

```
In [8]: T = time.time()
correlation = 200
position = np.nan*np.zeros_like(prices.values)

returns_adj = prices.apply(returns_adjust, com=32, clip=4.2)
# DCC by Engle
cor = returns_adj.ewm(com=correlation, min_periods=correlation).corr()

mu = np.tanh(returns_adj.cumsum().apply(osc)).values
vo = prices.pct_change().ewm(com=32, min_periods=32).std().values

for n,t in enumerate(prices.index):
    matrix = shrink2id(cor.loc[t].values, lamb=0.5)
    risk_position = solve(matrix, mu[n])/a_norm(mu[n], matrix)
    position[n] = risk_position/vo[n]

portfolio = Portfolio(prices, pd.DataFrame(index=prices.index, columns=prices.keys()))
print(time.time()-T)
```

15.90978717803955

```
In [9]: analysis(portfolio.nav())
```

Conclusions

- Dramatic relative improvements observable despite using the same signals as in previous Experiment.
- Main difference here is to take advantage of cross-correlations in the risk measurement.
- Possible to add constraints on individual assets or groups of them.
- Possible to reflect trading costs in objective with regularization terms (Ridge, Lasso, Elastic Nets, ...)