

Nonlinear Optimization Notes

Haolin Li

September 16, 2024

Abstract

This course explores unconstrained optimization problems. We begin with certificates for optimality and basic ingredients, like results from convexity. Then go through algorithms that have great impact over this field of study.

Contents

1	Introduction and Recap	2
1.1	Calculus Review	2
1.2	Optimality Conditions	3
1.3	Basics of Convexity	3

Chapter 1

Introduction and Recap

In this chapter, we will go through ideas that inspired the development of this field of study, together with math required for this class and some basic results from convexity analysis. The kind of problem we are trying to answer has the form:

$$\underset{x \in \mathbb{R}^d}{\text{minimize}} \quad f(x) \quad (1.1)$$

Often in machine learning, what we care more about is not the value itself, but the minimizer associated with. Because the objective $f(x)$ serves as a loss function, and what we want is the parameters. As a result, we sometimes slightly change the formation into:

$$\underset{x \in \mathbb{R}^d}{\arg \min} \quad f(x) \quad (1.2)$$

1.1 Calculus Review

Given a function $f(x) \in \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, if it's smooth, then we can define its gradient as

$$\nabla f(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n} \end{pmatrix}$$

The gradient at point x is the direction of steepest growth. It originates from the attempt to find a local approximation for any smooth function with an affine function. Specifically, the affine approximation is:

$$\tilde{x} \mapsto f(x) + \nabla f^T(x)(\tilde{x} - x)$$

Note. Even if $f(x)$ has partial derivatives for all of the coordinates, the gradient might not exist. Take $f(x) = \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2}$ as an example.

A natural idea is can we find a better approximation with a quadratic? If $f(x)$ is twice differentiable, the Hessian $\nabla^2 f(x) : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ helps our approximation with the following quadratic form:

$$\tilde{x} \mapsto f(x) + \nabla f^T(x)(\tilde{x} - x) + (\tilde{x} - x)^T \nabla^2 f(x)(\tilde{x} - x)$$

It's not hard to see that these are just special cases of the Taylor Series for high dimensional functions. But in optimization problems we mostly/only care about the first and second derivatives because of the optimality conditions that we will be talking about later on. No matter affine or quadratic, they are all approximations, so exactly how much deviation do they have is important. Before that, we introduce a tool we will find handy in the future.

Definition 1.1.1. Given $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, for any $x, s \in \mathbb{R}^d$ fixed, we define the "slice" of $f(x)$ in direction s as:

$$\varphi(t) = f(x + ts)$$

Lemma 1.1.1. Let $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, then $\forall x, s \in \mathbb{R}^d$, the following two statements hold:

1. If $f(x)$ is smooth, so is $\varphi(t)$ with $\varphi'(t) = s^T \nabla f(x + ts)$.
2. If $f(x)$ is twice differentiable, so is $\varphi(t)$ with $\varphi''(t) = s^T \nabla^2 f(x) s$

It's not hard to see how the differentiability is passed down to $\varphi(t)$. With this lemma, we can upper bound the approximation error in any direction with the following two theorems.

Theorem 1.1.1 (Taylor Expansion First-Order Approximation). Let $f(x)$ have L-Lipschitz continuous $\nabla f(x)$. Then for any $x, s \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we have

$$|f(x + ts) - (f(x) + t \nabla f^T(x) s)| \leq \frac{L}{2} t^2 \|s\|^2 \quad (1.3)$$

In addition, if $f(x)$ has a Q-Lipschitz Hessian(operator norm). We have

$$|f(x + ts) - (f(x) + t \nabla f^T(x) s + \frac{t^2}{2} s^T \nabla^2 f(x) s)| \leq \frac{Q}{6} t^3 \|s\|^3 \quad (1.4)$$

This means, if we enforce Lipschitz condition on $f(x)$, which is not very strict in practice, then the approximation error can be upper bounded by the square or the cube of $t\|s\|$. This shouldn't be surprising since it matches the residual of Taylor expansion.

1.2 Optimality Conditions

In this section, we use the proposed theorem to explain why do we care specifically about the first and second derivatives. Local optimality can be checked by examining the first and second order derivatives.

Theorem 1.2.1 (First-order necessary).

Suppose $f(x) \in C^1$, then x^* is a local minimizer $\Rightarrow \nabla f(x^*) = 0$

Theorem 1.2.2 (First-order sufficient).

Suppose $f(x) \in C^1$ and is also convex, then x^* is a local minimizer $\Leftrightarrow \nabla f(x^*) = 0$

Theorem 1.2.3 (Second-order necessary).

Suppose $f(x) \in C^2$, then x^* is a local minimizer $\Rightarrow \nabla^2 f(x^*) \succeq 0$

Theorem 1.2.4 (Second-order necessary).

Suppose $f(x) \in C^2$, then x^* is a local minimizer $\Rightarrow \nabla^2 f(x^*) \succ 0$

1.3 Basics of Convexity

Definition 1.3.1 (Convex Set). A set $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ is convex if given any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, we have $tx + (1 - t)y \in C$.

Definition 1.3.2 (Epigraph). Let $f(x) : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, then $\text{epi}(f) = \{(x, t) : t \geq f(x)\}$

Lemma 1.3.1. $f(x)$ is convex $\Leftrightarrow \text{epi}(f)$ is convex

Lemma 1.3.2 (Operations Perserving Convexity). Assume $C_1, C_2 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ and $C_3 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ are convex sets.

1. (Scaling) $\mathbb{R}_+ \cdot C_1$
2. (Minkovski Sum) $C_1 + C_2$
3. (Intersections) $C_1 \cap C_2$
4. (Affine image and preimage) Let $\mathcal{A} : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be affine, then $\mathcal{A}(C_1)$ and $\mathcal{A}^{-1}C_3$ are convex.

Now we will check how can we characterize smooth convex functions with the gradient.

Proposition 1.3.1 (First-order Characterization of Smooth Convex Function). Suppose $f(x) : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is differentiable, then TFAE

1. $f(x)$ is convex
2. $\forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we have $f(y) \geq f(x) + \langle \nabla f(x), y - x \rangle$
3. $\forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we have $\langle \nabla f(y) - \nabla f(x), y - x \rangle \geq 0$

Note. To memorize the direction of the inequality, we should think the first order approximation supporting the entire convex function from below.

Lemma 1.3.3 (Second-order Characterization of Convex Function). Assume convex function $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is twice differentiable, then we have $f(x)$ is convex $\Leftrightarrow \nabla^2 f(x) \succeq 0, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d$

In the above discussion, we assumed that the convex functions are at least differentiable. Then how can we verify optimality if $\nabla f(x)$ doesn't exist? We introduce subdifferential as a loose local linear approximation.

Definition 1.3.3 (Subdifferential). The subdifferential of f at $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is

$$\partial f(x) = \{v \in \mathbb{R}^d : f(y) \geq f(x) + \langle v, y - x \rangle, \forall y\}$$

From the definition, it's not hard to see that the idea of subgradient originates from proposition 1.3.1, which is a mathematical way to formulate the intuition we just talked about. The introduction of subdifferential and subgradient is useful because of the following theorem.

Theorem 1.3.1. Suppose $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is convex, then x^* is a global minimizer $\Leftrightarrow 0 \in \partial f(x^*)$

When we are calculating the subdifferential of a function, often we will need to deal with operations between functions like add and composition.

Proposition 1.3.2 (Subdifferential Calculus). Suppose $f, h : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are convex, and $A : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$, then

1. $\partial(f + h)(x) = \partial f(x) + \partial h(x)$
2. $\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \partial(\alpha f(x)) = \alpha \partial f(x)$
3. $\partial(f \circ A(x)) = A^T \partial f(Ax)$
4. If f is differentiable, then $\partial f(x) = \{\nabla f(x)\}$
5. Given $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Define $M(x) = \{i \in \{1, 2\} : \max_{j=1,2} f_j(x) = f_i(x)\}$. Then we have, $\partial(\max(f_1, f_2))(x) = \text{conv}\{\partial f_i(x) : i \in M(x)\}$

Chapter 2

Algorithms