

Axler: Eigenvalues and Eigenvectors

December 2023

James Pagan

Contents

1	Invariant Subspaces	2
1.1	Eigenvalues	2
1.2	Polynomials Applied to Operators	3
2	The Minimal Polynomial	4
2.1	Existence of Eigenvalues on Complex Vector Spaces	4
2.2	Eigenvalues and the Minimal Polynomial	5
3	Upper-Triangular Matrices	8
3.1	Matrix Prerequisites	8

1 Invariant Subspaces

1.1 Eigenvalues

Suppose $\mathbf{T} \in \mathcal{L}(V)$. A subspace U of V is called **invariant** under \mathbf{T} if $\mathbf{T}\mathbf{u} \in U$ for all $\mathbf{u} \in U$. A number $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ is called an **eigenvalue** of $\mathbf{T} \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ if there exists $\mathbf{v} \in V$ such that $\mathbf{T}\mathbf{v} = \lambda\mathbf{v}$.

Theorem 1. *Suppose V is finite-dimensional, $\mathbf{T} \in \mathcal{L}(V)$, and $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$. Then the following are equivalent:*

1. λ is an eigenvalue of T .
2. $\mathbf{T} - \lambda\mathbf{I}$ is not injective.
3. $\mathbf{T} - \lambda\mathbf{I}$ is not surjective.
4. $\mathbf{T} - \lambda\mathbf{I}$ is not bijective.

Proof. Conditions (1) and (2) are equivalent, as $\mathbf{T}\mathbf{v} = \lambda\mathbf{v}$ if and only if $(\mathbf{T} - \lambda\mathbf{I})\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$. Conditions (2), (3), and (4) are equivalent by the fact V is finite-dimensional. \square

Suppose $\mathbf{T} \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ is an eigenvalue of \mathbf{T} . A vector $\mathbf{v} \in V$ is called an **eigenvector** of \mathbf{T} corresponding to λ if $\mathbf{v} \neq \mathbf{0}$ and $\mathbf{T}\mathbf{v} = \lambda\mathbf{v}$. Such eigenvectors biconditionally satisfy $\mathbf{v} \in \text{null}(\mathbf{T} - \lambda\mathbf{I})$.

Theorem 2. *Suppose $\mathbf{T} \in \mathcal{L}(V)$. Then every list of eigenvectors of \mathbf{T} corresponding to distinct eigenvalues of \mathbf{T} is linearly independent.*

Proof. Suppose the desired result is false. Let m be the smallest positive integer such that the list of eigenvectors $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_m$ corresponding to distinct eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$ is dependent. As $m > 1$, there exist $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m \in \mathbb{F}$ — none of which are zero, by the minimality of m — such that

$$\mu_1\mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + \mu_m\mathbf{v}_m = \mathbf{0}.$$

Applying $\mathbf{T} - \lambda\mathbf{I}$ to this equation, we find that

$$\mu_1(\lambda_1 - \lambda_m)\mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + \mu_{m-1}(\lambda_{m-1} - \lambda_m)\mathbf{v}_{m-1} = \mathbf{0}.$$

None of the coefficients above equal zero, as the eigenvalues are distinct and μ_1, \dots, μ_m are nonzero. Thus, $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{m-1}$ are linearly dependent — which violates the minimality of m , yielding our desired contradiction. \square

The proof above is beautiful, yielding a swift execution to the following theorem:

Theorem 3. *Suppose V is finite-dimensional. Then each operator on V has at most $\dim V$ distinct eigenvalues.*

Proof. Suppose \mathbf{T} has distinct eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$. Let $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_m$ be nonzero vectors that correspond to these eigenvalues; by Lemma 2, they are independent. Then $m \leq \dim V$, as desired. \square

1.2 Polynomials Applied to Operators

Suppose $\mathbf{T} \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Then

- $\mathbf{T}^m \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ is defined to be $\mathbf{T} \cdots \mathbf{T}$ (m times).
- $\mathbf{T}^0 \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ is defined to be \mathbf{I} .
- $\mathbf{T}^{-m} \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ is defined to be $(\mathbf{T}^{-1})^m$, if \mathbf{T} is invertible.

It is easy to verify that $\mathbf{T}^{n+m} = \mathbf{T}^n \mathbf{T}^m$ and $(\mathbf{T}^n)^m = \mathbf{T}^{nm}$. Now, suppose $\mathbf{T} \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ and $p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F})$ is a polynomial of the form

$$p(z) = a_m z^m + \cdots + a_1 z + a_0.$$

Then $p(\mathbf{T})$ is the operator on V defined by

$$p(\mathbf{T}) = a_m \mathbf{T}^m + \cdots + a_1 \mathbf{T} + a_0 \mathbf{I}.$$

If $p, q \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F})$, we further define $pq(z) = p(z)q(z)$ for all $z \in \mathbb{F}$. Order here is irrelevant:

Theorem 4. $(pq)(\mathbf{T}) = p(\mathbf{T})q(\mathbf{T})$ and $p(\mathbf{T})q(\mathbf{T}) = q(\mathbf{T})p(\mathbf{T})$.

Proof. Suppose $p(z) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i z^i$ and $q(z) = \sum_{j=0}^m b_j z^j$. Then $(pq)(z) = \sum_{i=0}^n \sum_{j=0}^m a_i b_j z^{i+j}$, so

$$\begin{aligned} (pq)(\mathbf{T}) &= \sum_{i=0}^n \sum_{j=0}^m a_i b_j \mathbf{T}^{i+j} \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=0}^n a_i \mathbf{T}^i \right) \left(\sum_{j=0}^m b_j \mathbf{T}^j \right) \\ &= p(\mathbf{T})q(\mathbf{T}). \end{aligned}$$

For the second result, see that $p(\mathbf{T})q(\mathbf{T}) = (pq)(\mathbf{T}) = (qp)(\mathbf{T}) = q(\mathbf{T})p(\mathbf{T})$. \square

Theorem 5. Suppose $\mathbf{T} \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ and $p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F})$. Then $\text{null } p(\mathbf{T})$ and $\text{range } p(\mathbf{T})$ are invariant subspaces under \mathbf{T} .

Proof. Clearly, $\text{null } \mathbf{T}$ and $\text{range } \mathbf{T}$ are invariant under the operator \mathbf{T} . Now, suppose $\mathbf{u} \in \text{null } p(\mathbf{T})$; then

$$(p(\mathbf{T}))(\mathbf{T}\mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{T}(p(\mathbf{T})(\mathbf{u})) = \mathbf{T}(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{0},$$

so $\mathbf{T}\mathbf{u} \in \text{null } p(\mathbf{T})$, and $\text{null } p(\mathbf{T})$ is invariant. Clearly $\mathbf{u} \in \text{range } p(\mathbf{T})$ implies that

$$p(\mathbf{T})(\mathbf{T}\mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{T}(p(\mathbf{T})\mathbf{u}) \in \text{range } \mathbf{T};$$

we conclude that the null space and range of $p(\mathbf{T})$ are invariant under \mathbf{T} . \square

2 The Minimal Polynomial

2.1 Existence of Eigenvalues on Complex Vector Spaces

Theorem 6. Every operator on a nonzero complex vector space V with finite dimension n has an eigenvalue.

Proof. Choose $\mathbf{v} \in V$, such that $\mathbf{v} \neq \mathbf{0}$. Then

$$\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{T}\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{T}^2\mathbf{v}, \dots, \mathbf{T}^n\mathbf{v}$$

is a dependent list. Hence there exists a linear combination of these vectors equal to $\mathbf{0}$. Simplifying, we find a nonconstant polynomial p of *smallest degree* such that

$$p(\mathbf{T})\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}.$$

By the Fundamental Theorem of Algebra, this polynomial has a root λ . Then

$$p(z) = (z - \lambda)q(z)$$

for some polynomial q . Then using the multiplicative properties of polynomials,

$$\mathbf{0} = p(\mathbf{T})\mathbf{v} = (\mathbf{T} - \lambda\mathbf{I})(q(\mathbf{T})\mathbf{v}).$$

As q has degree smaller than p , the expression $q(\mathbf{T})\mathbf{v}$ is never the zero vector. Thus, the above equation implies that λ is an eigenvalue of \mathbf{T} with eigenvector $q(\mathbf{T})\mathbf{v}$. \square

The theorem above fails if \mathbb{C} is replaced with \mathbb{R} or if V is infinite dimensional.

2.2 Eigenvalues and the Minimal Polynomial

Theorem 7. *Suppose V is finite-dimensional and $\mathbf{T} \in \mathcal{L}(V)$. Then there is a unique monic polynomial $p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F})$ of smallest degree such that $p(\mathbf{T}) = \mathbf{0}$. Furthermore, $\deg p \leq \dim V$.*

Proof. We proceed via strong induction. If $\dim V = 0$, then the constant polynomial 1 suffices – thus, we assume the existence, uniqueness, and degree of the polynomial p for $\dim V \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$.

Let $\dim V = n$ and select some nonzero $\mathbf{v} \in V$. Consider the family of vectors

$$\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{T}\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{T}^2\mathbf{v}, \dots, \mathbf{T}^n\mathbf{v}.$$

It has length $n+1$, so it must be dependent. Then by the Linear Dependence Lemma, there exists an integer m such that $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{T}\mathbf{v}, \dots, \mathbf{T}^{m-1}\mathbf{v}$ is independent but $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{T}\mathbf{v}, \dots, \mathbf{T}^m\mathbf{v}$ is not — namely, that there exist scalars $c_0, \dots, c_{m-1} \in \mathbb{F}$ such that

$$\mathbf{T}^m\mathbf{v} + c_{m-1}\mathbf{T}^{m-1}\mathbf{v} + \dots + c_1\mathbf{T}\mathbf{v} + c_0\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}.$$

Define the monic polynomial $q \in \mathcal{P}_m(\mathbb{F})$ by $q(z) = z^m + c_{m-1}z^{m-1} + \dots + c_1z + c_0$; then the above equation reads $q(\mathbf{T})\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$. Now, realize that for all $k \in \{0, \dots, m-1\}$,

$$q(\mathbf{T})(\mathbf{T}^k\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{T}^k(q(\mathbf{T})\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{T}^k\mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0}.$$

Hence, $\dim \text{null } q(\mathbf{T}) \geq m$. Then by the Fundamental Theorem of Linear Maps,

$$\dim \text{range } q(\mathbf{T}) = \dim V - \dim \text{null } q(\mathbf{T}) \leq n - m.$$

Then because $\dim \text{range } q(\mathbf{T}) < n$, our inductive hypothesis applies to the vector space $\text{range } q(\mathbf{T})$ and the operator $T|_{\text{range } q(\mathbf{T})}$. We deduce the existence of a unique monic polynomial s of smallest degree with

$$s(\mathbf{T}|_{\text{range } q(\mathbf{T})}) = \mathbf{0} \quad \text{and} \quad \deg s \leq n - m.$$

We claim that $(sq)(\mathbf{T}) = \mathbf{0}$. For all $\mathbf{v} \in V$, realize that $q(\mathbf{T})\mathbf{v} \in \text{range } q(\mathbf{T})$; thus,

$$(sq)(\mathbf{T})\mathbf{v} = s(\mathbf{T})(q(\mathbf{T})\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{0}.$$

Furthermore, the degree of sq satisfies the desired requirement:

$$\deg sq = \deg s + \deg q \leq (n - m) + m = n.$$

We have identified a monic polynomial of degree at most n which when applied to T returns the zero operator. Thus, there exist a monic polynomial of *smallest degree* with this property; all that remains to be proven is its uniqueness.

Suppose $p, q \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F})$ are two monic polynomials of the smallest degree m such that $p(\mathbf{T}) = q(\mathbf{T}) = \mathbf{0}$. Then $(p - q)(\mathbf{T}) = \mathbf{0}$ and $\deg(p - q) < m$; if we simply divide $p - q$ by its leading coefficient, we have a polynomial multiple of the minimal polynomial of \mathbf{T} of degree less than m . Hence $p = q$, which completes the proof. \square

For a finite-dimensional vector space V and operator $\mathbf{T} \in \mathcal{L}(V)$, the **minimal polynomial** of \mathbf{T} is the unique monic polynomial $p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F})$ such that $p(\mathbf{T}) = \mathbf{0}$.

Theorem 8. *The zeroes of the minimal polynomial of \mathbf{T} are the eigenvalues of \mathbf{T} .*

Proof. Let p be the minimal polynomial of \mathbf{T} . Suppose that $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ is a zero of p ; then for some monic polynomial $q \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F})$,

$$p(z) = (z - \lambda)q(z).$$

Because $p(\mathbf{T}) = \mathbf{0}$, we have that for all $\mathbf{v} \in V$,

$$\mathbf{0} = (\mathbf{T} - \lambda\mathbf{I})(q(\mathbf{T})\mathbf{v}).$$

As q has smaller degree than the minimal polynomial, there exists $\mathbf{w} \in V$ such that $q(\mathbf{T})\mathbf{w} \neq \mathbf{0}$; the above equation implies that $q(\mathbf{T})\mathbf{w}$ must be an eigenvector of \mathbf{T} with eigenvalue λ .

Now, suppose that $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ is an eigenvalue of \mathbf{T} . Then for some $\mathbf{v} \neq \mathbf{0}$ we have $\mathbf{T}\mathbf{v} = \lambda\mathbf{v}$ for some nonzero $\mathbf{v} \in V$; iterated applications yield that $\mathbf{T}^k\mathbf{v} = \lambda^k\mathbf{v}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, so

$$p(\mathbf{T})\mathbf{v} = p(\lambda)\mathbf{v}.$$

the left-hand side is $\mathbf{0}$; then $p(\lambda)$ must be zero, and λ is a root of the minimal polynomial. \square

If V is a finite-dimensional vector space over \mathbb{C} and $\mathbf{T} \in \mathcal{L}(V)$, then the minimal polynomial of \mathbf{T} has the form

$$(z - \lambda_1) \cdots (z - \lambda_m),$$

where $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$ are the eigenvalues of \mathbf{T} , possibly with repetitions.

Theorem 9. Suppose V is finite dimensional, $\mathbf{T} \in \mathcal{L}(V)$, and $q \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F})$. Then $q(\mathbf{T}) = \mathbf{0}$ if and only if q is a polynomial multiple of the minimal polynomial of \mathbf{T} .

Proof. Let the minimal polynomial of \mathbf{T} be p . As $\deg q \geq \deg p$, we may divide them to deduce the existence of $s, r \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F})$ such that

$$q = ps + r,$$

where $\deg r < \deg p$. Then $q(\mathbf{T}) = p(\mathbf{T})s(\mathbf{T}) + r(\mathbf{T})$. Because $q(\mathbf{T}) = p(\mathbf{T}) = \mathbf{0}$, this equation simplifies to

$$\mathbf{0} = r(\mathbf{T}).$$

If r was nonzero, then we could divide by its leading coefficient to yield a polynomial that contradicts the minimality of p . Thus $r = 0$.

If q is a polynomial multiple of p , then there exists $s \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F})$ such that $q = ps$. Then

$$\mathbf{0} = p(\mathbf{T})s(\mathbf{T}) = q(\mathbf{T}),$$

which completes the proof. □

The next result is a nice consequence of the above.

Theorem 10. Suppose V is finite-dimensional, $\mathbf{T} \in \mathcal{L}(V)$, and U is an invariant subspace of V . Then the minimal polynomial of \mathbf{T} is a polynomial multiple of the minimal polynomial of $\mathbf{T}|_U$.

Proof. Let p be the minimal polynomial of \mathbf{T} . Then for all $\mathbf{u} \in U$,

$$p(\mathbf{T})\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}.$$

We conclude that $p(\mathbf{T}|_U) = \mathbf{0}$, so p is a polynomial multiple of the minimal polynomial of $\mathbf{T}|_U$. □

Theorem 11. Suppose V is finite-dimensional and $\mathbf{T} \in \mathcal{L}(V)$. Then \mathbf{T} is not invertible if and only if the constant term of the minimal polynomial of \mathbf{T} is 0.

Proof. If \mathbf{T} is not invertible, then 0 must be an eigenvalue of \mathbf{T} . Then 0 is a root of p , which implies that 0 does not have a constant term. The reverse of our steps holds as well. □

3 Upper-Triangular Matrices

3.1 Matrix Prerequisites

Suppose $\mathbf{T} \in \mathcal{L}(V)$. The **matrix** of \mathbf{T} with respect to a basis $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$ is the n -by- n matrix

$$\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{T}) = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & \cdots & A_{1n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ A_{n1} & \cdots & A_{nn} \end{bmatrix},$$

whose entries A are defined by

$$\mathbf{T}\mathbf{v}_k = A_{1k}\mathbf{v}_1 + \cdots + A_{nk}\mathbf{v}_n.$$

Thus, the k -th column of the matrix $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{T})$ is formed from the coefficients used to write $\mathbf{T}\mathbf{v}_k$ as a linear combination of the basis $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$.

The **diagonal** of a square matrix consists of all the entries A_{kk} for each $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. If all the entries below the diagonal are 0, the square matrix is called **upper triangular**.

Theorem 12. *If $\mathbf{T} \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ and $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$ is a basis of V , then the following conditions are equivalent:*

1. *The matrix of \mathbf{T} with respect to $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$ is upper triangular.*
2. *$\text{span}(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k)$ is invariant under \mathbf{T} for each $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.*
3. *$\mathbf{T}\mathbf{v}_k \in \text{span}(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k)$ for each $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$*

Proof. Suppose that (1) holds. Then for each $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$,

$$\mathbf{T}\mathbf{v}_i = A_{1i}\mathbf{v}_1 + \cdots + A_{ii}\mathbf{v}_i.$$

Let $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. For all $\mathbf{w} \in \text{span}(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k)$, there exist scalars $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$ such that $\mathbf{w} = \lambda_1\mathbf{v}_1 + \cdots + \lambda_k\mathbf{v}_k$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{T}\mathbf{w} &= \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbf{T}(\lambda_i\mathbf{v}_i) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i(A_{1i}\mathbf{v}_1 + \cdots + A_{ii}\mathbf{v}_i) \\ &= (\lambda_1A_{11} + \cdots + \lambda_kA_{1k})\mathbf{v}_1 + \cdots + (\lambda_kA_{kk})\mathbf{v}_k \\ &\in \text{span}(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k). \end{aligned}$$

Then $\text{span}(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k)$ is invariant under \mathbf{T} for each $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. If (2) holds, then setting $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{v}_k$ achieves (3).

Now, suppose (3) holds. Then for each $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, there exist scalars such that

$$\mathbf{T}\mathbf{v}_k = A_{1k}\mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + A_{kk}\mathbf{v}_k.$$

As $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$ constitute a basis of V , we conclude that the unique scalars that express $\mathbf{T}\mathbf{v}_k$ as a linear combination of \mathbf{v}_k are $A_{1k}, \dots, A_{kk}, 0, \dots$ respectively. Thus, the entries of $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{T})$ are zero below the main diagonal, implying (1). \square

Theorem 13. *For some $\mathbf{T} \in \mathcal{L}(V)$, suppose that there exists a basis $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$ such that $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{T})$ is upper triangular. Then if $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ are its diagonal entries, \mathbf{T} satisfies the equation*

$$(\mathbf{T} - \lambda_1\mathbf{I}) \cdots (\mathbf{T} - \lambda_n\mathbf{I}) = \mathbf{0}.$$

Proof.

\square