

To What Degree can an AI Built With Expert Strategies be Effective Against Competition AI?

James Hellman
Falmouth Games Academy
UK, Falmouth
Email: jh182233@falmouth.ac.uk

Abstract—Effective macro-management (the ability to create armies and expand bases), is essential to obtaining victory in Real-Time Strategy (RTS), in the research community many Artificial Intelligence's (AI's) have been created to handle this. One method is to use a design approach to create what is known as a build order, many of these build orders take from expert strategies used by real people in high ranking tournaments. Build orders can be ridged during games leaving little room for adaptation to the opponent's strategy. In this work, a collection of build orders will be used to create an AI and investigates the impact of build orders that effectively counter-strategies used by other AI's. A hypothesis is made here that the AI will only be effective in the early stages of the game and will be outmanoeuvred in late-game stages. Therefore the effectiveness of this AI will be measured its average time survived, with a high average being effective and a low average being ineffective. Whether the AI wins the matches will also be taken into account, a higher average win rate will allow the AI to face a greater challenge. Upon successful completion of this work, the AI will be submitted to one of three competitions.

I. INTRODUCTION

INVESTIGATING the effectiveness of an AI can be done in many ways, in this work will be looking into the degree of effectiveness an AI built with expert strategies can be against a competitive AI. In games, AI has been used in both single and multi-player environments to help create a more immersive, challenging and fun experience. One such area which AI is prominent is in the Real-Time Strategy (RTS) genre and since the call for more research to be made for AI in RTS games by Michael Buro in 2004 [1], research in this area has exploded [2]. This has given rise to the creation of many AI's in RTS games, from AI's that are built with pre-defined build orders [3] to deep Neural Networks [4] that can learn from game-play replays, which will be covered in more detail later on in the paper.

RTS is a great test bed in AI research for its complex systems, involving many areas of interest in planning, dealing with uncertainty, domain knowledge exploitation, task decomposition, spatial reasoning, and machine learning [5]. Unlike turn-based strategy, RTS requires real-time decision making with imperfect information, the information is limited through the use of partial visibility of the map. Unless the AI scouts the map (Sends a unit around the map) and sees what the opponent is doing, then the AI will have no access to any strategic knowledge. This along with the non-deterministic nature of RTS, meaning it may not exhibit the same behaviour on each

run, makes RTS one of the most challenging environments in which to create an AI [6].

Since the release of StarCraft Brood War API it has been easier for Academics to research AI in StarCraft, this has also given rise to an educational value as part of AI related subjects in several Universities around the world [6]. One example of this is the University Delft (NL), which for one of its modules the students are required to create a StarCraft Bot [7]. From this three yearly competitions have been created to compete students AI's, the first of which was hosted by the University of California, Santa Cruz in 2010 as part of the AAAI Artificial Intelligence and Interactive Digital Entertainment (AIIDE) conference program [8]. Another hosted at the IEEE Computational Intelligence in Games (CIG) conference [9], and the last one which is an ongoing stand-alone event is the Student StarCraft AI Tournament (SSCAIT) [5]. Upon successful completion of this work, the AI will be submitted to one of these three competitions.

This paper is organised as follows, first StarCraft and what it is will be presented, followed by a review of the current methods being utilized by the research community in the development of StarCraft AI's. With a description on the research that this work will be using, this is proceeded by the method and tools that will be used to create the AI as well the metrics used to measure its effectiveness finishing with the hypothesis.

II. STARCRAFT

StarCraft the most popular RTS game of all time [6] is an RTS game developed by Blizzard Entertainment [10], [11], released in 1998. Later that year StarCraft: Brood War was released and took hold in the e-sports community and is still popular today. StarCraft 2: Wings of Liberty was released much later in 2010, with a complete visual overhaul, most of the game mechanics remained the same other than balance changes. The premise of StarCraft is to gather resources, build a base, and build an army to then use to destroy an enemies base and army, during playtime, there are also many upgrades available for these units to give them the edge over an enemy who did not spend the time acquiring them. There are many ways of doing this each player with a different order of building their armies/bases commonly referred to as their "Build Order" [12]. Build orders refer to a players macro-management, whereas in StarCraft Micro-management is a

huge part of the game, as those with greater control over individual units can better outmanoeuvre their opponent, and thus defeat them. There is a difference in the way units are controlled, in StarCraft:BW you can only select up to 12 units at a time and can not group them for easy selection, so when playing you have to utilise micromanagement skills more than in StarCraft 2 where you can select an unlimited number of units and can group them for easy selection.

III. RELATED WORK

StarCraft is considered difficult due to its requirement of abstraction level thinking when planning. Strategy selection is perhaps the most important choice any player or AI can make in StarCraft and RTS as a whole, as this will dictate the actions and reactions which they take during playtime. Though a human player can be proficient at choosing their strategy by simply scouting the map, finding the enemy and seeing what they are building. The human player can then counter accordingly, and if they countered incorrectly the human player can simply change their strategy to accommodate. Creating an AI to do the same though can be a huge and complex task [13], [14], [15], one way to achieve this result without a large commitment of time is to create a library of expert strategies, and allow the AI to select the appropriate one throughout the game. This can be achieved using tools such as Advanced Behaviour Oriented Design Environment (ABODE) and Parallel-rooted Ordered Slip-stack Hierarchical (POSH) reactive plans [16], which will also be covered later in the paper. These tools allow for an iterative design approach for AI's in games and in this work will be focusing on the macro-management with a particular focus on build orders and the selection of strategies rather than micro-management.

In the StarCraft research community, there are many different methods of AI creation. Some focus on micro-management like S. Liu et al [17] that uses a Genetic Algorithm (GA) and others that focus on macro-management looking at the build order like N. Justesen et al [14]. Many of these research methods are cross depended and utilise more than one method, for example, D. Churchill et al [18] created the UAlbertaBot, which was intended to automate both build order planning and unit control. There are also AI's that only use one strategy that has won several times in competitions like the ZZZKBot [19], [20], which only uses a 3pool build and built that uses a rush tactic. This rush tactic involves creating many weak inexpensive units and sending them to the enemy base within 5 minutes of starting the game. Many AI tend to struggle with countering this strategy, hence why this type of AI tends to win.

A. Datasets

A Dataset can be a collection of any data, for game AI a dataset can consist of thousands of replays with millions of game frames, and player actions[21]. This information can then put together to create a full game-state which allows for machine learning tasks [22]. In AI research, datasets can be used in many approaches of development, one such use is to recreate game-states and evaluate them for prediction in realistic conditions [23].

B. Micro-Management

Micromanagement is a fundamental side of StarCraft gameplay and many papers have their own approach to this aspect of RTS [24], [17], [25], [26], [23], [27]. Micromanagement is the control of each unit individually, for example: if you have 12 units, each with their own ability, during battle you need to activate each ability at the correct time for each of the 12 units in order to utilise them to their full potential. This required you to select each unit during battle and activating the ability, while still maintaining control over the other 11 units. Though this is a slight exaggeration as in StarCraft some units have an auto use of their ability which allows the unit to decide when to use their ability, one such unit being the medic on the Terran faction which will heal any biological unit with less than full health. Also in StarCraft units can be selected by type i.e. you can double-click on a marine, and it will select all the marines on screen (Up to a maximum of 12). Players that perfect multitasking micromanagement skills are most likely to win the battles when playing, as they can outmanoeuvre their opponent much more easily and use abilities effectively to devastate their opponents armies. Many of these approaches tend to use either Genetic Algorithms (GA) or Evolutionary Algorithms (EA) [24], [17], [25], while others observe replays and apply a Monte-Carlo method to create data for practice use [26]. But most of these methods have one thing in common, they all use a version of machine learning [2].

C. Predictive Methods

On a higher strategic level, the prediction of the opponent's strategy is a prominent approach used in research [28], [29], [30], [31]. This type of research relies on the use of replays and machine learning to help the AI accurately predict a strategy, these do rely on the quantity and quality of replays used for the learning process[28], [29], [31]. Another method for prediction is scouting alongside machine learning, this eliminates the need for replay observation and allows for a more real-time prediction [30]. Though this method does still require several games to be played before the AI can begin to have an accurate prediction.

Bayesian approaches are based on Bayes' Theorem which is another prediction method. Bayes' Theorem is a calculation of probability or also known as a probabilistic model [32]. In papers by G. Synnaeve et al [27], [23] they create an AI that controls units individually, they do this by using uncertainty which instead of asking where a unit might be, it makes rough estimations and acts upon that. Another use for the Bayesian approach is to predict strategies, by creating a probabilistic model that after learning from replays can predict an opponent's strategy and adapt accordingly [29]. A major downfall of Bayesian Approaches is that it can be computationally intense to calculate.

D. Full Game Play

Many papers try to create an AI capable of handling all aspects of an RTS [18], [33], [34], [35]. These AI's tend to take several methods that have been created in other research

and combining them to form a new AI [18]. Another use for the full gameplay AI is to try and create a "Human-Like" AI, which can mimic the play-style of an expert human player. Though the current AI's are limited in this as players reported that the AI's used unusual unit movements or building placement [36].

E. Neural Networks

Neural Networking are computational models loosely based on the functioning of biological brains [4]. Given an input it computes an output by using a large number of neural units, in StarCraft it can be used to predict strategies or in the case of StarCraft 2 with its new architecture it can be used for full game-play. Using a neural network would be impractical for the purpose of this work as it would take many months to train, and even then would not have a great chance of doing well against other AI's.

F. Planning

Planning in StarCraft usually deals with the build order that the AI will use usually only dealing with macro-management. There are several different ways to use a build order, some will use a static build order that will not change throughout the game [3], and the more popular route is to allow the AI to jump between build orders during play-time, another term is Reactive Planning [13], [14], [15]. there has been some work on creating the build orders on the fly by finding out that most optimal method of gathering resource and building units [12]. Planning is perhaps the most optimal approach to creating an AI as there are little real-time calculations to make. Through the use of POSH tools [16], you can iteratively design AI prototypes and deploy quickly [3].

From looking at the research in the field there are many methods that can be used to create an AI. The use of replays to train an AI to counter strategies can be effective [29], they lack the greater control of the game, the ability to macro-management as there are too many variables to consider. This lack of large-scale control is usually due to the heavy computational requirements of controlling each individual component of the game. Due to this slow process, it is quite impractical to use when there is already a library of knowledge that can be to exploited [37]. Though there are AI's out there with planned strategies already programmed into them [19], [13], their limitation is that they only use a small number of strategies, though these work it can leave a lot of room for the opponent to manoeuvre. A logical step here is to program a larger pool of expert knowledge into the AI, it will then select one and follow it through, with the ability to jump between strategies at key points in-case a counter is detected.

G. StarCraft AI's

In the StarCraft AI community there are many AI's that have been created to compete against each other, and in this work, a competition AI is defined as an AI that has been entered to the AI for Interactive Digital Entertainment conference (AAIDE) StarCraft AI Competition. A yearly competition hosted by



Fig. 1: POSH plan for the Three Hatch Hydra build plan inside the ABODE editor.

David Churchill and sponsored by AIIDE. AI's in this grade include:

- ZZZKBot Winner of the 2017 AIIDE StarCraft AI Competition [19]
- Iron Winner of the 2016 AIIDE StarCraft AI Competition [38]
- UAlbertaBot Winner of the 2013/2011 AIIDE StarCraft AI Competition [39]
- Skynet Winner of the 2012 AIIDE StarCraft AI Competition [40]

These AI's use several strategies along with different factions and were chosen as they have all previously won the AIIDE StarCraft AI competition [20].

IV. REQUIREMENTS ANALYSIS

V. DESIGN AND RESEARCH ARTEFACT

A. Experiment Design

Designing this experiment was a simple task once the research question was settled on, as the only designing required was to obtain several expert strategies. These strategies were obtained from an online source Liquipedia, this is an online wiki available to the esports community to bring together all the information they can to help each other in their respective sports. This wiki is a valuable source of knowledge when trying to obtain the necessary StarCraft strategies as the ones on this site are used by the experts that play the game.

B. Tools

The tools that will be used in this experiment are; The Brood War Application Programming Interface (BWAPI), POSH tools, specifically POSH Sharp which is an interface that uses c# instead of C++, and the ABODE editing software which uses POSH plans to create Behaviour Oriented Designs for AI's. Other tools include Visual Studio 2010, Chaoslauncher, StarCraft Tournament Manager, and VirtualBox, all of which will be explained next. MENTION THE MANY ((((((()))))) LANGUAGE HERE

- **Brood War Application Programming Interface** [41] is an open source software that creates an interface to allow a custom AI to communicate with the game.

BWAPI only give limited information to the AI, which inhibits the bots to have the ability to know what its opponent is doing, this means that the fog of war (the unexplored parts of the map) are kept [16], this means that the AI is just a limited in its knowledge as a player would be. The information that is provided is the size of the map and base locations, this allows the AI to have the ability to scout effectively. This limited information prevents custom AI's from cheating and ensures a fair game, though for the developers of the AI this could be considered an advantage for the development stage of the AI as there is no need to be concerned with accidentally allowing their AI to access illegal information. This does however provide a challenge in design as the AI is dealing with imperfect information, it must be designed in such a way that it almost replicated human responses, i.e. scouting, and checking areas already scouted for enemy presence.

- **POSH** plans can be created in the ABODE Environment as seen in Figure 1, these are visual planning tools that allow for a hierarchy of actions with associated triggers. Each plan can be split into three parts, Drive-Collections, Competencies and Action patterns, these three determine when an action is to be triggered. POSH plans use a behaviour library created in the native language of the problem space, see Fig 2. This tool can be a powerful asset to designing and creating an AI as once the behaviours and senses are implemented new AI can be created quickly and with little error.
- **Microsoft Visual Studios 2010 (VS2010)** is an integrated development environment (SDK) from Microsoft [42]. It is used to create computer programs, as well as websites, apps, and online services. In this experiment VS2010 is being used to create the behaviours for the AI, as well as any other functionality the AI requires, this includes the framework for the POSH wrapper.
- **Chaoslauncher** is an open source third-party launcher for StarCraft that allows the user to inject any universal plugins [43]. For this experiment the launcher will be used as a debugging tool for the first stages of the AI. The launcher also allows StarCraft to be run in windowed mode alongside a BWAPI injector that allows the AI to communicate with BWAPI.
- **StarCraft AI Tournament Manager (STM)** is a tool that was developed by David Churchill to manage and run StarCraft AI tournaments, it is an open source project available for anyone to use. It runs the tournament by creating a server and allowing instances of its counterpart (the Client) to connect to it, each client runs a single instance of StarCraft and the server will put two clients into a game and record the results. This set-up allows for as many instances of StarCraft to be run on the server as the user wants, unfortunately the current set-up of the STM doesn't allow for more than one instance of

StarCraft to be run on a single PC at a time. To solve this a virtual machine will be utilized [44]. For the purposes of this experiment the STM will be used to test the AI against the competition AI's, once the tournament is over the STM will compile a results table similar to fig 3, in a HTML format which can be opened in any browser.

- **Oracle VirtualBox** is a general-purpose full virtualizer for x86 hardware, targeted at server, desktop and embedded use [45]. This allows the user to run multiple instances of an operating system on the same hardware, for this experiment it will be used to run multiple instances of StarCraft on the same PC.

```

1 [ExecutableAction("SelectProbeScout")]
2 public bool SelectProbeScout()
3 {
4     if (probeScout != null && probeScout.
        getHitPoints() > 0)
5         return true;
6     _
7     _Unit scout = null;
8     IEnumerable<Unit> units = Interface().
        GetProbes().Where(probe =>
9         probe.getHitPoints() > 0 && !Interface().
            IsBuilder(probe));
10    _
11    foreach (Unit unit in units)
12    {
13        if (!unit.isCarryingGas())
14        {
15            scout = unit;
16            break;
17        }
18    }
19    if (scout == null && units.Count() > 0)
20    {
21        scout = units.Last();
22    }
23    probeScout = scout;
24    _
25    return (probeScout is Unit && probeScout.
        getHitPoints() > 0) ? true : false;
26 }
27 _
28 _

```

Fig. 2: c# executable action for selecting a probe scout, the plan will execute this code when triggered.

Bot	Games	Win	Loss	Win %	AvgTime	Game Timeout	Crash	Frame Timeout
Iron	80	68	12	85	13:03	0	0	0
ZZZKBot	80	68	12	85	6:05	0	0	0
LetaBot	80	55	25	68.75	14:09	2	0	0
Xelnaga	80	45	35	56.25	15:53	3	3	0
IceBot	80	43	37	53.75	14:33	0	7	0
MegaBot	80	38	42	47.5	13:28	2	8	7
Cimex	80	20	60	25	17:10	5	2	0
CruzBot	80	14	66	17.5	19:24	10	0	1
Oritaka	80	9	71	11.25	15:20	4	0	0
Total	360	360	360	N/A	14:20	13	20	8

Fig. 3: The HTML results table produced by the StarCraft Tournament Manager.

*C. Stage 1**D. Stage 2**E. Stage 3*

The first thing that will be chosen in the creation of this AI is to pick a faction, in StarCraft, there are three, Zerg, Protoss and Terran, each with their own unique play style. Zerg is a rushing faction, with their units being relatively weak and cheap usually focus on overwhelming their enemy with numbers. Protoss are strong but expensive, relying on smaller numbers and taking longer to produce anything means they can be weak at rushing and defending from a rush. Terran is a balance of the two, being able to produce strong and expensive units as well as cheap weak ones, they can effectively rush and defend from a rush.

EXPLAIN PROTOSS FURTHER

In this work Protoss will be chosen, this choice was made as Zerg have been done many times and usually rely on rushes to win, whereas Terran are too complex for the planned AI. Protoss proved a happy middle ground to build upon where rushes are difficult to achieve but they are not too complex that it is too difficult to execute a sound strategy. So the second logical step is to implement an anti-rush strategy as an opening strategy. From there more aggressive strategies will be implemented and executed at the appropriate times. The challenge here is that it is difficult for Protoss to counter a Zerg rush but if successful it will leave the Zerg open for attack.

At each implementation, a test will be made on the AI to show its effectiveness and influence the next iteration of strategy implementation. These tests will consist of 10 games against the in-game AI until it has a relatively high win rate, at which point it will be tested against one of the competition AI's.

EXPLAIN THE POSSIBLE USE OF PARALLEL PLANS**VI. IMPLEMENTATION****VII. METHOD****Empiricism****INCLUDE SOME PHILOSOPHY ON THIS PAPER (POSITIVIST RESEARCH)**

During the formation of this paper many research questions were reviewed among them were the following:

- Combining Behaviour Oriented Design and Expert Human Knowledge to create a competitive AI.
- Creating an adaptive AI using predefined expert strategies.
- Is an adaptive AI built with predefined expert strategies a viable competitor against non adaptive AIs?
- How effective can an adaptive AI built with predefined expert strategies be against other AIs?

These questions were rejected due to time limitations on creating an adaptive AI.

This work will be focusing on the implementation of an AI with pre-built build orders and their counters taken from

Liquipedia [37], a website dedicated to StarCraft, on there they have a collection of strategies that are free to use in any capacity. Building upon these build orders the experiment will also include a method for swapping between the orders at any point, to know when to do this, the AI will scout the map in search of the opponent and compare their current building to its stored build orders and find an appropriate counter. The issue with this method is that in late game the AI will struggle to decide which build order to continue.

*A. Metrics***LOOK FOR SOME PAPERS ON EFFECTIVENESS**

In this work the StarCraft AI will be measured on its success using two factors:

- Time Survived (Average of 13 minutes or above)
- Endgame Condition (Whether the AI wins the game or loses)

PROVIDE FIGURES TO SUPPORT THIS ALONG WITH PRELIMINARY RESULTS

The average time of each game in the most recent StarCraft AI competition was 13-minutes, with the quickest average being 8-minutes and the longest being 19-minutes. The win ratio of the AI's vary substantially from 17.21% to a high 83.11% as can be seen in Table 1 [20].

This AI will be considered effective if it can survive past the 13-minute mark unless it wins the match at an earlier time.

Through these factors, the effectiveness of the AI will be determined, if the AI survives past the 13-minute average time or wins the game it will support a greater effectiveness. Though if the AI does not survive past the lower limit of 8 minutes or loses the AI will need to be improved and tested again. To begin with, the AI will be pitted against the inbuilt AI as a test-bed, playing 10 matches against the three races. 10 is chosen as if the AI should win each game and or survive well into the upper range then there will be little reason to continue testing against the inbuilt AI as it will most likely continue this way. After the initial testing, the AI will then be put against an open source competition grade AI, and 100 games for each AI will be simulated. This number has been chosen as it will give a total of 400 games and will provide strong evidence to support the hypothesis presented here.

DISCUSS THE OTHER BOTS AND THEIR STRATEGIES*B. Hypothesis*

- **Hypothesis 1** The AI will survive no more than 13 minutes in a match with the inbuilt AI.
- **Hypothesis 2** The AI will survive no more than 13 minutes in a match with the competition AI.
- **Hypothesis 3** The AI will defeat the inbuilt AI
- **Hypothesis 4** The AI will defeat the competition AI.
- **Hypothesis 5** The AI will counter the Rush tactic.

C. Challenges

During this dissertation many challenges had to be overcome, in subsection those challenges will be explored.

EXPLAIN WHY YOU CHANGED THE STRATEGIES

VIII. RESULTS

IX. ANALYSIS

X. DISCUSSION

XI. PROFESSIONAL CONSIDERATIONS

XII. CONCLUSION

A. Future Work

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Bruo, "Call for ai research in rts games," in *In Proceedings of the AAAI Workshop on AI in Games*. AAAI Press, 2004, pp. 139–141.
- [2] S. Ontan, G. Synnaeve, A. Uriarte, F. Richoux, D. Churchill, and M. Preuss, "A survey of real-time strategy game ai research and competition in starcraft," *IEEE Transactions on Computational Intelligence and AI in Games*, vol. 5, pp. 293–311, Dec 2013.
- [3] S. Gaudl, S. Davies, and J. Bryson, "Behaviour oriented design for real-time-strategy games: An approach on iterative development for sc starcraft ai," *Foundations of Digital Games (FDG)*, pp. 198–205, Jun 2013.
- [4] N. Justesen and S. Risi, "Learning macromanagement in starcraft from replays using deep learning," in *2017 IEEE Conference on Computational Intelligence and Games (CIG)*, Aug 2017, pp. 162–169.
- [5] D. Churchill, M. Preuss, F. Richoux, G. Synnaeve, A. Uriarte, S. Ontanon, and M. Certicky, "Starcraft bots and competitions," in *Encyclopedia of Computer Graphics and Games*, 01 2016, pp. 1–18.
- [6] D. Churchill and M. Certicky, "The current state of starcraft ai competitions and bots," in *AIIDE 2017 Workshop on Artificial Intelligence for Strategy Games*, 2017.
- [7] U. of Delf. Project multi-agent systems. [Online]. Available: <https://www.tudelft.nl/en/education/programmes/bachelors/ti/bachelor-of-computer-science-and-engineering/curriculum/> [Accessed 05-12-2017]
- [8] D. Churchill. Aiide starcraft ai competition. [Online]. Available: <http://www.cs.mun.ca/~dchurchill/starcraftaicomp/> [Accessed 17-11-2017]
- [9] K.-J. Kim and S. Yoon. Cig starcraft ai competition. [Online]. Available: https://cilab.sejong.ac.kr/sc_competition/ [Accessed 17-11-2017]
- [10] Blizzard Entertainment. Starcraft. [Online]. Available: <http://eu.blizzard.com/en-gb/games/sc/> [Accessed 03-11-2017]
- [11] —. 10 years of starcraft. [Online]. Available: <https://web.archive.org/web/20080402134120/http://www.blizzard.com/us/press/10-years-starcraft.html> [Accessed 16-11-2017]
- [12] D. Churchill and M. Buro, "Build order optimization in starcraft," *AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Interactive Digital Entertainment*, 2011.
- [13] M. Preuss, D. Kozakowski, J. Hagelbck, and H. Trautmann, "Reactive strategy choice in starcraft by means of fuzzy control," in *2013 IEEE Conference on Computational Intelligence in Games (CIG)*, Aug 2013, pp. 1–8.
- [14] N. Justesen and S. Risi, "Continual online evolutionary planning for in-game build order adaptation in starcraft," in *Proceedings of the Genetic and Evolutionary Computation Conference*. ACM, 2017, pp. 187–194.
- [15] B. G. Weber, M. Mateas, and A. Jhala, "Applying goal-driven autonomy to starcraft," in *Proceedings of the Sixth AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Interactive Digital Entertainment*. AAAI, 2010.
- [16] C. Brom, J. Gemrot, M. B. ida, O. Burkert, S. J. Partington, and J. Bryson, "Posh tools for game agent development by students and non-programmers," in *9th International Conference on Computer Games: AI, Animation, Mobile, Education and Serious Games*, Jan 2006, pp. 126 – 135.
- [17] S. Liu, S. J. Louis, and C. Ballinger, "Evolving effective micro behaviors in rts game," in *2014 IEEE Conference on Computational Intelligence and Games*, Aug 2014, pp. 1–8.
- [18] D. Churchill and M. Buro, "Incorporating search algorithms into rts game agents," *AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Interactive Digital Entertainment*, 2012.
- [19] C. Cox. Zzzkbot. [Online]. Available: <https://github.com/chriscoxe/ZZZKBot> [Accessed 16-11-2017]
- [20] D. Churchill. Aiide starcraft ai competition official results. [Online]. Available: <http://www.cs.mun.ca/~dchurchill/starcraftaicomp/results.shtml> [Accessed 16-11-2017]
- [21] G. Synnaeve and P. Bessire, "A dataset for starcraft ai and an example of armies clustering," *AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Interactive Digital Entertainment*, 2012.
- [22] L. Zeming, G. Jonas, I. K. Vasi, and S. Gabriel, "Stardata: A starcraft ai research dataset," *AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Interactive Digital Entertainment*, 2017.
- [23] G. Synnaeve and P. Bessire, "Special tactics: A bayesian approach to tactical decision-making," in *2012 IEEE Conference on Computational Intelligence and Games (CIG)*, Sept 2012, pp. 409–416.
- [24] I. Zelinka and L. Sikora, "Starcraft: Brood war - strategy powered by the soma swarm algorithm," in *2015 IEEE Conference on Computational Intelligence and Games (CIG)*, Aug 2015, pp. 511–516.
- [25] A. R. Tavares, H. Azprua, and L. Chaimowicz, "Evolving swarm intelligence for task allocation in a real time strategy game," in *2014 Brazilian Symposium on Computer Games and Digital Entertainment*, Nov 2014, pp. 99–108.
- [26] J. Young and N. Hawes, "Learning micro-management skills in rts games by imitating experts," in *AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Interactive Digital Entertainment*. AAAI, 2014.
- [27] G. Synnaeve and P. Bessire, "A bayesian model for rts units control applied to starcraft," in *2011 IEEE Conference on Computational Intelligence and Games (CIG'11)*, Aug 2011, pp. 190–196.
- [28] B. G. Weber and M. Mateas, "A data mining approach to strategy prediction," in *2009 IEEE Symposium on Computational Intelligence and Games*, Sept 2009, pp. 140–147.
- [29] G. Synnaeve and P. Bessire, "A bayesian model for opening prediction in rts games with application to starcraft," in *2011 IEEE Conference on Computational Intelligence and Games (CIG'11)*, Aug 2011, pp. 281–288.
- [30] H. Park, H.-C. Cho, K. Lee, and K.-J. Kim, "Prediction of early stage opponents strategy for starcraft ai using scouting and machine learning," in *Proceedings of the Workshop at SIGGRAPH Asia*. ACM, 2012, pp. 7–12.
- [31] H. C. Cho, K. J. Kim, and S. B. Cho, "Replay-based strategy prediction and build order adaptation for starcraft ai bots," in *2013 IEEE Conference on Computational Intelligence in Games (CIG)*, Aug 2013, pp. 1–7.
- [32] K. B. Korb and A. E. Nicholson, *Bayesian Artificial Intelligence, Second Edition*, 2nd ed. CRC Press, Inc., 2010.
- [33] M. Stanescu, N. Barriga, and M. Buro, "Hierarchical adversarial search applied to real-time strategy games," *AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Interactive Digital Entertainment*, 2014.
- [34] B. Weber, M. Mateas, and A. Jhala, "Building human-level ai for real-time strategy games," *Advances in Cognitive Systems: Papers from the 2011 AAAI Fall Symposium*, 2011.
- [35] J. Young, F. Smith, C. Atkinson, K. Poyner, and T. Chothia, "Scail: An integrated starcraft ai system," in *2012 IEEE Conference on Computational Intelligence and Games (CIG)*, Sept 2012, pp. 438–445.
- [36] M.-J. Kim, K.-J. Kim, S. Kim, and A. K. Dey, "Evaluation of starcraft artificial intelligence competition bots by experienced human players," in *Proceedings of the 2016 CHI Conference Extended Abstracts on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. ACM, 2016, pp. 1915–1921.
- [37] Liquipedia. Protos strategy. [Online]. Available: <http://wiki.teamliquid.net/starcraft/Protoss Strategy> [Accessed 03-11-2017]
- [38] I. Dimitrijevic. Iron bot. [Online]. Available: <http://bwem.sourceforge.net/Iron.html> [Accessed 16-11-2017]
- [39] D. Churchill. Ualbertabot. [Online]. Available: <https://github.com/davechurchill/ualbertabot/wiki> [Accessed 16-11-2017]
- [40] A. Smith. Skynet bot. [Online]. Available: <https://github.com/tscmoo/skynet> [Accessed 16-11-2017]
- [41] BWAPI Development Team. bwapi - an api for interacting with StarCraft: Broodwar (1.16.1). [Online]. Available: <https://github.com/bwapi/bwapi> [Accessed 04-11-2017]
- [42] Microsoft Corporation. Microsoft visual studios 2010. [Online]. Available: <https://www.visualstudio.com/vs/older-downloads/> [Accessed 17-04-2018]
- [43] MasterOfChaos. Chaoslauncher. [Online]. Available: <http://winner.cpsx.de/Starcraft/> [Accessed 17-04-2018]
- [44] D. Churchill. Starcraft ai tournament manager. [Online]. Available: <https://github.com/davechurchill/StarcraftAITournamentManager> [Accessed 17-04-2018]
- [45] Oracle. Oracle virtualbox. [Online]. Available: <https://www.virtualbox.org> [Accessed 17-04-2018]

APPENDIX

2017 AIIDE StarCraft AI Competition					
Bot	Games	Win	Loss	Win%	AvgTime
ZZZKBot	2966	2465	501	83.11	8:00
PurpleWave	2963	2440	523	82.35	13:27
Iron	2965	2417	548	81.52	14:19
cpac	2963	2104	859	71.01	9:45
Microwave	2962	2099	863	70.86	11:34
CherryPi	2966	2049	917	69.08	9:50
McRave	2964	1988	976	67.07	14:35
Arrakhammer	2963	1954	1009	65.95	11:37
Tyr	2966	1955	1011	65.91	13:09
Steamhammer	2964	1901	1063	64.14	10:32
AILien	2966	1729	1237	58.29	13:04
LetaBot	2955	1682	1273	56.92	16:48
Ximp	2962	1605	1357	54.19	18:46
UAlbertaBot	2968	1585	1383	53.4	10:54
Aiur	2965	1496	1469	50.46	13:51
IceBot	2955	1348	1607	45.62	17:16
Skynet	2958	1295	1663	43.78	11:40
KillAll	2965	1276	1689	43.04	10:56
MegaBot	2802	1200	1602	42.83	12:21
Xelnaga	2962	1099	1863	37.1	15:19
Overkill	2958	967	1991	32.69	18:00
Juno	2962	876	2086	29.57	14:07
GarmBot	2961	802	2159	27.09	15:21
Myscbot	2964	769	2195	25.94	13:41
HannesBredberg	2964	630	2334	21.26	14:09
Sling	2963	625	2338	21.09	16:21
ForceBot	2960	532	2428	17.97	15:10
Ziabot	2964	510	2454	17.21	10:08
Total	41398	41398	41398	49.98	13:23

TABLE I: Results of the 2017 AIIDE StarCraft AI Competition Sourced from the official website [20]