Alexa Project Technical Architecture

William Guss, Phillip Kuznetzov, James Bartlett, Piyush Patell Machine Learning at Berkeley {wguss, philkuz, james.bartlett, PIYUSH PO PO W}@berkeley.edu

October 28, 2016

Abstract

In accordance with our vision, in this document, we will propose a radically different architectural approach to learning generative models of human-level conversation. The primary motivation behind the following architecture is that conversation can be modeled as a game in which two players attempt to maximize a mutuakl engangement reward under a minimum information theoretic constraint. In the framework of reinforcement learning, we can view the Social Bot as an agent, π , hereafter referred to as the conversationalist, whose environment is the conversation itself. An additional constraint on π is that of one-shot learning, the ability to work with and engage details pertinent to the conversation, drawing from a model free source of external information. Under this paradigm, we develop the architecture of the Alexa Social Bot by proposing a novel procedure for information lookup and internalization via document embeddings in the regime of deep reinforcement learning with memory augmented metalearning. (TODO EDIT THE SHIT OUT OF THIS.)

1. Model

000 001 002

003 004

005

006

007

008

009

018

028

038

045

048

Formally, we model a conversation as an *environment*, which is a Markov decision process, generally represented as a tuple E = (S, A, R, T, r) where S is the statespace, \mathcal{A} is the action space, \mathcal{R} is the reward space, and T, r are the transition and reward functions respectively¹. Given an environment, a (deter-

Preliminary work. Under review by the International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML). Do not distribute.

ministic) agent is a map $\pi: \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{A}$ which takes actions conditioned on state space. In the context of a conversational environment, the state space is some featurized of the dialogue produced by the other party (the user) with whom Alexa communicates. Coorespondingly the action space is the dialogue that Alexa produces in response. As aforementioned, a conversationalist is rewarded under r for maximizing engagement constrained to a minimal information theoretic throughput. Given the difficulty of defining such a function, we will learn r based on actual conversation as depicted in Section 3; thus we suppose that r is well defined.

Depicted in Figure (**TODO**) we separate the approximation of an optimal policy π into three components: dialogue representation, internal knowledge representation, as mentioned information lookup.

- 1. Dialogue representation is an embedding $\phi : \mathfrak{T} \to \mathcal{S} = \mathbb{R}^n$ from dialogue text into some suitable vector representation of semantics conditioned on context. Respecting that this task is still an active area of research. we will model ϕ and its inverse by experimenting with a variety different approaches such as thought vectors (TODO THOUGHT VECTORS), sparse distributed representations of syntax trees (TODO CITE http://jmlr.org/proceedings/papers/v37/yogatama15.pd and others (HELP WITH ENGLISH).
- 2. Our requirement that π actively learn, manipulate, and act on an internal episodic knowledge representation of its current conversation, is analygous to the problem of one-shot learning. Therefore we approximate an optimal π using a deep differentiable neural computer (DNC) otherwise known as memory augmented network as motivated by the results of (TODO CITE One Shot Learning using Memory Augmented Networks.).

In its simplest form the DNC is a map \mathcal{N} :

1

060

061

062

063

064

081 082

077

085 087

090

093

100

106

¹For a high level overview of the MDP learnign set up, the reader is referred to **TODO: insert reference**

 $(s_t, r_t) \mapsto (a_t, c_t, w_t)$ where r_t, w_t are the inputs and ouputs from read and write heads respectively. At every time step \mathcal{N} writes to an internal memory matrix M_t at a specific address as specified by the controller output c_t . The DNN representation of \mathcal{N} will be implimented using a combination of FC and LSTM layers so as to provide π with the ability to maintain an tempoorally conditioned representation of state. As per the training regime to be specified later, we will condition the DNC to use the its memory augmentation to store an internal representation of facts learned during the course of a conversational episode in E.

3.

126 2. Training

With the core architectural components of the model developed, we draw from a state of the art development in RL, inverse reinforcement learning, to impart natural and expert level conversational skill on the Social Bot.

The principle idea behind inverse reinforcement learning is that behavioral cloning is limited to a biased distribution of only expert examples; that is, if the social bots model is trained to exactly replicate a human level conversationalist response to different dialogues, then its ability to extrapolate beyond that known set of dialogues is unstable due to the severe nonlinearity of the model itself. In answer to this, inverse reinforcement learning attempts to infer the reward function of the agent being cloned. Knowing the reward function which an expert policy maximizes across a variety of states allows that policy to be cloned in a robust and dynamical fashion independent of the particular states in which it has acted; the cloned policy learns not about the particular examples to which it is tuned, but about the general principles which govern the expert policy.

In the context of the social bot, learning to communicate by understanding the forces which govern human level conversation as opposed to mimicking the conversation itself has immediate and fundamental benefits which have not yet been utilized in natural language processing. Therefore we propose the the following training regime for our model.