

# The University of New South Wales

## COMP9315 DBMS Implementation

### Final Exam 14s2

[\[Instructions\]](#) [\[Notes\]](#) [\[PostgreSQL\]](#) [\[C\]](#)  
[\[Q1\]](#) [\[Q2\]](#) [\[Q3\]](#) **[\[Q4\]](#)** [\[Q5\]](#) [\[Q6\]](#) [\[Q7\]](#) [\[Q8\]](#)

#### Question 4 (10 marks)

Consider a database called "uni", similar to the one in the previous question, with the following schema:

```

People(id,title,family,given,address,gender,birthday,country)
Courses(id,code,title,uoc,convenor)
Enrolments(course,student,mark,grade)
Items(id,course,name,maxMark)
Assessments(item,student,mark)

```

Primary keys are underlined. Foreign keys are in italic. Most foreign keys use the name of the table to which they refer; *convenor* and *student* both refer to the *People* table. The *Enrolments* table links *People* to *Courses*, and records their final mark/grade for the course. The *Items* table indicates what assessment items (e.g. assignments) are in each course. The *Assessments* table tells what mark a student received for each assessment item they did.

a. Consider the following query execution plans produced by PostgreSQL for the above database:

```

uni=# explain analyze select * from Courses where id=1234;

                                QUERY PLAN
-----
Index Scan using courses_pkey on courses
                                     (cost=0.28..8.29 rows=1 width=67)
                                     (actual time= 0.093..0.093 rows=0 loops=1)

   Index Cond: (id = 1234)
   Total runtime: 0.130 ms
   (3 rows)

uni=# explain analyze select * from Courses where code='COMP3311';

                                QUERY PLAN
-----
Seq Scan on courses  (cost=0.00..21.25 rows=5 width=67)
                      (actual time=0.084..0.362 rows=1 loops=1)

   Filter: (code = 'COMP3311'::bpchar)
   Rows Removed by Filter: 979
   Total runtime: 0.396 ms

```

Based on the above, answer the following:

- i. Is there a *Courses* tuple with id 1234?
- ii. What is the total number of *Courses* tuples?
- iii. What is the difference in how the two queries are evaluated?
- iv. Which query is the more efficient?

b. Consider the following query execution plan (slightly-edited to make it more readable):

```

uni=# explain analyze
uni=# select c.code, count(*)
uni=# from   courses c join items i on (c.id = i.course)
uni=# group by c.code order by count(*) desc;

                                QUERY PLAN
-----
Sort  (cost=179.71..180.21 rows=200 width=12)
      (actual time=28.368..29.481 rows=980 loops=1)
   Sort Key: (count(*))
   Sort Method: quicksort  Memory: 55kB
   -> HashAggregate (cost=170.07..172.07 rows=200 width=12)
        (actual time=25.358..26.893 rows=980 loops=1)
       -> Hash Join (cost=31.05..150.41 rows=3931 width=12)

```

```

                (actual time=2.916..18.444 rows=3931 loops=1)
      Hash Cond: (i.course = c.id)
    -> Seq Scan on items i  (cost=0.00..65.31 rows=3931 width=4)
        (actual time= 0.017..4.835 rows=3931 loops=1)
    -> Hash  (cost=18.80..18.80 rows=980 width=16)
        (actual time=2.882..2.882 rows=980 loops=1)
    -> Seq Scan on courses c
        (cost=0.00..18.80 rows=980 width=16)
        (actual time=0.012..1.392 rows=980 loops=1)

Total runtime: 30.649 ms

```

Based on the above, answer the following:

- i. Explain in english what this query is trying to do?
- ii. Does the query use external merge sort for its sorting?

c. Consider the following query execution plan (slightly-edited to make it more readable):

```

-----
QUERY PLAN
-----
Nested Loop  (cost=21.59..89.19 rows=1 width=52)
  (actual time=1.541..2.354 rows=3 loops=1)
    -> Hash Join  (cost=21.31..84.22 rows=1 width=14)
        (actual time=1.514..2.287 rows=3 loops=1)
        Hash Cond: (e.course = c.id)
    -> Seq Scan on enrolments e  (cost=0.00..62.83 rows=18 width=18)
        (actual time=0.025..1.576 rows=279 loops=1)
        Filter: (grade = 'FL'::bpchar)
    -> Hash  (cost=21.25..21.25 rows=5 width=4)
        (actual time=0.356..0.356 rows=1 loops=1)
    -> Seq Scan on courses c  (cost=0.00..21.25 rows=5 width=4)
        (actual time=0.336..0.349 rows=1 loops=1)
        Filter: (code = 'SOMA1641'::bpchar)
    -> Index Scan using people_pkey on people p
        (cost=0.28..4.96 rows=1 width=42)
        (actual time=0.011..0.013 rows=1 loops=3)
        Index Cond: (id = e.student)

Total runtime: 2.429 ms

```

Based on the above, answer the following:

- i. How many students satisfied the conditions in the query?
- ii. Give either an SQL statement or relational algebra expression that might have produced this execution plan.  
The final result includes the fields: `Student.id`, `Student.family`, `Enrolments.mark`, `Enrolments.grade`

#### Instructions:

- Type your answer to this question into the file called `q4.txt`
- Submit via: **submit q4**

*End of Question*