

# Artin: Factoring

James Pagan

February 2024

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Unique Factorization Domains</b>	<b>2</b>
1.1	Terminology . . . . .	2
1.2	Definition . . . . .	3
<b>2</b>	<b>Principal Ideal Domains</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1	Definition . . . . .	3
2.2	Relation with Unique Factorization Domains . . . . .	4
<b>3</b>	<b>Euclidean Domain</b>	<b>5</b>
3.1	Definition . . . . .	5
3.2	Examples . . . . .	5
3.3	Relation with Principal Ideal Domains . . . . .	6
<b>4</b>	<b>Greatest Common Divisor</b>	<b>7</b>

# 1 Unique Factorization Domains

## 1.1 Terminology

Let  $R$  be an integral domain. Before we introduce unique factorization domains, we must define several terms for  $a, b \in R$ :

1.  $a$  **divides**  $b$  if  $(b) \subseteq (a)$ .
2.  $a$  is a **proper divisor** of  $b$  if  $(b) \subset (a) \subset R$ .
3.  $a$  and  $b$  are **associates** if  $(a) = (b)$ .
4.  $a$  is **irreducible** if  $(a) \subset R$  and there is no principal ideal  $(c)$  such that  $(a) \subset (c) \subset R$ .
5.  $p$  is a **prime element** if  $p \neq 0$  and  $(p)$  is prime.

These may be equivalently expressed ideal-free (AbstractAlgebra/homework3.tex):

1.  $a$  **divides**  $b$  if  $b = aq$  for some  $q \in R$ .
2.  $a$  is a **proper divisor** of  $b$  if  $b = aq$  and neither  $a$  nor  $q$  is a unit.
3.  $a$  and  $b$  are **associates** if each divides the other — that is,  $b = ua$  for some unit  $u$ .
4.  $a$  is **irreducible** if it has no proper divisors — its only divisors are units and associates.
5.  $p$  is a **prime element** if  $p \neq 0$  and  $p$  divides  $ab$  implies  $p$  divides  $a$  or  $p$  divides  $b$ .

A **size function** is a mapping  $\sigma : R \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ .

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $R$  be an integral domain. Then all prime elements of  $R$  are irreducible.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $p$  is prime and that  $(p) \subseteq (c) \subset R$ . Hence there exists  $x$  such that  $p = cx$ , so  $cx \in (p)$ . We have two possibilities:  $c \in (p)$  or  $x \in (p)$ .

Suppose for contradiction that  $x \in (p)$ . Then  $x = py$  for some  $y$  — substituting into the above equality yields

$$p = c(py) \implies p(1 - cy) = 0.$$

Since  $p \neq 0$ , we have  $1 = cy$  — hence  $c$  is a unit and  $(c) = R$ , a contradiction. We must have  $c \in (p)$ , so  $(c) = (p)$ . We conclude that  $(p)$  is irreducible.  $\square$

## 1.2 Definition

A **unique factorization domain**  $R$  is an integral domain if for every nonzero  $x \in R$ , there exists a unit  $u$  and irreducible elements  $p_1, \dots, p_n$  such that

$$x = up_1 \cdots p_n,$$

and this factorization is unique in the following sense: if there exists a second factorization

$$x = wq_1 \cdots q_m,$$

then  $n = m$  and there exists a bijection such that  $(p_i) = (q_j)$  for each paired  $i, j$  (that is,  $p_i$  and  $q_j$  associate).

**Theorem 2.** *Every irreducible element in a unique factorization domain is prime.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $(p)$  is not prime — then there exist  $a, b \notin (p)$  such that  $ab \in (p)$ . Thus we have  $(p) \subset (a)$ . Since  $a$  is a nonunit,  $(a) \subset R$ , so

$$(p) \subset (a) \subset R.$$

Hence  $(p)$  is not irreducible. Taking the contrapositive yields the desired result.  $\square$

Hence, we could equivalently define unique factorization as decomposition to *prime* elements. In this sense, factorization in  $R$  “terminates” if and only if  $R$  satisfies the ascending chain condition for principal ideals; namely, the chain

$$x \subseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} (p_i) \subseteq \bigcap_{i=2}^{\infty} (p_i) \subseteq \bigcap_{i=3}^{\infty} (p_i) \subseteq \cdots$$

is stationary.

## 2 Principal Ideal Domains

### 2.1 Definition

A **principal ideal domain** is an integral domain in which all ideals are principal. It is clear that all such domains are Noetherian.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $R$  be a principal ideal domain. Then all nonzero prime ideals of  $R$  are maximal.*

*Proof.* Let  $(p)$  be a prime ideal contained in the maximal ideal  $(m)$ . Supposing for contradiction that

$$(p) \subset (m) \subset R,$$

we obtain that  $(p)$  is not irreducible, which contradicts Theorem 1. Hence  $(p) = (m)$ , so  $(p)$  is maximal.  $\square$

Three helpful facts about principal ideal domains are as follows:

1. If  $\mathfrak{a}_1 = (a_1)$  and  $\mathfrak{a}_2 = (a_2)$  are principal ideals, then  $\mathfrak{a}_1\mathfrak{a}_2 = (a_1a_2)$ . This holds in any commutative ring.
2. Prime ideals cannot contain other prime ideals: if  $(p_1) \subset (p_2)$  are prime, then the fact

$$(p_1) \subset (p_2) \subset R$$

implies that  $(p_1)$  is not irreducible — a contradiction.

3. All prime ideals are relatively prime. This is because if  $(p_1)$  and  $(p_2)$  are prime, we have

$$(p_1) \subseteq (p_1) + (p_2) \subseteq R$$

We cannot have  $(p_1) = (p_1) + (p_2)$  by Fact 2; thus since  $(p_1)$  is irreducible, we conclude that  $(p_1) + (p_2) = R$ .

4. If  $(p_1), \dots, (p_n)$  are prime ideals, then

$$(p_1) \cap \dots \cap (p_n) = (p_1) \times \dots \times (p_n) = (p_1 \cdots p_n).$$

## 2.2 Relation with Unique Factorization Domains

**Theorem 4.** *All principal ideal domains are unique factorization domains.*

*Proof.* Let  $R$  be a principal ideal domain and select  $x \in R$ . Then since  $R$  is Noetherian, factoring terminates: each ascending chain of principal ideals is stationary.

Let  $(p_1), \dots, (p_n)$  be the prime ideals which contain  $x$ . By Fact 4, we deduce that  $x \in (p_1p_2 \cdots p_n)$ . Thus we can write  $x$  in the form

$$x = u_1p_1 \cdots p_n.$$

If  $u_1$  is contained in prime ideals, then they must be among  $(p_1), \dots, (p_n)$ . Hence we can express  $u_1$  as a product of some  $p_1, \dots, p_n$  times  $u_2$ . Repeating at nauseum, we obtain a sequence  $u_1, u_2, \dots$  which yields the stationary chain

$$(x) \subseteq (u_1) \subseteq (u_2) \subseteq \dots.$$

Hence there must exist  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  such that  $(u_n) = (u_{n+1}) = \dots$ . Thus we have  $u_n = u \cdot u_{n+1}$  for some unit  $u$ . Recursive substitution into our expression for  $x$  yields

$$x = up_1^{e_1} \cdots p_n^{e_n},$$

which completes the existence portion of the proof. As per uniqueness, suppose that

$$up_1 \cdots p_n = x = wq_1 \cdots q_m$$

A quick induction on  $\max\{m, n\}$  yields that since two primes on either side must be adjoints, we can divide and yield a number which factors uniquely. This completes the proof.  $\square$

### 3 Euclidean Domain

#### 3.1 Definition

An integral domain  $R$  is a **Euclidean domain** if there exists a size function  $\sigma$  such that  $a \in R$  and *nonzero*  $b \in R$  implies the existence of  $q, r \in R$  such that  $a = bq + r$ , where  $\sigma(r) < \sigma(b)$ . It is clear that  $\mathbb{Z}$  is a Euclidean domain.

#### 3.2 Examples

**Theorem 5.**  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  is a Euclidean domain.

*Proof.* Using the norm  $\|a + bi\| = a^2 + b^2$ , we will divide  $a + bi$  by  $c + di$ . It is easy to deduce that there exist rationals  $r, s$  such that

$$\frac{a + bi}{c + di} = r + si.$$

Approximate  $r$  and  $s$  by integers: namely define  $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $|r - n| \leq \frac{1}{2}$  and  $|s - m| \leq \frac{1}{2}$ . Then we can express the above as

$$r + si = (n + mi) + (r - n) + i(s - m).$$

Expanding this out, we obtain a rather messy equation:

$$a + bi = (n + ni)(c + di) + ((r - n) + i(s - m))(c + di).$$

All that remains to be proven is that the right-most term has a norm less than  $c + di$ , which is equivalent to showing that  $(r - n) + i(s - m)$  has a norm less than one:

$$\|(r - n) + i(s - m)\| = (r - n)^2 + (s - m)^2 \leq \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4} < 1.$$

This completes the proof. □

For a field  $F$ , the ring  $F[x]$  is a field. I proved this in my contest algebra notes.

**Theorem 6.** *All fields are Euclidean domains.*

*Proof.* Let  $R$  be a field, and select  $a, b \in F$ . Then

$$a = b \left( \frac{a}{b} \right) + 0.$$

If  $\sigma$  is an arbitrary size function on  $R$ , then the caveat of remainder zero ensures that the above equations dictate a valid Euclidean division. □

### 3.3 Relation with Principal Ideal Domains

**Theorem 7.** *All Euclidean domains are principal ideal domains.*

*Proof.* Let  $R$  be a Euclidean domain with size function  $\sigma$  and let  $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq R$  be an ideal. If  $\mathfrak{a} = 0$ , then  $\mathfrak{a}$  is principal; otherwise, the Well-Ordering Theorem guarantees that there exists a nonzero element  $a \in \mathfrak{a}$  of minimal size.

Let  $b \in \mathfrak{a}$ . Then there exist  $q, r \in R$  such that

$$b = aq + r,$$

where  $\sigma(r) < \sigma(a)$ . Since  $a$  is minimal, we must have  $r = 0$ , in which case  $b \in (a)$ . We conclude that  $\mathfrak{a} = (a)$ , so all ideals of  $R$  are principal. □

We have thus attained a sequence of types of rings:

$$\text{rings} \subseteq \text{commutative rings} \subseteq \text{integral domains} \subseteq \text{UFDs} \subseteq \text{PIDs} \subseteq \text{GDs} \subseteq \text{fields}.$$

## 4 Greatest Common Divisor

Let  $R$  be an integral domain, and select  $a, b \in R$ . A **greatest common divisor** of  $a$  and  $b$  is an element  $d \in R$  such that:

1.  $d \mid a$  and  $d \mid b$ .
2.  $c \mid a$  and  $c \mid b$  implies  $c \mid d$ .

It is clear that GCDs are unique up to association by Condition 2 — thus we can speak of *the* GCD. If the only greatest common divisors of  $a$  and  $b$  are units, we set  $\gcd(a, b) = 1$  and call  $a, b$  **relatively prime**.

**Theorem 8.** *Suppose  $R$  is a principal ideal domain. Then the generator of the ideal  $(a, b)$  is the greatest common divisor of  $a, b$ .*

*Proof.* It is clear that  $a, b \in (d)$  implies  $d \mid a$  and  $d \mid b$ . □