

MATH 113 L01

Lecture 1 (Real Numbers) -

A real number is a decimal.

A decimal can also be written as an infinite sum

$$\text{e.g. } \frac{1}{6} = 0.1666666\ldots$$

$$\frac{1}{6} = \frac{1}{10} + \frac{6}{100} + \frac{6}{1000} + \dots$$

Lecture 2 (Rational Numbers) -

Rational numbers are fractions of integers e.g. $\frac{a}{b}$.

Two fractions are equivalent i.e.

$$\frac{a}{b} = \frac{c}{d} \text{ if } ad = bc$$

Proposition 1 -

Let $a, b, c, d, m, n, p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $b, d, n, q \neq 0$.

Assume $\frac{a}{b} = \frac{m}{n}$ and $\frac{c}{d} = \frac{p}{q}$. Then $\frac{ad+bc}{bd} = \frac{mq+np}{nq}$

Proposition 2 -

Any periodic decimal represents a rational number.

$$\text{e.g. } \frac{1}{7} = 0.1428571$$

$$= 16, 30, 20, 60, 46, 50, 10, 30 \dots$$

MATH 113 L02

Lecture 3 (Convergence of Rational Numbers) -

Convergence - (Rational)

A sequence of rational numbers converges to a rational number q , if they are getting closer to q .

e.g. $\frac{1}{7} \leftarrow \left[\frac{1}{10}, \frac{14}{100}, \frac{142}{1000}, \frac{1428}{10000} \right] = \text{Converges to } \frac{1}{7}$
 $= 0.1428\dots$

Mathematical Convergence Definition -

A sequence of rational numbers $\{q_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges to a rational number q if for any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists some $N > 0$ such if $n > N$ then $|q_n - q| \leq \epsilon$.

Notation $q_n \rightarrow q$. ϵ is chosen as a bound.

No matter how small ϵ there exists some N .

Smaller ϵ , Larger N .

e.g. $\{\frac{1}{n}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ $\frac{1}{n} \leq \epsilon$. When $N > \frac{1}{\epsilon}$ then converges to 0.

Lecture 4 (Cauchy Sequences) -

Definition :

A sequence of rational numbers form a Cauchy sequence if they are getting closer and closer to each other. (No target number).

Mathematical Cauchy Definition -

Sequence of rationals $\{q_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ if for any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists some $N > 0$ such if $n, m > N$ then $|q_n - q_m| \leq \epsilon$

e.g. the $\frac{1}{7}$ example was Cauchy.

Theorem 1 :

Any sequence of rational numbers that converges to a rational number is a Cauchy sequence. The inverse is not true.

Proof :

Triangle Inequality : For any triple of numbers a, b, c
 $|a - b| \leq |a - c| + |b - c|$. This means 2 lions close to a tiger means the lions are close to each other.

Let $\{q_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of rational numbers.
Because this converges to a rational number.

$\epsilon > 0$ and $N > 0$ such $n > N$ and $|q_n - q| \leq \epsilon/2$.

Therefore by Triangle Inequality:

if $n, m > N$ then

$$|q_n - q_m| \leq |q_n - q| + |q_m - q| \leq \epsilon/2 + \epsilon/2 \leq \epsilon$$

And so q_n and q_m are close so this is Cauchy \square

MATH 113 L03

Lecture 5 - (Properties of Cauchy sequences) -

Proposition:

Let $\{q_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be Cauchy and r be rational. For infinite n , $q_n \leq r$ and for infinite n , $q_n \geq r$. Then q_n converges to r .

e.g. $1 + \frac{1}{2}, 1 - \frac{1}{2}, 1 + \frac{1}{4}, 1 - \frac{1}{4} \dots$ converges to 1.

Proof:

This matches the definition of Cauchy. For any $\epsilon > 0$ and $N > 0$ such $|q_n - q_m| \leq \epsilon$ if $n, m > N$. If $n > N$ $|q_n - r| \leq \epsilon$ and converges to r . \square

Definition -

A sequence $\{q_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of rationals is bounded if there exists $M > 0$ such for all $n \geq 1$, $|q_n| \leq M$.

e.g. 0.1, 0.1, 0.1 when $M = 2$

e.g. 1, 2, 3, 4... not bounded.

Proposition 2: Cauchy sequences are always bounded

(Therefore from Lecture 4 Theorem 1 convergent sequences are also bounded.)

Proof:

$\{q_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a Cauchy of rationals. Then there exists $N > 0$ such $|q_n - q_N| \leq 1$ if $n > N$. Therefore if $n > N$ by Triangle inequality $|q_n| \leq |q_N| + 1 = A$. $B = \max$ of set $\{|q_1|, |q_2|, \dots, |q_N|\}$ and $M = \max\{A, B\}$. Then for $n \geq 1$ $|q_n| \leq M$.

Theorem :

Let $\{q_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{r_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be Cauchy of rationals.
Then $\{q_n + r_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{q_n \cdot r_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ are Cauchy of rationals.

Lecture 6 : Limit values) -

Let $x = a.d_1, d_2, d_3$ be a decimal. $a.d_1$ is called the first truncation of x . $(x)_1 = a.d_1$. $(x)_k = k^{\text{th}}$ truncation. $|x_k - x_l| \leq \frac{1}{10^k}$ if $l > k$ so $\{(x)_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is Cauchy.
e.g $(\pi)_1 = 3.1$ $(\pi)_2 = 3.14$ $(\pi)_3 = 3.141 \dots$

Limit value Theorem :

Let $\{q_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a Cauchy of rationals. Then there exists decimal r such $q_n - (r)_n \rightarrow 0$. $\{q_n - (r)_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ tends to zero. r is called the limitvalue of the Cauchy sequence.

MATH 113 LO4

Lecture 7 : (Real numbers as decimals)

Proposition 1 : For two sequences of rational numbers let $q_n \rightarrow 0$ and $r_n \rightarrow 0$ Then $q_n + r_n \rightarrow 0$

Two cauchy sequences are equivalent if $q_n - r_n \rightarrow 0$ for $\{q_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{r_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$.

Proposition 2 : If the decimal x is limitvalue (LO3) of $\{q_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{q_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} = \{r_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ then x is limitvalue of $\{r_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$.

Mathematical Definition of Real Numbers :

Real numbers can be defined as the equivalence classes of Cauchy sequences of rational numbers.

This is a universal definition and equivalence classes are in a bijective relation with decimals.

Sum and product of decimals :

Let x and y be decimals. Then $\{(x)_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{(y)_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ are Cauchy sequences with limitvalues x and y respectively.

$x+y$ = limitvalue of $\{(x)_n + (y)_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$

Similarly can define xy .

Lecture 8: Properties of Real Numbers -

If $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \equiv \{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{b_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \equiv \{y_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$

Then $\{a_n + b_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \equiv \{x_n + y_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$

and $\{a_n b_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \equiv \{x_n y_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$

The main advantage of Cauchy sequence definition is it allows for us to prove the famous identities of rational numbers for real numbers simply / quicker.

- (Commutativity) $p + q = q + p$
 - (Associativity) $(p + q) + r = p + (q + r)$
 - (Distributivity) $p(q + r) = pq + pr$
- etc.

The Archimedean Law -

For any positive real number r , there is some integer n such that $nr > 1$.

This is because if r is positive then for some $k > 1$ $(r)_k$ is a positive rational number. So $n(r)_k > 1$ and $nr > 1$.

Real version of Archimedean Law -

If a is positive and $0 < b < c$ then $ab < ac$

MATH 113 L05

Lecture 9 (Cauchy sequences of real numbers) -

Definition 1: A sequence of real numbers $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges to a real number x if for any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $N > 0$ such if $n > N$ then $|x - x_n| \leq \epsilon$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x \quad x_n \rightarrow x$$

Definition 2: A sequence of real numbers $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a Cauchy sequence if for any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $N > 0$ such if $n, m > N$ then $|x_n - x_m| \leq \epsilon$

Theorem 1: Every convergent sequence is Cauchy.
Every Cauchy sequence is bounded.

Proof is the same as for rationals.

Theorem 2: Let $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, \{y_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be convergent sequences of real numbers. Assume $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = y$.

$$(\text{Sum}) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n + y_n = x + y$$

$$(\text{Subtraction}) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n - y_n = x - y$$

$$(\text{Product}) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n y_n = xy$$

and when $y \neq 0$ and for all $n > 1$ $y_n \neq 0$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x_n}{y_n} = \frac{x}{y}$$

Sum Rule Proof:

Fix $\epsilon > 0$ and let $N > 0$ such if $n > N$ then $|x_n - x| \leq \epsilon/2$ $|y_n - y| \leq \epsilon/2$

Then by triangle inequality

$$|(x_n + y_n) - (x + y)| \leq \epsilon$$

Hence sum rule proved \square

Subtraction rule can be proved the same way.

Product Rule Proof:

Let $M > 0$ be a positive number such for any $n \geq 1$
 $|x_n| \leq M$, $|y_n| \leq M$ fix $\epsilon > 0$ and $N > 0$ such if
 $n \geq N$ then $|x_n - x| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2M}$ and $|y_n - y| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2M}$
 $|x_n y_n - xy| = |x(y_n - y) + y_n(x_n - x)| \leq$
 $\leq |x||y_n - y| + |y_n||x_n - x| \leq 2M \cdot \frac{\epsilon}{2M} = \epsilon \quad \square$

Lecture 10: (Properties of Convergent Sequences)

Theorem 1: (Sandwich Rule)

Let $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = a$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = a$ and $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is
a sequence such for all $n \geq 1$ $x_n \leq z_n \leq y_n$.
Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} z_n = a$.

Theorem 2: (Monotonicity)

Let $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = a$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = b$ such for all $n \geq 1$
 $x_n \leq y_n$ then $a \leq b$.

Crucial

Theorem 3: Cauchy sequences of reals are convergent

Proof: If $\{q_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a Cauchy of rationals then there
exists a decimal α by which it converges to.

Let $\{y_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be Cauchy. Then $\{q_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ such
 $|y_n - q_n| \leq \frac{1}{n}$ and $y_n - q_n \rightarrow 0$
Then $\{q_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is equivalent to $\{y_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$
and converges to α . \square

MATH 113 L06 + L07

Lecture 11 (Subsequences) -

Definition 1: If $n_1 < n_2 < n_3 < \dots$ then $\{x_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is a subsequence of the original sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$

e.g. 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1

has subsequences 0, 0, 0, 0 ... and 1, 1, 1, 1 ...

e.g. $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ Let $x_n = 0$ if n is not a positive power of a prime number. Let $x_{p^k} = \frac{1}{k}$ if p is a prime and $k > 1$

$\frac{1}{k}, \frac{1}{k}, \frac{1}{k} \dots$ is a subsequence. $\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4}$ is a subsequence

VERY
IMPORTANT

Lecture 12 (Bolzano - Weierstrass Theorem) -

Bolzano - Weierstrass Theorem -

Let $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a bounded sequence of real numbers. Then it has a convergent subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$.

Proof :

Integer Interval $[a, a+1] = \{x \mid a \leq x \leq a+1\}$

$\frac{1}{10}$ Interval $[\frac{a}{10}, \frac{a+1}{10}] = \{x \mid \frac{a}{10} \leq x \leq \frac{a+1}{10}\}$

$\frac{1}{10^k}$ Interval $[\frac{a}{10^k}, \frac{a+1}{10^k}] = \{x \mid \frac{a}{10^k} \leq x \leq \frac{a+1}{10^k}\}$

for all when a is an integer.

Claim 1: There exists an integer interval I_1 containing infinitely many elements of our sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$

Infinite Pigeonhole principle : Infinite objects in finite pigeonholes, at least one pigeonhole contains infinitely many objects

Claim 2 : There exists a $\frac{1}{10}$ interval $I_2 \subseteq I_1$
containing infinitely many elements of our sequence
 $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$

Claim 3 : There exists a $\frac{1}{100}$ interval $I_3 \subseteq I_2$
containing infinitely many elements of our sequence
 $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$.

Therefore I_1, I_2, \dots, I_k

$$I_1 \supseteq I_2 \supseteq I_3 \supseteq \dots \supseteq I_k$$

I_k is a $\frac{1}{10^k}$ interval

for all $k \geq 1$, I_k contains infinitely many elements
of $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$

So we can pick a subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ in such a way
that $x_{n_k} \in I_k$ and $n_k < n_l$ if $k < l$.

Therefore if $k < l$ then x_{n_k} and x_{n_l} are in I_k
since $I_l \subseteq I_k$ $|x_{n_k} - x_{n_l}| \leq \frac{1}{10^k}$

Hence $\{x_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is Cauchy and therefore convergent
so this Theorem follows. D

MATH 113 L08 (Lecture 13)

Upper Bounds + Lower Bounds -

t_{\max} = largest value t_{\min} = smallest value.

Theorem 1 (Least Upper Bound principle) -

The least upper bound is the minimum of the set of the upper bounds.

The greatest lower bound is similar and opposite.

Supremum and Infimum -

Supremum = Least upper bound of a bounded sequence

Infimum = Greatest lower bound of a bounded sequence

If a sequence is convergent then supremum \geq limit and infimum \leq limit.

Proposition :

A sequence of positive real numbers $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ tends to infinity if and only if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{x_n} = 0$.

This makes sense because $\frac{1}{\infty} = 0$ as a general rule.

MATH 113 L09

Lecture 15 (The Race to Infinity) -

Proposition:

Let $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a bounded sequence and $\{y_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converge to 0. Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n y_n = 0$.

Which sequence converges to infinity quickest?

$$\{n^{100}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$$

$$\{n^{\frac{1}{100}}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$$

$$\{\log(n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$$

Definition:

Let $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{y_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be sequences of positive numbers. We say $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ beats $\{y_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ if $\{\frac{x_n}{y_n}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ tends to infinity as well.

e.g. $\{n^{100}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ beats $\{n^{10}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{n^{\frac{1}{1000}}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ beats $\{\log(n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$

Proposition: $\{n!\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ beats $\{k^n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ for any $k > 1$.
(Factorials beat exponentials)

Proposition: $\{(1+x)^n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ beats $\{n^k\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ for any $x > 0$, $k > 1$. (Exponential beats polynomials)

Proposition: Let $\{x_n^1\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, $\{x_n^2\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, $\{x_n^3\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, ... be a sequence of sequences such that all of them tend to infinity. Then, there exists a sequence $\{y_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ beating all of them.

Interesting Point: There are only countable many sequences which can be generated by finite computer codes. Therefore by the proposition there exists a sequence that beats all the computer generated sequences.

LECTURE 16 (Worth coming back to as a revision of the first 9 Lectures).

MATH 113 L10

Lecture 17 - (Limit points)

Definition -

Let $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of real numbers. We say x is a limit point of $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ if a subsequence of $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges to x . The set of limit points is denoted by $\text{LIM}(\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty})$

Proposition : $\text{LIM}(\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty})$ is empty iff $\{bc_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ tends to infinity.

Proposition : If $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is bounded, $\text{LIM}(\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty})$ has exactly one element iff $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is convergent.

e.g. 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1 has exactly 2 limit points

e.g. 0, 1, 2, 0, 1, 2 has exactly 3 limit points

It is possible for a sequence to have infinite limit points.

Proposition :

There exists no sequence of real numbers $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ such that $\text{LIM}(\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}) = \mathbb{Q}$

Definition : Let $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{y_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be sequences of real numbers. Then $x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2 \dots$ is called the combing of the two sequences

Definition : Let $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of real numbers.

A sequence $\{y_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a blow-up of the sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ if for any $n \geq 1$ there exists infinitely many m 's such $y_m = x_n$. On the other hand, for $n \geq 1$ there exists at least one l such $y_l = x_n$. That is, $\{y_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ contains the same real numbers as $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, infinitely many times.

Proposition : Let $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of real numbers converging to x . If $\{y_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a blow-up of $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ then $\text{LIM}(\{y_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}) = \{x, x, x, x, \dots\}$.

Lecture 18 : (The Art of Sequences) -

Proposition : Let $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of real numbers. Let $\{y_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence such that each element of the sequence $\{y_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a limit point of $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$. Then any limit point of $\{y_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a limit point of $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$.

Proposition : The set $\{0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{8}, \dots\}$ is a limit point set of a sequence. On the other hand, the set $\{0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{8}, \dots\}$ can not be the limit point set of a sequence.

Definition : A sequence of real numbers $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is increasing if for any $n \geq 1$, $x_n \leq x_{n+1}$. Similarly, a sequence of real numbers $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is decreasing if for any $n \geq 1$, $x_n \geq x_{n+1}$.

e.g. 1, 2, 3, 4 is increasing. 1, $\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}$ is decreasing.

Proposition : A bounded increasing sequence of real numbers $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is always convergent.

Proposition : A bounded sequence of real numbers $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ contains an increasing or decreasing subsequence (or both).

MATH 113 L11

Lecture 19 : (Limsup and Liminf) -

Definition : Let $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a bounded sequence of reals
 $\text{limsup } x_n = \sup \text{LIM} (\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty})$
 $\text{liminf } x_n = \inf \text{LIM} (\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty})$

e.g If $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is convergent, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = \text{linsup } x_n = \text{liminf } x_n$
 If $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} = 0, 1, 2, 0, 1, 2 \dots$ $\text{linsup } x_n = 2$ $\text{liminf } x_n = 0$
 If $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} = 1, 1, \frac{1}{2}, 1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, 1 \dots$ $\text{linsup } x_n = 1$ $\text{liminf } x_n = 0$
 Suppose $\{q_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence containing rationals between 0 and 1. $\text{LIM} (\{q_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}) = [0, 1]$ hence $\text{linsup } q_n = 1$, $\text{liminf } q_n = 0$.

Proposition : $\text{linsup } x_n$ and $\text{liminf } x_n$ are limit points of $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$

Proposition : Let $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a bounded sequence of real numbers and $L = \text{linsup } x_n$. Then there exists a subsequence converging to L . for any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists some $N > 0$ such if $n > N$ then $x_n \leq L + \epsilon$.

Theorem 1 : Let $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{y_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be bounded sequences then $\text{linsup } (x_n + y_n) \leq \text{linsup } x_n + \text{linsup } y_n$.

Proof : There exists a subsequence $\{x_{n_k} + y_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ such $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (x_{n_k} + y_{n_k}) = \text{linsup } (x_n + y_n)$.
 $\{x_{n_m} + y_{n_m}\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ tends to $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (x_{n_k} + y_{n_k})$ as well.
 Hence, $x + y = \text{linsup } (x_{n_m} + y_{n_m}) = \text{linsup } (x_n + y_n)$.
 Since linsup is the max limit point, $x \leq \text{linsup } x_n$ and $y \leq \text{linsup } y_n$. \square .

Lecture 20 : (closed sets) :

Definition : A set $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is closed if for any convergent sequence $\{s_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \xrightarrow{\text{lim}} s \in S$ provided for any $n > 1$, $s_n \in S$.

e.g. Empty set is closed, set of all \mathbb{R} is closed. By monotonicity of limits $[a, b]$ is closed. A set of a single number $\{x\}$ is closed. Set $\{0, 1/2, 1/3, 1/4\}$ is closed. Set $S = [0, 1)$ is not closed as it converges to 1. set $S = (0, \infty)$ is not closed.

Proposition : Let $\{F_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be closed sets. Then, their intersection $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n$ is a closed set as well.

Proposition : Let F and G be closed sets. Then $F \cup G$ is a closed set as well.

Proposition : Finite union of closed sets is still a closed set. On the other hand, if F_1, F_2, \dots is an infinite sequence of closed sets then $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n$ is not always a closed set.

Proposition : For $k \geq 1$. Let $f_k \subseteq [k, k+1]$. Then $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} f_k$ is closed.

Theorem : Let $[0, 1] \supseteq F_1 \supseteq F_2 \supseteq \dots$ be a nested sequence of non-empty closed sets. Then their intersection $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n$ is non-empty as well.

Proof :

For $n \geq 1$, let $s_n \in F_n$ be an arbitrary point. The sequence $\{s_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is bounded, hence by the Bolzano - Weierstrass Theorem it contains a convergent subsequence $\{s_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ tending to s .

Since all sets F_n are closed and if $m > n$ then $F_m \subseteq F_n$. Then we have $s \in F_{n_k}$ for each $k \geq 1$. Consequently $s \in F_m$ for any $m \geq 1$. Thus, $s \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n$. Therefore intersection is non-empty. \square

MATH 113 L12 + 13

Lecture 21 : (Limit point sets are closed sets) -

Proposition : Let $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a bounded sequence. Then its limit point set $\text{LIM}(\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty})$ is closed.

Theorem : Let M be an integer and $S \subseteq [0, 1]$ be a closed subset. Then, there exists a bounded sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ such that $\text{LIM}(\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}) = S$.

Proof : Define the base sequence $\{y_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$. The first ten elements are $0, 0.1, 0.2 \dots \frac{1}{10}$ type elements.

The next 100 are $\frac{1}{100}$ type elements and the next 1000 are $\frac{1}{1000}$ type elements.

Therefore the limit point set of the base sequence is the interval $[0, 1]$. Let $\{y_{nk}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be the subsequence of the detectors.

$$\text{LIM}(\{y_{nk}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}) = S$$

If $s \in S$ then s is an element of this limit set.

If all elements of S are in intervals then hence there will be no detectors in the interval

$[s - \frac{1}{10^k}, s + \frac{1}{10^k}]$. Therefore, s is not a limit point of the sequence of detectors. \square

Lecture 22 : (Open sets) -

Definition : Let $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be a subset of the real numbers. We say U is open, if for any $x \in U$ there exists some $\epsilon > 0$ such that if $|y - x| < \epsilon$ then $y \in U$. That is, if a point in the set, points very close to the point are still in the set -

e.g. real numbers are an open set. The empty set is an open set. Half lines (a, ∞) and Intervals (a, b) are open sets.

Proposition : Let U and V be open sets. Then $U \cap V$ is an open set as well.

Proposition : Let U_1, U_2, U_3, \dots be open sets, then $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n$ is an open set as well.

Theorem : Let $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be a subset of the real numbers.
Then, the complement U^c of U is closed if and only if U is open.

Proof : Assume U is open. Let $\{s_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq U^c$ be a sequence tending to s . We need to show $s \in U^c$.
Suppose $s \notin U^c$ then $s \in U$.

So there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that if $|x - s| < \epsilon$ then $x \in U$.
Therefore for any $n \geq 1$ we have $|s_n - s| > \epsilon$.

Assume U^c is closed.

Let $x \in U$ then $\epsilon > 0$ such that if $|y - x| < \epsilon$ then $y \in U$. If ϵ doesn't exist then $\{s_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq U^c$ tending to s . However this contradicts U^c is closed. \square .

Proposition : Let U be an open set and F be a closed set. Then $U \setminus F$ is an open set.

MATH 113 L14

Lecture 25: (Continuity) -

Definition: A function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous at a point $x \in \mathbb{R}$ if $f(y)$ is close to $f(x)$ provided that y is very close to x .

Definition: A function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous at a point $x \in \mathbb{R}$ if for all sequences $x_n \rightarrow x$ we have $f(x_n) \rightarrow f(x)$.

If f is continuous at x we call it a point of continuity for f . Otherwise, a point of discontinuity.

Theorem:

- * Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be functions such that f and g are continuous at x . Then $f+g$ and fg are continuous at x .
- * Let $h: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function such $h > 0$ and h is continuous at x . Then $\frac{1}{h}$ is continuous at x .
- * Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous at y and let $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous at x . Assume $g(x) = y$, then $f \circ g$ is continuous at x . Note: $f \circ g(x) = f(g(x))$

Proof:

- * If $x_n \rightarrow x$ then $f(x_n) \rightarrow f(x)$ and $g(x_n) \rightarrow g(x)$. Hence by sum rule, $f+g(x_n) \rightarrow f(x) + g(x)$. Therefore $f+g$ is continuous at x . \square
- * If $\frac{1}{n} \rightarrow 0$ then $\frac{1}{h(x_n)} \rightarrow \frac{1}{h(x)}$. Hence quotient rule $\frac{1}{h(x_n)} \rightarrow \frac{1}{h(x)}$. Therefore continuous at x . \square
- * If $x_n \rightarrow x$ then $g(x_n) \rightarrow g(x) = y$. Hence, $f(g(x_n)) \rightarrow f(g(x)) = y$. Therefore $f \circ g$ continuous at x \square

Examples :

$$3x+2 = \text{continuous}$$

$$5x^2 + 4x - 2 = \text{continuous}$$

All polynomials are continuous

$$ax^n = \text{continuous}$$

Lecture 26 : (The (ϵ, δ) definition of continuity) -

Definition : A function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous at a point $x \in \mathbb{R}$ if for any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists some $\delta > 0$ such that if $|x-y| < \delta$ then $|f(x) - f(y)| < \epsilon$.

* Theorem : The sequential definition of continuity and (ϵ, δ) definition are equivalent.

Proof :

If f is not continuous (ϵ, δ) : $\forall \epsilon \exists \delta$ such if $|x-y| < \delta$ then $|f(x) - f(y)| \geq \epsilon$

Negation : $\exists \epsilon \forall \delta$ there is some y such that $|x-y| < \delta$ but $|f(x) - f(y)| \geq \epsilon$.

So for any particular, there exists y_n such that for any $n \geq 1$

$$|x - y_n| < \frac{1}{n} \text{ but } |f(x) - f(y_n)| \geq \epsilon.$$

Hence we made a sequence $\{y_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ such

$y_n \rightarrow x$ but $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(y_n) \neq f(x)$.

Therefore not sequentially continuous. If not continuous by (ϵ, δ) then not continuous by sequential.

Continued proof: (other way sequential $\rightarrow (\epsilon, \delta)$)

If f is not continuous (sequential)

\forall sequences $x_n \rightarrow x$ we have $f(x_n) \rightarrow f(x)$

Negation: \exists a sequence $x_n \rightarrow x$ such $f(x_n) \not\rightarrow f(x)$.

This means there exists a subsequence:

$\{x_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ and some $\epsilon > 0$ such $|f(x_{n_k}) - f(x)| > \epsilon$

Hence for any $\delta > 0$ there exists some x_{n_k} such

$|x_{n_k} - x| < \delta$ but $|f(x_{n_k}) - f(x)| > \epsilon$.

Therefore when sequential is non-continuous so is (ϵ, δ) .

Therefore proved both ways and they are equivalent.

MATH 112 L15

Lecture 27 : (Uniform Continuity) -

Definition: Let S be a closed subset of the real numbers. Let $f: S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function. Then f is continuous at $s \in S$ iff for any sequence $s_n \rightarrow s$, $f(s_n) \rightarrow f(s)$.

e.g. Let S consist of finitely many points. Then all the functions on S are continuous.

e.g. Let S be the set of natural numbers. Then all the functions on the set S are continuous.

e.g. Let $S = \{0, 1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{8}, \dots\}$, then a function f on S is continuous iff $f(\frac{1}{2^n}) \rightarrow f(0)$.

Definition: A function is uniformly continuous on a closed set $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ if for any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such for all $x \in S$, $|f(x) - f(y)| < \epsilon$ provided $y \in S$ and $|y - x| < \delta$.

e.g. $f: x \rightarrow \sin(x)$ is uniformly continuous on \mathbb{R}

e.g. If $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ then $f: x \rightarrow \sin(x)$ is still uniformly continuous on \mathbb{R}

e.g. $f: x \rightarrow x^2$ is continuous but NOT uniformly continuous on \mathbb{R} .

Theorem (Uniform Continuity Theorem) :

Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded, closed set. That is, $S \subseteq [-M, M]$ for some $M > 0$. Let $f: S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function. Then, f is uniformly continuous.

Proof : (Contradiction)

f is not uniformly continuous on S :

$\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0$ such that $\forall x \in S$ we have

$|f(x) - f(y)| < \epsilon$ provided $|x - y| < \delta$

Negation:

$\exists \epsilon > 0, \forall \delta > 0 \exists x \text{ and } \exists y$ such that $|x - y| < \delta$ and $|f(x) - f(y)| > \epsilon$

(1) $|f(x_n) - f(y_n)| > \epsilon$

By Bolzano - Weierstrass there exists a convergent subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ tending to x .

By the sum rule $\{y_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ tends to x as well.

By sum rule, $f(x_{n_k}) \rightarrow f(x)$ and $f(y_{n_k}) \rightarrow f(x)$ in contradiction with (1). \square .

Lecture 28 : (Discontinuity) -

Theorem : There exists a function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that f is not continuous at any point.

Theorem : There exists a function $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which is continuous only at 0 and nowhere else.

Theorem : There exists a function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which is continuous at x iff x isn't an integer.

Theorem : There exists a function $h: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which is continuous at x iff x is an integer.

Theorem : Let $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be an open set. Then, there exists a function $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that g is continuous at x iff $x \in U$.

Theorem : If $F \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is a closed set, then there exists
 $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which is continuous at x iff $x \notin F$

Theorem : There exists a function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that
 f is continuous at x iff x is an irrational number.

MATH 113 L16

Lecture 30 (The Big Search) :

Proposition :

Let $f: S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function where $S \subseteq [0, 1]$ is a closed set. Then f is bounded. That is, there exists a positive number $M > 0$ such for all $s \in S$, $|f(s)| \leq M$.

Proposition :

Let $f: S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function, where $S \subseteq [0, 1]$ is a closed set. Then there is an $s \in S$ where f attains its supremum / least upper bound hence it is a maximal value. For any $t \in S$, $f(t) \leq f(s)$.

Theorem (The Intermediate value Theorem) :

Let $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function. Let $f(a) \leq s \leq f(b)$. Then, $a \leq c \leq b$ such $f(c) = s$

Proof :

Let $a_1 = a$, $b_1 = b$. Let $c_1 = \frac{a+b}{2}$. If $f(c_1) > s$, let $a_2 = a$, $b_2 = c_1$. Then, $f(a_2) \leq s \leq f(b_2)$. But $b_2 - a_2 = \frac{1}{2}(b_1 - a_1)$.

If $f(c_1) \leq s$, let $a_2 = c_1$, $b_2 = b_1$. Then $f(a_2) \leq s \leq f(b_2)$. But $b_2 - a_2 = \frac{1}{2}(b_1 - a_1)$

Inductively taking averages as above we can construct an increasing sequence a_1, a_2, a_3 and a decreasing sequence b_1, b_2, b_3 in such a way,

$f(a_n) \leq s \leq f(b_n)$ and $b_n - a_n = \frac{1}{2}(b_{n-1} - a_{n-1})$

Hence $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n$ and $f(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n) \leq s$, $f(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n) \geq s$. Therefore if $c = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n$.

Then $f(c) = s$.

Theorem :

Let $P(x) = a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + \dots + a_{2n+1}x^{2n+1}$ be a polynomial of odd degree, where $a_{2n+1} > 0$. Then there exists at least one value y such $P(y) = 0$. So, y is a root of the polynomial P .

Lecture 31 : (Invertible Functions) -

Definition : Let $f: [a, b] \rightarrow [c, d]$ be a function.

We say f is invertible if it is injective and surjective. That is,

- (1) If $x \neq y \in [a, b]$ then $f(x) \neq f(y)$
- (2) For any $z \in [c, d]$ there exists $x \in [a, b]$ such that $f(x) = z$.

Examples of invertible functions :

- * $f: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ $f(x) = x^{\frac{1}{2}}$
- * $f: [0, 1] \rightarrow [1, e]$ $f(x) = \exp(x)$
- * $f: [0, \pi/2] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ $f(x) = \sin(x)$

Theorem : Let $f: [a, b] \rightarrow [c, d]$ be a continuous function. Then $f^{-1}: [c, d] \rightarrow [a, b]$ is also continuous.

Definition : A function $f: [a, b] \rightarrow [c, d]$ is called strictly monotonic if $f(x) < f(y)$ provided $x < y$.

Proposition : Let $f: [a, b] \rightarrow [c, d]$ be strictly monotonic and continuous such $f(a) = c$ and $f(b) = d$. Then f is invertible and its inverse is strictly monotonic.

MATH 113 L17, 18, 19 (End of Course)

Lecture 32 : (closed sets and continuous functions) -

Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function, then

$$\{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid f(x) = 0\}$$

is called the set of zeroes of f .

Proposition : For any continuous function f the set of zeroes of f is closed.

Theorem : Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function and $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be a closed subset. Then $C = f^{-1}(S) = \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid f(x) \in S\}$ is a closed set as well.

Theorem : Let $S \subseteq [0, 1]$ be a closed subset and $f: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function. Then, $f(S)$ is a closed set as well.

Theorem : Let $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be an open set and $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an open set. Then $f^{-1}(U) = \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid f(x) \in U\}$ is an open set as well.