

# Artin: Fields

James Pagan

March 2024

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Fields</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Algebraic and Transcendental Elements</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>The Degree of a Field Extension</b>	<b>5</b>
3.1	Low-Degree Field Extensions . . . . .	7

## 1 Fields

A **field** is a commutative division ring. If  $F \subseteq K$  is a pair of fields, we say  $K$  is a **field extension** of  $F$ . This relation is denoted  $K/F$ ; this is *not* a quotient! Examples of fields are as follows:

1. The motivation for examining field extensions originates from **number fields**: subfields of  $\mathbb{C}$ . All number fields are extensions of  $\mathbb{Q}$ . The classical questions regarding number fields concerned **algebraic number fields**, whose elements are algebraic.
2. A **finite field** is a field that contains finitely many elements. Finite fields are gorgeous and colorful objects that obey beautiful, tight-knit properties.
3. Extensions of the field  $F(t)$  of rational functions are called **function fields**.

## 2 Algebraic and Transcendental Elements

Let  $K/F$  be a field extension and let  $\alpha$  be an element of  $K$ . The element  $\alpha$  is **algebraic over  $F$**  if it is the root of a monic polynomial with coefficients in  $F$  — say,  $f(\alpha) = 0$  for

$$f(x) = x^n + a_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_0, \quad \text{where } a_{n-1}, \dots, a_0 \in F,$$

An element is **transcendental over  $F$**  if it is not algebraic. Both of these properties depend on the field  $F$ . Every element  $\alpha \in F$  is algebraic over  $F$  due to the monomial  $x - \alpha$ . We can elegantly describe this as a substitution homomorphism

$$\phi : F[x] \rightarrow K \quad \text{defined by} \quad x \rightsquigarrow \alpha.$$

An element  $\phi$  is transcendental if  $\phi$  is injective and algebraic otherwise.

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $\alpha \in K/F$  be an element of a field extension. The following conditions on a monic polynomial  $f \in F[x]$  are equivalent:*

1.  $f$  is the unique monic polynomial of lowest degree in  $F[x]$  with  $\alpha$  as a root.
2.  $f$  is an irreducible element of  $F[x]$  with  $\alpha$  as a root.
3.  $f(\alpha) = 0$  and  $(f)$  is a maximal ideal.
4. If  $g(\alpha) = 0$ , then  $f \mid g$ .

*Proof.* Since  $F[x]$  is a Euclidean domain, the kernel of  $\phi : F[x] \rightarrow K$  is a principal ideal generated by some polynomial  $f$  of smallest degree.  $f$  must be irreducible, or else a polynomial of smaller degree has a root at  $\phi$ ; the other properties are easy to deduce.  $\square$

This polynomial is called the **minimal polynomial** of  $\alpha$ . The degree of the minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$  is called the **degree** of  $\alpha$ . Whatever the case, the goal of this section is to examine the fields and rings generated by algebraic elements:

1. The field  $F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$  denotes the subfield of  $K$  generated by  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ .

$F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$  is the smallest subfield of  $K$  that contains  $F$  and  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ .

2. The ring  $F[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n]$  denotes the subring of  $K$  generated by  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ . The ring  $F[\alpha]$  is isomorphic to the image of the substitution homomorphism  $\phi : F[x] \rightarrow K$  as defined above.

The field  $F(\alpha)$  is isomorphic to the field of fractions of  $F[\alpha]$ . If  $\alpha$  is transcendental, then  $F[\alpha] \cong F[x]$  and  $F(\alpha) \cong F(x)$ ; nowhere does a polynomial or rational function in  $\alpha$  reduce. If  $\alpha$  is algebraic,

**Proposition 2.** *Let  $\alpha \in K / F$  be an algebraic element of a field extension. Let  $f$  be the minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$ . Then the following holds:*

1. *The canonical map  $\phi : F[x] / (f) \rightarrow F[\alpha]$  is an isomorphism.*
2.  *$F[\alpha]$  is a field, hence  $F[\alpha] = F(\alpha)$ .*
3. *More generally,  $F[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n] = F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$  if  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in K / F$  are algebraic.*

*Proof.* Let  $\phi : F[x] \rightarrow K$  be the aforementioned substitution homomorphism. Then  $F[x] / \text{Ker } \phi \cong F[\alpha]$ . By Proposition 1, the kernel of  $\phi$  is a maximal ideal generated by the minimal polynomial  $f$ , which yields (1) and (2). As per (3), an induction argument proceeds along these lines:

$$F[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n] = F[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}][\alpha_n] = F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1})[\alpha_n] = F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n).$$

The omitted details are relatively easy to verify. □

The following proposition is a special case of one I omitted from Chapter 11.

**Proposition 3.** *Let  $\alpha \in K / F$  be an algebraic element of a field extension. If  $\deg \alpha = n$ , then  $1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^{n-1}$  is a basis for  $F(\alpha)$  as a vector space over  $F$ .*

Given two algebraic elements  $\alpha \in K / F$  and  $\beta \in L / F$ —or given their minimal polynomials—how can one determine whether  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  generate the same field? We answer this question in Proposition 5.

**Proposition 4.** *Let  $\alpha \in K/F$  and  $\beta \in L/F$  be algebraic elements of field extensions. Then  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  have the same minimal polynomial if and only if  $F(\alpha) \cong F(\beta)$  — in which case, the isomorphism is the identity on  $F$  and maps  $\alpha \rightsquigarrow \beta$*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  share the same minimal polynomial  $f \in F[x]$ . By Proposition 2,  $F(\beta) \cong F[x]/(f) \cong F(\alpha)$ ; the additional conditions imposed upon the isomorphism are easy to verify.

For the other direction, suppose  $F(\alpha) \cong F(\beta)$  by the described isomorphism. Let the minimal polynomial of  $f$  be  $\alpha$ ; by Proposition 5,  $f(\alpha) = 0$  implies  $f(\beta) = 0$  too — hence the minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$  divides the minimal polynomial of  $\beta$ . Observing that they're monic and share the same degree implies they are equal.  $\square$

Let  $K/F$  and  $K'/F$  be field extensions. An **F-isomorphism** is an isomorphism  $\phi : K \rightarrow K'$  that restricts  $F$  to the identity; the fields  $K$  and  $K'$  are **isomorphic field extensions**.

**Proposition 5.** *Let  $\phi : K \rightarrow K'$  be an isomorphism of field extensions, and suppose  $f \in F[x]$ . Then  $f(\alpha) = 0$  if and only if  $f(\alpha') = 0$ .*

*Proof.* It suffices to prove the theorem for the minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$  — thus redefine  $f$  as such. The canonical epimorphism  $K' \rightarrow K'/(f)$  may be decomposed as

$$K' \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow K/(f) \longrightarrow K'/(f),$$

of which  $\phi(\alpha)$  vanishes; thus  $f(\phi(\alpha)) = 0$ . Alternatively, we could let  $f(x) = a_n x^n + \dots + a_0$ , and observe that

$$a_n \phi(\alpha)^n + \dots + a_0 = \phi(a_n \alpha^n + \dots + a_0) = \phi(0) = 0.$$

The symmetry of isomorphisms entails the desired bicondition.  $\square$

My intuition is that Proposition 5 should constrain the structure of field extensions — but hell, what do I know. The following lemma regards the **characteristic** of a field.

**Lemma 1.** *The characteristic of a field is either 0 or prime.*

*Proof.* If  $F$  has characteristic  $n = ab$  for  $a, b \in \{2, \dots, n-1\}$ , we attain the following equation:

$$\left( \sum_{i=1}^a 1 \right) \left( \sum_{i=1}^b 1 \right) = \sum_{i=1}^n 1 = 0$$

Since  $F$  is an integral domain, one of these is zero — violating the minimality of  $n$ .  $\square$

### 3 The Degree of a Field Extension

Any field extension  $K / F$  may be regarded as an  $F$ -vector space  $K$ . The **degree**  $[K : F]$  of this field extension is the dimension of this vector space.

**Theorem 1** (Multiplicative Property of the Degree). *Let  $L / K / F$  be field extensions. Then  $[L : F] = [L : K][K : F]$ ; hence each of  $[L : K]$  and  $[K : F]$  divides  $[L : F]$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n$  be a basis of  $L$  over  $K$ ; let  $k_1, \dots, k_m$  be basis of  $K$  over  $F$ . We claim the products  $\ell_i k_j$  constitute a basis of  $L$  over  $F$  — which starts with demonstrating that they span  $L$ . For all  $\ell \in L$ , there exist  $j_1, \dots, j_n$  such that

$$\ell = j_1 \ell_1 + \dots + j_n \ell_n.$$

Similarly, each  $j_i$  factors in  $K$  for  $f_{i1}, \dots, f_{im}$  as

$$j_i = f_{i1} k_1 + \dots + f_{im} k_m.$$

Substituting this equation into the prior one yields a linear combination of  $\ell$  into the terms  $\ell_i k_j$ . What remains to be demonstrated is their independence; suppose that

$$0 = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m f_{ij} \ell_i k_j = \ell_1 \left( \sum_{j=1}^m f_{1j} k_j \right) + \dots + \ell_n \left( \sum_{j=1}^m f_{nj} k_j \right).$$

Since  $\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n$  are a basis, each of these sums must be zero; since  $k_1, \dots, k_m$  are a basis, each  $f_{ij}$  must be zero. The lengths of these bases imply the desired result.  $\square$

A field extension  $K / F$  is a **finite extension** if  $K$  its degree is finite. As we will see, finite extensions are an equivalent way to characterize extensions generated by algebraic elements:

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $\alpha \in K / F$  be an element of a field extension. Then the following holds:*

1. *If  $\alpha$  is algebraic, then  $[F(\alpha) : F] = \deg \alpha$ .*
2.  *$\alpha$  is algebraic if and only if  $[F(\alpha) : F]$  is finite.*

*Proof.* Since  $\alpha$  is algebraic, no linear combinations of  $1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^{n-1}$  yield zero; by the division algorithm, they span  $F(\alpha)$ . This yields (1). As per (2),  $\alpha$  being algebraic implies  $1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \dots, \alpha^{n-1}$  spans  $F[\alpha]$ ; otherwise,  $1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \dots$  is an infinite basis of  $F[\alpha]$ .  $\square$

Unfortunately, the reverse direction is more complex: a finite extension is generated by finitely many algebraic elements, but these may be distinct.

**Lemma 3.** Suppose that  $K / F$  is a field extension. Then the following holds:

1.  $K$  is finite if and only if it is generated by finitely many algebraic elements.
2. If  $K$  is finite, then  $\alpha$  is algebraic and  $\deg \alpha$  divides  $[K : F]$ .

*Proof.* If  $K$  is finite, then let  $\deg K = n$ . There exists  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  that constitute a basis of the  $F$ -vector space  $K$  — hence  $K = F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ . On the contrary: if  $K$  is generated by finitely many algebraic elements  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} [F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) : F] &= [F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) : F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1})] \cdots [F(\alpha_1) : F(\alpha)] \\ &\leq \deg \alpha_n \times \cdots \times \deg \alpha_1 \\ &< \infty, \end{aligned}$$

so  $K / F$  is a finite extension. For (2), the fact that  $\alpha$  is algebraic follows from the fact that  $F(\alpha)$  is an  $F$ -subspace of the finite-dimensional  $F$ -vector space  $K$ . As per the degree: if  $\deg \alpha = n$ :

$$[K : F] = [K : F(\alpha)] [F(\alpha) : F] = [K : F(\alpha)] \deg \alpha.$$

Hence  $\deg \alpha$  divides  $[K : F]$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

We now examine the relationship between the degrees of iterated field extensions.

**Corollary 1.** Let  $L / K / F$  be field extensions. If  $\alpha \in L$  is  $F$ -algebraic, then  $\alpha$  is  $K$ -algebraic and  $\deg_K \alpha \leq \deg_F \alpha$ .

*Proof.* If  $\alpha \in L$  is algebraic over  $F$ , then there exist  $f_1, \dots, f_n \in F$  such that

$$\alpha^n + f_{n-1}\alpha^{n-1} + \cdots + f_0 = 0.$$

Since  $L \subseteq K$ , this means  $\alpha$  is a root of a polynomial in  $K[x]$  — hence  $\alpha$  is algebraic over  $K$ . The degree is smaller than  $n$  if the above polynomial reduces in  $K$ , and equal otherwise.  $\square$

Unfortunately, it is not true that  $K$ -algebraic elements are  $F$ -algebraic: consider  $\mathbb{C} / \mathbb{R} / \mathbb{Q}$  with the  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebraic element  $\pi$ .

**Corollary 2.** Let  $F \subseteq K, K' \subseteq \mathcal{K}$  be field extensions, and let  $F'$  be the field generated by  $K$  and  $K'$ . Then

$$[K : F] [K' : F] \geq [F' : F],$$

yet both  $[K : F]$  and  $[K' : F]$  divide  $[F' : F]$ .

*Proof.* Since  $F \subseteq K, K' \subseteq F'$  are field extensions, the multiplicative property of the degree yields that  $[K : F]$  and  $[K' : F]$  divide  $[F' : F]$ . Now, let  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  and  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n$  be  $F$ -bases of  $K$  and  $K'$ . Then the products  $\alpha_i \beta_j$  span  $F'$ , yielding the desired inequality.  $\square$

Two corollaries of the above result are as follows:

1.  $\text{lcm}([K : F], [K' : F]) \leq [F' : F]$ .
2. If  $[K : F]$  and  $[K' : F]$  are relatively prime integers, then  $[K : F][K' : F] = [F' : F]$ .

This latter component is quite important.

### 3.1 Low-Degree Field Extensions

If  $[K : F] = 2$ , then  $K/F$  is a **quadratic extension**. Similarly  $[K : F] = 3$  entails that  $K/F$  is a **cubic extension**. Quadratic and quintic extensions are defined similarly.

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $\alpha \in K/F$  is an element of a field extension. Then the following holds:*

1.  $[K : F] = 1$  if and only if  $K = F$ .
2.  $\deg \alpha = 1$  if and only if  $\alpha \in F$ .

*Proof.* If there was some element  $\alpha \in K \setminus F$ , then  $1, \alpha$  would be independent in  $K$  — hence  $[K : F] \geq 2$ . The contrapositive yields (1). For (2), we have

$$\deg \alpha = 1 \iff x - \alpha \text{ is the minimal polynomial of } \alpha \iff \alpha \in F.$$

This concludes the proof.  $\square$

This classifies extensions with degree 1. Extensions of degree 2 have a simple story as well:

**Proposition 6.** *Suppose that the characteristic of  $F$  is not 2. Then an extension  $K/F$  is quadratic if and only if adjoining  $\delta^2 = a \in F$  not in  $F$  obtains  $K$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $K/F$  is quadratic. Then there exists  $\alpha \in K \setminus F$ , in which case  $(1, \alpha)$  is a basis of  $K$ . Thus there exist  $b, c \in F$  such that  $\alpha^2 = b\alpha + c$ . Deriving the quadratic formula by completing the square, we find

$$\alpha = \frac{-b \pm \sqrt{b^2 - 4c}}{2}.$$

Because  $\alpha \notin F$ , the element  $b^2 - 4c$  must not be a square in  $F$ . If  $\delta$  is one of these square roots, it is clear that  $(1, \delta)$  spans  $K$  — hence  $F(\delta) = K$ . The contrary is trivial.  $\square$

We give the reader three sets of exercises:

1. Let the two complex roots of  $x^3 - 2 = 0$  be  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha^2$ . Calculate  $[\mathbb{Q}(\alpha, \alpha^2) : \mathbb{Q}]$ .
2. Let  $\beta$  be a root of the irreducible polynomial  $x^4 + x + 1$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Prove that  $\sqrt[3]{2} \notin \mathbb{Q}(\beta)$ .
3. Calculate (with proof) the degree of  $i$  over  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ .

These are easy corollaries from the above theorems.