

# Elliptic PDEs of 2nd Order, Gilbarg and Trudinger

## Chapter 4 Poisson's Equation and the Newtonian Potential

Yung-Hsiang Huang\*

2017.02.28

1. *Proof.* (a) WLOG, we assume  $\gamma = \min(\alpha, \beta) = \alpha$ .

(1) Given  $x, y \in \Omega$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} |u(x)v(x) - u(y)v(y)| &\leq |u(x)||v(x) - v(y)| + |v(y)||u(x) - u(y)| \\ &\leq \|u\|_0[v]_\beta |x - y|^\beta + \|v\|_0[u]_\alpha |x - y|^\alpha \\ &\leq |x - y|^\gamma \max(1, d^{\alpha+\beta-2\gamma}) (\|u\|_0[v]_\beta + \|v\|_0[u]_\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $[uv]_\gamma \leq \max(1, d^{\alpha+\beta-2\gamma}) (\|u\|_0[v]_\beta + \|v\|_0[u]_\alpha)$ . Furthermore,

$$\|uv\|_\gamma \leq \max(1, d^{\alpha+\beta-2\gamma}) (\|u\|_0\|v\|_0 + \|u\|_0[v]_\beta + \|v\|_0[u]_\alpha) \leq \max(1, d^{\alpha+\beta-2\gamma}) \|u\|_\alpha \|v\|_\beta.$$

(2) Given  $x, y \in \Omega$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|u(x)v(x) - u(y)v(y)|}{|x - y|^\gamma} &\leq \frac{|u(x)||v(x) - v(y)| + |v(y)||u(x) - u(y)|}{|x - y|^\gamma} \\ &\leq \|u\|_0 \frac{[v]_\beta' |x - y|^\beta}{d^\beta |x - y|^\gamma} + \|v\|_0 \frac{[u]_\alpha' |x - y|^\alpha}{d^\alpha |x - y|^\gamma} = \|u\|_0 \frac{[v]_\beta' |x - y|^{\beta-\gamma}}{d^{\beta-\gamma} d^\gamma} + \|v\|_0 \frac{[u]_\alpha'}{d^\gamma} \\ &\leq \|u\|_0 \frac{[v]_\beta'}{d^\gamma} + \|v\|_0 \frac{[u]_\alpha'}{d^\gamma}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $[uv]_\gamma' \leq \|u\|_0[v]_\beta' + \|v\|_0[u]_\alpha'$  and therefore  $\|uv\|_\gamma' \leq \|u\|_\alpha' \|v\|_\beta'$ .

(b) Given  $W \subset\subset \Omega$  and two distinct points  $x, y \in W$ , denote  $I$  be the interval between  $g(x)$  and  $g(y)$  and  $L_{f,I}$  be the Holder constant of  $f$  on  $I$ , then

$$|f(g(x)) - f(g(y))| \leq L_{f,I} |g(x) - g(y)|^\alpha \leq L_{f,I} (L_{g,W} |x - y|^\beta)^\alpha.$$

□

---

\*Department of Math., National Taiwan University. Email: d04221001@ntu.edu.tw

2. Go back to check the convergence of the integrals in the proof of Lemma 4.2.
3. I think we need to assume  $p > n$  rather than  $p > n/2$ . See Exercise 8. Also see Lieb and Loss, [3, Chapter 10].

*Proof.* □

4. *Proof.* □

5. *Proof.* Denote fundamental solution for Laplaian with pole  $y$  by  $\Gamma_y(x) := \Gamma(x - y)$ . Put  $v(x) = \Gamma_y(x) - \Gamma(R')$ , where  $0 < R' \leq R$ , in the Green's identity

$$\int_{\Omega} u \Delta v - v \Delta u \, dx = \int_{\partial \Omega} u \frac{\partial v}{\partial n} - v \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} \, dS_x,$$

with  $\Omega = B_{R'}(y) \setminus B_{\epsilon}(y)$  and letting  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} u(y) &= \int_{B_{R'}} \Delta u(x) [\Gamma_y(x) - \Gamma(R')] \, dx + \int_{\partial B_{R'}} u \frac{\partial \Gamma_y(x)}{\partial n} - [\Gamma_y(x) - \Gamma(R')] \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} \, dS_x \\ &= (\leq, \geq) \frac{1}{nw_n(R')^{n-1}} \int_{\partial B_{R'}} u \, dS_x + \int_{B_{R'}} f(x) [\Gamma_y(x) - \Gamma(R')] \, dx, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Delta u = (\geq, \leq) f$ . Next, we only consider the equality case since the other cases are similar.

Multiply both sides with  $(R')^{n-1}$  and integrate w.r.t  $R'$  from 0 to  $R$ , we have, for  $n > 3$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} u(y) &= \frac{1}{w_n R^n} \int_{B_R(y)} u \, dx + \frac{1}{(2-n)w_n R^n} \int_0^R \int_{B_{R'}(y)} f(x) [|x-y|^{2-n} - (R')^{2-n}] \, dx (R')^{n-1} \, dR' \\ &= \frac{1}{w_n R^n} \int_{B_R(y)} u \, dx + \frac{1}{(2-n)w_n R^n} \int_0^R \int_0^{R'} \int_{\partial B_1(0)} f(y+rw) \, dw [r^{2-n}(R')^{n-1} - R'] r^{n-1} \, dr \, dR' \\ &= \frac{1}{w_n R^n} \int_{B_R(y)} u \, dx + \frac{1}{(2-n)w_n R^n} \int_0^R \int_{\partial B_1(0)} \int_r^R [r^{2-n}(R')^{n-1} - R'] \, dR' f(y+rw) \, dw r^{n-1} \, dr \\ &= \frac{1}{w_n R^n} \int_{B_R(y)} u \, dx + \frac{1}{(2-n)w_n R^n} \int_0^R \int_{\partial B_1(0)} \left[ \frac{r^{2-n}}{n} (R^n - r^n) - \frac{1}{2} (R^2 - r^2) \right] f(y+rw) \, dw r^{n-1} \, dr \\ &= \frac{1}{w_n R^n} \int_{B_R(y)} u \, dx + \frac{1}{n(2-n)w_n} \int_0^R \int_{\partial B_1(0)} \left[ r^{2-n} + \frac{n-2}{2} \frac{r^2}{R^n} - \frac{n-2}{2} \frac{R^2}{R^n} \right] f(y+rw) \, dw r^{n-1} \, dr \\ &= \frac{1}{w_n R^n} \int_{B_R(y)} u \, dx + \frac{1}{n(2-n)w_n} \int_{B_R(0)} \left[ |Z|^{2-n} + \frac{n-2}{2} \frac{|Z|^2}{R^n} - \frac{n-2}{2} \frac{R^2}{R^n} - R^{2-n} \right] f(y+Z) \, dZ \\ &= \frac{1}{w_n R^n} \int_{B_R(y)} u \, dx - \frac{1}{nw_n} \int_{B_R(0)} \left[ \frac{1}{n-2} (|Z|^{2-n} - R^{2-n}) + \frac{1}{2R^n} (|Z|^2 - R^2) \right] f(y+Z) \, dZ. \end{aligned}$$

The calculation for  $n = 2$  is similar. □

6. *Proof.* (incomplete!!!!) Since  $\Omega$  is  $C^2$  and bounded, we can find a neighborhood  $\Gamma$  of  $\partial \Omega$  in  $\Omega$  such that  $\text{dist}(x, \partial \Omega) =: d(x) \in C^2(\bar{\Gamma})$  and hence  $\nabla d, \Delta d$  are bounded in  $\Gamma$ . Moreover, since  $\Omega$  is compact, there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $B(x, \delta) \cap \Omega \subset \Gamma$  for every  $x \in \Omega$ .

Let  $\eta \in C_c^\infty(B_\delta(0))$  with  $0 \leq \eta \leq \eta(0) = (\beta(1-\beta))^{-1}$ . Given  $x \in \partial\Omega$  and let  $\eta_x(y) := \eta(y-x)$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(d^\beta \eta_x) &= d^\beta \Delta \eta_x + 2\nabla d^\beta \nabla \eta_x + \Delta(d^\beta) \eta_x \\ &= -d^{\beta-2}[\beta(1-\beta)\eta_x - \beta d(\Delta d)\eta_x - 2\beta d\nabla d \nabla \eta_x - d^2 \Delta \eta_x],\end{aligned}$$

we can find a small  $r > 0$  independent of  $x$  such that for  $y \in B_r(x)$ ,  $\Delta(d^\beta \eta_x)(y) \leq \frac{-1}{2}d^{\beta-2}$ . On the other hand, for  $y \notin B_r(x)$ ,  $|\Delta(d^\beta \eta_x)(y)| \leq C(\beta, \|d\|_{C^2(\Gamma)}, r) =: C$  (Note  $\eta_x = 0$  on  $\Omega \setminus B_\delta(x)$ .)

Since  $\partial\Omega$  is compact, there exists finite many  $x_1 \cdots x_m$  such that  $\{B_r(x_i)\}_i$  covers  $\partial\Omega$ . Let  $v$  be the solution of  $\Delta v = -mC$  in  $\Omega$  and  $v = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$

Define  $w = \sum \eta_{x_i} d^\beta + v$ , then  $w = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$  and  $\Delta w \leq -\frac{1}{2}d^{\beta-2}$ . So  $\Delta(2Nw \pm u) \leq 0$  in  $\Omega$  and  $2Nw \pm u = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$ . So  $|u(x)| \leq 2Nw(x)$  in  $\Omega$  by the maximum principle. It remains to estimate  $v(x)$ .

Note that since  $|\nabla d(y)| \rightarrow 1$  as  $y \rightarrow \partial\Omega$ ,

$$\Delta(d^\beta)(y) = d(y)^{\beta-2}[\beta(\beta-1)|\nabla d(y)|^2 + \beta d(y)\Delta d(y)] \rightarrow -\infty \text{ as } y \rightarrow \partial\Omega.$$

So there exists a neighborhood  $\Gamma' \subset \Gamma$  of  $\partial\Omega$  and  $C'$  such that

$$\Delta(C'd^\beta - v) \leq 0 \text{ in } \Gamma' \text{ and } C'd^\beta - v \geq 0 \text{ on } \partial\Gamma'.$$

By the maximum principle,  $v(x) \leq C'd(x)^\beta$  in  $\Gamma'$ . □

7. Standard change of variables. I think this is the same as the derivation of Laplace-Beltrami operator in Riemannian geometry.

8. See Lieb and Loss, [3, Chapter 10].

*Proof.* □

9. *Proof.* (a) Since  $\Delta(\eta P) = (\Delta\eta)P + 2\nabla\eta\nabla P$ ,  $\text{supp}(\Delta(\eta P)) \subset \{1 \leq |x| \leq 2\}$ . Then for any  $x \neq 0$  and  $y \in B_{\frac{1}{2}|x|}(x)$ , for all but finitely many  $k$ ,  $\Delta(\eta P)(t_k y) \neq 0$ . So  $f$  is continuous at any  $x \neq 0$ . At the origin, we know  $f(0) = 0$  from the definition. Since  $|f(x)| = |c_k \Delta(\eta P)(t_k x)| \leq M|c_k|$  if  $2^{-k} \leq x \leq 2^{-k+1}$  and  $c_k \rightarrow 0$ ,  $f$  is continuous at the origin.

Next, we define  $v(x) = \sum \frac{c_k}{t_k^2}(\eta P)(t_k x)$ . For each  $x \neq 0$  and  $y \in B_{\frac{1}{2}|x|}(x)$ , we see only finite terms contribute  $v(y)$  and hence  $v \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ . Since  $\sum \frac{|c_k|}{t_k^2}$  converges and  $\eta P$  is bounded,  $v$  is continuous everywhere (and hence bounded near the origin).

Since for each  $x \neq 0$ , there is only one  $k_0$  such that  $1 \leq |2^{k_0}x| \leq 2$ , then for some  $|\alpha| = 2$ ,  $D^\alpha P \equiv P_\alpha \neq 0$  and

$$\partial^\alpha v(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{k_0-1} c_k P_\alpha + c_{k_0} \eta(2^{k_0}x) P_\alpha + \sum_{i, \alpha_i=1} c_{k_0} (\partial_i \eta)(2^{k_0}x) (\partial^{\alpha-\alpha_i} P)(2^{k_0}x)$$

Since  $k_0(x) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $|x| \rightarrow 0$ ,  $c_{k_0(x)} \rightarrow 0$  as  $|x| \rightarrow 0$ . Moreover, since  $\sum c_k$  diverges,  $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow 0} \partial^\alpha v(x)$  does not exist.

Given  $\epsilon > 0$ . Suppose there exist classical solution to  $\Delta u = f$  in  $B_\epsilon$ , then  $u - v$  is bounded harmonic in  $B_{\epsilon/2} \setminus \{0\}$ . By removable singularity, we know  $u - v$  has a harmonic extension to the origin, which implies the contradiction that  $v$  has a  $C^2$  extension to the origin.

(b) Similarly, we see  $w(x) := \sum \frac{c_k}{t_k^3} (\eta Q)(t_k x)$  is  $C^3(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \cap C(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . We also note that for each  $x \neq 0$ ,  $\Delta w(x) = g(x) = \sum \frac{c_k}{t_k} (\Delta(\eta Q))(t_k x)$  and  $D_i g(x) = \sum c_k (D_i \Delta(\eta Q))(t_k x)$ , so  $g \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ . At the origin, we know  $g(0) = 0$  from the definition and for each  $h \neq 0$ , there is only one  $k_0$  such that  $1 \leq |2^{k_0}h| \leq 2$ . Note that  $k_0(h) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $h \rightarrow 0$  and

$$\left| \frac{g(h e_i) - g(0)}{h} \right| = |c_{k_0} \frac{\Delta(\eta P)(2^{k_0} h e_i)}{2^{k_0} h}| \leq |c_{k_0}| M \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } h \rightarrow 0.$$

So  $D_i g(0) = 0$ . Since  $|D_i g(x)| = |c_k D_i \Delta(\eta P)(t_k x)| \leq M' |c_k|$  if  $x \in [2^{-k}, 2^{-k+1})$  and  $c_k \rightarrow 0$ ,  $D_i g$  is continuous at the origin for each  $i$ . Therefore,  $g \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

Since for each  $x \neq 0$ , there is only one  $k_0$  such that  $1 \leq |2^{k_0}x| \leq 2$ , then for some  $|\alpha| = 3$ ,  $D^\alpha Q \equiv Q_\alpha \neq 0$  and

$$\partial^\alpha w(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{k_0-1} c_k Q_\alpha + c_{k_0} \eta(2^{k_0}x) Q_\alpha + \sum_{\beta \leq \alpha} c_{k_0} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta! (\alpha - \beta)!} (\partial^\beta \eta)(2^{k_0}x) (\partial^{\alpha-\beta} P)(2^{k_0}x)$$

Since  $k_0(x) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $|x| \rightarrow 0$ ,  $c_{k_0(x)} \rightarrow 0$  as  $|x| \rightarrow 0$ . Moreover, since **I assume**  $|\sum c_k| = \infty$ ,  $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow 0} |\partial^\alpha w(x)| = \infty$  and hence  $w$  is not  $C^{2,1}$  in any neighborhood of the origin by the mean value theorem (MVT).  $\square$

**Remark 1.** Another example is given in [2, Section 3.4] where  $u = (x_1^2 - x_2^2)(-\log |x|)^{1/2}$  on  $B_R(0)$ ,  $R < 1$ .

**Remark 2.** This problem is concern the existence of  $C^2(\Omega)$  solution to Dirichlet problem in  $B_1$ . Another problem one may ask is whether the  $C^2$ -global regularity theorem true? That is, if  $u \in C^2(B_1) \cap C^0(\overline{B_1})$  solves  $\Delta u = f \in C^0(\overline{B_1})$  and  $u = g \in C^2(\overline{B_1})$ , can we conclude that  $u \in C^2(\overline{B_1})$ ?

This question is related to the analytic continuation, I find it's answered negatively in [1, Chapter II.3]. The example is the following:

Consider a conformal map  $f : D \subset \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \Omega$  where  $\Omega = \{x + iy : 0 < x < \frac{1}{1+|y|}\}$ . Clearly,  $f$  is unbounded. On the other hand,  $\operatorname{Re} f$  has a continuous extension to  $\overline{D}$  because it has a finite limit. Write  $f(z) = \sum_{n=0} c_n z^n$  and define  $F(z) = \sum_{n=1} c_n n^{-2} z^n$ .  $\operatorname{Re} F$  will be the counterexample. The reason is:

If all the second partial derivatives of  $\operatorname{Re} F$  are bounded on  $\overline{D}$ , then  $F''$  is bounded by Cauchy-Riemann equations. But this is impossible since  $f(z) - f(0) = z(zF')' = zF' + z^2 F''$  where the left hand side is unbounded and the right hand side is bounded by MVT.

10. I think **the denominator in (a) should be  $2(n-2)$ , not  $2n$** . (Of course, this is for  $n \geq 3$ , and for  $n = 2$ , we use the same technique as the proof for Theorem 4.6 to show the denominator can be  $2(3-2) = 2$ ). For example, take radial function  $f = f(r) \in C_c^\infty(B_R(0))$  such that  $-1 \leq f \leq 0$ ,  $f \equiv -1$  on  $r \leq R - 2\epsilon$  and  $f \equiv 0$  on  $r > R - \epsilon$ . Then  $|u(0)| = \int_B \frac{|x-y|^{2-n} f(y)}{nw_n(2-n)} dy = \int_0^R r f(r) dr / (2-n) \in (\frac{(R-2\epsilon)^2}{2(n-2)}, \frac{(R-\epsilon)^2}{2(n-2)})$ .

*Proof.* Since  $u \in C_0^2(B)$ ,  $f \in C_0(B)$  and hence for  $n \geq 3$  and for each  $x \in B$ ,

$$|u(x)| = \left| \int_B \frac{|x-y|^{2-n} f(y)}{nw_n(2-n)} dy \right| \leq |f|_0 \frac{R^2}{2(n-2)}$$

On the other hand, for each  $x \in B$  and for  $n \geq 2$ ,

$$|D_i u(x)| = \left| \int_B \frac{|x-y|^{-n} (x_i - y_i) f(y)}{nw_n} dy \right| \leq \int_{B_R(x)} \frac{|x-y|^{1-n}}{nw_n} dy |f|_0 = R |f|_0.$$

□

## References

- [1] John B Garnett and Donald E Marshall. *Harmonic measure*, volume 2. Cambridge University Press, 2005.
- [2] Qing Han and Fanghua Lin. *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations*, volume 1. American Mathematical Soc., 2nd edition, 2011.
- [3] Elliott H Lieb and Michael Loss. *Analysis*, volume 14. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI., 2nd edition, 2001.