

Honours Project Report

Fitness Logger with Micro-services

Dumitru Vulpe
BSc (Hons) Applied Computing
Supervised by Andrew Colby

May 2021

Abstract

This project is a tool to let people be able to log and track workouts overtime easily from their phone in a flexible yet complete way. The initial purpose was to make a phone application which can be used across different disciplines of sport and with different workout types. This would be done by breaking up the data in different manageable units so that the user can create their own workflow for logging workouts.

However, the main appeal of this project is the backend implementation. This is because as a part of the architecture design process, it was decided that a micro-services structure would be followed. Where the backend would be split up into multiple applications which would talk to each other where needed. This was chosen for a multitude of reasons, including as a learning experience for this kind of backend architecture.

Contents

1	Introduction	4
1.1	Why micro services	4
1.2	Creating a potential product	4
2	Background	4
2.1	Architecture design	4
2.2	Market	5
3	Specification	5
3.1	Micro services	5
3.2	The application	6
3.3	Methodology	8
4	Design	9
4.1	Technological design	9
4.2	User Interface	12
5	Implementation and Testing	12
5.1	Setup	12
5.2	<i>Fl-auth</i> service	14
5.3	<i>Fl-tp-types</i> service	15
5.4	<i>Fl-tp</i> service	15
5.5	Inner-service communication	16
5.6	Android client	16
6	Evaluation	17
6.1	Usability	17
6.2	Other Criteria	17

List of Figures

1	Scaling out vs scaling in	6
2	Backend Kanban Board	8
3	Frontend Kanban Board	8
4	Fitness Logger Initial Requirements Document	9
5	Fitness Logger Architecture	9
6	Add exercise page and set dialogue.	12
7	Workout screen design	12
8	Fl-template folder structure	13
9	Fl-tp-types folder structure	14

1 Introduction

TODO: Need to come back after I'm done with the entire report to summarise it

NOTE: Started this section first but then realised it would be easier to complete after finishing the report

This project is split into two main objectives; first objective was to be a learning experience of creating a full stack application beginning to end and more importantly to get into micro services backend development. The second objective was to attempt to solve a problem which exists in the fitness industry by creating an application which would be useful to a different range of people and potentially taking this product to the market.

1.1 Why micro services

The basic principle of micro services as supposed to a monolith, is to split up the backend application into multiple smaller applications. For example, in theory, a service such as Amazon, could have a separate micro service for the cart function, one for listings, one for user account management, one for payment and more. Micro services architectures are becoming more and more relevant simply because the industry is getting becoming more and more complex. And as size, complexity and user bases increase, so does the need for well scaled web applications. Micro services type architectures are simply one way to cleanly and easily scale web applications. So because of industry relevance and my personal enthusiasm, it was decided that this project's backend would also follow this architecture.

1.2 Creating a potential product

Creating a fully fledged product out of this project was never my main idea. However, in my personal opinion, my planned solution for the problem has enough potential that could work as a product in the market. And as long as the application works as intended, there are multiple potential monetisation routes which could be taken, such as extra features behind a subscription or just a flat rate at purchase.

1.2.1 The problem

From personal past experience I have not found a simple, fuss free, yet flexible application for logging workout sessions and related notes for said session. The applications which I have tried so far are either made for a

specific workout programs or are not complete, buggy or just do not have enough flexibility in the type of logging you can achieve. It often feels like a fight to be able to use such an app.

In the fitness world there can be a lot of different types of workouts, exercises, exercise variations, and ways to do said exercises. Because of this, coaches, personal trainers and everyday people usually would stick to using a notepad and paper simply because there are no arbitrary restraints on what you can log.

Typically a notepad and pen is not a bad solution and usually preferable to most applications, however, there are certain drawbacks to this approach. One practical drawback is highlighted when working out in a commercial gym, walking around the gym using different equipment and carrying everything one might need including the notebook and pen combo can be quite cumbersome, same goes for actually noting down the data in the notebook. When it comes to looking back on previous workouts it can also be quite cumbersome, especially when you are in the middle of a workout and do not want to lose the tempo.

1.2.2 My planned solution

TODO: this section is not finished

The idea was that the system would be designed around the data structure which would be saved by the user. This data structure would be made as simple as possible while still allowing all the common basic exercise options (such as repetitions, resistance values, sets etc) but also others (such as the option to just write a single value). Furthermore, the system would allow the user to create their own exercise types which they would then use when logging a workout.

When using the application the user would first create a custom 'type'. This type would usually be an exercise, however, it is not constrained to be one. For example, one type would be 'exercise x' and another type could be 'caffeine intake' for tracking the intake of caffeine over different workouts. Then, the

2 Background

2.1 Architecture design

A lot of time during this project was allocated to research of the backend architecture design, specifically relating

to micro services. And when it comes to it, there is not just one or few generally accepted standards and patterns that projects adopt. This is simply because, from all of the articles and talks that exists online from system architect, each and every architect needs to answer a lot of questions about it will all be built.

For instance, the questions about how the different services should be split, some say it should be split by the feature, some say by the individual data element, by endpoint and more. Another popular question is how the services diagram should look like, one of the popular answers are to have a separate authentication service to provide authentication for the application and proxy the requests to all the other services. However, regardless of the question, there are a lot of different ways solutions to all of these questions that need to be answered when starting a project using micro services and all of them come with their own advantages and disadvantages.

2.1.1 Helpful technologies

When creating micro services systems, there are a lot of technologies which could and would be used to make the development of these services easier. Probably one of the first pieces of technologies to ease the development and deployment of micro services a lot easier was containers. Containers allow you to package applications with all of the needed dependencies and environments, they virtualise the user-land applications and share the hosts kernel, and on a Linux system that means that containers can virtually run anywhere. Furthermore, we have also have container management and orchestration solutions such as Docker[1], docker-compose[1], Kubernetes[2] and more. These help with running the actual containers, and in the case of Kubernetes, it also allows us to easily define configurations and scale the containers either on a definition or on demand. Scaling here would be done by running more instances of that container across a cluster of machines running the Kubernetes and load balancing the traffic amongst them. This is known as horizontal scaling.

Micro services would often also need to talk to each other and as before, depending on requirements and design, there are different ways to do it. Overall there are two options many systems using both synchronous and asynchronous calls. Synchronous calls are usually straight forward and done over HTTP and the only thing that would need to be solved is proper authentication. Asynchronous calls are often made with either RPC calls (commonly gRPC[3] would be used) or a message/event bus system such as Kafka[4].

Another great series of helpful technologies are service

meshes, they provide great insight into your cluster of micro services. It is a dedicated infrastructure layer that all the different micro services would proxy their communication in-between. This can provide a multitude of benefits including communication observability, call chain traceability, secure connections and more. Examples of such services are Linkerd[5] and Istio[6]. If this project would be using Kubernetes, a service mesh would definitely be very useful and worth while to integrate into the system.

2.2 Market

One of the applications that the author had experience with was ‘Zero to Hero’[17]. This is a workout application which mostly revolves around giving the users different workout programs to follow, it also calculates they weight they should be using based on the max weight the user can do. Then as the workout is performed, the user can log it. However, the problem with this application is its complexity and bugs. The complexity it has stems from the workflow the user would go through. An user cannot simply just open the app and start logging, first the user needs to chose from a program, then the user needs to follow the program precisely. And on top of that a user cannot make their own workouts or exercises. A majority of the workout logging apps tend to function in this manner.

So, to reiterate, there are a fair amount of apps which cater to the fitness market, however, there seems to be a small gap in the market where there aren’t many options for a workout logging app which does just logging of one’s own custom experiences.

3 Specification

3.1 Micro services

The proposed project is tackling two main problems, one being a whole set conceptual problem which are very common with increased project sizes, weather that is in terms of complexity, code base, team size or general scope of the project. So it is generally welcome to try and apprehend for these problems ahead of time by careful planning and designing of the system. In this case, the careful planning and designing would be done on the backend architecture. Here, using micro services would solve a lot of problems, both technical and business problems.

3.1.1 Business & general project management problems

When a project grows in size to the point where there is a need for multiple teams it can become quite challenging to have all of the teams work on the same code base. Different tactics such as smart management of source management system could help with this issue. One such example would be to have different development branches for each team, however, this could introduce more problems such as needing to re-base the branch mid development. Here a much better way would be to simply have the teams work on different codebases, each making up a micro service. Depending on how the teams are organised, or on how the features are broken down in each micro service, each team would be solely responsible of one or more micro services, making them the de facto experts on that specific micro a service and its inner workings.

What about when new individuals or teams enter the workforce? There is usually a fair amount of time taken just simply getting acclimated with the codebase and the whole product. Now, this would be true weather the project is or is not following a micro services architecture, however, if the project is just a monolith, usually the individual or team would need to get familiar with the while codebase. This would not need to be true in case of micro services.

With micro services the individual or team would just need to look at the API documentation of the project, then only get accustomed with the micro services) that they would be working on. This way collaboration between teams also will become easier due to each team maintaining their own small codebases, and documentation for how to interact with their services.

3.1.2 The technical aspect

Originally projects would just be one monolithic application and if we needed more performance we would optimise the code and add more power through better server hardware (or add more resources to the virtual machine or container if it was applicable). This is called vertical scaling. However, what happens when we need even more scaling? There can only be so much power we can add to one single server and only so much optimisation we can do to a codebase. Projects then started to scale their applications horizontally by deploying more instances of it, then adding some form of a load balancer which would balance the requests across all of

their different deployments. These deployments could be individual computers, running the application directly on bare metal¹. This, however, could be quite inefficient because it would scale the whole application and this might not be needed.

For example, assume *Application x* has a user profile endpoint which is just a simple CRUD² operation and a pictures endpoint which is responsible for handling picture uploads, picture compression, storage, serving, etc. The part which will need the most processing power will be the pictures endpoint, but when vertically scaling a monolith, all of the endpoints get deployed again regardless if they needed the extra resources or not. This in principle is wasted resources and by extension wasted budget for a project.




Figure 1: Scaling out vs scaling in

Note figure 1, this is an example of vertical scaling (or scaling up) versus horizontal scaling (scaling out) for a file system.

3.1.3 Solution

As it turns out, micro services architecture, plus technologies such as Docker and Kubernetes solve this exact problem by having each feature/endpoint/verb/structure on a different service then vertically scaling each service when needed with a load balancer re-directing the traffic to instances.

In the long term this will also save on resources because developers will not need to spend as much time on writing code which can will take complete advantage of all of the computing resources available to it.

3.2 The application

When it comes to logging any sort of fitness activities, there is no standard, and there are a lot of different ways

¹Bare metal meaning that there is no other layer of management such as virtualisation or containerization and is running directly on the host OS.

²Referring to data operations of Create Read Update Delete.

an individual or a trainer might log these activities; there simply does not exist a *one size fits all solution* to this. It all just depends on the goals of said person or trainer. Because of this it gets fairly complex when it comes to trying to make a digital form which could be filled out and be able to process and display all the data from it in any meaningful way. Usually different applications would create a rigid structure for the data then there would be forms to input the data in. For some people that would work perfectly, but not for all especially across athletes from different disciplines of sport.

#	Exercise	Sets
1	Squat	10x40kg, 10x60kg, 8x70kg, 8x80kg
2	Dead lift	12x50kg, 12x70kg, 10x80kg, 8x100kg
3	Hack squat	15x50kg, 15x60kg, 15x70kg, 12x70kg
4	Leg press	15x40kg, 15x60kg, 15x70kg, 15x80kg
5	Ham string curl	15x26kg, 15x32kg, 15x36kg, 15x32kg

Table 1: Simple workout log example

For instance, one of the simplest logging types would be just performing straight sets of different exercises, some exercises being done with weights, or some other form of resistance which would also be noted down with every set. Table 1 shows one such example. However, as mentioned just now, it is a very simple form of logging and there are a lot more details that could be added, or a lot more variations that people could be doing which would need to be noted. Some examples are the following: *super-setting*³ and *drop-setting*⁴. These are just some of the more common ones, however, not everyone will use these exercise techniques so not everyone will need to be able to log them. All of this adds extra complexity, which means an application for logging will either be very complex, or only useful to a subgroup of people with a specific workout style.

3.2.1 Solution

The premise to the solution in this project is quite simple, '*keep it simple and stupid*'. The solution which is being proposed is to simplify the data structure as much as possible while still including some of the minimum features such as drop-sets, super-sets, notes, measurement units, etc. But also let the user create their own custom exercises which they will keep track of, reuse and then be able to look back on their history. As an extension to this system, the actual exercise data (as opposed to the meta-data that has just been discussed, such as data about each set, see table 1, sets columns for an example) is variable in type as well. At the moment there are only two sub-types implemented, a usual *set* type and a *single value* type which just logs one single value per exercise. This lets users be able to better track things such as static holds⁵.

An example use case would be people which would like to keep track of their caffeine intake for every single workout. So there would be a custom exercise type with the measurement unit of *mg* made by the user which would be called 'Caffeine' and would have a data type of *single value*. Then on each workout that the user starts, they would have the option to log a point of exercise type 'Caffeine' with the amount of caffeine ingested.

There was also an initial intention to create a really flexible report/charting analytical system for all of the data stored by the user. That system would be using tags as its base concept, and everything would be 'tagable'. Then based on those tags, the user would be able to perform searches and compiling reports and cross referencing data on charts.

For example, a user would be able to tag a workout as a *de-load workout*⁶ with a '#*de-load*' tag. Then, the user would want to generate a report or a chart which would show all of the workouts but miss out the ones where he de-loaded by creating a filter for not including this tag. These tags could also be used as a way to define the different workout splits⁷ such as '#*chest*' and '#*back*' for a chest and back workout.

This concept could even be done on a finer scale on a per-exercise type basis. Give each exercise tags for each muscle or muscle groups they are working out, then be able to look up the exercise base on those tags.

³Super-setting is performing a set of one exercise and another set of another exercise straight away without resting, then repeating the cycle.

⁴Drop-setting is when the athlete performs another set without rest at a smaller resistance, usually -20%

⁵Static holds are experiences where you hold a position for a duration of time.

⁶A de-load workout is when the athlete uses lower weights as a form of rest but still workouts.

⁷A workout split meaning the different muscle groups the workout is targeting, e.g. *Chest and back day*.

3.3 Methodology

This project was mostly split into three main parts, the research phase, the setup phase, and the development phase. The research phase being especially important because the author had no prior experience with such architectures and a lot of information had to be learned from scratch. For this reason, the requirements for the project had to be fairly flexible because there was uncertainty about what could be achieved and what had to be left on the conceptual table.

The setup phase was about setting up the git repositories, an NPM library which was used as the shared code library amongst the projects, and a template which can be used for easier creation of extra services. Then, the last phase was actually split into multiple parts, the backend, the frontend and the testing and debugging phase.

From the author's past experiences these kind of tools are mostly useful when collaborating with other people on the same project, and when working on projects alone, it can actually become more work to just maintain and update such a system.

Since the beginning, there was a complete understanding of what the end goal of this project was, complete with a rough MVP⁸ and stretch goals for the project. Therefore, there was no need to have that broken down into individual stories for a backlog. So for the majority of the development, the author only kept a to-do list in his personal journal. The only needing a Kanban board when bugs started uprearing, so that was basically a bug tracking system, but also for small improvements which were thought about on the spot. This came in the form of a physical Kanban board with post it notes for stories. There was one for the backend (refer to figure 2) and one for the frontend (refer to figure 3).

3.3.1 Milestones

#	Milestone	Deadline
1	Research	Mid October to end of November
2	Setup	December
3	Backend development	January and February
4	Frontend development	March
5	Testing and troubleshooting	April

Table 2: Mile Stone List

For the most part, the schedules milestones which were set has been followed, only slightly deviating at the end and taking one week longer with the frontend development due to inexperience with the UI framework being used.

To split this down further, there were some mini milestones set for each micro service, of which there were 3: 'fl-auth', 'fl-tp-types' and 'fl-tp'. With 'fl-auth' taking two weeks to complete, and the other two micro services taking 3 weeks each. Although, this is without any proper integration testing and troubleshooting. Some of that had to be done while building the frontend when consuming the API.

3.3.2 Project management

Since this project is developed by a single project, there was not much need for standalone project management tools such as a GitHub Projects page or a Kanban board.

⁸MVP stands for Minimal Viable Product




Figure 2: Backend Kanban Board




Figure 3: Frontend Kanban Board

4 Design

Even though it is thought that this project could be taken on the market with a seeming advantage over other other apps, the main client for this application is the author himself. For this reason the wanted functionality of the project was known from the start, so then the requirements and deliverables were set in stone (example of draft one of requirements document in figure 4). This means that there was no need for an iterative ‘Agile’⁹ style approach to development. Meaning there was no need to agile sprint cycles.

The approach used here was one of an ‘adaptive waterfall’ method. Meaning that there was already a good idea what the final product would look like and only made small adjustments in the plan as it made sense during the development phase.




Figure 4: Fitness Logger Initial Requirements Document




Figure 5: Fitness Logger Architecture

Even though micro services usually can increases the hugely complexity, this project stayed relatively simple. Figure 5 shows a general diagram for this project which is largely made up of 3 parts, the client application, the docker container running an Nginx reverse proxy forwarding the traffic to the services, the docker containers running the services and the database management system, MongoDB in this case.

4.1 Technological design

4.1.1 Database

The database management system that was chosen for this project was MongoDB. This is a document object storage database (aka No SQL db), meaning it stores

⁹As written on Atlassian’s page[18]: ‘Agile is an iterative approach to project management and software development that helps teams deliver value to their customers faster and with fewer headaches. Instead of betting everything on a big bang launch, an agile team delivers work in small, but consumable, increments. Requirements, plans, and results are evaluated continuously so teams have a natural mechanism for responding to change quickly.’

¹⁰JSON stands for JavaScript Object Notation and it is a data formatting standard used for sending data over networks, saving data to files and more.

¹¹BSON stands for Binary JSON which is just binary encoded JSON model.

JSON¹⁰ objects which can potentially have a tree like structure, which is actually important to some of the data which the project will be storing. Other than MongoDB being able to store object trees another reason why it was chosen was because of its ease of use with virtually any programming language. For example, in NodeJS, a read operation would be just as simple as '`collection.findOne()`', just providing a JSON or a BSON¹¹ object with the search parameters matching the field names in the database. A create operations is even simpler, '`collection.insertOne(data)`', with data being any kind of JSON serializable object.

The alternative DBMS system which was considered for this project was Posture SQL. This is probably the best SQL system from a point of view of features and speed. However, the more considered it was the more it was realised that there is no point of using a relational database because the relations would have to be defines on the backend application side. That is because, if the different services where to interact with each other's database, or were all to use the same database, it could potentially case problems with data integrity on the database side. So, it is generally accepted as good practice that all micro services would have their own database, and if they needed to read or write data to another micro service's database, they would do it through their API. Also, MongoDB offered the project the flexibility to use nested JSON objects which ended up being used in the '`fl-tp`' service (see section 4.1.2).

4.1.2 Data structures

As mentioned before, one way to solve the problem of workout logging which was stated was to be create simple data structure which could be used in flexible ways in conjunction with their own custom '*tracking point types*' by the users of the application.

So, the current data structure design is split into three parts, '*tracking points*', '*tracking groups*' and '*tracking point types*'. '*Tracking point types*' is the first data structure to have been made, it contains information about each individual custom exercise, or any other tracking point the user might want to create. Code snippet 1 is the data structure used inside the '`fl-tp-type`', it allows the user to define their own measurement units, in case the experience uses some arbitrary resistance values; and last but not least we have the data type, this is an enum which tells '`fl-tp`' micro service what kind of data this type will store. At the moment there are only two types of data, however, this could be expanded in the future, and theoretically could even add user definable data types.

```

1 export enum DataType {
2   Sets = 'sets',
3   SingleValue = 'single-value',
4 }
5
6 /* ITrackingPointTypes interface for
7  * typescript. */
8 export interface ITrackingPointTypes {
9   userId: string;
10  tpName: string;
11  description: string;
12  dataType: DataType;
13  measurementUnit: string;
}
```

Code Snippet 1: Tracking Point Types Data Struct

The next data structure is one of the simplest ones, it is used to store meta data about each '*tracking group*'(code snippet 2). An example of a '*tracking group*'could be one workout which would have multiple '*tracking points*'. So a '*tracking group*'would have meta data about start time, end time, and notes about the workout. The reason why there is no '*tracking points*'inside this typescript interface. Note, the variable definition on line 4 of snipped 3 is of type '`number | undefined`' the reason for that is that when a '*tracking group*'is first created it will not have an end time, so at first it is set to null.

```

1 export interface TrackingGroup {
2   userId: string;
3   startTime: number;
4   endTime: number | undefined;
5   notes: string;
6 }
```

Code Snippet 2: Tracking Group Struct

The '*tracking point*'data structure (code snipped 3) is the most important one, this is the actual structure for the exercise data or as it is referred to internally, '*tracking points*'. It holds some meta data about the exercise but also the data of the exercise itself which, the type of that is retrieved from its corresponding '*tracking group*'. This is part of what makes this system so flexible. A user is able to create their own type of workout, with potentially limitless types of data, then be able to log that kind of type of data into the database. Line 17 of snippet 3 is the way that the different data types are handled in the '`fl-tp`' micro service. Here the two data structures are hard coded into the service, but technically with the right validation it should be easy and possible for the user to just use the typescript type of '`any`'.

```

1 export interface SingleValue {
2   value: string;
3 }
4
5 export interface TpSet {
```

```

6   reps: string;
7   value: string;
8   isDropset: boolean;
9   setNr: number;
10 }
11
12 export interface TrackingPoint {
13   userId: string;
14   tpTypeId: string;
15   tgId: string;
16   notes: string;
17   data: TpSet[] | SingleValue;
18   tpNr: number;
19 }
```

Code Snippet 3: Tracking Point Struct

4.1.3 Programming languages & frameworks

Another benefit, when it comes to using micro services, is the fact that a project could mix and match programming languages, frameworks and stacks as needed throughout the codebase. Usually this is quite impractical, as it can often create more problems from a developmental point of view. However, there can be legitimate benefits for it. One such reason being using different programming languages as its strong point. For example, NodeJS¹² could be used where there is a need of high I/O and Java could be used where there is a need for faster computation. And since micro services are deployed in containers which have their own pre-defined environments with the needed dependencies, problems that would arise from mixing different deployments on the same system are no more.

So as a proof of concept, It was decided that the first micro service to be developed would be done using a different programming language. The language chosen was Golang¹³ with the Echo¹⁴ framework, for the simple reason that this language is very powerful, offers a proper typing system, interfaces easily with MongoDB and it is really easy to develop and expose web API using it.

The default programming stack for this project is NodeJS with typescript¹⁵ and express¹⁶. For the database connection library *mongoose* was used at first because of its popularity and the author's experience with it. However, for the last micro service, the base *mongo* library was used because typescript already offers a typing system in form of interfaces, which is one of the problems that *mongoose* fixes. So, in the author's opinion, using

the base *mongo* NodeJS library results in cleaner code.

4.1.4 Micro service split

It was decided that the micro services would be split largely by functionality and the designed data structures. For this reason it was originally designed with 4 micro services in mind (4 as the final product and 3 as the MVP): '*fl-auth*' for authentication, '*fl-tp-types*' for the custom user types, and '*fl-tp*' for the '*tracking groups*' and the '*tracking points*'. However, '*fl-tp*' could have actually been split down even more, one service for '*tracking groups*' and another for '*tracking points*'. At the time of designing, it was just thought that these data structures are related enough that they could be in the same micro service. Which is still true, however, in practice they are just two different endpoint and could easily be split up with minimal work. The fourth micro service being '*fl-analytics*', a micro service for compiling reports and other analytical data based on the data structures used in the project.

4.1.5 Inner service communication

Inner service communication is a crucial part of any backend modeled with micro services and it is one of the added complexities which would occur when utilising such architecture. Generally when needing ISC¹⁷ there would be two types to chose from, synchronous and asynchronous. Many would default to using HTTP calls for ISC, however, this is a synchronous and care needs to be taken when using it. This is simply because it can block up a whole chain of services waiting for the calls to be resolved. So generally should only be used when one service is requesting data from another. The other option is message buses with a service such as Kafka[4]. This can be used in an easy way to trigger jobs on other micro services.

One of the planned ways to use kafka in this project was for '*fl-tp*' to trigger a job on '*fl-analytics*' to generate '*tracking group*' reports when the '*tracking group*' would be stopped. This would stop the services and the client from hanging and waiting while the report is being generated. Then the report would be made

¹²Node.js is a JavaScript runtime environment that runs on the V8 engine (same as Chrome) and executes JavaScript code outside a web browser. Designed for the backend.

¹³Golang is a statically typed, compiled programming language designed at Google by Robert Griesemer, Rob Pike, and Ken Thompson.

¹⁴Echo is a web API framework developed for Golang.

¹⁵Typescript is a typing system for javascript run time environments

¹⁶Express is a popular NodeJS framework for creating web APIs

¹⁷ISC aka inner service communication

available to the user when its generated.

4.2 User Interface

Since the author's main intention with this project was not the UI or overall user experience, this was further down the priority list. However, to aid the UI development, a few sketches were made to help with visualising some specific pages. These page were the workout page, which would display individual '*tracking groups*' and their subsequent '*tracking points*' (see figure 7), here i also specifically modeled each '*tracking point*' card and talked about where each piece of data should be coming from. The next figure, figure 6, shows a sketch of the add experience page and the add set page.



Figure 6: Add exercise page and set dialogue.



Figure 7: Workout screen design

5 Implementation and Testing

5.1 Setup

This section will be talking about the different aspects of the setup phase: version control setup, shared code library, template creation and CI/CD.

5.1.1 Version control

The version control management software which has been chosen is Git on GitHub. Other than being simple, Git is also very powerful, specifically in this project, the git-sub module system has been used.

'Git submodules are simply a reference to another repository at a particular snapshot in time'[7]

Originally intended as a dependency tracking system. One project would have a git submodule of some library which exists on another git repository; in a user specified folder, that git repository would be then cloned and a snapshot of it at that current commit. The owner of the parent repository would then be able to use a specified version of the library in their own project as it would be cloned together with the main parent repository.

This essentially allows for git repositories to be nested inside one another for better structures. And in this

project git submodules was used to separate each individual micro service and the shared code library out into their own repository and CI/CD environment. This separation of repositories is really nice when it comes to working on multiple independent or semi-independent projects that make up a bigger system which would ideally still have one big repository.

Consider this use case, each submodule repository has its own CI pipeline where on commits or merges tests and builds are triggered. However, when there is a milestone reached or a version increase, the team could then branch the project parent repository, perform the version bump and then have the CI/CD pipeline of the parent repository perform more builds and tests, here they would even do integration tests with the whole application and not just individually on a per submodule level. So even though all tests and builds pass on the individual submodule, where the team does not need to worry about accidentally triggering builds for the other projects, the new version could have broken something on the bigger project.

Env wrapper and definition	This has a type definition to tell node which environment variables will exist and provides a wrapper for dealing with env variables.
FIApi	FIApi is a HTTP client which would make calls to neighbouring micro services.
JwtWrapper	This contains helper functions for the JWT lib, but also has express authentication middleware which authorises the users.
Logger	This is a simple std log library, but the idea is that it would be expanded to be able to log on message buses and more.
Models	This is a collection of all the data structures which would be shared across the entire application.

Table 3: *fl-shared* elements

5.1.3 Template for micro services

With micro services it can be very useful to create a bare-bones project with some ‘hello world’ implementation that will define the micro service structure and all of the basic always needed dependencies. This makes it easy to make sure that each project looks structurally similar since they all would start from the same bare-bones project. Additionally this makes the development of new micro services even easier to develop. Such a template was created for this project, however, it was not fully utilised because of several reasons.




Figure 8: Fl-template folder structure

Since most of the micro services were written in NodeJS, an NPM¹⁸ was made to share code in-between them. This was necessary because the micro services would be using a lot of the same code like JWT¹⁹ wrappers, database wrappers, environment variable definitions, data structures and more. Table 3 showcases some of the more important elements of the ‘*fl-shared*’ library.

¹⁸NPM or Node Package Manager is a package management system that allows one to pull in dependencies from repositories or make their own packages.

¹⁹JWT or JSON Web Token is an RFC standard for representing security claims[8].

future developments.




Figure 9: Fl-tp-types folder structure

Figure 8 shows a tree view of the micro service template. As you can see there are some key differences such as a unified clients folder, which would be used for any sort of clients the application would need. However, in addition to that, the kafka client was deleted. In the thought was in the beginning that kafka would be used for asynchronous calls, but in practice, at least for the MVP²⁰, there was no need for it so was later removed. Furthermore, the *environment.d.ts* file was removed, the reason for that was the realisation that it was possible to define the environment typings inside the *fl-shared* library.

5.1.4 CI/CD

This is one section which was severely compromised on due to time constraints. On the template micro service, a full CI/CD²¹ pipeline was setup, with testing builds and image pushes on the GitHub container registry on every single push to master branch. However, when proper development starter, there simple was not enough time to setup the CI/CD pipeline on every single repository and not enough time to follow test driven development. So in the end, using a script, the container image was built and pushed to the container registry from the main development machine.

When it came to the shared NPM module, the pipeline is setup with GitHub actions, just again no actual tests were written, but the test framework has been setup, so this is one of the first items to be on the to-do list for

²⁰MVP aka minimal viable product.

²¹CI/CD or continuous integration and continuous deployment is a pipeline which would be triggered by some event such as a push or a branch merge. The project would then have its unit tests run, the project built and then deployed to a live environment, repository or both.

²²JWT secrets are used to generate and to deconstruct the JWT payloads.

²³OAuth[11] is an open standard for access delegation, commonly used as a way for Internet users to grant websites or applications access to their information on other websites but without giving them the passwords. This mechanism is used by companies such as Amazon, Google, Facebook, Microsoft and Twitter to permit the users to share information about their accounts with third party applications or websites.

5.2 Fl-auth service

The *fl-auth* service was the only service to be written in a different programming language, and as mentioned before, it was done so as a proof of concept simply because this was the simplest service to develop. It only has 3 endpoints.

POST /auth/register	This accepts username, email and password. The password is hashed with salted and hashed bcrypt ²² , then stored in the MongoDB database.
POST /auth/login	This accepts email and password, then it checked the password against the hashed password stored in the database, if a match is made, a JWT token with the appropriate data is generated and sent back.
POST /auth/verify_me	This accepts the JWT and checks its validity.

Table 4: *Fl-auth* endpoint

The '*verify_me*' endpoint is not actually used. The plan was that it would be used internally by the other micro services to validate a user's JWT token, however, it was realised that this is not actually needed as with the JWT secret²³ it is possible to do that from the other micro services. Although, this endpoint was left in there for possible use by the client, it might even be modified so that it returns the roles which are stored inside it, so that the client is aware of the user's roles. This would be useful in case it is decided to add some form of admin user with admin actions.

At the moment authentication service is not actually feature complete. It lacks features such as password recovery proper admin control over the accounts, OAuth²⁴ and more. However, it works well enough as a test MVP. In a proper implementation, ideally a system such Keycloak[10] or Auth0[9].

Currently the service generated a JWT based on a JWT claim structure (see code snippet 4) which stores all the information about eh user, their email, username, user id and an array of roles the user holds.

```

1 type JwtClaim struct {
2     ID      string `json:"id"`
3     Username string `json:"username"`
4     Email    string `json:"email"`
5     Roles    []string `json:"roles"`
6     jwt.StandardClaims
7 }
```

Code Snippet 4: JWT Claim in Golang

See Section 5.5 to see how this system has been applied to authenticate communication in-between services.

5.3 Fl-tp-types service

The *fl-tp-types* micro service was the first service to be written in the default stack of NodeJS, typescript and express. When it comes to the database client, in this service mongoose was used simply because the author was familiar with and the wide support on online forums. However, it can get cumbersome to make both a typescript interface type and a mongoose object defining the document type and managing those separately, so for the next service the author was in search to find another way to use MongoDB.

This service is just responsible of dealing with the '*tracking point type*' data structure and it just performs crud operations on the database.

POST /types/	Add a ' <i>tracking point type</i> '
GET /types/	Get all or search ' <i>tracking point types</i> '
PUT /types/	Modify a ' <i>tracking point type</i> '
DELETE /types/	Delete a ' <i>tracking point type</i> '

Table 5: *Fl-tp-types* endpoint

5.4 Fl-tp service

In this service, the usual technical stack was used only with swapping out mongoose for the plain mongo NodeJS driver and using only the builtin typescript interfaces. Validation is just handled in plain logic on the controller side. However, the validation done really is

not done on a professional level. Ideally, would build some library component for validation in *fl-shared* or use a library such as '*Joi*' for validation.

This service deals with the '*tracking points*' the data inside them (sets or single values) and '*tracking groups*'. Due to the data flow, this service also needs to make calls to *fl-tp-type* (see Section 5.3).

For example, when a new '*tracking point*' is created, this service calls the *fl-tp-types* service through a HTTP client wrapper from *fl-shared*. The requests go through the reverse proxy making it easier when the reverse proxy will be migrated to a load balancer.

GET /tracking-point/	Get or search a list of all of the ' <i>tracking points</i> ' and the data inside them.
POST /tracking-point/	Add a new ' <i>tracking point</i> '.
DELETE /tracking-point/	Delete a ' <i>tracking point</i> '.
POST /tracking-point/set/	Create a new set at a given ' <i>tracking point</i> '.
PUT /tracking-point/set/	Update a specific set from a given ' <i>tracking point</i> '.
GET /tracking-group/	Get all ' <i>tracking groups</i> '.
POST /tracking-group/start	Start a new ' <i>tracking group</i> '. Note, one cannot be started of there is one that has not been stopped.
POST /tracking-group/stop	Stop a ' <i>tracking group</i> '.
PUT /tracking-group/	Update a ' <i>tracking point</i> '.
DELETE /tracking-group/	Deletes a specific ' <i>tracking group</i> '.

Table 6: *Fl-tp* endpoint

At the moment, this service contains two endpoints, the idea being that '*tracking groups*' and '*tracking points*' are related to each other. In practice this would have worked just as well as two separate endpoint. So when the '/tracking/point' endpoint needs any '*tracking group*' data, it is actually done through the *FlApi* HTTP client from *fl-shared*. This de-couples the two endpoints allowing them to function as two separate entities. This was done to be able to easily separate these two endpoints into different services.

5.5 Inner-service communication

With micro services, inner-service communication is a given requirement. And since it is communicating outside the system the communication needs to be secured and authenticated. In terms of security, that would be done through some encrypted connection such as HTTPS which would be handled on the reverse proxy level of the application.

However, authentication is a different topic. It would need to be made sure that micro services would have the necessary permissions for all of the calls performed. Luckily the JWT system made this easy. Since all of the micro services have the JWT secret to de-compile the JWT payload, they can also sign their own JWT tokens. So, in *fl-shared* NPM module, some functions were created to generate a JWT token with a role of ‘microservice’ (see Snippet 6), this is then injected into every request sent to the reverse proxy and subsequently the requested micro service would check for this role (see Snippet 5).

```
1 roles[0] === 'microservices' ?
2     query.userId = req.query.userId :
3     query.userId = res.locals.jwtPayload.id;
```

Code Snipped 5: Checking for microservice role.

```
1 /**
2  * Sign the jwt token with env variable.
3  *
4  * @return string of jwt.
5  */
6 private signJwt(): string {
7     const jwtSecret = process.env.JWT_SECRET
8         ?? '';
9     const jwtPayload = {
10         username: process.env.MS_NAME,
11         roles: [MS_ROLE]
12     }
13     return jwt.sign(jwtPayload, jwtSecret);
}
```

Code Snipped 6: Generating jwt for microservices.

When a micro service sees the ‘microservice’ role, it gets the user id from the URL parameters rather than the JWT payload. This basically lets the micro service perform operations on other endpoint on the behalf of the user.

5.5.1 Dependency injection

Dependency is an often overlooked aspect of application design, on both frontend and backend, and because of that there are many ways of solving the problem, different frameworks like to solve it in different ways. The way dependency injection was done in this application’s backend was quite simple. All dependencies are created at the beginning before the HTTP server is started. Usually these would be a database client instance and a HTTP client instance. These would then be passed to the HTTP server’s class constructor. From there the client would be injected either on an endpoint basis or server wide (see Snippet 7). Then the injected dependencies would be available from the ‘*response.locals*’ variable on each controller (refer to Snippet 8).

```
1 this.app.use((req: Request, res: Response,
2     next: NextFunction) => {
3     res.locals.db = this.db;
4     res.locals.flApi = this.flApi;
5     next();
6 });

7});
```

Code Snipped 7: Dependency injection within HTTP server.

```
1 async function GetTrackingGroups(req: Request,
2     res: Response) {
3     const db: DbClient = res.locals.db;
4     ...
5 }
```

Code Snipped 8: Accessing database from locals as an injectable dependency.

Approaching dependency injection like this is good because it makes it easy to substitute dependencies, even more so if a template class would be used to implement the dependencies wrapper classes. But also makes it easy to test the application. For unit testing, a mock object class could be injected or even a database setup specifically for testing.

5.6 Android client

For this part of the project, it was decided that Flutter²⁵ would be used. Flutter is really good for this use case because it can easily access any of the native components quite easily while being cross platform, compiling for the web, Windows, Linux, MacOS, Android and IOS. This makes it really nice to use because in the future, using the same codebase, the application could be compiled for all the other platforms which would be targeted. The libraries used in this Flutter project were

²⁵Flutter[12] is a frontend framework developed by Google, using dart as the core language, it is a framework which compiles to native platforms for building UI applications programmatically.

primarily Chopper[13] for an easy to use HTTP client 2 and Provider[15] as a dependency injector.

Chopper is nice because one could just create an abstract class, define the API URIs²⁶, data to send and data to receive, then the ‘build_runner’²⁷ package generated the code for the abstract class. This package makes development a lot nicer, however, there were some difficulties with it. Flutter’s latest update, version 2.0, defined a new way to deal with variables which can be null by using a ‘?’ operator to define variables which can be null. This means that packages which have not been updated/re-written with compatibility cannot be used in Flutter projects using version 2.0 or higher, and at the time of development Chopper did not have support for that. The right thing would have been to either switch to a different HTTP client library or to use the base HTTP package available in Flutter. However, after some research on the issue, a GitHub milestone[14] and pull requests were found where the maintainers where working on upgrading the package. So it was decided to continue using Chopper, disable null safety²⁸ when building the application and to constantly modify the generated code after transpiration in order to make it work with this null safe project as a workaround.

The other mentioned package used was Provider. Provider can be used in a lot of different ways, however, in this project it was used as a dependency injection system. At the top of the widget tree²⁹ one could use Provider to inject a dependency such as seen in Snippet 9 with the authentication service client example. When it comes to consuming the dependency down the widget tree, its also fairly straight forward. Just one line of code to retrieve it from the current context, see Snippet 10.

```
1 Provider<AuthService>(<
```

```
3     create: (_) => AuthService(FlAuth ApiService.
4         create())),
5     dispose: (_, AuthService service) =>
6         service.flAuth ApiService.client.dispose
7         (),
8     )
9 )
```

Code Snipped 9: Provider injection example with the Authentication service client

```
1 bool loginAtt = await Provider.of<AuthService
2 >(context, listen: false).login(user)
```

Code Snipped 10: Provider consuming dependency example with the Authentication service client

One quick note on the structure of this application is one missing technical component which would have made the codebase cleaner and easier to develop for would be the inclusion of some state management library such as Bloc[16]. Bloc is basically just an event bus for Flutter, it allows for the emission of messages in one place of an application and the listening of those messages in another place.

6 Evaluation

NOTE: talk about how the spec evolved and what the end product evolved to compare to what the spec was

6.1 Usability

6.2 Other Criteria

²⁶A URI is a link localised to a site, in other words the path after the domain in a link.

²⁷Flutter package which generates code.

²⁸Null safety is the Flutter 2.0 feature where the Flutter team added support for nullable values

²⁹In Flutter a widget tree is a tree like structure of all of the nested UI classes created. For example a form will have children of text inputs and buttons.

Appendices

1. ./appendix/assets/charts/fitnessLogger.drawio.
2. ./appendix/assets/charts/fitnessLogger.png.
3. ./appendix/assets/charts/fitnessLogger.jpg.
4. ./appendix/assets/img/backendBacklog.jpg.
5. ./appendix/assets/img/flTemplateTree.png.
6. ./appendix/assets/img/frontendBacklog.jpg.
7. ./appendix/assets/img/initialRequirementDocument.jpg.
8. ./appendix/assets/img/journalEntries/ex1.jpg.
9. ./appendix/assets/img/journalEntries/ex3.jpg.
10. ./appendix/assets/img/journalEntries/ex2.jpg.
11. ./appendix/assets/img/journalEntries/ex4.jpg.
12. ./appendix/assets/img/flTpTypesTree.png.
13. ./appendix/assets/img/uiDesigns/excersisePage.jpg.
14. ./appendix/assets/img/uiDesigns/workoutsPage.jpg.

References

- [1] Docker (Software) Wikipedia (April 2021).
TODO: needs to be swapped with a non wiki link
[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Docker_\(software\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Docker_(software))
- [2] Kubernetes website (April 2021).
<https://kubernetes.io/>
- [3] gRPC website (April 2021).
<https://www.grpc.io/>
- [4] Kafka website (April 2021).
<https://kafka.apache.org/>
- [5] Linkerd website (April 2021).
<https://linkerd.io/>
- [6] Istio website (April 2021).
<https://istio.io/>
- [7] Git sub module documentation from Atlassian (April 2021).
<https://www.atlassian.com/git/tutorials/git-submodule>
- [8] JWT.io website (April 2021).
<https://www.jwt.io>
- [9] Auth0 website (April 2021).
<https://auth0.com/#!>
- [10] KeyCloack website (April 2021).
<https://www.keycloak.org/>
- [11] Oauth wiki page (April 2021).
TODO: needs to be swapped with a non wiki link
<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/OAuth>
- [12] Flutter website (April 2021) <https://flutter.dev/>
- [13] Chopper repository (April 2021).
<https://pub.dev/packages/chopper>
- [14] Chopper github repository milestone (April 2021).
<https://github.com/lejard-h/chopper/milestone/2>
- [15] Provider repository (April 2021).
<https://pub.dev/packages/provider>
- [16] Bloc repository (April 2021).
https://pub.dev/packages/flutter_bloc
- [17] Zero to hero play store listing (April 2021).
https://play.google.com/store/apps/details?id=com.mappz.zerotohero&hl=en_US&gl=US
- [18] Agile elevator pitch from Atlassian (April 2021).
<https://www.atlassian.com/agile>