

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

JANE DOE NO. 2,

CASE NO.: 08-CV-80119-MARRA/JOHNSON

Plaintiff,

vs.

JEFFREY EPSTEIN,

Defendant.

JANE DOE NO. 3,

CASE NO.: 08-CV-80232-MARRA/JOHNSON

Plaintiff,

vs.

JEFFREY EPSTEIN,

Defendant.

JANE DOE NO. 4,

CASE NO.: 08-CV-80380-MARRA/JOHNSON

Plaintiff,

vs.

JEFFREY EPSTEIN,

Defendant.

JANE DOE NO. 5,

CASE NO.: 08-CV-80381-MARRA/JOHNSON

Plaintiff,

vs.

JEFFREY EPSTEIN,

Defendant.

JANE DOE NO. 6,

CASE NO.: 08-CV-80994-MARRA/JOHNSON

Plaintiff,

vs.

JEFFREY EPSTEIN,

Defendant.

JANE DOE NO. 7,

CASE NO.: 08- 80993-CIV-MARRA/JOHNSON

Plaintiff,

vs.

JEFFREY EPSTEIN,

Defendant.

**PLAINTIFFS' UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR ENLARGEMENT OF TIME
TO FILE RESPONSE TO MOTIONS TO COMPEL PLAINTIFF
TO RESPOND TO DEFENDANT'S FIRST REQUEST TO
PRODUCE AND FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES, ET AL.**

Plaintiffs, by and through undersigned counsel, file this Motion for Enlargement to Time to File Responses to Motions to Compel Plaintiffs to Respond to Defendant's First Request to Produce and First Set of Interrogatories, et al., pursuant to S.D. Fla.L.R. 7.1 and Fed.R.Civ.P. 6(b), and states as follows:

1. On April 2, 2009, Defendant Epstein filed two Motions to Compel in each of the above-captioned cases: (1) Motion to Compel Plaintiff to Respond to Defendant's First Request to Produce and to Overrule Objections, and for an Award of Defendant's Reasonable Expenses; and (2) Motion to Compel Plaintiff to Answer Defendant's First Set of Interrogatories, and to Overrule Objections, and for an Award of Defendant's Reasonable Expenses. Plaintiffs' responses to these

Motions are due on April 29, 2009.

2. Plaintiffs move for an enlargement of time to prepare and file their response to the Motions because of demands on Plaintiffs' counsel in this case and in other cases and matters. This includes an appellate brief presently due on May 1, 2009, in Doe v. School Bd. of Broward County and Scavella, case no. 09-10394-E, Eleventh Circuit Court of Appeals; and post-judgment motions and briefs in Elk v. United States, case no. 05-186L, Court of Federal Claims.

3. Plaintiffs request an enlargement of time until May 6, 2009.

4. Pursuant to S.D.Fla.L.R. 7.1(A), Plaintiffs' counsel has conferred with counsel for Defendant regarding the relief sought in this Motion, who has advised Plaintiffs' counsel that Defendant has no objection to the enlargement of time requested.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully request an enlargement of time to file their response to the Motions to Compel et al., until and including May 6, 2009.

Dated: April 29, 2009

Respectfully submitted,

By: s/ Stuart S. Mermelstein
Stuart S. Mermelstein (FL Bar No. 947245)
ssm@sexabuseattorney.com
Adam D. Horowitz (FL Bar No. 376980)
ahorowitz@sexabuseattorney.com
MERMELSTEIN & HOROWITZ, P.A.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
18205 Biscayne Blvd., Suite 2218
Miami, Florida 33160
Tel: 305-931-2200
Fax: 305-931-0877

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on April 29, 2009, I electronically filed the foregoing document with the Clerk of the Court using CM/ECF. I also certify that the foregoing document is being served this day to all parties on the attached Service List in the manner specified, either via transmission of Notices of Electronic Filing generated by CM/ECF or in some other authorized manner for those parties who are not authorized to receive electronically Notices of Electronic Filing.

/s/ Stuart S. Mermelstein.

SERVICE LIST
DOE vs. JEFFREY EPSTEIN
United States District Court, Southern District of Florida

Jack Alan Goldberger, Esq.
jgoldberger@agwpa.com

Robert D. Critton, Esq.
rcritton@bclclaw.com

/s/ Stuart S. Mermelstein