MATH-UA 140: Assignment 2

James Pagan, September 2023

Professor Raquépas

Contents

1	Problem 1	1
2	Problem 2	2
3	Problem 3	3
4	Problem 4	4
5	Problem 5	4

1 Problem 1

Part (a): Across all $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, the expression

$$\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 5 \\ -2 & 0 \\ 8 & 9 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = x_1 \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ -2 \\ 8 \end{bmatrix} + x_2 \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 0 \\ 9 \end{bmatrix}$$

is the span of (3, -2, 8) and (5, 0, 9). However, these two vectors and (2, -3, 8) are linearly independent, as

$$\begin{vmatrix} 3 & 5 & 2 \\ -2 & 0 & -3 \\ 8 & 9 & 8 \end{vmatrix} = 0 + (-120) + (-36) - (0) - (-80) - (-81) = 5 \neq 0.$$

If x_1 and x_2 existed such that $x_1(3, -2, 8) + x_2(5, 0, 9) = (2, -3, 8)$, then

$$x_1 \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ -2 \\ 8 \end{bmatrix} + x_2 \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 0 \\ 9 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -3 \\ 8 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

which contradicts the fact that the three vectors are linearly independent. We conclude that no such x_1 and x_2 exist.

Part (b): Adding four times the first row to the third row yields

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & -8 \\ 0 & -3 & 13 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 8 \\ -9 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Adding two-thirds of the second row to the third row yields

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & -8 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 8 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We thus have three equations:

$$x_3 = 3$$

 $2x_2 - 8x_3 = 8 \implies 2x_2 - 24 = 8 \implies x_2 = 16$
 $x_1 - 2x_2 + x_3 = 0 \implies x_1 - 32 + 3 = 0 \implies x_1 = 29$

The answer is thus $(x_1, x_2, x_3) = (29, 16, 3)$.

2 Problem 2

Part (a) For all $k \in \mathbb{R}$, the vector (1,0,0) is a solution to $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 4 & 4 \\ 3 & 7 & k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1+0+0 \\ 2+0+0 \\ 3+0+0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

There is no k-value such that (1,0,0) is not a solution to $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$.

Part (b): Observe that **b** lies in the span of the columns of A for all $k \in \mathbb{R}$, as the first column of A is **b** itself. Therefore, there exist infinitely many solutions to $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ when the columns of A are linearly dependent, which occurs if $\det(A)$ is zero: namely, if

$$0 = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 4 & 4 \\ 3 & 7 & k \end{vmatrix} = 4k + 12 + 14 - 12 - 2k - 28 = 2k - 14.$$

and $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{7}$.

Part (c): There exists exactly one solution \mathbf{b}' to $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}'$ whenever the columns of A are linearly independent — which occurs if $\det(A)$ is nonzero. Our work in Part (b) establishes that this holds for all reals $\mathbf{k} \neq \mathbf{7}$

Part (d): Observe that

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -2 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 4 & 4 \\ 3 & 7 & 10 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -2 & 1 & 0 \\ -3 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 4 & 4 \\ 3 & 7 & 10 \end{bmatrix} \\ = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -2 & 1 & 0 \\ -3 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 4 & 4 \\ 3 & 7 & 10 \end{bmatrix} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 2 \\ 0 & 4 & 7 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The matricies we seek are thus

$$E = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -2 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad U = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

3 Problem 3

The matrix is invertible if and only if the determinant is nonzero; namely, if

$$0 \neq \begin{vmatrix} 2 & c & c \\ c & 5 & c \\ 8 & c & c \end{vmatrix} = 10c + 8c^2 + c^3 - 40c - c^3 - 2c^2 = 6c^2 - 30c,$$

or all $c \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0, 5\}$.

4 Problem 4

Applying the eliminations E_{21} , E_{31} , and E_{41} yields

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & a & a & a \\ 0 & b-a & b-a & b-a \\ 0 & b-a & c-a & c-a \\ 0 & b-a & c-a & d-a \end{bmatrix}.$$

Further applying the eliminations E_{32} and E_{42} yields

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & a & a & a \\ 0 & b-a & b-a & b-a \\ 0 & 0 & c-b & c-b \\ 0 & 0 & c-b & d-b \end{bmatrix}.$$

Finally, further applying E_{43} yields an upper trianular matrix:

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & a & a & a \\ 0 & b-a & b-a & b-a \\ 0 & 0 & c-b & c-b \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & d-c \end{bmatrix}$$

5 Problem 5

Part (a) The result is true. If A is an n-by-n matrix with a column of zeroes, then multiplying A with any other n-by-n matrix will clearly produce a matrix with the same column of zeroes. As the identity matrix has no column of zeroes, we deduce there is no A^{-1} such that $AA^{-1} = I$.

Part (b) The result is **true**. For every row of an n-by-n matrix A to add to zero, we must have that

$$a_{1,1} + a_{1,2} + \dots + a_{1,n-1} = -a_{1,n},$$

 \vdots
 $a_{n,1} + a_{n,2} + \dots + a_{n,n-1} = -a_{n,n}.$

Then adding every column vector of A except the final column produces the negative of the final column. Thus, the columns of A are not linearly independent, and $\det A = 0$; then A is singular.

Part (c) The result is **true**. For every column of an n-by-n matrix A to add to zero, we must have that

$$a_{1,1} + a_{2,1} + \dots + a_{n-1,1} = -a_{n,1},$$

 \vdots
 $a_{1,n} + a_{2,n} + \dots + a_{n-1,n} = -a_{n,n}.$

Then adding every row vector of A except the final row produces the negative of the final row. Thus, the row of A are not linearly independent, and det A = 0; then A is singular.

Part (d) The result is false. Consider the 2-by-2 matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

It has 1's down its main diagonal, yet has determinant 1 - 1 = 0; it is therefore not intervtible, so the answer is **false**.

Part (e) The result is true. If A is invertible, then the inverse of A^{-1} is A; furthermore,

$$A^{2}A^{-2} = AAA^{-1}A^{-1} = A(I)A^{-1} = AA^{-1} = I,$$

$$A^{-2}A^{2} = A^{-1}A^{-1}AA = A^{-1}(I)A = A^{-1}A = I,$$

so A^2 has inverse A^{-2} .