

163 HWK 8

James Gillbrand

May 2024

Question 1

Proof. Consider the function $f : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$f(x, y) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } 0 \leq x < 1/2; \\ 1, & \text{if } 1/2 \leq x \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Define $P \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (P_n, P_1)$ where P_n is defined as,

$$P_n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{x/n : x = 1, \dots, n\}$$

and

$$P_1 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{0, 1\}$$

This partition of the domain results in subrectangles $S \in \mathbb{S}$. Now we can define for these subrectangles,

$$\begin{aligned} m(f, S) &= \inf\{f(x, y) : x, y \in S\} \\ M(f, S) &= \sup\{f(x, y) : x, y \in S\} \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} L(f, P) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{S \in \mathbb{S}} m(f, S) \text{vol}(S) \\ U(f, P) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{S \in \mathbb{S}} M(f, S) \text{vol}(S) \end{aligned}$$

By the definition of the partitions,

$$\text{vol}(S) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{n}$$

Take $\frac{1}{2}$ as a candidate for $\sup\{L(f, P) : P \in \mathbb{P}\}$. Then, for each $\epsilon > 0$, take the partition $P = (P_{\frac{1}{\epsilon}}, P_1)$. Then,

$$L(f, P) = \sum_{S \in \mathbb{S}} m(f, S) \text{vol}(S) = \sum_{S \in \mathbb{S}} \epsilon \cdot m(f, S)$$

Only one subrectangle can contain $x = \frac{1}{2}$. The supremum for that subrectangle is 1 only if, $x \geq 1/2$ for the entire subrectangle. In that case,

$$L(f, P) = 0(1/2) + 1(1/2)$$

The other option is the subrectangle containing some $x < 1/2$, in which case

$$L(f, P) > 1/2 - \epsilon$$

Which satisfies the least upper bound approximation property. Hence the lower sum is $1/2$. Similarly for the upper sum,

$$U(f, P) < 1/2 + \epsilon$$

which satisfies the greatest lower bound approximation property. Since, the upper and lower integrals are both $1/2$, then the function is integrable and,

$$\int_{[0,1] \times [0,1]} f = \frac{1}{2}$$

□

Question 2

Define $f : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $f(x, y) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} x + y^2$.

(a)

Proof. For each natural number n , define a partition Q_n of $[0, 1]$ by

$$Q_n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (0, \frac{1}{n}, \frac{2}{n}, \dots, 1)$$

And define a partition P_n of $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ by $P_n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (Q_n, Q_n)$. The subrectangles of P_n are the rectangles,

$$S_{j,k} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left[\frac{j-1}{n}, \frac{j}{n} \right] \times \left[\frac{k-1}{n}, \frac{k}{n} \right]$$

for $j = 1, \dots, n$ and $k = 1, \dots, n$. Then,

$$\text{vol}(S_{j,k}) = \left(\frac{1}{n} \right)^2 \tag{1}$$

And for each rectangle,

$$\begin{aligned} M(f, S_{j,k}) &= f\left(\frac{j}{n}, \frac{k}{n}\right) = \frac{j}{n} + \frac{k^2}{n^2} = \frac{jn + k^2}{n^2} \\ m(f, S_{j,k}) &= f\left(\frac{j-1}{n}, \frac{k-1}{n}\right) = \frac{j-1}{n} + \frac{(k-1)^2}{n^2} = \frac{jn - n + (k-1)^2}{n^2} \end{aligned}$$

□

(b)

Proof. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
U(f, P_n) &= \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n M(f, S_{j,k}) \text{vol}(S_{j,k}) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{jn + k^2}{n^2} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^2 \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{jn + k^2}{n^4} \\
&= \frac{1}{n^4} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n (jn^2) + \sum_{k=1}^n (k^2 n) \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{n^4} \left(\frac{n^3(n+1)}{2} + \frac{n^2(n+1)(2n+1)}{6} \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2n} + \frac{2}{6} + \frac{1}{6n} + \frac{2}{6n} + \frac{1}{6n^2} \\
&= \frac{5}{6} + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{6n^2}
\end{aligned}$$

and,

$$\begin{aligned}
L(f, P_n) &= \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n m(f, S_{j,k}) \text{vol}(S_{j,k}) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{jn - n + (k-1)^2}{n^2} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{n^4} \left(n^2 \sum_{j=1}^n (j-1) + n \sum_{k=1}^n (k-1)^2 \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{n^4} \left(\frac{n^3(n+1)}{2} - n^3 + n \sum_{k=1}^n (k^2 - 2k + 1) \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{n^4} \left(\frac{n^3(n+1)}{2} - n^3 + \frac{n^2(n+1)(2n+1)}{6} - n^2(n+1) + n^2 \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{n^4} \left(\frac{n^3(n+1)}{2} - 2n^3 + \frac{n^3(n+1)(2n+1)}{6} \right) \\
&= \frac{n^4}{2n^4} + \frac{n^3}{2n^4} - \frac{2n^3}{n^4} + \frac{2n^4 + n^3 + 2n^3 + n^2}{6n^4} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2n} - \frac{2}{n} + \frac{2}{6} + \frac{3}{6n} + \frac{1}{6n^2} \\
&= \frac{5}{6} - \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{6n^2}
\end{aligned}$$

□

(c)

Proof. It is clear that the function is bounded on its domain, so take $\epsilon > 0$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} U(f, P_n) - L(f, P_n) &= \frac{5}{6} + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{6n^2} - \left(\frac{5}{6} - \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{6n^2} \right) \\ &= \frac{2}{n^2} \end{aligned}$$

So, we by the archimedean corollary we can choose an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ sufficiently large such that,

$$\frac{2}{n^2} < \epsilon$$

Hence, by the criterion for integrability, f is integrable. □

(d)

Proof. Suppose $\frac{5}{6}$ is the value of the integral of f . Take $\epsilon > 0$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\frac{5}{6} < \frac{5}{6} + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{6n^2} < \frac{5}{6} + \frac{2}{n}$$

Thus, we by the archimedean property, there exists some $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that if $n \geq N$, then

$$\frac{5}{6} + \frac{2}{n} < \frac{5}{6} + \epsilon$$

Hence, $\frac{5}{6}$ is the infimum of the set of $U(f, P)$. Similarly, there exists another $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that if $n \geq N$, then

$$\frac{5}{6} - \frac{1}{n} < \frac{5}{6} - \epsilon$$

Then, because $\frac{1}{n} > \frac{1}{6n^2}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\frac{5}{6} - \epsilon < \frac{5}{6} - \frac{1}{n} < \frac{5}{6} - \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{6n^2} < \frac{5}{6}$$

Hence, $\frac{5}{6}$ is also the supremum for the set of all $L(f, P)$. Thus,

$$\int_{[0,1] \times [0,1]} f = \frac{5}{6}$$

□

Question 3

Let R be a nonempty, closed, bounded rectangle in \mathbb{R}^n such that $\text{vol}(R) > 0$. Let $f : R \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Assume that there exists a nonempty, finite subset A of R such that for each \mathbf{x} in R , if $\mathbf{x} \notin A$ then $f(\mathbf{x}) = 0$.

(a)

Proof. Because there are a finite amount of points in A , there exists a maximum and minimum value. Hence, the function is bounded on A . Thus there exists an $M > 0$ such that for each $\mathbf{x} \in A$,

$$|f(\mathbf{x})| \leq M$$

Then, by assumption, for every $\mathbf{x} \in R$,

$$|f(\mathbf{x})| \leq M$$

As $f(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ at all other points. □

(b)

Let $\epsilon > 0$. Let I_1, \dots, I_n be intervals such that $R = I_1 \times \dots \times I_n$. Let N be the number of elements in A . For each $k = 1, \dots, n$

- Let P'_k be the partition of I_k formed by the endpoints of I_k and the k th coordinate of points in A .
- Let P''_k be a partition of I_k with subintervals of length less than

$$\frac{1}{2N} \left(\frac{\epsilon}{2M} \right)^{1/n}$$

- Let P_k be the common refinement of P'_k and P''_k .

Define $P \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (P_1, \dots, P_n)$ and let \mathbb{S} denote the set of subrectangles of P . Let S_1 denote the set of subrectangles that contain a point in A and let S_2 be the set of subrectangles that do not contain a point in A .

(i)

Proof. There are N points in A . Examining a single dimension of \mathbb{R}^n like the number line, it is clear by the construction of our intervals that these points can be a part of a maximum of 2 subrectangles if they are at the intersection between two subrectangles. Hence, there are a maximum of $2N$ subrectangles with elements of A in one dimension. Expanding this to \mathbb{R}^n requires only taking,

$$(2N)^n$$

as the same is true for each dimension up to n . □

(ii)

Proof. Proceed considering two cases:

Case 1:

Assume that there are no points for A in S . Then $f(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ and so

$$m(f, S) = 0 = M(f, S)$$

Hence,

$$-M \leq m(f, S) \leq 0 \leq M(f, S) \leq M$$

Case 2:

Assume that there are a finite amount of points from A in the subrectangle. Then we know that there must be some points not from A in the subrectangle so for $\mathbf{a} \in A$

$$\begin{aligned} m(f, S) &= \min\{0, f(\mathbf{a})\} \\ M(f, S) &= \max\{0, f(\mathbf{a})\} \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$m(f, S) \leq 0 \leq M(f, S)$$

Because f is bounded, it also follows that,

$$-M \leq m(f, S) \leq 0 \leq M(f, S) \leq M$$

□

(iii)

Proof. We know that

$$\text{vol}(S) \leq \left(\frac{1}{2N} \left(\frac{\epsilon}{2M} \right)^{1/n} \right)^n = \frac{1}{(2N)^n} \frac{\epsilon}{2M}$$

Hence,

$$-M \leq m(f, S) \leq 0 \leq M(f, S) \leq M$$

$$\frac{-\epsilon}{2(2N)^n} \leq \frac{m(f, S)\epsilon}{2M(2N)^n} \leq m(f, S)\text{vol}(S) \leq 0 \leq M(f, S)\text{vol}(S) \leq \frac{M(f, S)\epsilon}{2M(2N)^n} \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2(2N)^n}$$

Then, summing over each $S \in \mathbb{S}$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{S \in \mathbb{S}} \frac{-\epsilon}{2(2N)^n} &\leq \sum_{S \in \mathbb{S}} m(f, S)\text{vol}(S) \leq 0 \leq \sum_{S \in \mathbb{S}} M(f, S)\text{vol}(S) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2(2N)^n} \\ \frac{-\epsilon}{2} \sum_{S \in \mathbb{S}} \frac{1}{(2N)^n} &\leq L(f, P) \leq 0 \leq U(f, P) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} \sum_{S \in \mathbb{S}} \frac{1}{(2N)^n} \end{aligned}$$

By part (i), we know that there are a maximum of $(2N)^n$ subrectangles so,

$$\sum_{S \in \mathbb{S}} \frac{1}{(2N)^n} \leq 1$$

Consequently

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{-\epsilon}{2} &\leq \sum_{S \in \mathbb{S}} \frac{-\epsilon}{2(2N)^n} \\ \frac{\epsilon}{2} \sum_{S \in \mathbb{S}} \frac{1}{(2N)^n} &\leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\frac{-\epsilon}{2} \leq L(f, P) \leq 0 \leq U(f, P) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

□

(c)

Proof. By part(iii),

$$\frac{-\epsilon}{2} \leq L(f, P) \leq 0 \leq U(f, P) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \inf\{U(f, P) : P \in \mathbb{P}\} &= 0 \\ \sup\{L(f, P) : P \in \mathbb{P}\} &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

As by the approximation property for least upper and greatest lower bounds, for each $\epsilon > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 - \epsilon &< \frac{-\epsilon}{2} \leq L(f, P) \leq 0 \\ 0 &\leq U(f, P) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} < 0 + \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

Because they upper and lower integrals are equal, f is integrable and

$$\int_R f = 0$$

□

Question 4

Proof. Define $f : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$f(x, y) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{cases} \frac{xy(x^2 - y^2)}{(x^2 + y^2)^3}, & \text{if } (x, y) \neq (0, 0) \\ 0, & \text{if } (x, y) = (0, 0) \end{cases}$$

First take the following integral,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^1 f(x, y) dy \right) dx \\ &= \int_0^1 \left(\frac{x}{2x^4} - 0 \right) dx \\ &= \int_0^1 \frac{1}{2x^3} dx \\ &= \left[\frac{-1}{4x^2} \right]_0^1 \\ &= \frac{-1}{4} \end{aligned}$$

Then the other direction,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^1 f(x, y) dx \right) dy \\ &= \int_0^1 \left(\frac{-y}{2y^4} - 0 \right) dy \\ &= - \int_0^1 \frac{1}{2y^3} dy \\ &= - \left[\frac{-1}{4y^2} \right]_0^1 \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \end{aligned}$$

Clearly these two values are not equal. I think that the reason that Fubini's theorem does not apply is because the function is not continuous at 0. \square