

UNIVERSITY OF DUNDEE – COMPUTING

AC40001 Honours Project Mark Sheet

Student Name.....ID No.....

Course.....

Project title.....

Marker..... Advisor*/2nd Marker*
(* Delete as appropriate)

Mark (%) Grade (Please give *your* mark here, *not* the agreed mark)

The questions below are intended as prompts for the markers. Please give a score for each question (if relevant for this project), using the scale below, and add comments as appropriate. Then give an overall mark for the project, guided by these scores and comments, and your overall impression of the project.

N = Non-existent/not at all, **P** = Poor, **S** = Satisfactory, **G** = Good, **E** = Excellent

1. Background, Identification of problem/requirements

	N	P	S	G	E	N/A
Is background/reference material appropriate and well used?						
Is there evidence of clear understanding of the project topic?						
Are the aims/requirements of the project clearly stated and formulated appropriately?						
Comments						

2. Design, decisions, choice of methodology/tools etc.

	N	P	S	G	E	N/A
Were appropriate design methodologies and tools/software used?						
Were the design decisions valid, and alternatives considered?						
How well are any problems and difficulties which were encountered explained?						
Has the student contributed useful ideas?						
To what extent did the student have to acquire new knowledge and skills?						
Comments						

N = Non-existent/not at all, **P** = Poor, **S** = Satisfactory, **G** = Good, **E** = Excellent

3. Implementation/technical work

	N	P	S	G	E	N/A
What is the technical quality of work done?						
Is the quantity of work done adequate?						
Does the project contain any novel work?						
Was the adopted approach/methodology fully understood and justified?						
Comments						

4. Evaluation/results

	N	P	S	G	E	N/A
To what extent were the stated objectives achieved?						
Are the project outcomes of a high quality?						
Are the results presented clearly in a logical manner?						
Does the student demonstrate an appropriate level of understanding of the issues involved in the project?						
Does the student demonstrate an understanding and interpretation of results and their significance?						
Is the critical evaluation realistic and of sufficient depth?						
Are recommendations for future work sensible?						
Comments						

5. Legal, Social, Ethical and Professional Issues

	N	P	S	G	E	N/A
How well were any relevant legal issues addressed?						
How well were any relevant social issues addressed?						
How well were any relevant ethical issues addressed?						
How well were any relevant professional issues addressed ?						
Comments						

N = Non-existent/not at all, **P** = Poor, **S** = Satisfactory, **G** = Good, **E** = Excellent

6. Quality of Report/Appendices

	N	P	S	G	E	N/A
Is it written in good English and proof-read adequately?						
Are the length, structure and level of detail appropriate?						
Is there appropriate use of diagrams, equations, code fragments etc.?						
Is the bibliography properly presented?						
Is the material well explained and justified?						
Does the dissertation do justice to the work done in the project?						
Are the appendices relevant and comprehensive?						
Is there a helpful user manual and/or technical manual explaining the system developed?						
Comments						

7. Demonstration

	N	P	S	G	E	N/A
Were the background and problem covered clearly?						
Were the methods/design decisions covered clearly?						
Were the results/evaluation/recommendations covered clearly?						
How good was the functionality of the software/technology demonstrated?						
How well did the software/technology work?						
What was the quality of the oral presentation/slides/poster etc.?						
Comments						

N = Non-existent/not at all, **P** = Poor, **S** = Satisfactory, **G** = Good, **E** = Excellent

8. Project Management (to be completed by advisors only)

	N	P	S	G	E	N/A
Did the student take responsibility for the management of project?						
Were the project milestones set appropriately and achieved?						
How well did the student work (e.g. reliability, time management, motivation, perseverance)?						
Was the project conducted in a professional way, with proper consideration of legal, ethical and social issues?						
Was the submission to the School Ethics Committee conducted in a professional way?						
Did the project Interim Report meet the stated requirements?						
Comments						
Were there non-personal mitigating circumstances such as failure/unavailability of equipment? (YES/NO)						
If "Yes" please give details of the nature and severity of these problems						

9. Any other comments:

N = Non-existent/not at all, **P** = Poor, **S** = Satisfactory, **G** = Good, **E** = Excellent