \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{V}(Y|X)) &= \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}(Y^2|X)) - \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}(Y|X)^2) \\ &= \mathbb{E}(Y^2) - \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}(Y|X)^2) \\ \mathbb{V}(\mathbb{E}(Y|X)) &= \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}(Y|X)^2) - \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}(Y|X))^2 \\ &= \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}(Y|X)^2) - E(Y)^2 \\ \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{V}(Y|X)) + \mathbb{V}(\mathbb{E}(Y|X)) &= \mathbb{E}(Y^2) - \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}(Y|X)^2) + \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}(Y|X)^2) - E(Y)^2 \\ &= \mathbb{E}(Y^2) - E(Y)^2 \end{aligned}$$

7. (a) We can take the gradient of the function as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} f &= (1 + \exp(-a^T x))^{-1} \\ \nabla f &= -(1 + \exp(-a^T x))^{-2} * \exp(-a^T x) * -a^T \\ &= \frac{\exp(-a^T x) * a^T}{1 + \exp(-a^T x))^2} \end{aligned}$$

- (b) We can then derive the next gradient as follows using the quotient rule for derivatives and to simplify we'll let  $a = \exp(-a^T x)$ ;  $b = (1 + \exp(-a^T x))$ ;  $c = a^T$ :

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\frac{f}{g}\right)' &= \frac{f'g - g'f}{g^2} \\ \nabla^2 f &= \frac{(a * b^2 * -c) - (a^2 * 2b * -c^2)}{b^4} \\ &= \frac{(a * b * -c) + (a^2 * 2 * c^2)}{b^3}\end{aligned}$$

(c)

8. (a) If  $f(x) = -\log(x)$ , then we can define the Frenchel conjugate as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}g(\lambda) &= \max_x \lambda x - f(x) \\ &= \max_x \lambda x + \log(x)\end{aligned}$$

We can find the max by taking the derivative and setting it equal to zero, and then solve by plugging our answer back into  $g(\lambda)$ :

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda + \frac{1}{x} &= 0 \\ x &= -\frac{1}{\lambda} \\ g(\lambda) &= -1 + \log\left(-\frac{1}{\lambda}\right), \text{ for } \lambda < 0\end{aligned}$$

- (b) If  $f(x) = x^T A^{-1}x$  where  $A \succ 0$  then the Frenchel conjugate is defined as follows:

$$g(\lambda) = \max_x \lambda x - x^T A^{-1}x$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial x^T A^{-1}x}{\partial x} &= 2A^{-1}x \\ \lambda - 2A^{-1}x &= 0 \\ x &= A \frac{\lambda}{2}\end{aligned}$$

9.

10. If we first define a second function  $h(z) = \log(|X + zV|)$  s.t.  $X + zV$  is a positive definite matrix, then the following holds true:

$$\begin{aligned}h(z) &= \log(|X + zV|) \\ &= \log(|X^{\frac{1}{2}}X^{\frac{1}{2}} + zX^{\frac{1}{2}}X^{\frac{-1}{2}}VX^{\frac{-1}{2}}X^{\frac{1}{2}}|) \\ &= \log(|X^{\frac{1}{2}}(I + zX^{\frac{-1}{2}}VX^{\frac{-1}{2}})X^{\frac{1}{2}}|)\end{aligned}$$

By doing this, we aren't changing anything, but we are able to use the rule that the determinant of products equals the product of determinants:

$$\begin{aligned}h(z) &= \log(|X| * |I + tX^{\frac{-1}{2}}VX^{\frac{-1}{2}}|) \\ &= \log(|X|) + \log(|I + tX^{\frac{-1}{2}}VX^{\frac{-1}{2}}|)\end{aligned}$$

Almost there, from here we add that we know the following:

$$\log(|I + tX^{\frac{-1}{2}} V X^{\frac{-1}{2}}|) = \log(\prod_i (1 + z\lambda_i)) = \sum_i \log(1 + z\lambda_i)$$

To wrap it all up we substitute that back in and take the second derivative of the negative of the function:

$$\begin{aligned} h(z) &= \log(|X|) + \sum_i \log(1 + z\lambda_i) \\ -h''(z) &= \sum_i \frac{\lambda_i^2}{(1 + z\lambda_i)^2} \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $-h(z)$  is convex, we know that  $-\log(|X|)$  is as well, meaning we can conclude that  $\log(|X|)$  is in fact concave. Derived with some help from some online resources.