



SMART CONTRACT CODE REVIEW AND SECURITY ANALYSIS REPORT



MCA
\$MCA

15/11/2023



TOKEN OVERVIEW

Fees

- Buy fees: 15%
- Sell fees: 15%

Fees privileges

- Can't change fees

Ownership

- Owned

Minting

- No mint function

Max Tx Amount / Max Wallet Amount

- Can't change max tx amount and/or max wallet amount

Blacklist

- Blacklist function not detected

Other privileges

- Can exclude / include from fees
 - Contract owner can set `isPaused` to true and block transfer function
(whitelisted wallets excluded)
-

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1

DISCLAIMER

2

INTRODUCTION

3

WEBSITE + SOCIALS

4-5

AUDIT OVERVIEW

6-8

OWNER PRIVILEGES

9

CONCLUSION AND ANALYSIS

10

TOKEN DETAILS

11

MCA ANALYTICS &
TOP 10 TOKEN HOLDERS

12

TECHNICAL DISCLAIMER



DISCLAIMER

The information provided on this analysis document is only for general information and should not be used as a reason to invest.

FreshCoins Team will take no payment for manipulating the results of this audit.

The score and the result will stay on this project page information on our website <https://freshcoins.io>

FreshCoins Team does not guarantees that a project will not sell off team supply, or any other scam strategy (RUG or Honeypot etc)



INTRODUCTION

FreshCoins (Consultant) was contracted by **MCA** (Customer) to conduct a Smart Contract Code Review and Security Analysis.

0x666bA0eDfd315861e95F7EAB2Ec91426E5248b32

Network: **Binance Smart Chain (BSC)**

This report presents the findings of the security assessment of Customer's smart contract and its code review conducted on **15/11/2023**



WEBSITE DIAGNOSTIC

<https://meccanft.com/>



0-49



50-89



90-100



Performance



Accessibility



Best
Practices



SEO



Progressive
Web App

Socials



Twitter

<https://twitter.com/meccafoundation>



Telegram

<https://t.me/meccanft>

AUDIT OVERVIEW



Security Score
HIGH RISK
Audit FAIL



Static Scan
Automatic scanning for
common vulnerabilities



ERC Scan
Automatic checks for
ERC's conformance



High



Medium



Low



Optimizations



Informational



No.	Issue description	Checking Status
1	Compiler Errors / Warnings	Passed
2	Reentrancy and Cross-function	Low
3	Front running	Passed
4	Timestamp dependence	Passed
5	Integer Overflow and Underflow	Passed
6	Reverted DoS	Passed
7	DoS with block gas limit	Low
8	Methods execution permissions	Passed
9	Exchange rate impact	Passed
10	Malicious Event	Passed
11	Scoping and Declarations	Passed
12	Uninitialized storage pointers	Passed
13	Design Logic	Passed
14	Safe Zeppelin module	Passed

OWNER PRIVILEGES

● Contract owner can't mint tokens after initial contract deploy

● Contract owner can exclude addresses from transactions

In summary, when `isPaused` is true, the contract imposes additional restrictions on normal transfers by requiring the recipient to be whitelisted.

```
function onPaused() public onlyAdmin {
    isPaused = true;
}

function offPaused() public onlyAdmin {
    isPaused = false;
}

in _transfer function line 1117
.
.
.
if (isPaused) {
    require(whitelist[to] == true, "100");
}
.
.
.
```

● Contract owner can change `poolAddress`, `admin` and `addLiquidityAddress` addresses

Current values:

poolAddress: `0x1CA34FD6a444c58ccdAacF3B7c28F9905A71263c`

admin: `0x933C597d3F992b9C5426b193C4322675E3cB1866`

addLiquidityAddress: `0x2a7a7c01D0ba4F3F7FB128DE8fBA51D1A2AfCce`

```
function setPoolAddress(address _address) public onlyAdmin {
    whitelist[_address] = true;
    poolAddress = _address;
}

function setAdmin(address _address) public onlyAdmin {
    admin = _address;
}

function setAddLiquidityAddress(address _address) public onlyAdmin {
    addLiquidityAddress = _address;
}
```

● Contract owner can burn tokens

Constants.burnAddress line 10 (File 7 or 11 Constants.sol);

address constant burnAddress = 0x000000000000000000000000000000000000dEaD;

```
function destroy(uint256 amount) public onlyAdmin {  
    _transfer(address(this), Constants.burnAddress, amount);  
    destroyTotal += amount;  
}
```

● Constructor arguments:

-----Decoded View-----

Arg [0] : _lq (address): 0x2a7a7c01D0ba4F37FB128DE8fBA51D1A2AfFcce

Arg [1] : _feeAddress (address): 0x05897EB79C6B1d1EaB50925AFDb9B6bc10EdA0B1

Arg [2] : _poolAddress (address): 0x1CA34FD6a444c58ccdAacF3B7c28F9905A71263c

Arg [3] : _destroyAddress (address): 0x14f8DC97218f35782c935D6B9BfD8B3aF33e84EE

_poolAddress is set to 0x1CA34FD6a444c58ccdAacF3B7c28F9905A71263c contract

TransparentUpgradeableProxy

The implementation contract at 0x16d8f1137a0efd88f0e91d9e12b5c571c021c1ca does not seem to be verified.

Recommendation:

The team should carefully manage the private keys of the owner's account. We strongly recommend a powerful security mechanism that will prevent a single user from accessing the contract admin functions. The risk can be prevented by temporarily locking the contract or renouncing ownership.



CONCLUSION AND ANALYSIS



Smart Contracts within the scope were manually reviewed and analyzed with static tools.



Audit report overview contains all found security vulnerabilities and other issues in the reviewed code.



Found 2 HIGH issues during the first review.

TOKEN DETAILS

Details

Buy fees: 15%

Sell fees: 15%

Max TX: N/A

Max Sell: N/A

Honeypot Risk

Ownership: Owned

Blacklist: Not detected

Modify Max TX: Not detected

Modify Max Sell: Not detected

Disable Trading: Detected

Rug Pull Risk

Liquidity: N/A

Holders: 100% unlocked tokens



MCA TOKEN ANALYTICS & TOP 10 TOKEN HOLDERS


The top 10 holders collectively own 100.00% (1,000,000,000.00 Tokens) of MCA

Token Total Supply: 1,000,000,000.00 Token | Total Token Holders: 1

MCA Top 10 Token Holders

Source: BscScan.com

OTHER ACCOUNTS



0x2a7a7c01d0ba4f3f7fb128de8fba51d1a2affce

(A total of 1,000,000,000.00 tokens held by the top 10 accounts from the total supply of 1,000,000,000.00 token)

Rank	Address	Quantity (Token)	Percentage
1	0x2a7a7c...A2AfFcce	1,000,000,000	100.0000%

TECHNICAL DISCLAIMER

Smart contracts are deployed and executed on the blockchain platform. The platform, its programming language, and other software related to the smart contract can have its vulnerabilities that can lead to hacks. The audit can't guarantee the explicit security of the audited project / smart contract.

