

**SYSTEM AND SOFTWARE DESIGN DESCRIPTION (SSDD):  
FOR**

**An Internet Instant Messaging System**

**Version 1.0  
2016-10-23**

**Prepared by:  
James Combs, Joel Seida  
University of Texas at Dallas  
Dallas, TX**

**CS4349.001 SSDD**

**An Internet Instant Messaging System**  
**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

<b>1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	DOCUMENT OVERVIEW . . . . .	1
1.2	ASSUMPTIONS . . . . .	1
<b>2</b>	<b>SYSTEM AND SOFTWARE ARCHITECTURE</b>	<b>1</b>
2.1	CLIENT . . . . .	2
2.2	SERVER . . . . .	2
2.3	LOGIN INTERFACE . . . . .	2
2.4	SESSION INTERFACE . . . . .	2
2.5	BUDDY LIST . . . . .	2
2.6	CLIENT ACCOUNT DATABASE . . . . .	2
<b>3</b>	<b>THREAT MODEL</b>	<b>3</b>
3.1	ASSETS . . . . .	3
3.2	ATTACKS CONSIDERED . . . . .	3
3.3	SECURITY FEATURES . . . . .	3
<b>4</b>	<b>PROTOCOL DESIGN</b>	<b>3</b>
4.1	ENTITIES . . . . .	4
4.2	ITEMS . . . . .	4
4.3	CLIENT-SERVER / LOGGING IN . . . . .	4
4.4	RETRIEVING BUDDY LISTS . . . . .	5
4.5	CLIENT-CLIENT SESSION ESTABLISHMENT . . . . .	5
4.6	MUTUAL AUTHENTICATION . . . . .	5
4.7	DERIVING ENCRYPTION/AUTHENTICATION KEYS . . . . .	5

# 1 INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this document is to describe the architectural components for a secure internet instant messaging system and the supported functionalities of each component, and security features and threat model. The internet instant messaging system supports authentication of its users, confidentiality of the messages and data exchanged between users, and verification of the integrity of messages and data exchanged between its users. This document will describe, in general, how users log into the system, establish sessions with other users, and how the system provides integrity and confidentiality of message transfers between users as well as any other assumption that have been made in the design of the implementation for the internet instant messaging system.

## 1.1 DOCUMENT OVERVIEW

This subsection shall provide an overview of the organization of this SSDD.

- Section 2 of this document describes the system architecture.
- Section 3 provides a description of the threat model considered for this system.
- Section 4 provides a description of the system design. That is, it described the protocols for communication and authentication between client-client and client-server.

## 1.2 ASSUMPTIONS

There have been a number of assumptions that have been made during the design of the system referenced in this document.

1. DOS attacks are not relevant.
2. Server database breakin attacks are not relevant.
3. Identity hiding is not relevant.
4. Clients may be malicious.
5. Clients trust the server's public key.
6. A client may only have a connection with one other client at any time.
7. The server and client have already exchanged username/password information by some outside mechanism.

# 2 SYSTEM AND SOFTWARE ARCHITECTURE

This section of the document shall describe, with detail, the relationship and functionality of each component in the system. These components, when integrated together as specified within this document, shall implement all functions performed by the system in response to an input or in support of an output as described by the project requirements specification. All components shall: be uniquely identifiable, be well described, have clear responsibilities, and have well described interactions with other dependent components.

## **2.1 CLIENT**

A client consists of identification information such as username/password for client-server authentication and IP address and port for TCP stream socket connection to the server and other clients. Each client will have a shared session key for confidential and integrity protected message transfer with the server and any other clients that it may have an active session with at the time. The client is not responsible for maintaining any long term state for the sake of mobility and security. The client component is not responsible for remembering any username and password pairs the human using the machine may need in order to log into the system.

## **2.2 SERVER**

The server is responsible for providing each client its buddy list, authenticating each client based on the username and password provided by the client, and handling client-client connection requests in a secure manner. The server should store and maintain the buddy list for each client on its behalf as well as maintain a secure database of client authentication information.

## **2.3 LOGIN INTERFACE**

The login interface is responsible for sending the client authentication information to the server as well as receiving the the shared session key from the server once the client has been authenticated and pass it to the client workstation for communication with the server. The login interface is also responsible for informing the client of invalid authentication information if the server responds with an invalid authentication response.

## **2.4 SESSION INTERFACE**

The session interface is responsible for establishing a client-client session. The session interface will send client-client session connection requests to the server and receive the response from the server. The response consists of a shared client-client session key as well as a ticket to the client being requested for communication. The session interface is responsible for sending the ticket to the client that has been requested. In this manner, a client-client session may be established. Encryption an authentication keys for communication will be derived from the shared session key received from the server. This will allow confidentiality and integrity verification of the messages being transferred during the client-client communication session.

## **2.5 BUDDY LIST**

The buddy list is responsible for maintaining client information such as username, IP address, port number for TCP stream socket connection, and availability (i.e if a client is online or offline). The buddy list allows a client to have visibility of other clients that it has a direct relationship with. This information will allow clients to create a client-client session request to send to the server. The buddy list is maintained by the server. Each client is only allowed to own one buddy list on the server.

## **2.6 CLIENT ACCOUNT DATABASE**

The client account database is responsible for storing client authentication information such as username, SHA512 hash of password concatenated with a random salt, and the salt that is associated with the password hash. The client account database may only be modified by the server. The server will own only one client account database.

### 3 THREAT MODEL

This section of the document shall list the different assets that have been identified to be of importance to security, the different malicious attacks considered, and the security features that are implemented to mitigate or prevent the attacks that could harm or compromise the assets listed.

#### 3.1 ASSETS

The assets identified to be of importance are:

- Username/password pairs
- Session keys for client-server and client-client communication
- The server's private RSA key

#### 3.2 ATTACKS CONSIDERED

The attacks that have been considered against the assets described above are:

- Eavesdropping based attacks
- Session hijacking
- Malicious clients

#### 3.3 SECURITY FEATURES

Security features correspond to the actions taken to mitigate or prevent the attacks on the assets considered above.

- RSA Authenticated Diffie-Helman session key exchange to allow clients to establish a secure session with the server.
- AES encryption in Cipher Block Chaining mode of operation for confidentiality of message transfer.
- CBC Residue for integrity verification of each message being transferred.
- Nonces for replay protection
- Mutual authentication between clients

### 4 PROTOCOL DESIGN

This section of the document shall display the design of the protocols to allow secure communication and session establishment.

## 4.1 ENTITIES

1. Server
2. ClientA
3. ClientB

## 4.2 ITEMS

1.  $S_{RSA}$  Server RSA public and private key pair.
2.  $N_S$  nonce from Server for replay protection
3.  $K_{SA}$  Session key between Server and ClientA
4.  $K_{SB}$  Session key between Server and ClientB
5.  $K_{AB}$  Session key between ClientA and ClientB
6.  $E_A$  Encryption key for ClientA communication to ClientB
7.  $D_A$  Decryption key for ClientA communication from ClientB
8.  $E_B$  Encryption key for ClientB communication to ClientA
9.  $D_B$  Decryption key for ClientB communication from ClientA
10.  $Integ_A$  integrity verification for messages ClientA sends
11.  $Integ_B$  integrity verification for messages ClientB sends

## 4.3 CLIENT-SERVER / LOGGING IN

Due to the assumption that the server database cannot be broken into and DOS attacks are not relevant, integrity protecting the initial login exchange is not needed. This is due to the fact that if an adversary was to somehow obtain  $S_{RSA}$ , the only thing the adversary could do is perform a DOS attack by changing the contents of the messages being transferred. Although, they would not obtain authentication due to the hash of the password and salt.

1. ClientA  $\Rightarrow S_{RSA}\{\text{username}\} \Rightarrow$  Server
2. ClientA  $\Leftarrow S_{RSA}[N_S, \text{salt}] \Leftarrow$  Server
3. ClientA  $\Rightarrow S_{RSA}\{\text{username}, \text{hash}(\text{password}, \text{salt}), N_S\} \Rightarrow$  Server
4. Server decrypts and checks client account database for ClientA authentication information.
5. ClientA  $\Leftarrow S_{RSA}[g^s \bmod p] \Leftarrow$  Server
6. ClientA  $\Rightarrow S_{RSA}\{g^a \bmod p\} \Rightarrow$  Server
7. ClientA and Server have now agreed on  $K_{SA} = g^{sa} \bmod p$

#### 4.4 RETREIVING BUDDY LISTS

After establishing the shared session key using authenticated Diffie-Helman exchange, all communication with the server is confidential and integrity protected.

1. ClientA  $\Rightarrow K_{SA}\{\text{Request for ClientA BuddyList}\} \Rightarrow \text{Server}$
2. ClientA  $\Leftarrow K_{SA}\{\text{ClientA BuddyList}\} \Leftarrow \text{Server}$

#### 4.5 CLIENT-CLIENT SESSION ESTABLISHMENT

1. ClientA  $\Rightarrow K_{SA}\{\text{Request to talk to ClientB}\} \Rightarrow \text{Server}$
2. ClientA  $\Leftarrow K_{SA}\{K_{AB}, \text{ClientB}, \text{ticket to ClientB} = K_{SB}\{\text{ClientA}, K_{AB}\}\} \Leftarrow \text{Server}$

#### 4.6 MUTUAL AUTHENTICATION

During mutual authentication, order of the clients in the encrypted message with the shared session key between the clients matters. The first client in the message is the originating client while the second client in the message is the destination client. That means, switching the order can allow authentication of the clients.

1. ClientA  $\Rightarrow K_{AB}\{\text{ClientA}, \text{ClientB}, \text{ticket to ClientB}\} \Rightarrow \text{ClientB}$
2. ClientA  $\Leftarrow K_{AB}\{\text{ClientB}, \text{ClientA}\} \Leftarrow \text{ClientB}$

#### 4.7 DERIVING ENCRYPTION/AUTHENTICATION KEYS

1. ClientA computes  $E_A = K_{AB} + 1$  for encryption and  $D_A = K_{AB} + 2$  for decryption
2. ClientB computes  $E_B = K_{AB} + 2$  for encryption and  $D_B = K_{AB} + 1$  for decryption
3. ClientA computes  $Integ_A = K_{AB} + 3$  for integrity verification
4. ClientB computes  $Integ_B = K_{AB} + 4$  for integrity verification