

# Fourier Analysis, Stein and Shakarchi

## Chapter 7 Finite Fourier Analysis

Yung-Hsiang Huang\*

2018.06.14

### Abstract

I finish this solution file when I am a teaching assistant of the course “Analysis II” in NTU 2018 Spring. Some exercises are discussed with Jing-Wen Chen and Wei-Ning Deng.

The following students contribute the Problem section:

Problem 2,3: Chin-Bin Hsu, Zi-Li Lim.

## Exercises

1. Let  $f$  be a function on the circle. For each  $N \geq 1$  the discrete Fourier coefficients of  $f$  are defined by

$$a_N(n) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N f(e^{2\pi i k/N}) e^{-2\pi i k n/N}, \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

We also let

$$a(n) = \int_0^1 f(e^{2\pi i x}) e^{-2\pi i n x} dx$$

denote the ordinary Fourier coefficients of  $f$ .

Then it's easy to show that  $a_N(n) = a_N(n + N)$ . Furthermore, if  $f$  is continuous, then one can deduce  $a_N(n) \rightarrow a(n)$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$  from the Riemann sum approximation. **Does  $a_N \rightarrow a$  uniformly in  $n$ ?** (Note that this is true if  $f \in C^1$  by the next exercise.)

2. **If  $f$  is a  $C^1$  function on the circle, prove that  $|a_N(n)| \leq c/|n|$  whenever  $0 < |n| \leq N/2$ .**

---

\*Department of Math., National Taiwan University. Email: d04221001@ntu.edu.tw

*Proof.* The hint is easy to prove. So  $|a_N(n)||1 - e^{2\pi iln/N}| \leq M_f|1 - e^{2\pi il/N}|$  where  $M_f$  is the Lipschitz constant of  $f$ . Choose the integer  $l$  such that  $|l - \frac{N}{2n}| \leq \frac{1}{2}$ . Then  $|\frac{ln}{N} - \frac{1}{2}| \leq \frac{|n|}{2N} \leq \frac{1}{4}$  and so  $\frac{1}{4} \leq \frac{ln}{N} \leq \frac{3}{4}$ . Therefore,

$$|a_N(n)| \leq \frac{M_f|1 - e^{2\pi il/N}|}{|1 - e^{2\pi iln/N}|} \leq CM_f \frac{l}{N} \leq CM_f \left( \frac{1}{2|n|} + \frac{1}{2N} \right) \leq CM_f \frac{1}{|n|}.$$

□

3. **By a similar method, show that if  $f$  is a  $C^2$  function on the circle, then  $|a_N(n)| \leq c/|n|^2$ , whenever  $0 < |n| \leq N/2$ . As a result, prove the inversion formula for  $f \in C^2$ ,**

$$f(e^{2\pi ix}) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a(n)e^{2\pi inx}$$

**from its finite version.**

*Proof.* Use the hint for Exercise 2 twice (for  $\pm l$ ), we have

$$|a_N||2 - e^{2\pi iln/N} - e^{-2\pi iln/N}| \leq M_f|e^{2\pi il/N} - 1|^2.$$

The quadratic decay rate can be proved similarly as Exercise 2 with a constant independent of  $N$ . Note that  $a(n)$  also decays quadratically.

For the second part, let  $N$  be odd, one write the inversion formula as

$$\sum_{|n| < \frac{N}{2}} a_N(n)e^{2\pi inx/N} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} f(e^{2\pi ij/N}) \sum_{|n| < \frac{N}{2}} e^{-2\pi inj/N} e^{2\pi inx/N} = f(e^{2\pi ik/N})$$

Given  $\epsilon > 0$ , let  $\delta > 0$  be the uniform modulus of  $f$  associated with  $\epsilon$ . Let  $N_0(\epsilon) > \delta^{-1}$ . Then for each  $J > N_0(\epsilon)$  and  $x \in [0, 1]$ , one can pick  $k(x, J) \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $|x - \frac{k(x, J)}{J}| < \frac{1}{J} < \frac{1}{N_0(\epsilon)} < \delta$ . Note that

$$\begin{aligned} |f(e^{2\pi ix}) - \sum_{|n| \leq J/2} a(n)e^{2\pi inx}| &\leq |f(e^{2\pi ix}) - f(e^{2\pi i \frac{k(x, J)}{J}})| + |f(e^{2\pi i \frac{k(x, J)}{J}}) - \sum_{|n| \leq J/2} a_J(n)e^{2\pi in \frac{k(x, J)}{J}}| \\ &\quad + | \sum_{|n| \leq J/2} [a_J(n) - a(n)]e^{2\pi in \frac{k(x, J)}{J}}| + | \sum_{|n| \leq J/2} a(n)[e^{2\pi in \frac{k(x, J)}{J}} - e^{2\pi inx}]| \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Note that the first term  $< \epsilon$  by uniform continuity of  $f$ . The last term is less than a generic multiple of  $\sum_{|n| \leq J/2} \frac{1}{n^2} \frac{n}{J} = \frac{\log J}{J}$  and then turns to be less than  $\epsilon$  if  $J > N_1(\epsilon)$  for some  $N_1(\epsilon) > 0$ .

For the third term, one use the quadratic decay as follows: there is  $N_2(\epsilon)$  such that  $\sum_{|n| > N_2(\epsilon)} \frac{1}{n^2} < \epsilon$ . So for  $J > 2N_2(\epsilon)$ , we decompose this sum into two parts,  $|n| < N_2(\epsilon)$  and  $J/2 \geq |n| > N_2(\epsilon)$ .

For the second part, it's bounded by a multiple of  $\sum_{|n| > N_2(\epsilon)} \frac{1}{n^2} < \epsilon$ . For the first part, we use Exercise 1 to conclude that this part is less than a multiple of  $2N_2(\epsilon) \cdot \frac{\epsilon}{N_2(\epsilon)}$  whenever  $J > N_3(\epsilon)$  for some  $N_3(\epsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$ .

If  $J$  is odd, then the second term vanishes. If  $J$  is even, then we modify (1) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} |f(e^{2\pi i x}) - \sum_{|n| \leq \frac{J}{2}} a(n)e^{2\pi i n x}| &= |f(e^{2\pi i x}) - \sum_{|n| \leq \frac{J+1}{2}} a(n)e^{2\pi i n x}| \\ &\leq |f(e^{2\pi i x}) - f(e^{2\pi i \frac{k(x, J+1)}{J+1}})| + |f(e^{2\pi i \frac{k(x, J+1)}{J+1}}) - \sum_{|n| \leq \frac{J+1}{2}} a_{J+1}(n)e^{2\pi i n \frac{k(x, J+1)}{J+1}}| \\ &\quad + |\sum_{|n| \leq \frac{J+1}{2}} [a_{J+1}(n) - a(n)]e^{2\pi i n \frac{k(x, J+1)}{J+1}}| + |\sum_{|n| \leq \frac{J+1}{2}} a(n)[e^{2\pi i n \frac{k(x, J+1)}{J+1}} - e^{2\pi i n x}]|. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, one see that if  $J > N(\epsilon) := \max\{N_0(\epsilon), N_1(\epsilon), N_2(\epsilon), N_3(\epsilon)\}$ , then

$\sup_x |f(e^{2\pi i x}) - \sum_{|n| \leq \frac{J}{2}} a(n)e^{2\pi i n x}|$  is less than a generic multiple of  $\epsilon$ .  $\square$

4. **Let  $e$  be a character on  $G = \mathbb{Z}(N)$ , the additive group of integers modulo  $N$ . Show that there exists a unique  $0 \leq l \leq N-1$  so that  $e(k) = e_l(k) = e^{2\pi i l k / N}$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}(N)$ . Conversely, every function of this type is a character on  $\mathbb{Z}(N)$ . Deduce that  $e^l \mapsto l$  defines an isomorphism from  $\widehat{G}$  to  $G$ .**

*Proof.* By definition,  $e(1) = e^{2\pi i \tilde{l}}$  for some  $\tilde{l} \in [0, 1)$ . Let  $l = N\tilde{l} \in [0, N)$ . By multiplicative property of  $e$ . One has  $1 = e(N) = e(1)^N = e^{2\pi i N\tilde{l}}$ . So  $N\tilde{l} \in \mathbb{Z}$  and hence  $0 \leq l \leq N-1$ . The uniqueness and converse part are easy to prove. This implies the map  $\phi : e^l \mapsto l$  is bijective. It's also trivial that  $\phi$  is a homomorphism.  $\square$

5. **Show that all characters on  $S^1 = [0, 1]$  are given by**

$$e_n(x) = e^{2\pi i n x} \quad \text{with } n \in \mathbb{Z},$$

**and check that  $e_n \mapsto n$  defines an isomorphism from  $\widehat{S^1}$  to  $\mathbb{Z}$ .**

*Proof.* Given  $e \in \widehat{S^1}$ . We verify  $e$  is differentiable at first. The multiplicative property of  $e$  implies  $e$  is continuous. The continuity of  $e$  and the fact  $e(0) = 1$  imply  $c := \int_0^\delta e(y) dy \neq 0$  for some small  $\delta > 0$ . So  $ce(x) = \int_x^{x+\delta} e(y) dy$ , which implies  $e$  is differentiable.

Then  $e(x+h) = e(x)e(h)$  for all  $x \in [0, 1)$  and  $h \in [0, 1-x)$ , then  $\frac{e(x+h)-e(x)}{h} = \frac{e(h)-e(0)}{h}e(x) \rightarrow \dot{e}(0)e(x)$  as  $h \rightarrow 0^+$  for all  $x \in (0, 1)$ . On the other hand,  $\frac{e(x-h)-e(x)}{-h} = \frac{e(0)-e(h)}{-h}e(x-h) \rightarrow \dot{e}(0)e(x)$  as  $h \rightarrow 0^+$  for all  $x \in (0, 1)$ . So  $e$  satisfies  $\dot{e}(x) = e(x)\dot{e}(0)$ . So  $e(x) = e^{x\dot{e}(0)}$ . In particular  $1 = e(0) = e(1) = e^{\dot{e}(0)}$  implies that  $\dot{e}(0) = 2\pi i n$  for some  $n$ .  $\square$

**Remark** 1. This technique is standard in the theory of semigroups. See [2, Chapter 1] for some settings in Banach spaces. (There is some difficulty for  $x - h$  part to be overcome by uniform boundedness principle).  $e(0)$  is called the infinitesimal generator.

6. **Prove that all characters on  $\mathbb{R}$  take the form**

$$e_\xi(x) = e^{2\pi i \xi x} \quad \text{with } \xi \in \mathbb{R},$$

**and that  $e_\xi \mapsto \xi$  defines an isomorphism from  $\widehat{\mathbb{R}}$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ . The argument in Exercise 5 applies here as well.**

*Proof.* Same argument as the previous argument implies  $e(x) = e^{(a+ib)x}$  for some  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ . Note that the boundary conditions  $|e(x)| \equiv 1$  on  $x = \pm\infty$  imply  $a = 0$ .  $\square$

7. **Let  $\zeta = e^{2\pi i/N}$ . Define the  $N \times N$  matrix  $M = (a_{jk})_{1 \leq j, k \leq N}$  by  $a_{jk} = N^{-1/2} \zeta^{jk}$ .**

**(a) Show that  $M$  is unitary. (b) Interpret the identity  $(Mu, Mv) = (u, v)$  and the fact that  $M^* = M^{-1}$  in terms of Fourier series on  $\mathbb{Z}(N)$ .**

*Proof.* (a) One notes that  $(M^*M)_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^N (M^*)_{ik} M_{kj} = N^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^N \zeta^{-ki} \zeta^{kj} = \delta_{ij}$ , the Kronecker delta. Argument for showing  $(MM^*)_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$  is almost the same.

(b) Given  $u, v \in \mathbb{C}^N$ , we define the function  $U$  on  $\mathbb{Z}(N)$  by  $U(j) = u_j$ , the  $j$ -th component of  $u$ . By Parseval's identity,

$$(Mu, Mv) = \sum_{j=1}^N \widehat{U}(-j) \widehat{V}(j) = \sum_{j=1}^N \overline{\widehat{U}(j)} \widehat{V}(j) = \sum_{j=1}^N \overline{U(j)} V(j) = (u, v).$$

Similarly, by Fourier inversion formula on  $\mathbb{Z}(N)$ ,  $U(n) = \sum_j \widehat{U}(j) \zeta^{jn} = N^{\frac{1}{2}} (M\widehat{U})(n) = (MM^*)U(n)$ . So  $M^* = M^{-1}$ .  $\square$

8. **Suppose that  $P(x) = \sum_{n=1}^N a_n e^{2\pi i n x}$ .**

**(a) Show by using the Parseval identities for the circle and  $\mathbb{Z}(N)$ , that**

$$\int_0^1 |P(x)|^2 dx = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N |P(j/N)|^2.$$

**(b) Prove the reconstruction formula**

$$P(x) = \sum_{j=1}^N P(j/N) K(x - (j/N))$$

where

$$K(x) = \frac{e^{2\pi i x}}{N} \frac{1 - e^{2\pi i N x}}{1 - e^{2\pi i x}} = \frac{1}{N} (e^{2\pi i x} + e^{2\pi i 2x} + \cdots + e^{2\pi i N x}).$$

Observe that  $P$  is completely determined by the values  $P(j/N)$  for  $1 \leq j \leq N$ . Note also that  $K(0) = 1$ , and  $K(j/N) = 0$  whenever  $j$  is not congruent to 0 modulo  $N$ .

**Remark 2.** Compare with Exercise 5.20.

*Proof.* (a) Using the Parseval identities, one has

$$\int_0^1 |P(x)|^2 dx = \sum_{j=1}^N |a_j|^2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N |P(j/N)|^2.$$

(b) Let  $Q(z) = \sum_{n=1}^N a_n z^{n-1}$  and  $\{z_j\}_{j=1}^N := \{e^{2\pi i \frac{j}{N}}\}_{j=1}^N$  be the  $N$ -th root of unity.

Using the Lagrange interpolation polynomials, one can derive that  $Q(z) = \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{Q(z_j)}{N z_j^{N-1}} \frac{z^N - 1}{z - z_j}$

Then

$$P(x) = e^{2\pi i x} Q(e^{2\pi i x}) = e^{2\pi i x} \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{P(\frac{j}{N}) e^{-2\pi i \frac{j}{N}}}{N} \frac{e^{2\pi i N x} - 1}{e^{2\pi i (x - \frac{j}{N})} - 1} = \sum_{j=1}^N P(\frac{j}{N}) K(x - \frac{j}{N}).$$

□

9. One can prove the following assertions by modifying the argument given in the text.

(a) Show that one can compute the Fourier coefficients of a function on  $\mathbb{Z}(N)$  when  $N = 3^n$  with at most  $6N \log_3 N$  operations.

(b) Generalize this to  $N = \alpha^n$  where  $\alpha$  is an integer  $> 1$ .

10. A group  $G$  is cyclic if there exists  $g \in G$  that generates all of  $G$ , that is, if any element in  $G$  can be written as  $g^n$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Prove that a finite abelian group is cyclic if and only if it is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}(N)$  for some  $N$ .

**Remark 3.** (1) Cyclic  $\Leftrightarrow$  Abelian. (2) See Problem 2 for a more precise formulation for structure theorem for finite abelian groups.

*Proof.* If  $G \cong_{\phi} \mathbb{Z}(N)$ , then  $G$  is cyclic with  $g = \phi(0)$ . Conversely, if  $G$  has a generator  $g$ , then we define  $\phi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}(|G|)$  by  $\phi(g^n) = n$  for every  $0 \leq n \leq |G| - 1$ . Now it's easy to check  $\phi$  is an isomorphism. □

11. Write down the multiplicative tables for the groups  $\mathbb{Z}^*(3), \mathbb{Z}^*(4), \mathbb{Z}^*(5), \mathbb{Z}^*(6), \mathbb{Z}^*(8)$ , and  $\mathbb{Z}^*(9)$ . Which of these groups are cyclic?

*Proof.* It's standard to see that  $\mathbb{Z}^*(3), \mathbb{Z}^*(4), \mathbb{Z}^*(6)$  are all isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}(2)$ , and hence cyclic;  $\mathbb{Z}^*(5) \cong \mathbb{Z}(4)$  is also cyclic;  $\mathbb{Z}^*(8) \cong \mathbb{Z}(2) \times \mathbb{Z}(2)$  is not cyclic;  $\mathbb{Z}^*(9) \cong \mathbb{Z}(6)$  is cyclic.  $\square$

12. **Suppose that  $G$  is a finite abelian group and  $e : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is a function that satisfies  $e(x \cdot y) = e(x)e(y)$  for all  $x, y \in G$ . Prove that either  $e$  is identically 0, or  $e$  never vanishes. In the second case, show that for each  $x$ ,  $e(x) = e^{2\pi i r}$  for some  $r \in \mathbb{Q}$  of the form  $r = p/q$ , where  $q = |G|$ .**

*Proof.* Let  $0_G$  be the identity of  $G$ . The multiplicative property implies  $e(0_G) = 1$  or  $0$ . If  $e(0_G) = 0$ , then the multiplicative property implies  $e \equiv 0$ . On the other hand,  $e(a)e(a^{-1}) = e(0_G) = 1$  implies  $e(a) \neq 0$  for all  $a \in G$ .

Note that for each  $x$ ,  $|G|x = x + x + \cdots + x = 0_G$  (Lagrange's theorem in group theory). So  $e(x)^{|G|} = 1$ , which implies  $e(x) = e^{2\pi i \frac{r_x}{|G|}}$  for some  $r_x \in \mathbb{Z}$ .  $\square$

13. **In analogy with ordinary Fourier series, one may interpret finite Fourier expansions using convolutions as follows. Suppose  $G$  is a finite abelian group,  $1_G$  its unit, and  $V$  the vector space of complex-valued functions on  $G$ .**

(a) **The convolution of two functions  $f$  and  $g$  in  $V$  is defined for each  $a \in G$  by**

$$(f * g)(a) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{b \in G} f(b)g(a \cdot b^{-1}).$$

**Show that for all  $e \in \widehat{G}$  one has  $\widehat{(f * g)}(e) = \widehat{f}(e)\widehat{g}(e)$ .**

(b) **Use Theorem 2.5 to show that**

$$\sum_{e \in \widehat{G}} e(c) = 0 \quad \text{whenever } c \in G \text{ and } c \neq 1_G.$$

(c) **As a result of (b), show that the Fourier series  $Sf(a) = \sum_{e \in \widehat{G}} \widehat{f}(e)e(a)$  of a function  $f \in V$  takes the form**

$$Sf = f * D,$$

**where  $D$  is defined by**

$$D(c) = \sum_{e \in \widehat{G}} e(c) = \begin{cases} |G| & \text{if } c = 1_G, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

**Since  $f * D = f$ , we recover the fact that  $Sf = f$ . Loosely speaking,  $D$  corresponds to a "Dirac delta function"; it has unit mass**

$$\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{c \in G} D(c) = 1,$$

and (2) says that this mass is concentrated at the unit element in  $G$ . Thus  $D$  has the same interpretation as the "limit" of a family of good kernels. (See Section 4, Chapter 2.)

**Note.** The function  $D$  reappears in the next chapter as  $\delta_1(n)$ .

*Proof.* (a) Note that  $G \cdot b^{-1} = G$  for all  $b \in G$ . So

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{(f * g)}(e) &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{a \in G} (f * g)(a) e(a) = \frac{1}{|G|^2} \sum_{a, b \in G} f(b) g(a \cdot b^{-1}) e(a \cdot b^{-1}) e(b) \\ &= \frac{1}{|G|^2} \sum_{b \in G} f(b) e(b) \sum_{a \in G} g(a \cdot b^{-1}) e(a \cdot b^{-1}) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{b \in G} f(b) e(b) \widehat{g}(e) = \widehat{f}(e) \widehat{g}(e) \end{aligned}$$

(b) Note that  $f\widehat{G} = \widehat{G}$  for each  $f \in \widehat{G}$ . If there is  $e' \in \widehat{G}$  such that  $e'(c) \neq 1$ , then we see that  $\sum_{e \in \widehat{G}} e(c) = 0$  since

$$e'(c) \sum_{e \in \widehat{G}} e(c) = \sum_{e \in \widehat{G}} (e'e)(c) = \sum_{f \in \widehat{G}} f(c).$$

The existence of  $e'$  (which looks like the group version of Hahn-Banach theorem) can be proved as follows:

Let  $H$  be the cyclic group generated by  $c$ . Then  $|H| > 1$  and hence  $|G/H| < |G|$ , where  $G/H = \{bH : b \in G\}$  is the quotient group. Suppose  $e(c) = 1$  for all  $e \in \widehat{G}$ . Then each character  $e$  induces a character  $e_H$  on  $G/H$  defined by  $e_H(bH) = e(b)$  (we verify this is well-defined by the hypothesis  $e \equiv 1$  on  $H$ ). So  $e_H \neq f_H$  provided  $e \neq f$  and hence we have a contradiction that  $|G/H| < |G| = |\widehat{G}| = |\widehat{G/H}| = |G/H|$ .

(c)

$$Sf(a) = \sum_{e \in \widehat{G}} \widehat{f}(e) e(a) = \sum_{e \in \widehat{G}} \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{b \in G} f(b) \overline{e(b)} e(a) = \sum_{e \in \widehat{G}} \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{b \in G} f(b) e(b^{-1}) e(a) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{b \in G} f(b) D(b^{-1}a).$$

□

## Problems

1. Prove that if  $n$  and  $m$  are two positive integers that are relatively prime, then

$$\mathbb{Z}(nm) \cong \mathbb{Z}(n) \times \mathbb{Z}(m).$$

*Proof.* As hint, we consider the map  $\phi : k \mapsto (k \bmod n, k \bmod m) =: (\phi_1(k), \phi_2(k))$ .

Given  $(a, b) \in \mathbb{Z}(n) \times \mathbb{Z}(m)$ . Since  $m, n$  are relatively prime, there is  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $mx + ny = 1$  (see Corollary 1.3 of Chapter 8). Then  $k = amx + bny$  is  $a$  modulo  $n$  and is  $b$  modulo  $m$ , that is,  $\phi(k) = (a, b)$ .

If  $\phi(k_1) = \phi(k_2)$ , then  $k_1 - k_2 = (p_1 - p_2)n$  for some  $p_1, p_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Since  $m, n$  are relatively prime,  $k_1 - k_2 = (q_1 - q_2)mn$ . So  $k_1 = k_2$  in  $\mathbb{Z}(nm)$ .

Finally, since  $AB = (p_A n + \phi_1(A))(p_B n + \phi_1(B)) = (p_A p_B + p_A \phi_1(B) + p_B \phi_1(A))n + \phi_1(A)\phi_1(B)$ ,  $\phi_1(AB) = \phi_1(A)\phi_1(B)$  for any  $A, B \in \mathbb{Z}(nm)$ . Similar for  $\phi_2(AB) = \phi_2(A)\phi_2(B)$ .  $\square$

2. **Every finite abelian group  $G$  is isomorphic to a direct product of cyclic groups. Here are two more precise formulations of this theorem.**

• **If  $p_1, \dots, p_s$  are the distinct primes appearing in the factorization of the order of  $G$ , then**

$$G \cong G(p_1) \times \dots \times G(p_s),$$

**where each  $G(p)$  is of the form  $G(p) = \mathbb{Z}(p^{r_1}) \times \dots \times \mathbb{Z}(p^{r_l})$ , with  $0 \leq r_1 \leq \dots \leq r_l$  (this sequence of integers depends on  $p$  of course). This decomposition is unique.**

• **There exist unique integers  $d_1, \dots, d_k$  such that**

$$d_1 | d_2, d_2 | d_3, \dots, d_{k-1} | d_k$$

**and**

$$G \cong \mathbb{Z}(d_1) \times \dots \times \mathbb{Z}(d_k).$$

**Deduce the second formulation from the first.**

*Proof.*

$\square$

3. **Let  $\widehat{G}$  denote the collection of distinct characters of the finite abelian group  $G$ .**

**(a) Note that if  $G = \mathbb{Z}(N)$ , then  $\widehat{G}$  is isomorphic to  $G$ .**

**(b) Prove that  $\widehat{G_1 \times G_2} = \widehat{G_1} \times \widehat{G_2}$ .**

**(c) Prove using Problem 2 that if  $G$  is a finite abelian group, then  $\widehat{G}$  is isomorphic to  $G$ .**

**Remark 4.** The results in this problem give another proof to Theorem 2.5.

*Proof.*

$\square$



4. **When  $p$  is prime, the group  $\mathbb{Z}^*(p)$  is cyclic and  $\mathbb{Z}^*(p) \cong \mathbb{Z}(p-1)$ .**

*Proof.* One way to prove this is through Euclidean algorithm (Corollary 1.3 of Chapter 8, also see page 244). The authors also refer this problem to [1, Chapter 7].  $\square$

## References

- [1] Andrews, George E. Number theory. Courier Corporation, 1994.
- [2] Engel, Klaus-Jochen, and Rainer Nagel. One-parameter semigroups for linear evolution equations. Vol. 194. Springer Science & Business Media, 1999.
- [3] Herstein, Israel Nathan. Abstract algebra. Prentice Hall, 1996.