


# Buffer Pool

---

- Buffer Pool
- Page Replacement Policies
- Effect of Buffer Management

## ❖ Buffer Pool



COMP9315 21T1 ◇ Buffer Pool ◇ [1/16]

## ❖ Buffer Pool (cont)

---

Aim of buffer pool:

- hold pages read from database files, for possible re-use

Used by:


- [access methods](#) which read/write data pages
- e.g. sequential scan, indexed retrieval, hashing

Uses:

- file manager functions to access data files

Note: we use the terms [page](#) and [block](#) interchangeably

## ❖ Buffer Pool (cont)



## ❖ Buffer Pool (cont)

Buffer pool operations: (both take single **PageID** argument)

- **request\_page(pid), release\_page(pid),...**

To some extent ...


- **request\_page()** replaces **getBlock()**
- **release\_page()** replaces **putBlock()**

Buffer pool data structures:

- **Page frames[NBUFS]**
- **FrameData directory[NBUFS]**
- **Page is byte[BUFSIZE]**

## ❖ Buffer Pool (cont)

directory



frames

## ❖ Buffer Pool (cont)

For each frame, we need to know: (**FrameData**)

- which Page it contains, or whether empty/free
- whether it has been modified since loading (**dirty bit**)
- how many transactions are currently using it (**pin count**)
- time-stamp for most recent access (assists with replacement)

Pages are referenced by PageID ...

- PageID = BufferTag = (rnode, forkNum, blockNum)

## ❖ Buffer Pool (cont)

How scans are performed without Buffer Pool:

```
Buffer buf;
int N = numberOfBlocks(Rel);
for (i = 0; i < N; i++) {
    pageID = makePageID(db, Rel, i);
    getBlock(pageID, buf);
    for (j = 0; j < nTuples(buf); j++)
        process(buf, j)
}
```

Requires **N** page reads.

If we read it again, **N** page reads.



## ❖ Buffer Pool (cont)

How scans are performed with Buffer Pool:

```
Buffer buf;
int N = numberOfBlocks(Rel);
for (i = 0; i < N; i++) {
    pageID = makePageID(db, Rel, i);
    bufID = request_page(pageID);
    buf = frames[bufID]
    for (j = 0; j < nTuples(buf); j++)
        process(buf, j)
    release_page(pageID);
}
```

Requires **N** page reads on the first pass.

If we read it again,  $0 \leq \text{page reads} \leq \mathbf{N}$

## ❖ Buffer Pool (cont)

Implementation of **request\_page()**

```
int request_page(PageID pid)
{
    if (pid in Pool)
        bufID = index for pid in Pool
    else {
        if (no free frames in Pool)
            evict a page (free a frame)
        bufID = allocate free frame
        directory[bufID].page = pid
        directory[bufID].pin_count = 0
        directory[bufID].dirty_bit = 0
    }
    directory[bufID].pin_count++
    return bufID
}
```

## ❖ Buffer Pool (cont)

The **release\_page(pid)** operation:

- Decrement pin count for specified page

Note: no effect on disk or buffer contents until replacement required

The **mark\_page(pid)** operation:

- Set dirty bit on for specified page

Note: doesn't actually write to disk; indicates that page changed

The **flush\_page(pid)** operation:

- Write the specified page to disk (using **write\_page**)

Note: not generally used by higher levels of DBMS

## ❖ Buffer Pool (cont)

---

Evicting a page ...

- find frame(s) *preferably* satisfying
  - pin count = 0 (i.e. nobody using it)
  - dirty bit = 0 (not modified)
- if selected frame was modified, flush frame to disk
- flag directory entry as "frame empty"

If multiple frames can potentially be released

- need a policy to decide which is best choice

## ❖ Page Replacement Policies

---

Several schemes are commonly in use:

- Least Recently Used (LRU)
- Most Recently Used (MRU)
- First in First Out (FIFO)
- Random

LRU / MRU require knowledge of when pages were last accessed

- how to keep track of "last access" time?
- base on request/release ops or on *real* page usage?

## ❖ Page Replacement Policies (cont)

Cost benefit from buffer pool (with  $n$  frames) is determined by:

- number of available frames (more  $\Rightarrow$  better)
- replacement strategy vs page access pattern

**Example (a):** sequential scan, LRU or MRU,  $n \geq b$

First scan costs  $b$  reads; subsequent scans are "free".

**Example (b):** sequential scan, MRU,  $n < b$

First scan costs  $b$  reads; subsequent scans cost  $b - n$  reads.

**Example (c):** sequential scan, LRU,  $n < b$

All scans cost  $b$  reads; known as **sequential flooding**.

## ❖ Effect of Buffer Management

Consider a query to find customers who are also employees:

```
select c.name
from   Customer c, Employee e
where  c.ssn = e.ssn;
```

This might be implemented inside the DBMS via nested loops:

```
for each tuple t1 in Customer {
    for each tuple t2 in Employee {
        if (t1.ssn == t2.ssn)
            append (t1.name) to result set
    }
}
```

## ❖ Effect of Buffer Management (cont)

In terms of page-level operations, the algorithm looks like:

```
Rel rC = openRelation("Customer");
Rel rE = openRelation("Employee");
for (int i = 0; i < nPages(rC); i++) {
    PageID pid1 = makePageID(db, rC, i);
    Page p1 = request_page(pid1);
    for (int j = 0; j < nPages(rE); j++) {
        PageID pid2 = makePageID(db, rE, j);
        Page p2 = request_page(pid2);
        // compare all pairs of tuples from p1, p2
        // construct solution set from matching pairs
        release_page(pid2);
    }
    release_page(pid1);
}
```



## ❖ Effect of Buffer Management (cont)

Costs depend on relative size of tables, #buffers (n), replacement strategy

Requests: each rC page requested once, each rE page requested rC times

If  $nPages(rC) + nPages(rE) \leq n$

- read each page exactly once, holding all pages in buffer pool

If  $nPages(rE) \leq n-1$ , and LRU replacement

- sequential flooding (see earlier slide)

If  $n == 2$  (worst case)

- read each page every time it's requested

Produced: 27 Feb 2022