

# Elliptic PDEs, 2nd Edition, Qing Han and FangHua Lin

## Errata Sheet

Yung-Hsiang Huang\*

2017.06.20

I found some possible misprints on Prof. Han and Prof. Lin's famous textbook on elliptic PDEs, **it's not official**. If you find any error in this file, please send me the email.

For the reader of first edition: The positions of these errata in the second edition are a little different from yours, but I think you can easily find them in  $\pm 5$  lines.

Page 17, line 6; add " $\eta \equiv 1$  on  $B_{\frac{1}{2}}$ " and change " $(1.1) \rightarrow (1.6)$ ".

Page 17, third expression; it's not a big deal, but I think we should change  $\frac{1}{n} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2n}$ , or any positive number less  $\frac{1}{n}$ .

Page 17, line -10; delete  $\leq w(x_0)$ .

**Page 17, line -5; I don't think we need to assume  $a_{ij}$  is continuous !**

Page 19, line -12;  $3.1 \rightarrow 1.29$ .

Page 22, line 10 and 12; the constant of unit  $n$ -dim sphere  $\omega_n$  is missed in the sup-norm term.

Page 25, line 9;  $2.4 \rightarrow 2.5$ .

Page 26, line 16, some subscript is misprinted.

Page 29, line -3, In theorem 2.11, I think we should assume strongly that  $w$  is  $C^2(\overline{\Omega})$ . since we are going to apply the Hopf lemma, which relies on the fact that the zero-order coefficient is bounded, which is guaranteed if we know it's in  $C(\overline{\Omega})$ , that is,  $Lw \in C(\overline{\Omega})$ .

Page 32, line 9, by Theorem 2.5  $\rightarrow 2.4$ .

Page 40, line 4, change  $\Omega \rightarrow \Gamma^+$  to make sure that  $-a_{ij}D_{ij}u \geq 0$ .

Page 40, line 9,  $-a_{ij}D_{ij} \rightarrow -a_{ij}D_{ij}u$ .

Page 40, line 18,  $|b^n| \rightarrow |b|^n$ .

Page 40, line -5, the second  $f \neq 0$  should be  $f \equiv 0$ .

---

\*Department of Math., National Taiwan University. Email: d04221001@ntu.edu.tw

Page 41, line -8,  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{d}{p} (1 + |p|^2)^{\frac{n+2}{2}} = \omega_n \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1 + |p|^2)^{-\frac{n+2}{2}} dp = \frac{\omega_n}{n}$ .

Page 41, line -4,  $\omega_n \rightarrow \frac{\omega_n}{n}$ .

Page 43, line 2,  $\int_{\Omega} |f|^n \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} |f|$ .

Page 43, line 7,  $\omega_n \rightarrow \frac{\omega_n}{n}$ .

Page 56, line -2; multiply a constant  $c$  in front of the biggest brace.

Page 62, case 1 and so on, I think there is a gap on showing the function

$$\phi(r) := \int_{B_r(x_0)} |Du(y) - (Du)_{x_0,r}|^2 dy,$$

is non-decreasing in  $r$ , I provide a proof in the second method and an alternative way to show the desired inequality holds in the first method :

The First Method:

Fix the reasonable radius  $R_0$  and  $\tau < 1$  as found in case 2-3, we find that, for any  $R \in (\tau R_0, R_0]$  and for each  $k = 0, 1, \dots$

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\tau^k R) &\leq (\tau^k)^{n+2\alpha+\epsilon} \phi(R) + C(\tau^{k-1} R)^{n+2\alpha} \|f\|_q^2 \frac{1}{(1 - \tau^\epsilon)} \\ &\leq (\tau^k R)^{n+2\alpha} \left( \phi(R) \frac{1}{R^{n+2\alpha}} + C \|f\|_q^2 \frac{1}{\tau^{n+2\alpha}(1 - \tau^\epsilon)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since for each  $\rho < R_0$ ,  $\rho = \tau^k R$  for some  $k = 0, 1, \dots$  and  $R \in (\tau R_0, R_0]$ ,

$$\phi(\rho) = \phi(\tau^k R) \leq \rho^{n+2\alpha} \left( \phi(R) \frac{1}{(\tau R_0)^{n+2\alpha}} + C \|f\|_q^2 \frac{1}{\tau^{n+2\alpha}(1 - \tau^\epsilon)} \right)$$

Note that  $\phi(R) \leq 4 \|Du\|_{L^2(B_1(0))}^2$ .

The Second Method:

I learn this from a lecture by Spencer Frei that the nondecreasing property can be proved by the following theorem:

**Theorem 1.** *If  $f \in L^2$ , then*

$$\int_{B(x_0, R)} |f - f_{x_0, R}|^2 = \inf_{a \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{B(x_0, R)} |f - a|^2$$

*Proof.* Let  $F(a) := \int_{B(x_0, R)} |f - a|^2$ . Then  $F'(a) = 2 \int_{B(x_0, R)} a - f$  with only one critical point  $A = f_{x_0, R}$ . By definition,  $F$  is convex and hence the critical point is a global minimizer by the following theorem. □

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $C \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  convex. Let  $F : C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  has continuous first partial derivative. Then  $F$  is convex if and only if  $f(x) + \nabla f(x) \cdot (y - x) \leq f(y)$  for all  $x, y \in C$ .*

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ) By convexity,

$$\frac{f(x + \lambda(y - x)) - f(x)}{\lambda} \leq f(y) - f(x).$$

Letting  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ , we have the desired inequality.

( $\Leftarrow$ ) This is proved by the following two inequalities:

$$f(x + \lambda(y - x)) + \nabla f(x + \lambda(y - x)) \cdot \lambda(y - x) \leq f(x)$$

$$f(x + \lambda(y - x)) + \nabla f(x + \lambda(y - x)) \cdot (1 - \lambda)(x - y) \leq f(y)$$

□

Page 70, second line; I think it's

$$|A(k, R)| \leq \frac{1}{k} \int_{A(k, R)} u^+ \leq \frac{1}{k} \|u^+\|_{L^2} |A(k, R)|^{1/2}.$$

Page 72, line 14-15, (1) I don't know how to apply the dominate convergence theorem if we do NOT assume  $\Phi'$  is bounded. (Note that the original assumption only promise it's locally bounded.)

(2) Instead assuming  $\Phi'$  is bounded, we can assume  $u$  is bounded in Lemma 4.6 without any hurt in our applications (Theorem 4.11 and 4.15) since we have the local boundedness theorem in previous section and  $\phi$  has compact support.

(3) I remark that the a.e. convergence is up to the subsequence (since  $\Phi'_\epsilon \rightarrow \Phi'$  in  $L^1([-k, k])$  for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .)