

# 20250 HWK 7

James Gillbrand

May 2024

## Question 1

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha : V \rightarrow V$  be an endomorphism and let  $W_{nilp}, W_{inv}$  be  $\alpha$ -invariant complementary subspaces of  $V$  and let  $a_{W_{nilp}}, a_{W_{inv}}$  be nilpotent and invertible restrictions respectively. We know that,

$$W_{nilp} \oplus W_{inv} = V = \ker(\alpha^\infty) \oplus \operatorname{im}(\alpha^\infty)$$

Also, it is clear that  $W_{nilp} \subseteq \ker(\alpha^\infty)$ , as by definition there exists some  $d$  such that  $\alpha(W_{nilp})^d = 0$ . Also we know that since  $a_{W_{inv}}$  is invertible,

$$W_{inv} = a_{W_{inv}}(W_{inv})\operatorname{im}(\alpha^\infty)$$

Hence,

$$W_{nilp} \oplus W_{inv} \subseteq \ker(\alpha^\infty) \oplus \operatorname{im}(\alpha^\infty)$$

Which suffices to show that,

$$\begin{aligned} W_{nilp} &= \ker(\alpha^\infty) \\ W_{inv} &= \operatorname{im}(\alpha^\infty) \end{aligned}$$

□

## Question 2

*Proof.* Let  $\beta$  be a commuting endomorphism with  $\alpha$ . Let  $v \in V_\lambda$ . That means that  $\alpha(v) = \lambda \cdot v \in V_\lambda$ . We want to show that  $\beta(v) \in V_\lambda$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned}\beta(v) &= \beta(\alpha(v)) = \alpha(\beta(v)) \\ \beta(\alpha(v)) &= \beta(\lambda \cdot v) = \lambda\beta(v)\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\alpha(\beta(v)) = \lambda\beta(v)$$

Which means that  $\beta(v) \in V_\lambda$ . Thus the eigenspace is beta-invariant.

Let  $v \in V_{(\lambda)}$ . That means that there exists some number  $d$  such that,  $\alpha(v)^d = \lambda v$ . Then,

$$\beta(v) = \alpha(\beta(v))^d = (\alpha(v))^d$$

which proves that  $\beta(v) \in V_{(\lambda)}$  and hence this subspace is beta-invariant.

Let  $v \in V^{n.s.}$ . Then  $\alpha^d(v) \neq \lambda v$  for any  $\lambda \in k$  and any  $d$ . Hence,  $\alpha(v)$  is also in  $V^{n.s.}$ . Thus,

$$\beta(v) = \beta(\alpha(v)) = \alpha(\beta(v)) \neq \lambda(\beta(v))$$

Hence,  $\beta(v) \in V^{n.s.}$ . Consequently,  $V^{n.s.}$  is beta invariant

□

### Question 3

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Assume that  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  are simultaneously diagonalizable. Hence, there exists a basis where  $[\alpha_1], \dots, [\alpha_n]$  are diagonal. Hence, for any  $\alpha_i, \alpha_j \in \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ ,

$$\alpha_i \circ \alpha_j = [\alpha_i][\alpha_j]$$

Multiplying two diagonal matrixes is the same as multiplying each diagonal element by its partner in the other matrix. As such,

$$[\alpha_i][\alpha_j] = [\alpha_j][\alpha_i]$$

Thus, these endomorphisms commute pairwise and are clearly diagonal.

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Assume that  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  pairwise commute and are diagonalizable. Take an arbitrary pair of these endomorphisms  $\alpha_i, \alpha_j$ . We know that we can decompose  $V$  into a sum of  $V_\lambda$  eigenspaces for  $\alpha_i$ . If we can show that  $\alpha_j$  is diagonalizable on its restriction to each of these subspaces, this will imply that we can write  $\alpha_j$  as a diagonal matrix with the same basis as  $\alpha_i$ . By question 2, we know that  $\alpha_j$  is invariant for  $V_\lambda$ , hence we can decompose

$$\alpha_j : V \rightarrow V$$

into

$$\oplus \alpha_{j\lambda} : \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \text{spec}(\alpha_i)} V_\lambda \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \text{spec}(\alpha_i)} V_\lambda$$

Now, suppose for each  $\lambda$  that  $v_1 + \dots + v_n$  is the sum of the eigenvectors for  $\alpha_j$  in a given  $\alpha_i$  eigenspace. Then each  $v_i \in V_\lambda$ . Consequently we can write  $\alpha_{j\lambda}$  in diagonal form with these vectors. Also, because these vectors are in  $V_\lambda$  they can be written in the same basis as the basis that makes  $\alpha_i$  diagonalizable. Hence,  $\alpha_i$  and  $\alpha_j$  are simultaneously diagonalizable. This is true for any pairing so can be extended to all  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ .  $\square$

## Question 4

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha : V \rightarrow V$  be an endomorphism of a finite dimensional vectors space and let  $W \subset V$  be an  $\alpha$ -invariant subspace. There exists the general short exact sequence,

$$W \hookrightarrow V \twoheadrightarrow V/W$$

Which we can decompose as follows,

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} W & \xhookrightarrow{\iota} & V & \twoheadrightarrow^{\pi} & V/W \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \text{spec}(\alpha)} W_{(\lambda)} \oplus W^{n.s.} & \xhookrightarrow{\oplus \iota_{\lambda}} & \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \text{spec}(\alpha)} V_{(\lambda)} \oplus V^{n.s.} & \twoheadrightarrow^{\oplus \pi_{\lambda}} & \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \text{spec}(\alpha)} (V/W)_{(\lambda)} \oplus (V/W)^{n.s.} \end{array}$$

With the downward arrows representing isomorphisms. Hence, each  $\iota_i$  is injective and  $\pi_i$  is surjective. For  $\lambda \in \text{spec}(\alpha)$ ,  $\text{im}(\iota_{\lambda}) = W_{(\lambda)}$ . Hence,  $\pi_{\lambda}(\text{im}(\iota_{\lambda})) = \pi_{\lambda}(W) = 0$ . Thus the following short exact sequence exists

$$W_{(\lambda)} \xhookrightarrow{\iota_{\lambda}} V_{(\lambda)} \twoheadrightarrow^{\pi_{\lambda}} (V/W)_{(\lambda)}$$

The same is true for the nonspectral spaces and as such the following is also a short exact sequence.

$$W^{n.s.} \xhookrightarrow{\iota_{\lambda}} V^{n.s.} \twoheadrightarrow^{\pi_{\lambda}} (V/W)^{n.s.}$$

□

## Question 5

*Proof.* Take  $k = \mathbb{R}$ . Then the transformation defined by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

has no eigenvectors as it sends  $e_1 \rightarrow e_2$  and  $e_2 \rightarrow -e_1$ . Neither of these are linear scalings. Thus  $V_{(\lambda)} = 0$  and so  $V^{n.s.} = \mathbb{R}^2$

Take  $k = \mathbb{F}_2$ . In this case,

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

This transformation keeps switching the  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  vectors, which implies that the only eigen vector is  $(1, 1)$  where it gets sent to itself. Thus  $V_{(\lambda)} = \langle 1, 1 \rangle$ . Consequently,  $V^{n.s.}$  composes the rest of the vector space.

Take  $k = \mathbb{C}$ . Then the transformation defined by,

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

has the eigenvalues  $i, -i$ . Hence, our eigenvectors given by the equation for  $\lambda = i$ , where  $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} -ai - b &= 0 \\ a - bi &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} a &= bi \\ -(bi^2) - b &= 0 \\ b &= b \\ a &= bi \end{aligned}$$

so  $(bi, b)$  is a eigenvector for  $\alpha$ . For  $\lambda = -i$

$$\begin{aligned} ai - b &= 0 \\ a + bi &= 0 \\ a &= a \\ b &= ai \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $(a, ai)$  is an eigenvector for  $\alpha$ . Hence, a basis for the eigenspace is the set of vectors  $(i, 1), (-i, 1)$ . Since these are two linearly independent vectors in  $\mathbb{C}^2$ , they span  $\mathbb{C}^2$  and hence  $V_{(\lambda)} = \mathbb{C}$  and  $V^{n.s.} = 0$

□

## Question 6

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha$  be an endomorphism of a finite-dimensional vector space  $V$ . Assume that  $\alpha$  is invertible. Then for any element  $v \in V_{(\lambda)}$  for  $\alpha$ , then  $\alpha^{-1}(v) = \frac{v}{\lambda}$ . Hence the generalized eigenspace decomposition for  $\alpha^{-1}$  has the same exact subspaces as  $\alpha$  only each  $V_{(\lambda)}$  becomes  $V_{(\frac{1}{\lambda})}$  and  $V^{n.s.}$  remains the same.

Now to consider  $\alpha^\vee$ . By definition  $\alpha^\vee : V^\vee \rightarrow V^\vee$  such that

$$\xi \rightarrow \xi \circ \alpha$$

Hence for  $v \in V_\lambda$ ,

$$\xi \circ \alpha(v) = \xi(\lambda \cdot v) = \lambda \cdot \xi(v)$$

Hence  $\alpha^\vee$  is decomposable into the same subspaces as  $\alpha$ , those being,

$$\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \text{spec}(\alpha)} V_{(\lambda)} \oplus V^{n.s.}$$

□

## Question 7

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha$  be an endomorphism of a finite-dimensional vector space  $V$ .

( $\Rightarrow$ ) Assume that  $\alpha$  is diagonalizable. Hence, there exists  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r \in k$  unique eigenvectors of  $\alpha$ . This means that for each  $\lambda_i$ , there exists a non trivial

$$\ker(\alpha - \lambda_i \cdot \mathbb{I})$$

Also, because  $\alpha$  is diagonalizable, it can be decomposed into only eigenspaces. Hence, every  $v \in V$  is also a  $v \in V_{\lambda_i}$  for some  $\lambda_i$ . This means that there exists some  $i = 1, \dots, r$  such that,

$$\alpha(v) - \lambda_i \cdot \mathbb{I} = 0$$

For  $f(X)$  defined by,

$$f(X) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \prod (X - \lambda_i)$$

Then,

$$f(\alpha) = \prod (\alpha(v) - \lambda_i)$$

As show above, no matter what  $v$  we choose, one of these terms will be 0 and hence because it is a product,

$$f(\alpha) = 0$$

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Assume that  $f(\alpha) = 0$  for some polynomial of the form  $f(X) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \prod (X - \lambda_i)$  with  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r \in k$  distinct. That means that for any  $v \in V$ , there exists at least one term in the product that equals 0. Without loss of generality,

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha(v) - \lambda_i) &= 0 \\ (\alpha(v) - \lambda_i \cdot \mathbb{I}) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

This means that each  $v \in V_{\lambda_i}$ . Hence,  $\alpha$  must be diagonalizable as clearly there exists a basis of  $V$  composed of it's eigenvectors.  $\square$