

VAST model structure and user interface

James Thorson

Purpose of document:

R package VAST includes many different forms of documentation, which are documented on the [package GitHub page](#). This “VAST model structure and user interface” document is intended to complement these other resources by documenting and describing the model structure (all model equations and notation). Please see reference documentation for explanation of the user interface, and GitHub wiki for examples.

Package architecture:

VAST is developed as an R package available on GitHub. It depends upon helper functions that are bundled in package FishStatsUtils, and these helper functions are installed separately because they are also used by other spatio-temporal packages (e.g., EOFR). VAST and FishStatsUtils use S3 objects to ease interpretation of objects that are commonly saved to terminal (see Table 1 for list). VAST can be run using two primary levels of abstraction:

1. *High-level wrapper functions*: New users are recommended to explore using ``FishStatsUtils::make_settings`` and ``FishStatsUtils::fit_model`` to run VAST, and to explore results using ``plot`` and ``summary``.
2. *Mid-level utilities*: Experienced users often run lower-level functions to accomplish basic tasks in spatial analysis, using ``FishStatsUtils::make_extrapolation_info``, ``FishStatsUtils::make_spatial_info``, ``VAST::make_data``, and ``VAST::make_model`` individually.

Updates to VAST are released using semantic-version numbering (e.g., version 3.2.0) and a battery of integrated tests (comparing results using updated code to saved results from earlier versions) are run prior to numbered releases to ensure that results are backwards compatible.

Model description:

In the following, I use mathematical notation similar to the C++ code used to define the model in TMB: Notation is close to common recommendations, e.g., Edwards and Auger-Méthé (2019), although I use parentheses to indicate indices of vectors, matrices, and arrays, and reserve subscripts for naming (see Table 2 for summary of notation that may be slightly out-of-date). Feel free to change notation when describing the model to suit your purposes in reports or publications. For further details regarding terminology, motivation, and statistical properties, please read the papers listed on the GitHub main page.

Model Overview

VAST predicts variation in density across multiple locations s , time intervals t , for multiple categories c . Categories could include either multiple species, multiple size/age/sex classes for each individual species, and/or a mix of biological, physical, and fishery variables describing an ecosystem. VAST approximates the covariance between these multiple categories and years using a factor-model decomposition (Thorson et al. 2015b, 2016a), i.e., by summing across the contribution of multiple random effects (termed factors). If there is only a single category, the model reduces to a standard univariate spatio-temporal model.

After estimating variation in density across space, time, and among categories, VAST then predicts variables at extrapolation-grid cells distributed within across a user-specified spatial domain. This allows derived quantities to be calculated by summing across extrapolation-grid cells (as an approximation to the integral across this spatial domain); this is

analogous to an “area-weighting” approach to index standardization, and the resulting prediction of total abundance can be used as an index of abundance.

In addition to spatial and spatio-temporal covariance among multiple categories, VAST allows users to specify either density or catchability covariates. Both explain variation in observed catch-rate data, but VAST predicts density (for use in calculating the abundance index) using density covariates but not catchability covariates. Therefore, VAST “controls for” catchability covariates when calculating an index (i.e., removes their estimated effect) while “conditioning on” density covariates when calculating an index (i.e., uses them to improve interpolated/extrapolated predictions of density).

VAST estimates the value of spatial variables at n_x knots, as well as additional boundary vertices such that the total number of spatial “vertices” is n_s . VAST specifically uses a k-means algorithm to identify the location of n_x knots to minimize the total distance between the location of knots and either data or extrapolation-grid cells. This distributes knots as a function of the spatial intensity of sampling data.

Linear predictors

The model potentially includes two linear predictors (because it is designed to support delta-models, which include two components). The first linear predictor $p_1(i)$ represents encounter probability in a delta-model, or zero-inflation in a count-data model:

$$p_1(i) = \underbrace{\beta_1(c_i, t_i)}_{\text{Temporal variation}} + \underbrace{\omega_1^*(s_i, c_i)}_{\text{Spatial variation}} + \underbrace{\varepsilon_1^*(s_i, c_i, t_i)}_{\text{Spatio-temporal variation}} + \underbrace{\eta_1(v_i, c_i)}_{\text{Vessel effects}} \\ + \underbrace{\nu_1(c_i, t_i)}_{\text{Habitat covariates}} + \underbrace{\zeta_1(i)}_{\text{Catchability covariate}} - \underbrace{\iota(c_i, t_i)}_{\text{Fishing impacts}}$$

where $p_1(i)$ is the predictor for observation i , arising for category c_i at location s_i and time t_i . Similarly, the second linear predictor $p_2(i)$ represents positive catch rates in a delta-model, or the count-data intensity function in a count-data model, where all variables and

parameters are defined similarly except using different subscripts (Thorson and Barnett 2017; Thorson 2019). Model components are specified hierarchically to efficiently compute correlated variation among categories and years as explained next.

Temporal variation

Regarding intercepts representing temporal variation:

$$\beta_1(c_i, t_i) = \mu_{\beta_1}(c_i) + \sum_{f=1}^{n_{\beta_1}} L_{\beta_1}(c_i, f) \beta_1(t_i, f)$$

where $\beta_1(t_i, f)$ represents temporal variation for time t_i for factor f (of n_{β_1} factors representing temporal variation), $L_{\beta_1}(c_i, f)$ is the loadings matrix that generates temporal covariation among categories for this linear predictor, and $\mu_{\beta_1}(c_i)$ represents the time-average for each category c_i . The number of factors n_{β_1} can range from zero to the number of categories n_c , $0 \leq n_{\beta_1} \leq n_c$, where $n_{\beta_1} = 0$ is equivalent to eliminating all temporal terms from the model. By default, $n_{\beta_1} = n_c$, $\beta_1(t_i, f)$ is treated as a fixed effect for each year t and factor f , $\mu_{\beta_1}(c_i) = 0$, and \mathbf{L}_{β_1} is an identity matrix; this formulation is equivalent to estimating a separate intercept $\beta_1(t_i, c) = \beta_1(t_i, f)$ as fixed effect for each category and year.

Intercepts can instead be treated as a random effect using the factor-model formulation, which allows for sharing information among years and categories. When treated as random, $\beta_1(t_i, f)$ is assigned a normal distribution with unit variance, such that $\mathbf{L}_{\beta_1}^T \mathbf{L}_{\beta_1}$ is the covariance among categories for a given process (Thorson et al. 2015b). When treating intercepts as random, and when there is only one category and using one factor ($n_{\beta_1} = 1$), then \mathbf{L}_{β_1} is a 1x1 matrix (i.e. a scalar) such $\mathbf{L}_{\beta_1}^2$ is the variance and the absolute value, $abs(\mathbf{L}_{\beta_1})$ is the standard deviation for temporal variation.

By default the model specifies that each intercept $\beta_1(c, t)$ and $\beta_2(c, t)$ is a fixed effect. However, other settings specify the following autocorrelation structure:

$$\beta_1(t, f) \sim \begin{cases} \text{Normal}(0, 1) & \text{if } t = t_{\min} \\ \text{Normal}(\rho_{\beta_1}\beta_1(t - 1, f), 1) & \text{if } t > t_{\min} \end{cases}$$

Where t_{\min} is the index for the first modelled year and ρ_{β_1} and ρ_{β_2} are the estimated degree of first-order autocorrelation in temporal variation (note that random effects have a variance of one given that they are subsequently multiplied by loadings matrices that represent the temporal covariance among factors). Options treating intercepts as a random effect include:

1. *Independent among years* –specifies $\rho_{\beta_1} = 0$
2. *Random walk* –specifies $\rho_{\beta_1} = 1$
3. *Constant intercept* –specifies $\rho_{\beta_1} = 0$ and $\sigma_{\beta_1}^2 = 0$ (i.e., $\beta_1(t)$ is constant for all t)
4. *Autoregressive* –estimates ρ_{β_1} as a fixed effect

and settings are defined identically for specifying ρ_{β_2} .

Spatial variation

Regarding spatial variation:

$$\omega_1^*(s, c) = \sum_{f=1}^{n_{\omega_1}} L_{\omega_1}(c_i, f) \omega_1^*(s_i, f)$$

where $\omega_1^*(s_i, f)$ represents predicted spatial variation in the first linear predictor occurring at the location s_i of sample i for factor f (of n_{ω_1} factors representing spatial variation), and $L_{\omega_1}(c_i, f)$ is the loadings matrix that generates spatial covariation among categories for this linear predictor.

VAST specifies internally that the spatial and spatio-temporal Gaussian random fields (GMRFs) have a variance of 1.0. By default VAST estimates their values at each of n_s vertices as follows:

$$\omega_1(f) \sim MVN(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{R}_1)$$

where $\omega_1(f)$ is the vector of length n_s formed when subsetting $\omega_1(s, f)$ for a given f . Specifying a variance of 1.0 ensures that the covariance among categories is defined by the loadings matrix for that term. These GMRFs are then projected to calculate their value at every location s_i using matrix \mathbf{A} with n_i rows and n_s columns. Specifically, values are projected as:

$$\omega_1^*(f) = \mathbf{A}_i \omega_1(f)$$

where $\omega_1^*(f)$ is the vector of length n_i , containing the predicted value $\omega_1^*(s_i, f)$ for spatial variation in the first linear predictor at every location s_i , and other spatial variables are predicted similarly using matrix \mathbf{A} .

131 Spatio-temporal variation

Regarding spatio-temporal the model by default specifies that each vector of spatio-temporal random effects, $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_1(f_1, f_2)$ and $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_2(f_1, f_2)$ composed of $\varepsilon_1(s, f_1, f_2)$ and $\varepsilon_2(s, f_1, f_2)$ across locations s , is independent for each factor representing covariation among categories (f_1) and among years (f_2). We describe the process for the 1st linear predictor, and an identical process is used for the 2nd linear predictor (using different subscripts):

$$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_1(f_1, f_2) \sim MVN(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{R}_1)$$

Values are then projected as:

$$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_1^*(f_1, f_2) = \mathbf{A}_i \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_1(f_1, f_2)$$

This is then projected across years and categories using loadings matrices $\mathbf{L}_{\varepsilon_{t1}}$ and $\mathbf{L}_{\varepsilon_{c2}}$:

$$\varepsilon_1'(s, c, t) = \sum_{f_1=1}^{n_{\varepsilon_{c1}}} \sum_{f_2=1}^{n_{\varepsilon_{t1}}} L_{\varepsilon_{c1}}(c, f_1) L_{\varepsilon_{t1}}(f_2, t) \varepsilon_1(s, f_1, f_2)$$

Using a factor-decomposition to approximate covariation among years is a generalization of empirical orthogonal function (EOF) analysis (Thorson et al. 2020). The user can also specify a vector-autoregressive structure:

$$\varepsilon_1(s, c_1, t) = \begin{cases} \varepsilon'_1(s, c_1, t) & \text{if } t = t_{min} \\ \sum_{c_2=1}^{n_c} b(c_1, c_2) \varepsilon'_1(s, c_2, t-1) & \text{if } t > t_{min} \end{cases}$$

Where $b(c_1, c_2)$ is the estimated impact of spatio-temporal variation in category c_2 on spatio-temporal changes in category c_1 :

$$b(c_1, c_2) = \begin{cases} \sum_{f=1}^{n_b} \chi(c_1, f) \psi(f, c_2) + \rho_{\varepsilon 1}(c_1) & \text{if } c_1 = c_2 \\ \sum_{f=1}^{n_b} \chi(c_1, f) \psi(f, c_2) & \text{if } c_1 \neq c_2 \end{cases}$$

Where $\chi(c_1, f)$ and $\psi(f, c_2)$ represent elements of matrices \mathbf{X} and $\mathbf{\Psi}$, where the product $\mathbf{X}\mathbf{\Psi}$ is the typical interaction matrix in a cointegration model (Engle and Granger 1987), where $\mathbf{\Psi}$ projects dynamics to a low-dimensional subspace and \mathbf{X} represents responses within that subspace. By default $n_b = 0$ corresponding to $\mathbf{X}\mathbf{\Psi} = \mathbf{0}$, and these terms drop out of the model; however, they allow a parsimonious representation of species interactions (Thorson et al. 2017, 2019). Meanwhile $\rho_{\varepsilon 1}(c)$ is the estimated degree of first-order autocorrelation in temporal variation:

1. *Random walk* – specifies $\rho_{\varepsilon 1}(c) = 1$
 2. *Autoregressive* – estimates $\rho_{\varepsilon 1}$ as a single fixed effect with the same value for all categories
 3. *Individual autoregressive* -- estimates a separate value of $\rho_{\varepsilon 1}(c)$ as a single fixed effect for each category
- and settings are defined identically for specifying $\rho_{\varepsilon 2}$.

Overdispersion

Regarding overdispersion:

$$\eta_1(v_i, c_i) = \sum_{f=1}^{n_{\eta_1}} L_1(c_i, f) \eta_1(v_i, f)$$

where $\eta_1(v_i, f)$ represents random variation in catchability among a grouping variable (tows or vessels) for each factor f (of n_{η_1} factors representing overdispersion), and $L_1(c_i, f)$ is a loadings matrix that generates covariation in catchability among categories for this predictor. All loadings matrices are specified similarly to \mathbf{L}_{β_1} , i.e., where factors have a variance of one such that $\mathbf{L}^T \mathbf{L}$ represents the covariance among categories. The main difference is that spatial, spatio-temporal, and overdispersion factors can only be specified as random effects, while the intercepts can be specified as either random or fixed (where specifying as fixed “turns off” all factor-modelling for that intercept).

Density covariates

Regarding covariates affecting densities (“density” or “habitat” covariates):

$$v_1(c_i, t_i) = \sum_{p=1}^{n_p} \left(\gamma_1(c_i, p) + \sigma_{\xi_1}(c_i, p) \xi_1^*(s_i, c_i, p) \right) X(i, t_i, p)$$

where $X(i, t_i, p)$ is an three-dimensional array of n_p measured density covariates that explain variation in density for time t and the location s_i where sampling occurred for sample i . VAST can include a separate, spatially-varying effect of each habitat covariate p for each category c . The spatially varying slope is $\gamma_1(c_i, t_i, p) + \sigma_{\xi_1}(c, p) \xi_n(s, c, p)$, where $\gamma_1(c_i, t_i, p)$ is the average effect of density covariate $X(i, t_i, p)$ for category c , $\xi_n(s_i, c_i, p)$ represents spatial variation in that effect (which has a mean of zero and standard deviation of one), and $\sigma_{\xi_1}(c, p)$ represents the estimated standard deviation of spatial variation of

covariate p for category c . By default VAST estimates spatially-varying slope terms values at each vertex as follows:

$$\xi_1(c, p) \sim MVN(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{R}_1)$$

Values are then predicted as e.g.:

$$\xi_1^*(c, p) = \mathbf{A}_i \xi_1(c, p)$$

Catchability covariates

Finally, regarding covariates affecting the process of obtaining measurements (“catchability” or “detectability” covariates):

$$\zeta_1(i) = \sum_{k=1}^{n_k} \left(\lambda_1(k) + \sigma_{\varphi_1}(k) \varphi_1^*(s_i, k) \right) q_1(i, k)$$

Where $q_1(i, k)$ is an element of matrix \mathbf{Q}_1 composed of n_k measured catchability covariates that explain variation in catchability, $\lambda_1(k)$ is the estimated impact of catchability covariates for this linear predictor, $\varphi_1^*(s_i, k)$ is unit-variance spatial variation in that slope term such that $\sigma_{\varphi_1}(k) \varphi_1^*(s_i, k)$ has standard deviation $\sigma_{\varphi_1}(k)$, where spatial variation in detectability is specified as follows:

$$\boldsymbol{\varphi}_1(k) \sim MVN(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{R}_1)$$

Values are then predicted as e.g.:

$$\boldsymbol{\varphi}_1^*(c, p) = \mathbf{A}_i \boldsymbol{\varphi}_1(k)$$

Fishing impacts

Fishing impacts are included to represent the effect of known human impacts on variables. They are not yet documented in detail here, but see Thorson et al. (2019) for details. By default this term is excluded (i.e., $\iota(c_i, t_i) = 0$) and it is only applicable within MICE or

single-species production models following vector-autoregressive dynamics (i.e., Gompertz density dependence). Feel free to contact the package author if desiring more documentation.

Link functions and observation error distributions

There are currently four options for the link function. For the latest set of options see the R help documentation by typing into the R terminal ``?VAST::Data_Fn``.

1. `ObsModel[2]=0` applies a logit-link for the first linear predictor:

$$r_1(i) = \text{logit}^{-1}(p_1(i))$$

where $r_1(i)$ is the predictor encounter probability in a delta-model, or zero-inflation in a count-data model, and $\text{logit}^{-1}(p_1(i))$ is the inverse-logit (a.k.a. logistic) function of $p_1(i)$, and:

$$r_2(i) = a_i \times \log^{-1}(p_2(i))$$

where $r_2(i)$ is the predicted biomass density for positive catch rates in a delta-model or mean-intensity function for a count-data model, $\log^{-1}(p_2(i))$ is the exponential function of $p_2(i)$, and a_i is the area-swept for observation i , which enters as a linear offset for expected biomass given an encounter.

2. `ObsModel[2]=1` corresponds to a “Poisson-link” delta-model that approximates a Tweedie distribution:

$$r_1(i) = 1 - \exp(-a_i \times \exp(p_1(i)))$$

where $r_1(i)$ is the predictor encounter probability and $1 - \exp(-a_i \times \exp(p_1(i)))$ is a complementary log-log link of $p_1(i) + \log(a_i)$, and:

$$r_2(i) = \frac{a_i \times \exp(p_1(i))}{r_1(i)} \times \exp(p_2(i))$$

where $r_2(i)$ is the predicted biomass given that the species is encountered. In this

“Poisson-process” link function, $\exp(p_1(i))$ is interpreted as the density in number of

individuals per area such that $a_i \times \exp(p_1(i))$ is the predicted number of individuals encountered, and $\exp(p_2(i))$ is interpreted as the average weight per individual. Area-swept a_i therefore enters as a linear offset for the expected number of individuals encountered (Thorson 2018). This Poisson-link function should only be used for delta-models, and not for count-data models, but can also be used to combine encounter, count, and biomass-sampling data (see section below for details).

Observation models:

There are different user-controlled options for observation models for available sampling data. I distinguish between observation models for continuous-valued data (e.g., biomass, or numbers standardized to a fixed area), and observation models for count data (e.g., numbers treating area-swept as an offset). However, both are parameterized such that the expectation for sampling data $\mathbb{E}(B_i) = r_1(i) \times r_2(i)$.

Continuous-valued data (e.g., biomass)

If using an observation model with continuous support (e.g., a normal, lognormal, gamma, or Tweedie models), then data b_i can be any non-negative real number, $b_i \in \mathcal{R}$ and $b_i \geq 0$. VAST calculates the probability of these data as:

$$\Pr(b_i = B) = \begin{cases} 1 - r_1(i) & \text{if } B = 0 \\ r_1(i) \times g\{B|r_2(i), \sigma_m^2(c)\} & \text{if } B > 0 \end{cases}$$

where `ObsModel[1]` controls the probability density function $g\{B|r_2(i), \sigma_m^2(c)\}$ used for positive catch rates (see `?Data_Fn` for a list of options), where each options is defined to have with expectation $r_2(i)$ and dispersion $\sigma_m^2(c)$, where dispersion parameter $\sigma_m^2(c)$ varies among categories by default.

Discrete-valued data (e.g., abundance)

If using an observation model with discrete support (e.g., a Poisson, negative-binomial, Conway-Maxwell Poisson, or lognormal-Poisson models), then data b_i can be any whole number, $b_i \in \{0,1,2, \dots\}$. VAST calculates the probability of these data as:

$$\Pr(B = b_i) = \begin{cases} (1 - r_1(i)) + g\{B = 0|r_2(i), \dots\} & \text{if } B = 0 \\ r_1(i) \times g\{B = b_i|r_2(i), \dots\} & \text{if } B > 0 \end{cases}$$

where `ObsModel[1]` controls the probability mass function $g\{B|r_2(i), \dots\}$ used (again, see `?Data_Fn` for a list of options), where I use \dots to signify that these probability mass functions generally can have one or more parameter governing dispersion, and the precise number and interpretation varies among observation models (i.e., the value of `ObsModel[1]`). For these count-data models, $(1 - r_1(i))$ is the “zero-inflation probability” (i.e., the proportion of habitat in the immediate vicinity of location s_i and time t_i that is never occupied), while $r_2(i)$ is the expected value for probability mass function $g\{B = b_i|r_2(i), \dots\}$ (i.e., the number of individuals that are in the vicinity of sampling in habitat that is occupied), and $g\{B = 0|r_2(i), \dots\}$ is the probability of not encountering category c given that sampling occurs in occupied habitat (Martin et al. 2005).

Settings regarding spatial smoothers

VAST then uses a stochastic partial differential equation (SPDE) approximation to the probability density function for spatial and spatio-temporal variation (Lindgren et al. 2011). This SPDE approximation involves generating a triangulated mesh that has a vertex of a triangle at each knot, and VAST generates this triangulated mesh using package *R-INLA* (Lindgren 2012). This mesh includes all n_x user-specified “interior vertices,” as well as additional “boundary vertices” such that the total number of interior and boundary vertices is n_s . Outputs from this triangulated mesh can then be used to calculate the precision (inverse-covariance) matrix for a multivariate normal probability density function for the value of a

spatial variable at all n_s verticies. Specifically, the correlation $\mathbf{R}_1(\mathbf{s}_1, \mathbf{s}_2)$ between location \mathbf{s}_1 and location \mathbf{s}_2 for spatial and spatio-temporal terms included in the first linear predictor is approximated as following a Matern function:

$$\mathbf{R}_1(\mathbf{s}_1, \mathbf{s}_2) = \frac{1}{2^{\nu-1}\Gamma(\nu)} \times (\kappa_1 |(\mathbf{s}_1 - \mathbf{s}_2)\mathbf{H}|)^{\nu} \times K_{\nu}(\kappa_1 |(\mathbf{s}_1 - \mathbf{s}_2)\mathbf{H}|)$$

where \mathbf{H} is a two-dimensional linear transformation representing geometric anisotropy (with a determinant of 1.0), ν is the Matern smoothness (fixed at 1.0), and κ_1 governs the decorrelation distance for that first linear predictor (κ_2 is also separately estimated for the second linear predictor). The linear transformation representing geometric anisotropy includes two degrees of freedom (included in vector \mathbf{h}). By default these are estimated as fixed effects and then transformed:

$$\mathbf{H} = \begin{bmatrix} \exp(h(1)) & h(2) \\ h(2) & \frac{1 + h^2(2)}{\exp(h(1))} \end{bmatrix}$$

However, the user can specify isotropy (i.e., $\mathbf{h} = \mathbf{0}$ such that $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{I}$) as alternative.

There are also other options:

1. *barrier effects*: avoiding correlations traveling across land;
2. *spherical projections*: calculating distance based on spherical coordinates, to avoid sensitivity to chosen projection;
3. *stream-network distance*: calculating distance based on river distances in a stream network or other graphical spatial dependency (Hocking et al. 2018).

Interpolating spatial variation from knots to the location of samples

Starting with VAST release 3.0.0, users can choose between two options for smoothing spatial variation.

1. *Piecewise constant*: Following the conventional for releases of VAST prior to 3.0.0, users can specify `fine_scale=FALSE`. Given this specification, spatial variables at location s are fixed equal to their value at the nearest “knot.” This involves specifying matrix \mathbf{A}_i such that row i has value zero except for one cell containing a value of one for the knot closest to sample i .
2. *Bilinear interpolation*: Following standard practices using the software R-INLA (Lindgren 2012; Lindgren and Rue 2015), users can specify `fine_scale=TRUE`. Given this specification, spatial variables at location s are interpolated using the triangulated mesh that is also used to approximate spatial variation. Specifically, matrix \mathbf{A}_i has row i with value zero except for three cells, representing the vertices of the triangle containing location s_i .

Structure on parameters among years:

There are different user-controlled options for specifying structure for intercepts or spatio-temporal variation across time.

Parameter estimation

Parameters are estimated using maximum likelihood, where the maximum likelihood of fixed effects is obtained by integrating a joint likelihood function with respect to random effects (Searle et al. 1992; Gelman and Hill 2007; Thorson and Minto 2015). This integral is approximated using the Laplace approximation (Skaug and Fournier 2006), as implemented in Template Model Builder (Kristensen et al. 2016). The likelihood is then optimized in the R statistical environment (R Core Team 2017), and standard errors are obtained using a generalization of the delta method (Kass and Steffey 1989). Derived quantities calculated via a nonlinear transformation of random effects can be bias-corrected using the epsilon-method

(Tierney et al. 1989; Thorson and Kristensen 2016). Depending upon user-specified options, different parameters will be either fixed (estimated via maximizing the log-likelihood) or random (integrated across when calculating the log-likelihood). Please use R function ``ThorsonUtilities::list_parameters(Obj)`` to see a list of estimated parameters (where ``Obj`` is the compiled VAST object), including which are fixed or random.

Identifiability constraints:

The model as described requires several identifiability constraints to ensure that the resulting Hessian is positive definite (and hence allow calculation of asymptotic standard errors):

1. All loadings matrices are defined to be lower-triangular (i.e., elements above the diagonal are fixed at 0);
2. When estimating spatial random fields $\omega_1^*(s, c)$ and estimating a loadings matrix across years for spatio-temporal variation, it is helpful to impose a sum-to-zero constraint on factors of the loadings matrix $L_{\varepsilon_{t1}}(f_2, t)$. This ensures that spatial terms represent the distribution in an “average” year, defined as year t when $L_{\varepsilon_{t1}}(f_2, t) = 0$ for all columns;
3. When estimating loadings across species $L_{\varepsilon_{c1}}(c, f_1)$ and across years $L_{\varepsilon_{t1}}(f_2, t)$, the magnitude (determinant) of these two matrices is confounded. The solution adopted here is to impose the constraint that $\sum_{f=1}^{n_f} \sum_{t=1}^{n_t} L_{\varepsilon_t}(f, t) = 1$ for both linear predictors, such that the magnitude of $L_{\varepsilon_c}(c, f)$ can be interpreted similarly to other loadings matrices.
4. When estimating a spatially varying response to intercepts $\beta_1 + \beta_2$, it is helpful to center these prior to using them as a covariate (NOTE: this feature is still in development, and recommended constraints may change).

The model also has issues arising from “label switching,” i.e., where any column of any loadings matrix could be multiplied by negative-one (and similarly for the associated factor) without any change in the model predicts and likelihood. This implies that the negative log-

likelihood has a series of local minima that all have the same properties. We do not address “label switching” because it does not have any practical effect on maximum-likelihood estimation or resulting predictions, but we note that it gives rise to numerical complexities when tuning or interpreting mixing for conventional samplers within a Bayesian estimation paradigm.

Combining multiple data types

VAST can be used to combine encounter/non-encounter, count, and biomass-sampling data. This involves specifying a Poisson-link delta model which predicts each data type from numbers density $\exp(p_1(i))$ and biomass-per-individual $\exp(p_2(i))$, see Grüss and Thorson (2019) for details. This approach is specified by associating each observation with a given error distribution using input `e_i` where e.g. `e_i[1]` is the error-distribution for the 1st observation. The user then specifies multiple observation errors via input `ObsModel_ez`:

```
# Control observation error
ObsModel_ez = cbind( "PosDist"=c(13,14,2), "Link"=c(1,1,1) )
```

In this specification, `e_i[1]==1` indicates that the first observation follows a Bernoulli distribution for encounter/non-encounter data, `e_i[1]==2` indicates that this observation follows a lognormal-Poisson distribution for count data, and `e_i[1]==3` indicates that it follows a gamma distribution for biomass-sampling data. This specification can be modified to include different combinations of these same data types.

Relationship to other named models

VAST can be configured to be identical to (or closely mimic) many models that have previously been published in ecology and fisheries:

1. *Spatial Gompertz model*: If intercepts are constant across years, spatio-temporal variation follows an autoregressive process, and only one category is modelled, then VAST is identical to a spatio-temporal Gompertz model (Thorson et al. 2014).
2. *Spatial factor analysis*: If only one year is analysed and multiple categories are modelled, VAST is similar to spatial factor analysis (Thorson et al. 2015b), although it permits the use of a delta-model (i.e., separate analysis of encounters and positive catch rates).
3. *Spatial dynamic factor analysis*: If intercepts are constant among years, spatio-temporal variation follows an autoregressive process, and multiple categories are modelled, then VAST is similar to spatial dynamic factor analysis (Thorson et al. 2016a), although VAST allows separate estimates of spatial vs. spatio-temporal covariation and also the use of a delta-model.
4. *Empirical orthogonal function analysis*: VAST can be configured to replicates empirical orthogonal function analysis, e.g., as commonly used by physical oceanographers to summarize physical conditions to produce an annual index and spatial map associated with a positive phase of the resulting index. However, I will wait to document this until the associated paper is published.

Predicting variables across the spatial domain and calculating derived quantities

After a nonlinear minimizer has identified the value of fixed effects that maximizes the Laplace approximation to the marginal likelihood, Template Model Builder predicts the value of random effects that maximizes the joint likelihood conditional on these fixed effects. It then uses the predicted values of random effects to predict each spatial variable at each of n_g “extrapolation-grid cells” that are used to summarize the spatial domain of sampling (Shelton et al. 2014; Thorson et al. 2015a). Predicting random effects at extrapolation-grid cell g at

location s_g is accomplished using matrix \mathbf{A}_g with n_g rows and n_s columns. Values are predicted as e.g.:

$$\boldsymbol{\omega}_1^*(f) = \mathbf{A}_g \boldsymbol{\omega}_1(f)$$

where $\boldsymbol{\omega}_1^*(f)$ is the vector of length n_i , containing the predicted value $\omega_1^*(s_g, f)$ for spatial variation in the first linear predictor at every location s_g , and other spatial variables are predicted similarly using matrix \mathbf{A}_g . Predicted values for random effects are then plugged into the linear predictor, e.g.:

$$\begin{aligned} p_1(g, c, t) = & \underbrace{\beta_1^*(c) + \sum_{f=1}^{n_{\beta 1}} L_{\beta 1}(c, f) \beta_1(t, f)}_{\text{Temporal variation}} + \underbrace{\sum_{f=1}^{n_{\omega 1}} L_{\omega 1}(x, f) \omega_1^*(g, f)}_{\text{Spatial variation}} \\ & + \underbrace{\sum_{f=1}^{n_{\varepsilon 1}} L_{\varepsilon 1}(c, f) \varepsilon_1^*(g, f, t)}_{\text{Spatio-temporal variation}} + \underbrace{\sum_{p=1}^{n_p} \left(\gamma_1(c, t, p) + \sigma_{\xi 1}(c, p) \xi_1^*(g, c, p) \right) X(g, t, p)}_{\text{Habitat covariates}} \end{aligned}$$

where $p_2(g, c, t)$ is predicted similar, and these linear predictors are used in turn to predict $r_1(g, c, t)$ and $r_2(g, c, t)$, where their product is predicted biomass-density $d(g, c, t)$ at every extrapolation-grid cell g , category c , and time t .

Spatial weighting for annual indices

VAST is designed to generate annual indices by combining information spatially across n_g grid cells:

1. *Area weighting*: By default, density is multiplied by area to calculate abundance in each extrapolation-grid cell g :

$$I^*(g, c, t, l) = \sum_{g=1}^{n_g} (a(g, l) \times d(g, c, t))$$

where $a(g, l)$ is the area associated with extrapolation-grid cell g for index l . This is useful, e.g., when trying to calculate numerical abundance across a spatial domain.

2. *Abundance weighting*: As alternative, density can be expanded based on abundance of a prior category:

$$I^*(g, c, t, l) = \sum_{g=1}^{n_g} (I^*(g, c'(c), t, l) \times d(g, c, t))$$

where $c'(c)$ is the category that is used to expand density for category c . This is useful, e.g., when trying to calculate predator-expanded stomach contents (PESC) as an index of consumption (Grüss et al. 2020b).

3. *Proportional abundance weighting*: Finally, density can be expanded as a weighted average of another category:

$$I^*(g, c, t, l) = \sum_{g=1}^g \left(\frac{I^*(g, c'(c), t, l)}{\sum_{g'=1}^{n_g} I^*(g', c'(c), t, l)} \times d(g, c, t) \right)$$

where $c'(c)$ is again the category that is used to as weighting in the weighted average of category c . This is useful, e.g., when trying to calculate predator-expanded stomach contents (PESC) as an index of consumption abundance-weighted average condition (Grüss et al. 2020a).

In each case, $I^*(g, c, t, l)$ is then used to calculate an index across space:

$$I(c, t, l) = \sum_{x=1}^{n_x} I^*(g, c, t, l)$$

where this index should typically be bias-corrected to account for retransformation bias (Thorson and Kristensen 2016).

Calculations from a fitted model

The user can also specify additional post-hoc calculations via the Options vector:

```

442 Options = c("Calculate_Range"=0, "Calculate_effective_area"=0,
443 "Calculate_Cov_SE"=0, 'Calculate_Synchrony'=0)
444

```

445 1. *Distribution shift* – Options["Calculate_Range"]=TRUE turns on calculation of the
446 centroid of the population's distribution:

$$447 \quad Z(c, t, m) = \sum_{x=1}^{n_x} \frac{(z(g, m) \times a(g, 1) \times d(g, c, t))}{I(c, t, 1)}$$

448 where $z(g, m)$ is a matrix representing location for each extrapolation-grid cell (by
449 default $z(g, m)$ is the location in Eastings and Northings of each knot), representing
450 movement North-South and East-West). This model-based approach to estimating
451 distribution shift can account for differences in the spatial distribution of sampling, unlike
452 conventional sample-based estimators (Thorson et al. 2016b).

453 2. *Range expansion* – Options["Calculate_effective_area"]=TRUE turns on calculation of
454 effective area occupied. This involves calculating biomass-weighted average density:

$$455 \quad D(c, t, l) = \sum_{x=1}^{n_x} \frac{a(x, l) \times d(x, c, t)}{I(c, t, l)} d(x, c, t)$$

456 Effective area occupied is then calculated as the area required to contain the population at
457 this average density:

$$458 \quad A(c, t, l) = \frac{I(c, t, l)}{D(c, t, l)}$$

459 This effective-area occupied estimator can then be used to monitor range expansion or
460 contraction or density-dependent range expansion (Thorson et al. 2016c).

461 The calculation of these and other derived quantities can be turned on and off using input
462 Options to function `make_data` (see reference documentation for details regarding user
463 interface).

464

465 **List of features**

466 I next provide a list of “features” organized as decisions that can be made by the analyst.
467 Although this is somewhat redundant with the explanations provided above, this list might be
468 useful for some readers to provide a high-level overview of different options that are
469 available. This “feature set” is also provided as a high-level summary of what VAST is
470 designed to be capable of doing; any software replacing VAST would ideally include this
471 same set of features.

472 *Basic features in a generalized linear model (GLM)*

- 473 1. Specifying one of several possible distributions for data, including for:
 - 474 a. Count data using a Poisson, negative-binomial, Conway-Maxwell-Poisson, or
475 Poisson-lognormal distribution, including zero-inflated versions of each;
 - 476 b. Continuous-valued data that include zeros using a delta-model with a lognormal
477 or gamma distribution for positive values.
- 478 2. Specifying one of several possible link functions for predicting data given linear
479 predictors including:
 - 480 a. A conventional delta-model;
 - 481 b. A Poisson-link delta model.
- 482 3. Including dynamic habitat covariates or not;
- 483 4. Including catchability covariates or not;

484 *Basic features in a spatio-temporal generalized linear mixed model (GLMM)*

- 485 5. Specify an “extrapolation grid” using input
486 `FishStatsUtils::make_extrapolation_info(..., Region)`, which is used to calculate the
487 area associated with each knot a_x . This can be a user-specified extrapolation grid if
488 `FishStatsUtils::make_extrapolation_info(..., Region="User", input_grid=Input)`,
489 where Input is a data frame supplied by the user.
- 490 6. Specifying a method for defining “knots”;

- 491 7. Specifying the number of “knots”;
- 492 8. Spatial variation being estimated (“turned on”) or ignored (“turned off”) for either linear
- 493 predictor #1 or #2;
- 494 9. Spatio-temporal variation being estimated (“turned on”) or ignored (“turned off”) for
- 495 either linear predictor #1 or #2;
- 496 10. Specifying that habitat covariates can affect linear predictors different ways including as:
- 497 a. a linear effect;
- 498 b. a spatially-varying effect; or
- 499 c. both linear and spatially-varying effects simultaneously.

500 *Multivariate analysis*

- 501 11. Including a “multivariate” structure with multiple responses that covary due to a specified
- 502 number of “factors” for spatial and spatio-temporal terms;
- 503 12. Rotate results prior to interpretation, using either:
- 504 a. principle components rotation; or
- 505 b. varimax rotation.

506 *Decisions regarding temporal structure*

- 507 13. Annual intercepts being structured over time, including:
- 508 a. estimated as fixed effects in every year;
- 509 b. fixed as fixed effect with the same value for all years;
- 510 c. estimated as a random effect with independent deviations in each year;
- 511 d. estimated as a random effect with first-order autoregressive structure; or
- 512 e. estimated as a random effect with a random-walk structure.
- 513 14. Spatio-temporal variation being structured over time, including:
- 514 a. estimated as independent deviations in each year;
- 515 b. estimated as following a first-order autoregressive structure over time;

- c. estimated as following a random-walk structure over time; or
- d. estimated as following a vector-autoregressive structure involving a matrix of 1st order autoregressive interactions.

Derived quantities

15. Specifying spatial strata for use when calculating derived quantities;

16. Calculating one of many possible “univariate derived quantities”, including:

- a. abundance indices;
- b. range shift;
- c. effective area occupied
- d. covariance among categories within a multivariate model; or
- e. synchrony among categories.

17. Calculating “multivariate derived quantities” that are derived from estimates for multiple categories in a multivariate model, e.g., where one category represents a standardized diet sample (e.g., prey biomass per predator biomass in a stomach-content sample) and another category represents a biomass-density sample (e.g., predator biomass in a bottom-trawl sample) such that their product represents predator-expanded consumption.

Unusual circumstances and special cases

18. Specifying separate distributions for different data sets (e.g., when multiple surveys providing different data types are available);

19. Specifying that some data are predicted based on summing linear predictors across multiple variables (e.g., when modelling density for different size classes, and specifying that some data are aggregated measurements of multiple sizes-classes);

20. Specifying multiple “seasons” (e.g., when modelling data with both annual and monthly spatio-temporal variation).

Common problems

There are two basic problems that are often encountered during spatio-temporal delta-GLMMs:

1. *Encounter rates*: Some combination of categories and year has 0% or 100% encounter rate. If there is 100% encounter rate for category c in year t , then $\beta_1(c, t) \rightarrow \infty$ and/or $\varepsilon_1(s, c, t) \rightarrow \infty$ for that year. If there is 0% encounter rate in year t , then $\beta_1(c, t) \rightarrow -\infty$ and/or $\varepsilon_1(s, c, t) \rightarrow -\infty$ and there is no information to estimate $\beta_2(c, t)$ or $\varepsilon_2(s, c, t)$ for that category c and year t ;
2. *Bounds*: Some parameter(s) hits a bound;

These problems can be solved by:

1. *Encounter rates*: constraining terms that vary among years (e.g., intercept β and spatio-temporal variation $\varepsilon(s, t, p)$). This can be done in many different ways that are each idiosyncratic and require some special justification. The easiest options are:
 - a. If there is a small number of years with 100% encounter rate, try `ObsModel[2]=3`. This indicates that VAST should check for species-years combinations with 100% encounter rates and fix corresponding intercepts for encounter probability to an extremely high value.
 - b. If there is a small number of years with either 100% or 0% encounter rate, add temporal structure to intercepts and spatio-temporal terms using `RhoConfig` options.
 - c. Four other options are listed on the [wiki](#).
2. *Bounds*: Please try running the model without estimating standard errors or a final newton step:

```
# Specify derived quantities to calculate
TMBhelper::fit_tmb( ..., getsd=FALSE, newtonsteps=0 )
```


Then check what parameters are being estimated near an upper or lower boundary.

How to implement basic model changes

There are a few basic model types that users often want to fit using VAST. I briefly describe how these can be done here.

1. *Fitting encounter/non-encounter data:* If the user wishes to use only the first component of a delta-model, i.e., to fit a binomial model to simply predict encounter probabilities, then, the `ObsModel` vector should be set to `c("PosDist"=[Make Choice], "Link"=0)`, where [Make Choice] can be any option for continuous data (i.e., 0, 1, or 2). The user should then turn off the last two elements of the `FieldConfig` vector (i.e., `FieldConfig[3]=0` and `FieldConfig[4]=0`) such that there is no spatial or spatio-temporal variability in positive catch rates, and also turn off annual variation in the intercept for positive catch rates (i.e., `RhoConfig[2]=3`). Finally, the user should “jitter” their presence observations by a very small amount (i.e., add a random normal deviation with a very small standard deviation, `rnorm(n=1, mean=0, sd=0.001)`, to each observation for which `b_i=1`). This will result in VAST estimating a logistic regression model for encounter/non-encounter data, except with one additional parameter estimated (σ_M), plus one additional parameter per category ($\beta_2(c)$), where these additional parameters have no impact on other parameters, are not meant to be interpreted statistically or biologically, and are an artefact of using VAST (which is designed to fit a delta-model) to encounter/non-encounter data. This feature has been used to estimate species distributions for use in ecosystem models (Grüss et al. 2017, 2018).

Acknowledgements

590 I thank K. Kristensen, H. Skaug, and the developers of Template Model Builder, without
591 which this research and resulting R package VAST would not be possible. I also thank the
592 many collaborators who have contributed to developing features (see
593 https://github.com/nwfsc-assess/geostatistical_delta-GLMM/wiki/Applications), as well as
594 the funding sources that have supported development (see [https://github.com/James-Thorson-
595 NOAA/VAST#funding-and-support-for-the-tool](https://github.com/James-Thorson-NOAA/VAST#funding-and-support-for-the-tool)). In particular, I think C. Monnahan and M.
596 Rudd for contributing substantially to coding new features, and A. Gruss for identifying
597 indexing errors in several (little used) features. I also thank the many volunteers and NOAA
598 scientists who have served on sampling vessels that provided data to test these methods.
599 Finally, I think A. Grüss and S. Hoyle for providing edits to this document.

600

601

602 Works cited

- 603 Edwards, A.M., and Auger-Méthé, M. 2019. Some guidance on using mathematical notation
604 in ecology. *Methods Ecol. Evol.* **10**(1): 92–99. doi:10.1111/2041-210X.13105.
- 605 Engle, R.F., and Granger, C.W. 1987. Co-integration and error correction: representation,
606 estimation, and testing. *Econom. J. Econom. Soc.*: 251–276.
- 607 Gelman, A., and Hill, J. 2007. Data analysis using regression and multilevel/hierarchical
608 models. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.
- 609 Grüss, A., Gao, J., Thorson, J.T., Rooper, C.N., Thompson, G., Boldt, J.L., and Lauth, R.
610 2020a. Estimating synchronous changes in condition and density in eastern Bering
611 Sea fishes. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* **635**: 169–185. doi:10.3354/meps13213.
- 612 Grüss, A., and Thorson, J.T. 2019. Developing spatio-temporal models using multiple data
613 types for evaluating population trends and habitat usage. *ICES J. Mar. Sci.* **76**(6):
614 1748–1761. doi:10.1093/icesjms/fsz075.
- 615 Grüss, A., Thorson, J.T., Babcock, E.A., and Tarnecki, J.H. 2018. Producing distribution
616 maps for informing ecosystem-based fisheries management using a comprehensive
617 survey database and spatio-temporal models. *ICES J. Mar. Sci.* **75**(1): 158–177.
618 doi:10.1093/icesjms/fsx120.
- 619 Grüss, A., Thorson, J.T., Carroll, G., Ng, E.L., Holsman, K.K., Aydin, K., Kotwicki, S.,
620 Morzaria-Luna, H.N., Ainsworth, C.H., and Thompson, K.A. 2020b. Spatio-temporal
621 analyses of marine predator diets from data-rich and data-limited systems. *Fish Fish.*
622 **21**(4): 718–739. doi:10.1111/faf.12457.
- 623 Grüss, A., Thorson, J.T., Sagarese, S.R., Babcock, E.A., Karnauskas, M., Walter, J.F., and
624 Drexler, M. 2017. Ontogenetic spatial distributions of red grouper (*Epinephelus*
625 *morio*) and gag grouper (*Mycteroperca microlepis*) in the U.S. Gulf of Mexico. *Fish.*
626 *Res.* **193**(Supplement C): 129–142. doi:10.1016/j.fishres.2017.04.006.
- 627 Hocking, D.J., Thorson, J.T., O’Neil, K., and Letcher, B.H. 2018. A geostatistical state-space
628 model of animal densities for stream networks. *Ecol. Appl.* **28**(7): 1782–1796.
629 doi:10.1002/eap.1767.
- 630 Kass, R.E., and Steffey, D. 1989. Approximate Bayesian inference in conditionally
631 independent hierarchical models (parametric empirical bayes models). *J. Am. Stat.*
632 *Assoc.* **84**(407): 717–726. doi:10.2307/2289653.
- 633 Kristensen, K., Nielsen, A., Berg, C.W., Skaug, H., and Bell, B.M. 2016. TMB: Automatic
634 differentiation and Laplace approximation. *J. Stat. Softw.* **70**(5): 1–21.
635 doi:10.18637/jss.v070.i05.
- 636 Lindgren. 2012. Continuous domain spatial models in R-INLA. *ISBA Bull.* **19**(4): 14–20.
- 637 Lindgren, F., and Rue, H. 2015. Bayesian spatial modelling with r-inla. *J. Stat. Softw.* **63**(19):
638 1–25. doi:10.18637/jss.v063.i19.
- 639 Lindgren, F., Rue, H., and Lindström, J. 2011. An explicit link between Gaussian fields and
640 Gaussian Markov random fields: the stochastic partial differential equation approach.
641 *J. R. Stat. Soc. Ser. B Stat. Methodol.* **73**(4): 423–498. doi:10.1111/j.1467-
642 9868.2011.00777.x.
- 643 Martin, T.G., Wintle, B.A., Rhodes, J.R., Kuhnert, P.M., Field, S.A., Low-Choy, S.J., Tyre,
644 A.J., and Possingham, H.P. 2005. Zero tolerance ecology: improving ecological
645 inference by modelling the source of zero observations. *Ecol. Lett.* **8**(11): 1235–1246.
- 646 R Core Team. 2017. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R
647 Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. Available from
648 <https://www.R-project.org/>.
- 649 Searle, S.R., Casella, G., and McCulloch, C.E. 1992. Variance components. John Wiley &
650 Sons, Hoboken, New Jersey.

- Shelton, A.O., Thorson, J.T., Ward, E.J., and Feist, B.E. 2014. Spatial semiparametric models improve estimates of species abundance and distribution. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* **71**(11): 1655–1666. doi:10.1139/cjfas-2013-0508.
- Skaug, H., and Fournier, D. 2006. Automatic approximation of the marginal likelihood in non-Gaussian hierarchical models. *Comput. Stat. Data Anal.* **51**(2): 699–709.
- Thorson, J.T. 2018. Three problems with the conventional delta-model for biomass sampling data, and a computationally efficient alternative. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* **75**(9): 1369–1382. doi:10.1139/cjfas-2017-0266.
- Thorson, J.T. 2019. Guidance for decisions using the Vector Autoregressive Spatio-Temporal (VAST) package in stock, ecosystem, habitat and climate assessments. *Fish. Res.* **210**: 143–161. doi:10.1016/j.fishres.2018.10.013.
- Thorson, J.T., Adams, G., and Holsman, K. 2019. Spatio-temporal models of intermediate complexity for ecosystem assessments: A new tool for spatial fisheries management. *Fish Fish.* **20**(6): 1083–1099. doi:10.1111/faf.12398.
- Thorson, J.T., and Barnett, L.A.K. 2017. Comparing estimates of abundance trends and distribution shifts using single- and multispecies models of fishes and biogenic habitat. *ICES J. Mar. Sci.* **74**(5): 1311–1321. doi:10.1093/icesjms/fsw193.
- Thorson, J.T., Ciannelli, L., and Litzow, M.A. 2020. Defining indices of ecosystem variability using biological samples of fish communities: A generalization of empirical orthogonal functions. *Prog. Oceanogr.* **181**: 102244. doi:10.1016/j.pocean.2019.102244.
- Thorson, J.T., Ianelli, J.N., Larsen, E.A., Ries, L., Scheuerell, M.D., Szuwalski, C., and Zipkin, E.F. 2016a. Joint dynamic species distribution models: a tool for community ordination and spatio-temporal monitoring. *Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr.* **25**(9): 1144–1158. doi:10.1111/geb.12464.
- Thorson, J.T., and Kristensen, K. 2016. Implementing a generic method for bias correction in statistical models using random effects, with spatial and population dynamics examples. *Fish. Res.* **175**: 66–74. doi:10.1016/j.fishres.2015.11.016.
- Thorson, J.T., and Minto, C. 2015. Mixed effects: a unifying framework for statistical modelling in fisheries biology. *ICES J. Mar. Sci. J. Cons.* **72**(5): 1245–1256. doi:10.1093/icesjms/fsu213.
- Thorson, J.T., Munch, S.B., and Swain, D.P. 2017. Estimating partial regulation in spatiotemporal models of community dynamics. *Ecology* **98**(5): 1277–1289. doi:10.1002/ecy.1760.
- Thorson, J.T., Pinsky, M.L., and Ward, E.J. 2016b. Model-based inference for estimating shifts in species distribution, area occupied and centre of gravity. *Methods Ecol. Evol.* **7**(8): 990–1002. doi:10.1111/2041-210X.12567.
- Thorson, J.T., Rindorf, A., Gao, J., Hanselman, D.H., and Winker, H. 2016c. Density-dependent changes in effective area occupied for sea-bottom-associated marine fishes. *Proc R Soc B* **283**(1840): 20161853. doi:10.1098/rspb.2016.1853.
- Thorson, J.T., Shelton, A.O., Ward, E.J., and Skaug, H.J. 2015a. Geostatistical delta-generalized linear mixed models improve precision for estimated abundance indices for West Coast groundfishes. *ICES J. Mar. Sci. J. Cons.* **72**(5): 1297–1310. doi:10.1093/icesjms/fsu243.
- Thorson, J.T., Skaug, H.J., Kristensen, K., Shelton, A.O., Ward, E.J., Harms, J.H., and Benante, J.A. 2014. The importance of spatial models for estimating the strength of density dependence. *Ecology* **96**(5): 1202–1212. doi:10.1890/14-0739.1.
- Thorson, Scheuerell, M.D., Shelton, A.O., See, K.E., Skaug, H.J., and Kristensen, K. 2015b. Spatial factor analysis: a new tool for estimating joint species distributions and

700 correlations in species range. *Methods Ecol. Evol.* **6**(6): 627–637. doi:10.1111/2041-
701 210X.12359.
702 Tierney, L., Kass, R.E., and Kadane, J.B. 1989. Fully exponential Laplace approximations to
703 expectations and variances of nonpositive functions. *J. Am. Stat. Assoc.* **84**(407):
704 710–716.
705

706

707 Table 1 – List of S3 objects defined in package VAST (or its primary dependency FishStatsUtils), listing S3 methods defined for each class as
 708 well as the intended purpose of each method.

S3 object	S3 methods	Purpose
VAST::make_data	print	De-clutter terminal output
VAST::make_model	print	De-clutter terminal output
FishStatsUtils::make_extrapolation_info	print	De-clutter terminal output
	plot	Simple organization for plotting options
FishStatsUtils::make_spatial_info	print	De-clutter terminal output
	print	Simple organization for plotting options
FishStatsUtils::fit_model	print	De-clutter terminal output
	plot	Simple organization for plotting options

summary

Interface to access derived quantities that users may want

709

710

711

712

713 Table 2 – Definition of mathematical notation, including the symbol used, its type (Index,
714 Data, fixed effects “FE”, random effects “RE”, intermediate quantity computed internally
715 “IQ”, and derived quantities that are outputted for users “DQ”), and its dimension

716

717 Table 2A – Indices

Index name	Symbol
Observation number	i
Extrapolation-grid cell	g
Knot number	x
Vertex number (including internal knots and boundary vertices)	s
Time interval number	t
Category number	c
Factor number	f
Habitat covariate number for 1 st linear predictor	p_1
Habitat covariate number for 2 nd linear predictor	p_2
Catchability covariate number for 1 st linear predictor	k_1
Catchability covariate number for 2 nd linear predictor	k_2
Stratum number	l
Index number for measures of center-of-gravity	m
Index number for other book-keeping	z

718

719

720 Table 2B – Data

Index name	Symbol	Dimensions
Sample response	b_i	n_i
Time interval for each sample	t_i	n_i
Category for each sample	c_i	n_i
Overdispersion level for each sample	v_i	n_i

Area covered by each sample	a_i	n_i
Bilinear interpolation from vertices to samples	\mathbf{A}_{is}	$n_i \times 3$
Bilinear interpolation from vertices to extrapolation-grid cells	\mathbf{A}_{gs}	$n_g \times 3$
Distance between two vertices	$d(s, s')$	$n_s \times n_s$
Habitat covariates affecting 1 st linear predictor for each sampling location, time, and variable	$X_1(i, t, p_1)$	$n_i \times n_t \times n_{p1}$
Habitat covariates affecting 2 nd linear predictor for each sampling location, time, and variable	$X_2(i, t, p_2)$	$n_i \times n_t \times n_{p2}$
Habitat covariates affecting 1 st linear predictor for each extrapolation-grid cell, time, and variable	$X_1(g, t, p_1)$	$n_g \times n_t \times n_{p1}$
Habitat covariates affecting 2 nd linear predictor for each extrapolation-grid cell, time, and variable	$X_2(g, t, p_2)$	$n_g \times n_t \times n_{p2}$
Catchability covariates affecting 1 st linear predictor for each sample and variable	$Q_1(i, k_1)$	$n_i \times n_{k1}$
Catchability covariates affecting 2 nd linear predictor for each sample and variable	$Q_2(i, k_2)$	$n_i \times n_{k2}$
Area associated with extrapolation-grid cell in each stratum	$a(g, l)$	$n_g \times n_l$
Statistic for each location used to calculate center of gravity and range edges	$z(s, m)$	$n_s \times n_m$

721

722

723 Table 2C – Coefficients, indicating whether they are fixed effects (FE), random effects (RE)

724 or whether their treatment depends upon user settings (FE/RE)

Coefficient name	Symbol	Type	Dimensions
Factor values for intercept for 1 st linear predictor	$\beta_1(f, t)$	FE/RE	$n_{\beta1} \times n_t$
Factor values for intercept for 2 st linear predictor	$\beta_2(f, t)$	FE/RE	$n_{\beta2} \times n_t$
Loadings matrix for intercepts for 1 st linear predictor	$L_{\beta1}(c, f)$	FE	$n_c \times n_{\beta1}$
Loadings matrix for intercepts for 2 nd linear predictor	$L_{\beta2}(c, f)$	FE	$n_c \times n_{\beta2}$
Loadings matrix for spatial covariation for 1 st linear predictor	$L_{\omega1}(c, f)$	FE	$n_c \times n_{\omega1}$

Loadings matrix for spatial covariation for 2 nd linear predictor	$L_{\omega 2}(c, f)$	FE	$n_c \times n_{\omega 2}$
Loadings matrix for spatio-temporal covariation across categories for 1 st linear predictor	$L_{\varepsilon 1}(c, f)$	FE	$n_c \times n_{\varepsilon 1}$
Loadings matrix for spatio-temporal covariation across categories for 2 nd linear predictor	$L_{\varepsilon 2}(c, f)$	FE	$n_c \times n_{\varepsilon 2}$
Loadings matrix for spatio-temporal covariation across time for 1 st linear predictor	$L_{\varepsilon 1}^{time}(t, f)$	FE	$n_t \times n_{\varepsilon 1}^{time}$
Loadings matrix for spatio-temporal covariation across time for 2 nd linear predictor	$L_{\varepsilon 2}^{time}(t, f)$	FE	$n_t \times n_{\varepsilon 2}^{time}$
Loadings matrix for overdispersion covariation for 1 st linear predictor	$L_1(c, f)$	FE	$n_c \times n_{\eta 1}$
Loadings matrix for overdispersion covariation for 2 nd linear predictor	$L_2(c, f)$	FE	$n_c \times n_{\eta 2}$
Impact of habitat covariates on 1 st linear predictor	$\gamma_1(c, t, p)$	FE	$n_c \times n_t \times n_p$
Impact of habitat covariates on 2 nd linear predictor	$\gamma_2(c, t, p)$	FE	$n_c \times n_t \times n_p$
Impact of catchability covariates on 1 st linear predictor	$\lambda_1(k)$	FE	n_k
Impact of catchability covariates on 2 nd linear predictor	$\lambda_2(k)$	FE	n_k
Parameters governing residual variation	$\sigma_m^2(c, z)$	FE	$n_c \times 2$
Decorrelation rate for 1 st linear predictor	κ_1	FE	1
Decorrelation rate for 2 nd linear predictor	κ_2	FE	1
Autocorrelation for intercepts of 1 st linear predictor	$\rho_{\beta 1}$	FE	1
Autocorrelation for intercepts of 2 nd linear predictor	$\rho_{\beta 2}$	FE	1
Conditional variance for intercepts of 1 st linear predictor	$\sigma_{\beta 1}^2$	FE	1
Conditional variance for intercepts of 2 nd linear predictor	$\sigma_{\beta 2}^2$	FE	1
Autocorrelation for spatio-temporal covariation of 1 st linear predictor	$\rho_{\varepsilon 1}$	FE	1
Autocorrelation for spatio-temporal covariation of 2 nd linear predictor	$\rho_{\varepsilon 2}$	FE	1
Parameters governing geometric anisotropy	$h(z)$	FE	2
Spatial factors for 1 st linear predictor	$\omega_1(s, f)$	RE	$n_s \times n_{\omega 1}$
Spatial factors for 2 nd linear predictor	$\omega_2(s, f)$	RE	$n_s \times n_{\omega 2}$

Spatio-temporal factors for 1 st linear predictor	$\varepsilon_1(s, f, f)$	RE	$n_s \times n_{\varepsilon 1}$ $\times n_{\varepsilon 1}^{time}$
Spatio-temporal factors for 2 nd linear predictor	$\varepsilon_2(s, f, f)$	RE	$n_s \times n_{\varepsilon 1}$ $\times n_{\varepsilon 1}^{time}$
Overdispersion factors for 1 st linear predictor	$\eta_1(v, f)$	RE	$n_v \times n_{\eta 1}$
Overdispersion factors for 2 nd linear predictor	$\eta_2(v, f)$	RE	$n_v \times n_{\eta 2}$

725

726

727 Table 2D – Variable calculated internally

Coefficient name	Symbol	Dimensions
1 st linear predictor	$p_1(i)$	n_i
2 nd linear predictor	$p_2(i)$	n_i
1 st link-transformed predictor	$r_1(i)$	n_i
2 nd link-transformed predictor	$r_2(i)$	n_i
Spatio-temporal variation for 1 st linear predictor at each extrapolation-grid cell	$\varepsilon_1(g, c, t)$	$n_g \times n_c \times n_t$
Spatio-temporal variation for 2 nd linear predictor at each extrapolation-grid cell	$\varepsilon_2(g, c, t)$	$n_g \times n_c \times n_t$
Spatio-temporal variation for 1 st linear predictor at each sample	$\varepsilon_1(i, c, t)$	$n_i \times n_c \times n_t$
Spatio-temporal variation for 2 nd linear predictor at each sample	$\varepsilon_2(i, c, t)$	$n_i \times n_c \times n_t$
Spatial variation for 1 st linear predictor at each extrapolation-grid cell	$\omega_1(g, c)$	$n_g \times n_c$
Spatial variation for 2 nd linear predictor at each extrapolation-grid cell	$\omega_2(g, c)$	$n_g \times n_c$
Spatial variation for 1 st linear predictor at each sample	$\omega_1(i, c)$	$n_i \times n_c$
Spatial variation for 2 nd linear predictor at each sample	$\omega_2(i, c)$	$n_i \times n_c$
Intercept for 1 st linear predictor	$\beta_1(c, t)$	$n_c \times n_t$
Intercept for 2 st linear predictor	$\beta_2(c, t)$	$n_c \times n_t$
Spatial correlation matrix among vertices for 1 st linear predictor	\mathbf{R}_1	$n_s \times n_s$

Spatial correlation matrix among vertices for 2 nd linear predictor	R ₂	$n_s \times n_s$
Anisotropy matrix	H	2×2

728

729 Table 2E – Derived quantities

Coefficient name	Symbol	Dimensions
Predicted density for each sample	$d^*(i, c, t)$	$n_i \times n_c \times n_t$
Predicted density for each extrapolation-grid cell	$d^*(g, c, t)$	$n_g \times n_c \times n_t$
Index of abundance	$I(c, t, l)$	$n_c \times n_t \times n_l$
Center of gravity	$Z(c, t, m)$	$n_c \times n_t \times n_m$
Average density	$D(c, t, l)$	$n_c \times n_t \times n_l$
Effective area occupied	$A(c, t, l)$	$n_c \times n_t \times n_l$
Rotation matrix for spatial covariation for 1 st linear predictor	B _{ω1}	$n_c \times n_c$
Rotation matrix for spatial covariation for 2 nd linear predictor	B _{ω2}	$n_c \times n_c$
Rotation matrix for spatio-temporal covariation for 1 st linear predictor	B _{ε1}	$n_c \times n_c$
Rotation matrix for spatio-temporal covariation for 2 nd linear predictor	B _{ε2}	$n_c \times n_c$
Rotation matrix for overdispersion covariation for 1 st linear predictor	B ₁	$n_c \times n_c$
Rotation matrix for overdispersion covariation for 2 nd linear predictor	B ₂	$n_c \times n_c$
Rotated loadings matrix for spatial covariation for 1 st linear predictor	$L_{\omega 1}^*(c, f)$	$n_c \times n_{\omega 1}$
Rotated loadings for spatial covariation for 2 nd linear predictor	$L_{\omega 2}^*(c, f)$	$n_c \times n_{\omega 2}$
Rotated loadings for spatio-temporal covariation for 1 st linear predictor	$L_{\varepsilon 1}^*(c, f)$	$n_c \times n_{\varepsilon 1}$
Rotated loadings for spatio-temporal covariation for 2 nd linear predictor	$L_{\varepsilon 2}^*(c, f)$	$n_c \times n_{\varepsilon 2}$
Rotated loadings for overdispersion covariation for 1 st linear predictor	$L_1^*(c, f)$	$n_c \times n_{\eta 1}$

Rotated loadings for overdispersion covariation for 2 nd linear predictor	$L_2^*(c, f)$	$n_c \times n_{\eta 2}$
Rotated spatial factors for 1 st linear predictor	$\omega_1^*(s, f)$	$n_s \times n_{\omega 1}$
Rotated spatial factors for 2 nd linear predictor	$\omega_2^*(s, f)$	$n_s \times n_{\omega 2}$
Rotated spatio-temporal factors for 1 st linear predictor	$\varepsilon_1^*(s, f, t)$	$n_s \times n_{\varepsilon 1} \times n_t$
Rotated spatio-temporal factors for 2 nd linear predictor	$\varepsilon_2^*(s, f, t)$	$n_s \times n_{\varepsilon 1} \times n_t$
Rotated overdispersion factors for 1 st linear predictor	$\eta_1^*(v, f)$	$n_v \times n_{\eta 1}$
Rotated overdispersion factors for 2 nd linear predictor	$\eta_2^*(v, f)$	$n_v \times n_{\eta 2}$

730

731