# College Admissions Testing of Graduating Seniors in Texas High Schools, Class of 2002

# District and Campus Listings

Alphabetic by County

Division of Accountability Research
Department of Accountability and Data Quality
Texas Education Agency
June 2004

## College Admissions Testing of Graduating Seniors in Texas High Schools, Class of 2002

## **District and Campus Listings**

Alphabetic by County

Project Staff Paul R. Gesn Angie H. Liu Nelson Loponi

Editorial Staff
Sue Mutchler
Richard Kallus

Division of Accountability Research
Department of Accountability and Data Quality
Texas Education Agency
June 2004

#### **Texas Education Agency**

Shirley Neeley, Commissioner of Education Robert Scott, Chief Deputy Commissioner

#### **Department of Accountability and Data Quality**

Criss Cloudt, Associate Commissioner

#### **Division of Accountability Research**

Karen Dvorak, Senior Director

**Citation.** Texas Education Agency. (2004). *College admissions testing of graduating seniors in Texas high schools, class of 2002: District and campus listings* (Document No. GE04 601 06). Austin, TX: Author.

**Keywords.** *SAT, ACT, college admission, testing, mean scores, criterion, graduate.* 

Material in this publication is not copyrighted and may be reproduced. The Texas Education Agency would appreciate credit for the material used and a copy of the reprint.

Texas Assessment of Academic Skills<sup>TM</sup> (TAAS<sup>TM</sup>) is a registered trademark of the Texas Education Agency, SAT<sup>®</sup> is a registered trademark of the College Board, and ACT Assessment<sup>®</sup> is a registered trademark of the ACT, Inc.. Other product and company names mentioned in this report may be the trademarks of their respective owners.

Additional information about this report may be obtained by contacting the Texas Education Agency, Department of Accountability and Data Quality, Division of Accountability Research by phone at (512) 475-3523, by email at research@tea.state.tx.us, or via the division website: <a href="http://www.tea.state.tx.us/research/">http://www.tea.state.tx.us/research/</a>. Copies of the report may be purchased using the order form in the back of this publication.

For information regarding administration, preparation for, and scoring of the SAT examination, contact the College Board's Southwestern Regional Office at (512) 891-8400 or <a href="http://www.collegeboard.com/">http://www.collegeboard.com/</a>. For information on the ACT Assessment, contact ACT, Inc., Southwest Region, at (512) 345-1949 or <a href="http://www.act.org/">http://www.act.org/</a>.

## **Contents**

Introduction		1
SAT Results	for Districts and Campuses	5
Table 1.	SAT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002	6
ACT Results	for Districts and Campuses	59
Table 2.	ACT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002	60
College Adm	issions Examination Results Reported in the Texas Accountability System	113
Table 3.	College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002	114
College Adm	issions Examination Results by District Characteristic	171
Table 4.	College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards, by District Characteristic, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002	172
Texas Ed	ucation Agency District Analyze Category Descriptions, 2001-02	177
References		183

## Introduction

### Introduction

## District and Campus Listings by County

This report provides SAT I and ACT participation and performance results by individual campus, district, and district group as a supplement to *College Admissions Testing of Graduating Seniors in Texas High Schools, Class of 2002* (TEA, 2004). The first three listings display summary data for each Texas public school campus and district, organized by county.

Table 1 includes, for each district and campus: (a) the average SAT I Mathematics, Verbal, and Total scores of SAT I examinees in the class of 2002; (b) the number of graduates who took the SAT I; (c) the total number of graduates and the percentage of graduates who tested; and (d) the number and percentage of examinees with SAT I Total scores of 1110 or higher. Table 2 contains the same information for ACT English, Mathematics, Reading, Science Reasoning, and Composite results.

Table 3 contains information for the Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) indicators based on results for either the SAT I or ACT. Included in Table 3 are: (a) the number of SAT I-and/or ACT-tested graduates; (b) the total number of graduates; (c) the percentage of graduates who took the SAT I and/or ACT; and (d) the number and percentage of examinees scoring at or above the criterion on either test. For districts with only one high school, campus results are the same as district results.

In Tables 1-3, SAT I and ACT participation and performance data are not presented for districts and campuses with fewer than 5 students to protect student confidentiality. In these cases, one or both of two notes appear, "<5t" and "<5h," with "t" referring to a small number of students tested and "h" indicating a small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion. This precaution also ensures that single sets of scores cannot be identified or linked with any individual. In addition, districts and campuses with five or more examinees but fewer than five scores of 1110 or above for SAT I or 24 or above for ACT receive the note, "<5h." When it is possible for these score data to be arithmetically inferred from other district- or campus-level data, additional masking is employed using intervals of 5 students, and a note such as the following appears: "<10m," "<15m," "<20m," etc.

### College Admissions Examinations by District Characteristic

In the final table, SAT I and ACT results have been disaggregated by district characteristic. In each of 25 groupings of district characteristics, Table 4 shows: (a) the mean SAT I Total score; (b) the mean ACT Composite score; (c) the percentage of graduates tested on the SAT I and/or the ACT; and (d) the percentage of examinees scoring at or above criterion in 2002. In addition, state summary statistics are provided at the bottom of each page.

Information on the 25 district characteristics is based on data from TEA's Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS). Grouping criteria include student enrollment, district type, the percentage of students passing TAAS, and the percentage of teachers with an advanced degree. Although the number of categories within each grouping is consistent from year to year, the

range represented by a category may change. All data on Table 4 are for the 2002 graduating class, with the exception of college admissions data, which lag one year behind.

## **SAT Results for Districts and Campuses**

Table 1. SAT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

Table 1 SAT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

	State of the same	•		Mean score Tested Graduates Math. Verbal Total Num. Num. Tested (%)				Examinees at or above criterion		
county name	District name	Campus name	Math.	Verbal	Total	Num.	Num.	iestea (%) 	Num.	Pont.
ANDERSON	CAYUGA ISD	CAYUGA H S	451	452	903	19	36	52.8	<5h	
	ELKHART ISD	ELKHART H S	527	532	1,059	24	57	42.1	9	37.5
	FRANKSTON ISD	FRANKSTON H S	464	498	962	5	38	13.2	<5h	
	NECHES ISD	NECHES H S	490	496	986	7	18	38.9	<5h	
	PALESTINE ISD	PALESTINE HIGH SCH	467	469	936	97	184	52.7	15	15.5
	SLOCUM ISD	SLOCUM H S				<5t	17		<5t	
	WESTWOOD ISD	WESTWOOD H S	524	485	1,009	12	73	16.4	<5h	
ANDREWS	ANDREWS ISD	ANDREWS HIGH SCHOO	451	468	919	69	194	35.6	8	11.6
ANGELINA	CENTRAL ISD		512	456	968	29	97	29.9	<5h	
		CENTRAL H S	512	456	968	<30m	80	•	<5h	•
		STUBBLEFIELD LRN C	•	•	•	<5t	17	•	<5t	•
	DIBOLL ISD		400	418	818	41	92	44.6	<5h	
		DIBOLL H S	400	418	818	<45m	86		<5h	
		STUBBLEFIELD LRN C	•	•	•	<5t	6	•	<5t	•
	HUDSON ISD		520	495	1,014	48	117	41.0	14	29.2
		HUDSON H S	520	495	1,014	<50m	114	•	<15m	
		STUBBLEFIELD LRN C	•	•	•	<5t	3	•	<5t	•
	HUNTINGTON ISD		458	464	921	39	86	45.3	<5h	_
	2.10.0.1 202	HUNTINGTON H S	458	464	921	<40m	75		<5h	
		PRIDE ALTER SCH				<5t	11		<5t	
	LUFKIN ISD		486	461	947	219	413	53.0	49	22.4
	20.11211 202	LUFKIN H S	486	461	947	<220m	401		<50m	
		STUBBLEFIELD LRN C		•		<5t	12	•	<5t	•
	ZAVALLA ISD	ZAVALLA H S				<5t	17		<5t	
ARANSAS	ARANSAS COUNTY	ROCKPORT-FULTON H	487	479	966	93	193	48.2	18	19.4
ARCHER	ARCHER CITY IS	ARCHER CITY H S	417	457	873	6	38	15.8	<5h	
	HOLLIDAY ISD	HOLLIDAY H S	540	530	1,070	13	62	21.0	<5h	
	MEGARGEL ISD	MEGARGEL SCHOOL				<5t	7		<5t	
	WINDTHORST ISD	WINDTHORST H S	498	458	957	6	25	24.0	<5h	
ARMSTRONG	CLAUDE ISD	CLAUDE H S	506	548	1,054	5	27	18.5	<5h	
ATASCOSA	CHARLOTTE ISD	CHARLOTTE H S			•	<5t	23		<5t	•
	JOURDANTON ISD	JOURDANTON H S	512	483	995	11	57	19.3	<5h	•
	LYTLE ISD	LYTLE H S	486	474	960	33	75	44.0	6	18.2

Note: 't' indicates masking is applied due to small number of students tested.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;h' indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;m' indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 1 SAT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

County name	District name	Campus name	 Math.	Mean score Verbal	 Total	Tested Num.		duates ested (%)			
ATASCOSA	PLEASANTON ISD	PLEASANTON H S	484	483	967	51	170	30.0	8	15.7	
	POTEET ISD	POTEET H S	452	452	903	29	83	34.9	<5h		
AUSTIN	BELLVILLE ISD	BELLVILLE H S	522	493	1,015	81	128	63.3	23	28.4	
	BRAZOS ISD	BRAZOS H S	493	471	964	29	56	51.8	8	27.6	
	SEALY ISD	SEALY H S	496	491	987	48	114	42.1	11	22.9	
BAILEY	MULESHOE ISD					<5t	87		<5t		
		MULESHOE H S				<5t	81		<5t		
		PEP	•			<5t	6		<5t		
BANDERA	BANDERA ISD		493	513	1,006	66	124	53.2	<25m	_	
5, 1152.51	5, 1152.11, 135	BANDERA H S	496	515	1,011	<70m	119		<25m		
		CHALLENGE H S				<5t	5		<5t		
	MEDINA ISD	MEDINA H S	491	506	997	15	16	93.8	<5h		
BASTROP	DACTOOD TOD		488	492	980	148	309	47.9	43	29.1	
BASTRUP	BASTROP ISD	BASTROP H S	488	492 493	980	<150m	309	47.9	43 <45m	29.1	
		GENESIS H S				<5t	6		<5t	:	
	ELGIN ISD	=: 0=:: 0	493	476	968	<55m	145		8		
		ELGIN H S	493	476	968	<55m	143	•	<10m	•	
		PHOENIX LEARNING C	•	•	•	<5t	2	•	<5t	•	
	SMITHVILLE ISD	SMITHVILLE H S	467	492	958	39	85	45.9	<5h		
BAYLOR	SEYMOUR ISD	SEYMOUR H S	531	513	1,044	14	38	36.8	5	35.7	
BEE	BEEVILLE ISD		458	460	919	<110m	210		9		
		A C JONES HIGH SCH	458	460	919	<110m	205		<10m		
		LEARNING RESOURCE	•	•	•	<5t	5	•	<5t		
	PETTUS ISD	PETTUS H S	466	493	959	9	34	26.5	<5h	•	
	SKIDMORE-TYNAN	SKIDMORE-TYNAN H S				<5t	53		<5t		
BELL	ACADEMY ISD	ACADEMY H S	554	503	1,057	20	65	30.8	10	50.0	
	BARTLETT ISD	BARTLETT H S	481	427	908	12	25	48.0	<5h		
	BELTON ISD		509	505	1,014	169	410	41.2	58	34.3	
		BELTON H S	509	505	1,014	<170m	377		<60m		
		HENRY T WASKOW LEA		•		<5t	33	•	<5t		
	CEDAR CREST CH	CEDAR CREST CHARTE				<5t	1		<5t		
	HOLLAND ISD	HOLLAND H S	508	511	1,019	8	40	20.0	<5h		
	KILLEEN ISD		466	468	934	675	1,217	55.5	122	18.1	
		ELLISON H S	464	468	932	226	407	55.5	41	18.1	
		HARKER HEIGHTS HIG	494	501	995	<160m	253		48		
		KILLEEN H S	458	452	910	180	316	57.0	<25m		
		PATHWAYS LEARNING	•	•	•	<5t	31	•	<5t	•	

<sup>&#</sup>x27;h' indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;m' indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 1 SAT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

County name	District name	Campus name	 Math.	Mean score Verbal	Total	Tested Num.		duates ested (%)	at or	inees above erion Pcnt.
BELL	KILLEEN ISD	SHOEMAKER HIGH SCH	443	446	888	<115m	210		<15m	•
	RICHARD MILBUR	KILLEEN-RICHARD MI			•	<5t	37		<5t	•
	ROGERS ISD	ROGERS H S	461	445	906	16	53	30.2	<5h	
	SALADO ISD	SALADO H S	598	527	1,125	21	60	35.0	12	57.1
	TEMPLE ISD	TEMPLE H S	523	501	1,024	235	388	60.6	89	37.9
	TRANSFORMATIVE	TRANSFORMATIVE CHA				<5t	33		<5t	
	TROY ISD	TROY HIGH SCHOOL	490	467	956	37	60	61.7	7	18.9
BEXAR	ALAMO HEIGHTS	ALAMO HEIGHTS H S	574	554	1,129	260	316	82.3	146	56.2
	EAGLE PROJECT					<5t	11		<5t	
		EAGLE CHARTER SCHO EAGLE PROJECT (SAN				<5t <5t	8 3		<5t <5t	
	FACT CENTRAL I	2.0220020. (0.11			010					
	EAST CENTRAL I	BEXAR COUNTY LRN C	457	462	919	242 <5t	410 1	59.0	32 <5t	13.2
		EAST CENTRAL H S	457	462	919	<245m	409	•	<35m	
	EDGEWOOD ISD		409	400	809	236	545	43.3	7	3.0
		COMPETENCY BASED H				<5t	43		<5t	-
		JOHN F KENNEDY HIG MEMORIAL HIGH SCHO	397 425	391 412	788 836	<140m <105m	280 222	•	<5h <5h	•
	FT SAM HOUSTON		507	504	1,011	40	45	88.9	15	37.5
	OFORGE OFFICE				•	.=1	45		.51	
	GEORGE GERVIN	GEORGE GERVIN CHAR	•	•	•	<5t <5t	45 38		<5t <5t	
		THE BASIC CENTER				<5t	7		<5t	
	GEORGE I SANCH	GEORGE I SANCHEZ C				<5t	3		<5t	
	HARLANDALE ISD		397	404	801	298	664	44.9	11	3.7
		FRANK M TEJEDA ACA				<5t	35		<5t	
		HARLANDALE H S MCCOLLUM H S	404 391	401 407	805 798	151 <150m	317 312	47.6	<5h <10m	•
	JOHN H WOOD CH	JOHN H WOOD CHARTE		•		<5t	2		<5t	
	JUBILEE ACADEM	JUBILEE ACADEMIC C				<5t	3		<5t	
					006					
	JUDSON ISD	JUDSON HIGH SCHOOL	488 489	498 498	986 986	387 <385m	729 697	53.1	97 <100m	25.1
		JUDSON LEARNING AC				<5t	32		<5t	
	LACKLAND ISD	VIRGINIA ALLRED ST	511	524	1,036	23	30	76.7	9	39.1
	NORTH EAST ISD		507	510	1,017	2,057	2,722	75.6	648	31.5
		ACADEMY OF CREATIV	487	532	1,019	<10m	31		<5h	
		CHURCHILL H S HOMEBASED COMP ED	515	524	1,039	393 <5t	457 5	86.0	152 <5t	38.7
		HOMEBOUND				<5t	5		<5t	

*Note*: 't' indicates masking is applied due to small number of students tested.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;h' indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;m' indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 1 SAT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

		2		Mean score					Examinees at or above criterion	
County name	District name	Campus name	Math.	Verbal	Total	Num.	Num. T	ested (%) 	Num.	Pcnt.
BEXAR	NORTH EAST ISD	HOSPITAL-COMP ED				<5t	2		<5t	
		INTERNATIONAL SCHO	519	534	1,053	<95m	101		31	
		LAUREL RIDGE HOSPI				<5t	1		<5t	
		LEE H S	467	486	953	199	346	57.5	41	20.6
		MAC ARTHUR H S	524	523	1,047	296	417	71.0	112	37.8
		MADISON H S	497	486	982	363	472	76.9	88	24.2
		REAGAN H S	526	531	1,057	521	542	96.1	197	37.8
		ROOSEVELT H S	467	468	934	185	343	53.9	<25m	•
	NORTHSIDE ISD		495	492	987	2,266	3,509	64.6	608	26.8
		BUSINESS CAREERS H	475	469	945	133	0		20	15.0
		CHALLENGE H S	•	•		<5t	5		<5t	•
		COMMUNICATIONS ART	549	544	1,093	78	0	•	33	42.3
		GOALS-VILLA ROSA				<5t	1		<5t	
		HEALTH CAREERS H S	557	548	1,105	198	223	88.8	99	50.0
		HOLMES H S	445	443	888	184	581	31.7	<15m	•
		HOLMGREEN JR-SR H	•	•	•	<5t	2	•	<5t	•
		HOMEBOUND	401			<5t	9		<5t	
		JAY H S	431	434	865	231	471	49.0	19	8.2 20.4
		MARSHALL H S	478 507	490 501	967 1,008	294 468	466 626	63.1 74.8	60 148	
		SANDRA DAY O'CONNO SCHOOL AGED PARENT			•	406 <5t	11		-146 -5t	31.6
		SUNSET H S	458	503	962	6	31	19.4	<5h	
		TOM CLARK H S	533	516	1,048	369	502	73.5	145	39.3
		WILLIAM H TAFT H S	483	484	967	302	581	52.0	70	23.2
	POR VIDA ACAD	POR VIDA ACADEMY	456	472	928	5	52	9.6	<5h	
					020					-
	POSTITVE SOLUT	POSITIVE SOLUTIONS	•	•		<5t	40	•	<5t	•
	RADIANCE ACADE	RADIANCE ACADEMY O	•	•	•	<5t	4	•	<5t	•
	RANDOLPH FIELD	RANDOLPH H S	505	503	1,008	54	58	93.1	16	29.6
	SAN ANTONIO CA	SAN ANTONIO CAN HI	•			<5t	4		<5t	•
	SAN ANTONIO IS		407	403	810	1,354	2,438	55.5	61	4.5
		ALAMO ACHIEVEMENT				<5t	9		<5t	
		BRACKENRIDGE H S	448	446	894	237	403	58.8	24	10.1
		BURBANK H S	400	392	792	153	236	64.8	8	5.2
		EDISON H S	408	403	811	143	303	47.2	<5h	•
		FOX TECHNICAL H S	388	375	762	<130m	273	•	<5h	•
		HEALY-MURPHY				<5t	13		<5t	
		HIGHLANDS H S	420	423	843	212	357	59.4	16	7.5
		HOUSTON H S	374	365	739	144	182	79.1	<5h	
		JEFFERSON H S	401	408	809	188	316	59.5 46.3	7 ~5h	3.7
		LANIER H S NAVARRO ACADEMY	386 402	373 393	759 795	132 <20m	285 61	40.3	<5h <5h	
	OAN ANTONIO OO									
		SAN ANTONIO SCHOOL	•	•		<5t	6	•	<5t	•
	SOMERSET ISD	SOMERSET HIGH SCHO	443	459	902	48	101	47.5	9	18.8
	SOUTH SAN ANTO		408	403	812	234	426	54.9	7	3.0
		COMPETENCY BASED H	•			<5t	18	•	<5t	•
		SO SAN ANTONIO H S	411	423	834	<70m	102	•	<5h	
		SOUTH SAN ANTONIO	406	395	801	166	306	54.2	<5h	•

Market 1911 Self-relation and the Company of the self-relation to the se

Note: 't' indicates masking is applied due to small number of students tested.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>prime}\text{h}^{\prime}$  indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>prime}\text{m}^{\prime}$  indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 1 SAT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

County name	District name	Campus name	 Math.	Mean score Verbal	 Total	Tested Num.		uates sted (%)	Examinees at or above criterion Num. Pcnt.	
BEXAR	SOUTHSIDE ISD	SOUTHSIDE H S	405	420	826	77	229	33.6	6	7.8
	SOUTHWEST ISD	SOUTHWEST H S	419	431	850	194	408	47.5	18	9.3
	SOUTHWEST PREP	OOLITUMEOT DDEDADAT	•			<5t	44		<5t	•
		SOUTHWEST PREPARAT SOUTHWEST PREPARAT				<5t <5t	34 10		<5t <5t	
BLANCO	BLANCO ISD	BLANCO H S	497	482	979	29	46	63.0	8	27.6
	JOHNSON CITY I	LYNDON B JOHNSON H	520	518	1,038	30	42	71.4	10	33.3
BORDEN	BORDEN COUNTY	BORDEN COUNTY SCHO	•		•	<5t	9		<5t	•
BOSQUE	CLIFTON ISD	CLIFTON H S	469	458	927	28	54	51.9	<5h	
	CRANFILLS GAP	CRANFILLS GAP SCHO				<5t	6		<5t	
	IREDELL ISD	IREDELL SCHOOL				<5t	8		<5t	
	KOPPERL ISD	KOPPERL SCHOOL	536	509	1,044	9	11	81.8	<5h	
	MERIDIAN ISD	MERIDIAN H S	470	483	953	9	28	32.1	<5h	
	MORGAN ISD	MORGAN SCHOOL				<5t	8		<5t	
	VALLEY MILLS I	VALLEY MILLS H S	552	536	1,088	11	29	37.9	5	45.5
	WALNUT SPRINGS	WALNUT SPRINGS SCH				<5t	10		<5t	
BOWIE	DEKALB ISD	DEKALB H S	501	475	976	8	62	12.9	<5h	
	EAGLE ACADEMY	EAGLE ACADEMY OF T				<5t	2		<5t	
	HOOKS ISD	HOOKS H S				<5t	60		<5t	
	LIBERTY-EYLAU	ALTER COLL				<5t	145		<5t	
		ALTER SCH JUVENILE JUSTICE D				<5t <5t	18 2		<5t <5t	
		LIBERTY-EYLAU H S	•	•	•	<5t	125	•	<5t	•
	MAUD ISD	MAUD SCHOOL	•			<5t	24	•	<5t	
	NEW BOSTON ISD	NEW BOSTON H S	497	497	994	7	73	9.6	<5h	
	PLEASANT GROVE	PLEASANT GROVE H S	544	537	1,081	30	117	25.6	13	43.3
	REDWATER ISD	REDWATER H S				<5t	49		<5t	
	SIMMS ISD	HOLY HIGHWAY	•	•		<5t <5t	31 2	•	<5t <5t	
		JAMES BOWIE H S				<5t	29		<5t	
	TEXARKANA ISD	OPTIONS	524	516	1,040	27 <5t	253 15	10.7	11 <5t	40.7
		TEXAS H S	524	516	1,040		238		<15m	

*Note*: 't' indicates masking is applied due to small number of students tested.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;h' indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;m' indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 1 SAT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

			Mean score Tested				Gra	aduates	Examinees at or above criterion	
County name	District name	Campus name	Math.		Total	Num.	Num. 7	Tested (%)	Num.	Pcnt.
BRAZORIA	ALVIN ISD	ALVIN HIGH SCHOOL	513	498	1,011	215	471	45.6	55	25.6
	ANGLETON ISD	ANGLETON H S	507 507	502 502	1,009 1,009	126 <130m	277 270	45.5	37 <40m	29.4
		MARSHALL EDUCATION			1,009	<5t	7		<5t	
	BRAZOSPORT ISD		522	503	1,024	369	669	55.2	117	31.7
		ALTER PLACEMENT CT		•	•	<5t	3	•	<5t	•
		ALTERNATIVE PLACEM			•	<5t	9		<5t	•
		BRAZOSPORT H S	473	459	932	<95m	188	•	<15m	•
		BRAZOSWOOD H S	538	517	1,055	<280m	469	•	<105m	•
	COLUMBIA-BRAZO	COLUMBIA H S	476	478	954	79	167	47.3	18	22.8
	DANBURY ISD	DANBURY H S	461	466	927	25	50	50.0	<5h	•
	PEARLAND ISD	PEARLAND H S	526	509	1,035	367	575	63.8	121	33.0
	SWEENY ISD	SWEENY H S	500	486	986	68	141	48.2	15	22.1
BRAZOS	BRAZOS SCHOOL	BRAZOS SCHOOL FOR				<5t	4		<5t	
	BRYAN ISD	ACE CAMPUS	515	501	1,016	273 <5t	631 43	43.3	87 <5t	31.9
		BRYAN H S	515	501	1,016	<275m	588		<90m	
	COLLEGE STATIO		571	545	1,115	351	484	72.5	184	52.4
		A & M CONS H S	571	545	1,115	<355m	474		<185m	
		CENTER FOR ALTERNA				<5t	10		<5t	
	EAGLE PROJECT	EAGLE PROJECT (BRY	•			<5t	8		<5t	
BREWSTER	ALPINE ISD	ALPINE H S	530	518	1,048	12	73	16.4	<5h	
	MARATHON ISD	MARATHON INDPENDEN	402	442	844	5	8	62.5	<5h	
	TERLINGUA CSD	BIG BEND H S	423	478	902	6	7	85.7	<5h	
BRISCOE	SILVERTON ISD	SILVERTON SCHOOL	544	504	1,048	5	11	45.5	<5h	
BROOKS	BROOKS COUNTY	FALFURRIAS H S	435	426	861	25	95	26.3	<5h	
BROWN	BANGS ISD		538	518	1,056	12	50	24.0	<10m	
		BANGS H S	538	518	1,056	<15m	47		<10m	
		C A P HIGH SCHOOL	٠	•	•	<5t	3	•	<5t	•
	BLANKET ISD	BLANKET H S		•		<5t	21	•	<5t	
	BROOKESMITH IS	BROOKESMITH H S				<5t	19		<5t	
	BROWNWOOD ISD		496	481	977	118	217	54.4	36	30.5
		BROWNWOOD H S	496	481	977	<120m	212		<40m	
		CAREER PREP H S	•		·	<5t	5	•	<5t	•
	EARLY ISD	EARLY H S	527	494	1,021	41	87	47.1	13	31.7

------

Note: 't' indicates masking is applied due to small number of students tested.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>prime}\text{h}^{\prime}$  indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;m' indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 1 SAT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

Oswatu zawa	District	0	Mean score Tested Graduates Math. Verbal Total Num. Num. Tested (%)				Examinees at or above criterion Num. Pcnt.			
county name	District name	Campus name	Math.	Verbal	Total	Num.	Num. I	ested (%)		Pent.
BROWN	MAY ISD	MAY H S	404	406	810	22	18	100.0	<5h	
	ZEPHYR ISD	ZEPHYR H S	•	•		<5t	12	·	<5t	
BURLESON	CALDWELL ISD	CALDWELL H S	500	471	972	46	101	45.5	9	19.6
	SNOOK ISD	SNOOK SECONDARY	455	455	911	13	24	54.2	<5h	•
	SOMERVILLE ISD	SOMERVILLE H S	449	421	870	20	59	33.9	<5h	•
BURNET	BURNET CONS IS		514	488	1,001	79	143	55.2	19	24.1
		BURNET H S	512	486	998	<80m	139	•	<20m	
		QUEST		•	•	<5t	4	•	<5t	
	MARBLE FALLS I	MARBLE FALLS H S	512	499	1,011	82	174	47.1	21	25.6
CALDWELL	LOCKHART ISD		476	475	951	117	257	45.5	24	20.5
		LOCKHART H S	476	475	951	<120m	239		<25m	
		LOCKHART PRIDE HS	•			<5t	18		<5t	
	LULING ISD	LULING H S	461	439	900	41	80	51.3	5	12.2
	PRAIRIE LEA IS	PRAIRIE LEA SCHOOL				<5t	10		<5t	•
CALHOUN	CALHOUN CO ISD		498	488	986	61	207	29.5	16	26.2
		CALHOUN H S	498	488	986	<65m	181	•	<20m	
		HOPE H S	•	•	•	<5t	26		<5t	
CALLAHAN	BAIRD ISD	BAIRD H S				<5t	38		<5t	
	CLYDE CONS ISD	CLYDE H S	557	543	1,101	23	79	29.1	9	39.1
	CROSS PLAINS I	CROSS PLAINS H S				<5t	33		<5t	
	EULA ISD	EULA H S	534	573	1,108	9	30	30.0	6	66.7
CAMERON	BROWNSVILLE IS		449	435	884	553	1,673	33.1	48	8.7
		HANNA H S	462	454	916	192	469	40.9	25	13.0
		LINCOLN PARK SCH				<5t	21	-	<5t	
		LOPEZ H S	435	436	871	<80m	293		<10m	
		PACE H S	442 462	438 434	880 896	93 <75m	302	30.8	8 5	8.6
		PORTER H S RIVERA H S	435	401	836	118	318 270	43.7	<10m	•
		NIVERN II O	400	401	000	110	210	40.7	10111	•
	EAGLE PROJECT	EAGLE PROJECT (BRO	•		•	<5t	23	•	<5t	·
	HARLINGEN CONS		466	456	922	356	753	47.3	51	14.3
		HARLINGEN H S	459	446	906	189	417	45.3	<25m	•
		HARLINGEN H S - SO	475	467	942	<170m	310	•	<35m	•
		KEYS ACAD	•	•	•	<5t	26		<5t	•
	LA FERIA ISD		422	440	862	26	158	16.5	<5h	
		LA FERIA ALTER		•		<5t	19		<5t	
		LA FERIA H S	422	440	862	<30m	139		<5h	•
	LOS FRESNOS CO	LOS FRESNOS HS	471	448	919	160	317	50.5	23	14.4

*Note*: 't' indicates masking is applied due to small number of students tested.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;h' indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;m' indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 1 SAT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

County name	District name	Campus name	 Math.	Mean score Verbal	Total	Tested Num.		uates sted (%)	Exami at or crite Num.	above
CAMERON	POINT ISABEL I	PORT ISABEL H S	441	423	864	73	137	53.3	5	6.8
	RIO HONDO ISD	RIO HONDO H S	446	439	886	60	97	61.9	<5h	
	SAN BENITO CON	SAN BENITO H S	424	417	841	108	358	30.2	<5h	
	SANTA MARIA IS		382	365	747	<20m	27		<5h	
		SANTA MARIA ALTERN SANTA MARIA H S	382	365	747	<5t <20m	1 26		<5t <5h	
	SANTA ROSA ISD	SANTA ROSA H S	355	383	738	15	58	25.9	<5h	
	SOUTH TEXAS IS		534	533	1,067	285	325	87.7	119	41.8
		HIGH SCHOOL FOR HE	506	510	1,016	123	143	86.0	<40m	-
		THE SCIENCE ACADEM	581	566	1,148	<125m	124	•	75	•
		THE TEACHER ACADEM	478	500	978	<45m	58	•	<10m	•
	VALLEY HIGH	VALLEY HIGH	•	•		<5t	42	•	<5t	•
CAMP	PITTSBURG ISD	PITTSBURG H S	498	482	981	37	86	43.0	9	24.3
CARSON	GROOM ISD	GROOM SCHOOL				<5t	12		<5t	
	PANHANDLE ISD	PANHANDLE H S		•		<5t	41	•	<5t	
	WHITE DEER ISD	WHITE DEER H S				<5t	29		<5t	
CASS	ATLANTA ISD	ATLANTA H S				<5t	104		<5t	
	AVINGER ISD	AVINGER H S				<5t	8		<5t	
	BLOOMBURG ISD	BLOOMBURG H S				<5t	12	·	<5t	
	HUGHES SPRINGS	HUGHES SPRINGS HIG				<5t	43	·	<5t	
	LINDEN-KILDARE	LINDEN-KILDARE H S	499	560	1,059	10	56	17.9	<5h	
	MCLEOD ISD	MCLEOD H S		•		<5t	35		<5t	
	QUEEN CITY ISD	QUEEN CITY H S				<5t	62	·	<5t	
CASTRO	DIMMITT ISD	DIMMITT H S				<5t	79		<5t	
	HART ISD	HART JR-SR H S			•	<5t	23	•	<5t	
	NAZARETH ISD	NAZARETH SCHOOL				<5t	21		<5t	
CHAMBERS	ANAHUAC ISD		442	449	892	37	63	58.7	6	16.2
		ANAHUAC H S	442	449	892	<40m	60		<10m	
		GULF COAST H S				<5t	2		<5t	
		HARDIN/CHAMBERS CT		•		<5t	1	•	<5t	•
	BARBERS HILL I	BARBERS HILL H S	515	508	1,023	84	136	61.8	24	28.6
	EAST CHAMBERS	EAST CHAMBERS H S	451	456	907	28	57	49.1	<5h	
CHEROKEE	ALTO ISD	ALTO H S	436	453	889	13	0		<5h	ē

<sup>&#</sup>x27;h' indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;m' indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 1 SAT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

				Mean score		Tested	Grad	Graduates		nees above erion
County name	District name	Campus name	Math.	Verbal	Total	Num.	Num. Te	sted (%) 	Num.	Pcnt.
CHEROKEE	JACKSONVILLE I	COMPASS CENTER	487	512	999	31 <5t	212 15	14.6	8 <5t	25.8
		JACKSONVILLE H S	487	512	999	<35m	197	٠	<10m	•
	NEW SUMMERFIEL	NEW SUMMERFIELD SC	•		•	<5t	20	•	<5t	•
	RUSK ISD	RUSK H S	511	516	1,027	25	100	25.0	10	40.0
	WELLS ISD	WELLS H S	488	488	977	6	20	30.0	<5h	
CHILDRESS	CHILDRESS ISD	CHILDRESS H S	434	415	849	8	69	11.6	<5h	
CLAY	BELLEVUE ISD	BELLEVUE SCHOOL	486	464	950	5	8	62.5	<5h	
	BYERS ISD	BYERS SCHOOL				<5t	11		<5t	
	HENRIETTA ISD	HENRIETTA H S	478	479	957	30	58	51.7	6	20.0
	MIDWAY ISD	MIDWAY SCHOOL	540	512	1,052	5	15	33.3	<5h	
	PETROLIA ISD	PETROLIA H S	•			<5t	35	•	<5t	
COCHRAN	MORTON ISD					<5t	39		<5t	•
		MORTON H S P E P	•	•	•	<5t <5t	32 7	•	<5t <5t	•
			•	•	•	٠٥٤	,	•	٠٥٤	•
	WHITEFACE CONS	P E P ALTER CO-OP	487	482	968	6 <5t	26 1	23.1	<5h <5t	•
		WHITEFACE H S	487	482	968	<10m	25		<5h	
COKE	BRONTE ISD		524	528	1,052	<10m	35	_	<5h	_
55.12	5 105	BRONTE H S	524	528	1,052	<10m	33		<5h	
		FAIRVIEW ACCELERAT				<5t	2	•	<5t	•
	ROBERT LEE ISD					<5t	24		<5t	
		FAIRVIEW ACCELERAT				<5t	1		<5t	
		ROBERT LEE H S	•	•	•	<5t	23	•	<5t	•
COLEMAN	COLEMAN ISD		528	566	1,094	<10m	56		<5h	
		CO-OP ALTER PROG COLEMAN H S	528	566	1,094	<5t <10m	8 48		<5t <5h	•
					,					
	NOVICE ISD	CAP	•	•	•	<5t	4	•	<5t	•
		NOVICE SCHOOL				<5t <5t	2 2		<5t <5t	
	PANTHER CREEK					<5t	14		<5t	
	TANTILIT ONLER	CAP		:	:	<5t	2		<5t	
		PANTHER CREEK H S		•		<5t	12	•	<5t	•
	SANTA ANNA ISD					<5t	16		<5t	
		C A P		•		<5t	1		<5t	
		SANTA ANNA H S	•		•	<5t	15	•	<5t	•
COLLIN	ALLEN ISD	ALLEN H S	532	527	1,059	447	619	72.2	186	41.6

*Note*: 't' indicates masking is applied due to small number of students tested.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;h' indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;m' indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 1 SAT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

0	District the same	•						aduates		
county name	District name			verbal		Num.	Num.	Tested (%)	Num.	Pont.
COLLIN	ANNA ISD	ANNA H S	520	495	1,015	11	63	17.5	<5h	
	BLUE RIDGE ISD	BLUE RIDGE H S	458	445	903	12	33	36.4	<5h	•
	CELINA ISD	CELINA H S	488	482	971	39	60	65.0	9	23.1
	COMMUNITY ISD	COMMUNITY H S	499	499	998	17	56	30.4	<5h	
	FARMERSVILLE I	FARMERSVILLE H S	510	503	1,013	24	64	37.5	6	25.0
	FRISCO ISD	FRISCO H S	519	511	1,030	168	310	54.2	55	32.7
	MCKINNEY ISD		529	523	1,052	307	558	55.0	113	36.8
		ACT ACADEMY AT J L	530	542	1,072	<10m	6		<5h	
		COUNTY RESIDENTIAL				<5t	1		<5t	
		MCKINNEY HIGH SCHO	530	523	1,053	300	483	62.1	<115m	•
		SERENITY HIGH	•	•		<5t	2		<5t	•
		THE L I N C CTR		•	•	<5t	66	•	<5t	•
	DI 4110 TOD			550	4 407	0.454	0.504	00.0	4 450	50.0
	PLANO ISD	CLADIC II C	577	550	1,127	2,151	2,584	83.2	1,159	53.9
		CLARK H S	•	•	•	<5t	0	•	<5t	•
		JASPER H S PLANO EAST SR H S	571	544	1 115	<5t 707	0	72.4	<5t 364	E1 E
		PLANO SR H S	571 573		1,115		977 911	72.4 87.4	410	51.5 51.5
		PLANO WEST SENIOR	573 587	546 559	1,120	796 638	696	91.7	377	59.1
		SHEPTON H S			1,146		090			
		WILLIAMS H S	•	•		<5t <5t	0	•	<5t <5t	•
		WILLIAWS II 3	•	•	•	<b>\3</b> t	U	•	\50	•
	PRINCETON ISD	PRINCETON H S	509	507	1,017	41	90	45.6	11	26.8
	PROSPER ISD	PROSPER H S	536	558	1,094	20	38	52.6	9	45.0
	WYLIE ISD	WYLIE H S	493	486	979	139	208	66.8	34	24.5
COLLINGSWOR	SAMNORWOOD ISD	SAMNORWOOD SCHOOL	•			<5t	10	•	<5t	
	WELLINGTON ISD	WELLINGTON H S	•			<5t	39	•	<5t	
COLORADO	COLUMBUS ISD	COLUMBUS H S	485	480	965	59	93	63.4	6	10.2
	RICE CONS ISD	RICE H S	463	448	911	48	95	50.5	7	14.6
	WEIMAR ISD	WEIMAR H S	471	454	926	25	35	71.4	<5h	•
COMAL	COMAL ISD		512	506	1,017	399	595	67.1	115	28.8
		CANYON HIGH SCHOOL	527	511	1,038	<145m	225		<50m	
		COMAL LEADERSHIP I				<5t	26		<5t	
		SMITHSON VALLEY HI	503	503	1,007	255	344	74.1	68	26.7
	NANCY NEY CHAR	NANCY NEY CHARTER				<5t	4		<5t	•
	NEW BRAUNFELS		509	511	1,020	233	386	60.4	76	32.6
	THE DITTON LLO	NEW BRAUNFELS H S	509	511	1,020	<235m	362		<80m	
		THE NBISD LEARNING			1,020	<5t	24		<5t	:
		115155 LD 11111111111111111111111111111	•	•	•	-01	_~	•	٠٠.	•
COMANCHE	COMANCHE ISD	COMANCHE H S	486	478	964	25	60	41.7	5	20.0

Note: 't' indicates masking is applied due to small number of students tested.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>prime}\text{h}^{\prime}$  indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;m' indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 1 SAT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

County name	District name	Campus name	 Math.	Mean score Verbal	 Total	Tested Num.		luates	Exami at or crite Num.	above
COMANCHE	DE LEON ISD	DE LEON H S	451	425	876	19	37	51.4	<5h	
	GUSTINE ISD	GUSTINE SCHOOL	452	434	886	5	13	38.5	<5h	
	SIDNEY ISD	SIDNEY SCHOOL	478	473	951	10	8	100.0	<5h	
CONCHO	EDEN C I S D	EDEN H S				<5t	21		<5t	
	PAINT ROCK ISD	PAINT ROCK SCHOOL				<5t	13		<5t	
COOKE	CALLISBURG ISD	CALLISBURG H S	488	514	1,002	22	55	40.0	6	27.3
	ERA ISD	ERA SCHOOL	511	532	1,043	12	27	44.4	<5h	
	GAINESVILLE IS	GAINESVILLE H S	494	509	1,002	41	126	32.5	13	31.7
	LINDSAY ISD	LINDSAY H S	560	533	1,093	28	38	73.7	12	42.9
	MUENSTER ISD	MUENSTER H S	550	497	1,048	23	28	82.1	9	39.1
	VALLEY VIEW IS	VALLEY VIEW HS/MS	534	486	1,020	23	40	57.5	5	21.7
CORYELL	COPPERAS COVE	COPPERAS COVE H S	470 471	489 490	960 961	208 <210m	410 394	50.7	<40m <40m	•
	EVANT TOD	CROSSROADS HIGH SC	•	•	•	<5t	16	•	<5t	•
	EVANT ISD	EVANT H S				<5t	22		<5t	
	GATESVILLE ISD		492	498	990	44	142	31.0	8	18.2
	JONESBORO ISD	JONESBORO SCHOOL	•		•	<5t	3		<5t	•
	OGLESBY ISD	OGLESBY SCHOOL		•		<5t	12	•	<5t	•
COTTLE	PADUCAH ISD	PADUCAH SCHOOL	·		•	<5t	21		<5t	•
CRANE	CRANE ISD	CRANE H S	539	506	1,044	14	61	23.0	<5h	•
CROCKETT	CROCKETT CO CO	OZONA H S	458	450	909	23	49	46.9	<5h	•
CROSBY	CROSBYTON ISD	CAP ROCK CO LRN CO				<5t <5t	29 5		<5t <5t	
		CROSBYTON H S		÷		<5t	24		<5t	÷
	LORENZO ISD	LORENZO H S	•		·	<5t	15	·	<5t	
	RALLS ISD		482	468	950	<10m	38		<5h	
		CAPROCK COUNTY LE RALLS H S	482	468	950	<5t <10m	5 33		<5t <5h	
CULBERSON	CULBERSON COUN	VAN HORN H S	451	448	900	23	46	50.0	<5h	
DALLAM	DALHART ISD					<5t	59		<5t	
		DALHART H S				<5t	56		<5t	
	TEVI THE TOP	X I T SECONDARY SC	•	•	•	<5t	3	•	<5t	•
	TEXLINE ISD	TEXLINE SCHOOL		•	•	<5t	7	•	<5t	•

<sup>&#</sup>x27;h' indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;m' indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 1 SAT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

County name	District name	Compus pomo		Mean score Verbal				aduates	at or crite	inees above erion Pcnt.
	District name	Campus name	Math.	verbai	Total	Num.		Tested (%) 	Num.	
DALLAS	ALPHA CHARTER	ALPHA CHARTER SCHO				<5t	2		<5t	
	CARROLLTON-FAR		541	514	1,055	747	1,201	62.2	301	40.3
		CREEKVIEW HIGH SCH	544	515	1,059	359	496	72.4	135	37.6
		GRIMES EDUCATION C	E46		1,068	<5t	49	•	<5t	•
		SMITH HIGH SCHOOL TURNER HIGH SCHOOL	546 530	522 506	1,006	<190m 195	306 350	55.7	89 <80m	•
		TOTINETT THAT GOTTOOL	500	500	1,000	133	000	55.7	400111	•
	CEDAR HILL ISD	CEDAR HILL H S	471	487	957	223	349	63.9	46	20.6
	COPPELL ISD	COPPELL H S	555	530	1,085	463	518	89.4	212	45.8
	DALLAS CAN ACA		370	382	752	6	318	1.9	<5h	
		DALLAS CAN! ACADEM	370	382	752	<10m	105		<5h	
		DALLAS CAN! ACADEM				<5t	213	•	<5t	•
	DALLAS ISD		427	422	850	2,704	6,005	45.0	299	11.1
		A MACEO SMITH H S	344	363	707	52	115	45.2	<5h	
		BARBARA MANNS H S				<5t	0		<5t	•
		BOOKER T WASHINGTO	484	521	1,005	111	151	73.5	33	29.7
		BRYAN ADAMS H S	474	463	937	145	361	40.2	31	21.4
		BUCKNER ACADEMY			750	<5t	4		<5t	•
		DAVID W CARTER H S H GRADY SPRUCE H S	377 369	382 365	759 735	161 66	352 230	45.7 28.7	<5h <5h	•
		HEALTH SPECIAL			, ,	<5t	230		<5t	
		HILLCREST H S	506	498	1,004	100	212	47.2	31	31.0
		JAMES MADISON H S	385	350	735	39	87	44.8	<5h	
		JUSTIN F KIMBALL H	382	375	757	97	252	38.5	<5h	
		L G PINKSTON H S	381	377	758	38	104	36.5	<5h	
		LINCOLN H S	376	366	742	158	209	75.6	<5h	•
		METROPOLITAN ED CT	440 366	423 450	863 816	<10m 7	0 26	26.9	<5h <5h	•
		MIDDLE COLLEGE MOISES MOLINA H S	398	389	786	82	362	20.9	<5h	
		NORTH DALLAS H S	379	345	724	201	288	69.8	<5h	
		ROOSEVELT H S	357	350	707	62	130	47.7	<5h	
		SCH OF GOVTLAW& LA	440	446	886	64	98	65.3	8	12.5
		SCHOOL FOR THE TAL	610	612	1,222	51	52	98.1	37	72.5
		SCHOOL OF BUSINESS	437	435	872	65	108	60.2	<5h	•
		SCHOOL OF EDUCATIO	415	425	840	40	45	88.9	<5h	
		SCHOOL OF HEALTH P SCHOOL OF SCIENCE	460 593	468 545	929 1,137	81 79	112 89	72.3 88.8	7 44	8.6 55.7
		SEAGOVILLE H S	436	439	875	79 70	173	40.5	10	14.3
		SKYLINE H S	435	435	870	335	772	43.4	28	8.4
		SOUTH OAK CLIFF H	379	353	732	97	214	45.3	<5h	
		SUNSET H S	396	400	796	66	245	26.9	<5h	
		THOMAS JEFFERSON H	406	398	804	74	221	33.5	<5h	
		W H ADAMSON H S	373	382	755	62	189	32.8	<5h	
		W T WHITE H S	467	457	923	167	346	48.3	25 -5h	15.0
		W W SAMUELL H S WOODROW WILSON H S	394 506	368 521	762 1 027	48 78	212 244	22.6 32.0	<5h 27	3/6
					1,027					34.6
	DESOTO ISD	DE SOTO H S	515	496	1,011	118	346	34.1	31	26.3
	DUNCANVILLE IS		492	478	970	311	664	46.8	<80m	
		DUNCANVILLE H S	492	478	970	<315m	622		<80m	
		PACE	•			<5t	42	-	<5t	•

Market Market Control of the Control

Note: 't' indicates masking is applied due to small number of students tested.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>prime}\text{h}^{\prime}$  indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;m' indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 1 SAT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

				Mean score				duates	at or crite	inees above erion
County name	District name	Campus name	Math.	Verbal	Total	Num.	Num. T	ested (%)	Num.	Pcnt.
DALLAS	EAGLE ADVANTAG	EAGLE ADVANTAGE CH				<5t	29		<5t	
	EAGLE PROJECT	EAGLE PROJECT (DAL				<5t	3		<5t	
	EDUCATION CENT	EDUCATION CENTER I		•		<5t	3		<5t	
	FAITH FAMILY A	FAITH FAMILY ACADE	•			<5t	5		<5t	
	GARLAND ISD		499	497	996	1,310	2,453	53.4	379	28.9
		GARLAND H S	550	564	1,114	242	407	59.5	136	56.2
		GISD EVENING SCH				<5t	28	•	<5t	
		LAKEVIEW CENTENNIA	447	460	907	<130m	315		<25m	•
		N GARLAND H S	476	461	937	190	374	50.8	<35m	
		NAAMAN FOREST H S	503	500	1,002	259	467	55.5	78	30.1
		ROWLETT H S	498	487	985	293	498	58.8	74	25.3
		S GARLAND H S	487	484	971	198	363	54.5	36	18.2
		WARREN AEC	•	•	•	<5t	1	•	<5t	•
	GRAND PRAIRIE		506	489	995	345	937	36.8	87	25.2
		GRAND PRAIRIE H S	505	488	993	<105m	429		<30m	
		LLOYD BOZE SECONDA				<5t	19		<5t	
		SO GRAND PRAIRIE H	507	489	996	<250m	489		<65m	•
	HIGHLAND PARK	HIGHLAND PARK HIGH	608	586	1,194	397	366	100.0	281	70.8
	HONORS ACADEMY		538	536	1,074	42	219	19.2	20	47.6
		DESTINY HIGH SCHOO			.,	<5t	7		<5t	
		EXCEL ACADEMY	509	517	1,025	<25m	14		<10m	
		HONORS ACADEMY				<5t	68		<5t	
		LANDMARK SCHOOL				<5t	17		<5t	
		LEGACY HIGH SCHOOL				<5t	9		<5t	
		UNIVERSITY SCHOOL	567	555	1,122	<25m	36		<15m	
		Y W HIGH SCHOOL	•		•	<5t	68		<5t	•
	I AM THAT I AM	I AM THAT I AM ACA	•		•	<5t	10		<5t	
	IRVING ISD		501	499	999	567	1,201	47.2	167	29.5
		IRVING H S	495	497	992	<170m	432		<45m	
		MACARTHUR H S	511	504	1,015	<190m	358		68	
		NIMITZ H S	496	495	991	214	395	54.2	<60m	
		THE ACADEMY OF IRV				<5t	1		<5t	
		UNION BOWER CENTER				<5t	15		<5t	
	JEAN MASSIEU A	JEAN MASSIEU ACAD				<5t	1		<5t	
	LANCASTER ISD	LANCASTER H S	419	426	845	121	225	53.8	11	9.1
	MESQUITE ISD		507	500	1,008	688	1,714	40.1	211	30.7
		MESQUITE ACAD		•		<5t	60		<5t	
		MESQUITE H S	506	511	1,017	205	592	34.6	69	33.7
		NORTH MESQUITE H S	488	487	976	232	432	53.7	<65m	•
		RALPH H POTEET H S	527	506	1,033	207	372	55.6	70	33.8
		WEST MESQUITE H S	525	500	1,025	<45m	258		<15m	•
	NORTH HILLS SC	NORTH HILLS SCHOOL				<5t	20		<5t	

*Note*: 't' indicates masking is applied due to small number of students tested.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;h' indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;m' indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 1 SAT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

County name	District name	Campus name	 Math.	Mean score Verbal	Total	Tested Num.		aduates Tested (%)	at or	inees above erion Pont.
DALLAS	PEGASUS CHARTE	PEGASUS CHARTER SC				<5t	2		<5t	
	RENAISSANCE CH	RENAISSANCE CHARTE				<5t	0		<5t	
	RICHARDSON ISD	BERKNER H S LAKE HIGHLANDS H S PEARCE H S RICHARDSON H S	542 542 534 577 509	524 516 521 556 502	1,066 1,058 1,055 1,133 1,010	1,470 461 391 <355m <270m	1,806 568 477 393 368	81.4 81.2 82.0	626 183 <170m 197 <80m	42.6 39.7
	RYLIE FAITH FA	RYLIE FAITH FAMILY				<5t	16		<5t	
	UNIVERSAL ACAD	UNIVERSAL ACADEMY				<5t	3		<5t	
	WILMER-HUTCHIN	WILMER-HUTCHINS H	390	392	782	30	90	33.3	<5h	
	WINFREE ACADEM	WINFREE ACADEMY CH				<5t <5t	344 114		<5t <5t	
		WINFREE ACADEMY CH	•	•		<5t	152		<5t	•
		WINFREE ACADEMY CH				<5t	78		<5t	
DAWSON	DAWSON ISD	DAWSON SCHOOL				<5t	9		<5t	
	KLONDIKE ISD	KLONDIKE H S	•			<5t	9		<5t	
	LAMESA ISD	LAMESA H S	532	538	1,070	13	141	9.2	5	38.5
	SANDS CISD	SANDS CISD				<5t	18		<5t	
DEAF SMITH	HEREFORD ISD	HEREFORD H S	568	533	1,101	15	248	6.0	9	60.0
DELTA	COOPER ISD	COOPER H S	483	499	981	7	45	15.6	<5h	
	FANNINDEL ISD	FANNINDEL H S	•	•		<5t	18	•	<5t	
DENTON	ARGYLE ISD	ARGYLE HIGH SCHOOL	•	•		<5t	1		<5t	
	AUBREY ISD	AUBREY H S	457	461	918	21	44	47.7	<5h	•
	DENTON ISD		520	517	1,036	416	655	63.5	156	37.5
		DENTON H S	528	526	1,054	219	318	68.9	<95m	•
		FRED MOORE HIGH SC RYAN H S	510	507	1,017	<5t <200m	21 316		<5t <65m	
	EDUCATION CENT					<5t	26		<5t	
	LDOCATION CLINI	EDUCATION CENTER A	•	•	•	<5t	9	•	<5t	•
		EDUCATION CENTER A				<5t	17		<5t	
	KRUM ISD	KRUM H S	487	507	994	31	55	56.4	9	29.0
	LAKE DALLAS IS		502	513	1,015	89	151	58.9	24	27.0
		LAKE DALLAS H S	502	513	1,015	<90m	150		<25m	
		LAKE DALLAS MIDDLE	•			<5t	1		<5t	
	LEWISVILLE ISD	ELOWED MOUND II C	535	525	1,060	864	2,082	41.5	326	37.7
		FLOWER MOUND H S HEBRON H S				<5t <5t	388 185		<5t <5t	

 $<sup>{\</sup>it Note}$ : 't' indicates masking is applied due to small number of students tested.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>prime}\text{h}^{\prime}$  indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>prime}\text{m}^{\prime}$  indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 1 SAT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

				Mean score				iduates	crite	above erion
County name	District name	Campus name	Math.	Verbal	Total	Num.	Num. 7	ested (%)	Num.	Pcnt.
DENTON	LEWISVILLE ISD	LEARNING CTR LEWISVILLE H S LEWISVILLE H S-NOR MARCUS H S THE COLONY H S	520 541 543	504 537 526	1,024 1,078 1,070	<5t <265m <5t 440 <165m	34 520 1 535 419	82.2	<5t <90m <5t 187 <55m	42.5
	LITTLE ELM ISD	LITTLE ELM H S	456	436	893	34	88	38.6	<5h	
	NORTHWEST ISD	NORTHWEST ALLIANCE NORTHWEST H S	518 518	510 510	1,027 1,027	136 <5t <140m	266 11 255	51.1	41 <5t <45m	30.1
	PILOT POINT IS	PILOT POINT H S	533	544	1,077	30	55	54.5	15	50.0
	PONDER ISD	PONDER H S	518	513	1,031	16	34	47.1	<5h	
	SANGER ISD	LINDA TUTT LEARNIN SANGER H S	509 509	510 510	1,020 1,020	46 <5t <50m	144 17 127	31.9	<15m <5t <15m	:
	UNIVERSITY OF	TEXAS ACADEMY OF M	702	655	1,358	164	0		162	98.8
DEWITT	CUERO ISD	CUERO H S	511	458	969	18	145	12.4	<5h	
	NORDHEIM ISD	NORDHEIM SCHOOL				<5t	8		<5t	
	YOAKUM ISD	YOAKUM H S	469	469	938	33	79	41.8	5	15.2
	YORKTOWN ISD	YORKTOWN H S	467	491	958	10	28	35.7	<5h	
DICKENS	PATTON SPRINGS	PATTON SPRINGS SCH				<5t	14		<5t	
	SPUR ISD	SPUR SCHOOL				<5t	11		<5t	
DIMMIT	CARRIZO SPRING	CARRIZO SPRINGS H	404	403	807	53	119	44.5	<5h	
DONLEY	CLARENDON ISD	CLARENDON H S				<5t	23		<5t	
	HEDLEY ISD	HEDLEY SCHOOL	-			<5t	15		<5t	
DUVAL	BENAVIDES ISD	BENAVIDES H S				<5t	32		<5t	
	FREER ISD	FREER H S				<5t	55		<5t	
	SAN DIEGO ISD	SAN DIEGO H S	•			<5t	83	•	<5t	
EASTLAND	CISCO ISD	CISCO H S	495	519	1,014	8	45	17.8	<5h	
	EASTLAND ISD	EASTLAND H S	496	495	990	27	56	48.2	<5h	
	GORMAN ISD	GORMAN H S	478	470	948	5	25	20.0	<5h	
	RANGER ISD	RANGER H S	551	506	1,058	14	31	45.2	5	35.7
	RISING STAR IS	RISING STAR H S	438	456	894	8	10	80.0	<5h	
ECTOR	ECTOR COUNTY I		475	471	947	481	1,333	36.1	97	20.2

<sup>&#</sup>x27;h' indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;m' indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 1 SAT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

0	District the same	•		Mean score				raduates	at or crit	inees above erion
County name	District name	campus name	Math.	Verbal	Total	Num.	Num.	Tested (%)	Num.	Pcnt.
FOTOD	FOTOR COUNTY T					.51	00			
ECTOR	ECTOR COUNTY I					<5t	90	•	<5t	•
		CAREER CTR	434	456	890	<10m	193	•	<5h	•
		HOOD J H	400	479		<5t <230m	1 528		<5t	•
		ODESSA H S PERMIAN H S	488 465	479 464	967 929	249	518	48.1	<55m <50m	•
		TEEN PARENT CTR	405			249 <5t	3	40.1	<5t	•
		TEEN TAILENT OTT	•	•	•	٠٥٢	3	•	٠٥٢	•
EDWARDS	NUECES CANYON	NUECES CANYON HIGH	•	•		<5t	23	•	<5t	
	ROCKSPRINGS IS	ROCKSPRINGS H S	478	423	902	6	23	26.1	<5h	
EL PASO	ANTHONY	ANTHONY H S				<5t	42		<5t	
	BURNHAM WOOD C					<5t	2		<5t	
	BORINI IAW WOOD C	BURNHAM WOOD CHART	•	•	•	<5t	0	•	<5t	•
		BURNHAM WOOD H S	•	•	•	<5t	2	•	<5t	•
		BOTTNI PAN WOOD TO	•	•		\J.	_	•	١٥٢	•
	CANUTILLO ISD	CANUTILLO H S	422	426	848	84	220	38.2	<5h	
	CLINT ISD		420	397	817	207	370	55.9	7	3.4
		CLINT H S	415	400	815	<120m	176		<10m	
		MOUNTAIN VIEW H S	426	394	819	<90m	194		<5h	
	EL PASO ACADEM	EL PASO ACADEMY EA				<5t	63		<5t	
	EL PASO ISD		475	473	948	1,764	3,168	55.7	363	20.6
		ANDRESS H S	461	463	923	178	325	54.8	23	12.9
		AUSTIN H S	439	438	877	157	296	53.0	12	7.6
		BOWIE H S	386	365	751	<90m	223		<5h	
		BURGES H S	447	466	913	152	292	52.1	18	11.8
		CHAPIN HS				<5t	1		<5t	
		CORONADO H S	518	520	1,038	362	482	75.1	131	36.2
		EL PASO H S	457	449	906	95	252	37.7	10	10.5
		FRANKLIN H S	512	505	1,018	340	504	67.5	108	31.8
		IRVIN H S	453	441	894	138	344	40.1	17	12.3
		JEFFERSON H S	386	376	763	87	188	46.3	<5h	
		SCHOOL-AGE PARENT	432	432	863	<10m	16		<5h	
		SILVA HEALTH MAGNE	503	499	1,002	164	194	84.5	42	25.6
		SUNSET H S	•	•		<5t	51	•	<5t	•
	FABENS ISD		435	424	859	48	148	32.4	<5h	
		FABENS A L T A PRO				<5t	13		<5t	
		FABENS H S	435	424	859	<50m	135		<5h	
	PASO DEL NORTE	PASO DEL NORTE ACA				<5t	99		<5t	
	SAN ELIZARIO I	SAN ELIZARIO H S	414	391	806	53	146	36.3	<5h	
	SOCORRO ISD		444	434	878	751	1,423	52.8	69	9.2
	23001110 100	AMERICAS H S	451	442	892	<240m	452		26	
		MONTWOOD H S	462	456	918	260	464	56.0	<25m	
		SOCORRO H S	419	405	824	<260m	507		<20m	
	TODALLI C. TOD									
	TORNILLO ISD	TORNILLO H S	415	404	819	14	46	30.4	<5h	•
	YSLETA ISD		409	396	806	2,371	2,611	90.8	115	4.9
		ACAD OF SCIENCE/TE	346	350	696	111	0	•	<5h	•

Market 1911 Cold Color and Color Color and Col

Note: 't' indicates masking is applied due to small number of students tested.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>prime}\text{h}^{\prime}$  indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>prime}\text{m}^{\prime}$  indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 1 SAT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

				Mean score		Tested	Gr	raduates	Exam: at or crite	above
County name	District name	Campus name	Math.	Verbal	Total	Num.	Num.	Tested (%)	Num.	Pcnt.
EL PASO	YSLETA ISD	BEL AIR H S CESAR CHAVEZ ACAD	404 327	396 327	799 654	395 <45m	434 11	91.0	16 <5h	4.1
		DEL VALLE H S DISTRICT HOMEBOUND	396	376	772	279 <5t	345 4	80.9	<5h <5t	
		EASTWOOD H S	451	441	892	313	347	90.2	35	11.2
		J M HANKS H S	439	420	858	474	504	94.0	42	8.9
		PARKLAND H S PLATO ACADEMY	393	379	772	214 <5t	201 102	100.0	8 <5t	3.7
		RIVERSIDE H S	400	383	782	239	293	81.6	7	2.9
		TEJAS SCHOOL OF CH	348	338	686	<40m	34	•	<5h	
		YSLETA H S	398	390	788	268	336	79.8	<10m	
ELLIS	AVALON ISD	AVALON SCHOOL				<5t	12		<5t	
	ENNIS ISD	ENNIS H S	531	529	1,060	48	223	21.5	17	35.4
	FERRIS ISD	FERRIS H S	460	449	908	40	80	50.0	<5h	
	ITALY ISD	ITALY H S	496	486	981	9	32	28.1	<5h	
	MAYPEARL ISD	MAYPEARL H S	490	469	959	33	46	71.7	5	15.2
	MIDLOTHIAN ISD	MIDLOTHIAN H S	518	508	1,026	97	241	40.2	25	25.8
	MILFORD ISD	MILFORD SCHOOL			•	<5t	12		<5t	•
	PALMER ISD	PALMER H S	487	469	956	17	44	38.6	5	29.4
	RED OAK ISD	RED OAK H S	501	490	991	120	213	56.3	30	25.0
	WAXAHACHIE ISD		487	502	988	176	364	48.4	<55m	
		WAXAHACHIE H S WILEMON EDUCATION/	487	502	988	<180m <5t	355 9		<55m <5t	
ERATH	DUBLIN ISD	DUBLIN H S	486	462	948	37	59	62.7	6	16.2
	HUCKABAY ISD	HUCKABAY SCHOOL	504	504	1,008	10	13	76.9	<5h	
	LINGLEVILLE IS	LINGLEVILLE SCHOOL	510	536	1,046	5	15	33.3	<5h	
	PARADIGM ACCEL	PARADIGM ACCELERAT				<5t	9		<5t	
	STEPHENVILLE	STEPHENVILLE H S	510	489	999	102	196	52.0	27	26.5
FALLS	CHILTON ISD	CHILTON SCHOOL				<5t	19		<5t	
	MARLIN ISD		427	443	870	29	74	39.2	<5h	
		MARLIN H S	427	443	870	<30m	72		<5h	
		THE LEARNING CENTE		•		<5t	2	•	<5t	
	ROSEBUD-LOTT I	ROSEBUD LOTT LEARN	519	465	985	13 <5t	64 3	20.3	<5h <5t	
		ROSEBUD-LOTT H S	519	465	985	<15m	ა 61		<5t <5h	
FANNIN	BONHAM ISD	BONHAM H S	479	503	982	35	91	38.5	7	20.0
. \ / // 4/4 T   //										20.0
	DODD CITY ISD	DODD CITY SCHOOL	•	•	•	<5t	15	•	<5t	•

<sup>&#</sup>x27;h' indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;m' indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 1 SAT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

County name	District name	Compus nome		Mean score				aduates Fested (%)	at or crite	inees above erion Pcnt.
	District name	Campus name	Math.	Verbal	Total	Num.			Num.	
FANNIN	ECTOR ISD	ECTOR SCHOOL				<5t	20		<5t	
	HONEY GROVE IS	HONEY GROVE H S				<5t	40		<5t	•
	LEONARD ISD	LEONARD HIGH SCHOO				<5t	38		<5t	
	SAM RAYBURN IS	RAYBURN H S				<5t	28		<5t	
	SAVOY ISD	SAVOY H S				<5t	18		<5t	
	TRENTON ISD	TRENTON H S	473	461	934	8	22	36.4	<5h	
FAYETTE	FAYETTEVILLE I	FAYETTEVILLE H S	558	526	1,084	8	16	50.0	<5h	
	FLATONIA ISD	FLATONIA SECONDARY	536	540	1,076	7	41	17.1	<5h	
	LA GRANGE ISD	LA GRANGE H S	529	532	1,061	66	109	60.6	24	36.4
	ROUND TOP-CARM	ROUND TOP-CARMINE	516	511	1,026	14	17	82.4	<5h	
	SCHULENBURG IS	SCHULENBURG H S	512	467	978	23	41	56.1	<5h	
FISHER	ROBY CONS ISD	ROBY H S				<5t	19		<5t	
	ROTAN ISD	ROTAN H S				<5t	27		<5t	
FLOYD	FLOYDADA ISD					<5t	50		<5t	
		CAPROCK CO LRN CO- FLOYDADA H S		•	•	<5t <5t	1 49		<5t <5t	•
		I LOIDADA II O	•	•	•	٠٥٢	43	•	٠٥٢	•
	LOCKNEY ISD	LOCKNEY H S	•	•	•	<5t	36	•	<5t	•
FOARD	CROWELL ISD	CROWELL H S	•	•	•	<5t	22	•	<5t	•
FORT BEND	FORT BEND ISD		537	510	1,046	2,651	3,385	78.3	1,041	39.3
		CLEMENTS H S	597	554	1,150	605	589	100.0	344	56.9
		DULLES H S HIGHTOWER H S	540 506	505 501	1,045	367 <320m	439 460	83.6	137 <115m	37.3
		KEMPNER H S	530	496	1,007 1,025	374	543	68.9	124	33.2
		LAWRENCE E ELKINS	508	501	1,009	346	410	84.4	121	35.0
		PROGRESSIVE H S			.,	<5t	9		<5t	
		STEPHEN F AUSTIN H	543	514	1,057	485	617	78.6	199	41.0
		WILLOWRIDGE H S	415	407	822	<160m	318		<10m	
	LAMAR CONSOLID	ALTERNATIVE LEARNI	501 •	492	993	368 <5t	745 0	49.4	91 <5t	24.7
		B F TERRY H S	485	483	968	<185m	411		<40m	:
		JUVENILE DETENT CT				<5t	1		<5t	
		LAMAR CONS H S	516	501	1,017	186	333	55.9	53	28.5
	NEEDVILLE ISD	NEEDVILLE H S	557	534	1,091	59	156	37.8	27	45.8
	STAFFORD MUNIC	STAFFORD HIGH SCHO	511	484	995	106	160	66.3	29	27.4
FRANKLIN	MOUNT VERNON I	MT VERNON H S	516	517	1,033	35	87	40.2	14	40.0

Note: 't' indicates masking is applied due to small number of students tested.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>prime}\text{h}^{\prime}$  indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>prime}\text{m}^{\prime}$  indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 1 SAT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

County name	District name	Campus name	 Math.	Mean score Verbal	 Total	Tested Num.		duates ested (%)	at or	inees above erion Pont.
FREESTONE	FAIRFIELD ISD	FAIRFIELD H S	517	508	1,025	42	91	46.2	13	31.0
	TEAGUE ISD	TEAGUE H S	505	492	997	22	68	32.4	<5h	
	WORTHAM ISD	WORTHAM H S				<5t	16		<5t	
FRIO	DILLEY ISD	DILLEY H S				<5t	31		<5t	
	PEARSALL ISD	PEARSALL H S	478	443	921	12	104	11.5	<5h	
GAINES	LOOP ISD	LOOP SCHOOL				<5t	10		<5t	
	SEAGRAVES ISD	CHOICES ALTERNATIV SEAGRAVES H S				<5t <5t <5t	40 2 38		<5t <5t <5t	
	SEMINOLE ISD	SEMINOLE H S SEMINOLE SUCCESS C	494 494	480 480	974 974	49 <50m <5t	120 115 5	40.8	12 <15m <5t	24.5
GALVESTON	CLEAR CREEK IS	CLEAR BROOK H S CLEAR CREEK H S CLEAR LAKE H S CLEAR VIEW ALTER	551 527 530 584 446	529 510 513 555 495	1,080 1,037 1,043 1,139 941	1,295 <370m 373 541 <15m	1,734 502 564 606 62	74.7 66.1 89.3	567 <130m 137 302 <5h	43.8 36.7 55.8
	DICKINSON ISD	DICKINSON H S	465	461	926	120	230	52.2	21	17.5
	FRIENDSWOOD IS	FRIENDSWOOD H S	546	533	1,079	269	354	76.0	116	43.1
	GALVESTON ISD	BALL H S	520	482	1,002	167	378	44.2	52	31.1
	HIGH ISLAND IS	HIGH ISLAND H S	477	463	939	12	23	52.2	<5h	
	HITCHCOCK ISD	HITCHCOCK H S	438	427	864	25	66	37.9	<5h	
	LA MARQUE ISD	LA MARQUE H S	418	415	833	132	228	57.9	8	6.1
	SANTA FE ISD	SANTA FE H S	452	461	913	93	234	39.7	10	10.8
	TEXAS CITY ISD	TEXAS CITY H S	479	456	935	123	249	49.4	18	14.6
GARZA	POST ISD	POST H S	500	496	996	8	36	22.2	<5h	
	SOUTHLAND ISD	SOUTHLAND SCHOOL				<5t	13		<5t	
GILLESPIE	FREDERICKSBURG	ALTER SCH	510	513	1,024	124 <5t	187 2	66.3	38 <5t	30.6
		FREDERICKSBURG H S	510	513	1,024	<125m	185		<40m	
	HARPER ISD	HARPER H S	531	502	1,033	12	23	52.2	<5h	
GLASSCOCK	GLASSCOCK COUN	GLASSCOCK COUNTY H	518	430	948	12	24	50.0	<5h	
GOLIAD	GOLIAD ISD	GOLIAD H S	517	519	1,037	48	89	53.9	20	41.7
GONZALES	GONZALES ISD		446	422	868	37	127	29.1	<10m	•

 $<sup>{\</sup>it Note}$ : 't' indicates masking is applied due to small number of students tested.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;h' indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>prime}\text{m}^{\prime}$  indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 1 SAT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

County name	District name	Campus name		Mean score				uates	crite	above erion
	District name		Math. 	Verbal	Total	Num.	Nuiii. Te	sted (%)	Num.	Pcnt.
GONZALES	GONZALES ISD	GONZALES ALTER GONZALES H S	446	422	868	<5t <40m	11 116		<5t <10m	
	NIXON-SMILEY C	NIXON-SMILEY H S	464	451	915	14	51	27.5	<5h	
	WAELDER ISD	WAELDER H S				<5t	11		<5t	
GRAY	LEFORS ISD	LEFORS SCHOOL				<5t	7		<5t	
	MCLEAN ISD	MCLEAN SCHOOL				<5t	7		<5t	
	PAMPA ISD	D I C DAMDA I FADNIT	505	487	993	<110m	230	•	<30m	
		P L C-PAMPA LEARNI PAMPA H S	505	487	993	<5t <110m	13 217	•	<5t <30m	
GRAYSON	BELLS ISD	BELLS H S	483	480	963	20	44	45.5	<5h	
	COLLINSVILLE I	COLLINSVILLE H S				<5t	27		<5t	
	DENISON ISD		544	527	1,070	<70m	192		27	
		DENISON H S	544	527	1,070	<70m <5t	189 3	•	<30m	
		PATHWAYS H S		•	•	\51	3	•	<5t	•
	GUNTER ISD	GUNTER H S	534	550	1,084	13	47	27.7	7	53.8
	HOWE ISD	HOWE H S	557	565	1,122	13	52	25.0	7	53.8
	POTTSBORO ISD	POTTSBORO H S	502	510	1,013	23	81	28.4	6	26.1
	S AND S CONS I	S AND S CONS H S	502	487	988	23	44	52.3	5	21.7
	SHERMAN ISD	DOLICI ACC. I FADAITAIC	524	522	1,046	121	280	43.2	<50m	
		DOUGLASS LEARNING SHERMAN HIGH SCHOO	524	522	1,046	<5t <125m	18 262		<5t <50m	
	TOM BEAN ISD	TOM BEAN H S	536	505	1,041	15	39	38.5	<5h	
	VAN ALSTYNE IS	VAN ALSTYNE H S	530	526	1,056	38	70	54.3	8	21.1
	WHITESBORO ISD	WHITESBORO H S				<5t	75		<5t	
	WHITEWRIGHT IS	WHITEWRIGHT H S	462	447	909	20	40	50.0	<5h	
GREGG	EAST TEXAS CHA	DAN CHADWICK CAMPU				<5t	79		<5t	
	GLADEWATER ISD	GLADEWATER H S	468	464	932	53	108	49.1	7	13.2
	KILGORE ISD		503	496	999	44	213	20.7	14	31.8
		DANVILLE ALTERNATI KILGORE H S	503	496	999	<5t <45m	7 206		<5t <15m	
	LONGVIEW ISD	LONGVIEW H S	513	501	1,014	185	356	52.0	61	33.0
	PINE TREE ISD	PINE TREE H S	528	518	1,046	176	271	64.9	57	32.4
	SABINE ISD	SABINE H S	507	522	1,028	19	75	25.3	7	36.8
					, -					

Market His Self-state and Self-state development and self-state development

Note: 't' indicates masking is applied due to small number of students tested.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>prime}\text{h}^{\prime}$  indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;m' indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 1 SAT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

County name	District name	Campus name	 Math.	Mean score Verbal	 Total	Tested Num.		uates sted (%)	Exami at or crite Num.	
GREGG	SPRING HILL IS	SPRING HILL H S	522	501	1,023	19	101	18.8	5	26.3
	WHITE OAK ISD	WHITE OAK H S	513	540	1,053	17	81	21.0	7	41.2
GRIMES	ANDERSON-SHIRO	ANDERSON-SHIRO JR/	444	451	895	30	48	62.5	<5h	
	IOLA ISD	IOLA H S	529	516	1,044	14	41	34.1	<5h	
	NAVASOTA ISD	NAVASOTA H S SUCCESS ACADEMY AT	491 491	480 480	971 971	48 <50m <5t	172 153 19	27.9	13 <15m <5t	27.1
	RICHARDS ISD	RICHARDS H S				<5t	7		<5t	
GUADALUPE	MARION ISD	CAREER ACADEMY MARION H S	522 522	489 489	1,011 1,011	54 <5t <55m	90 5 85	60.0	<20m <5t <20m	
	NAVARRO ISD	NAVARRO H S	506	487	993	50	70	71.4	14	28.0
	SCHERTZ-CIBOLO	ALLISON STEELE EN SAMUEL CLEMENS H S	500 500	491 491	991 991	217 <5t <220m	421 30 391	51.5	56 <5t <60m	25.8
	SEGUIN ISD	MERCER & BLUMBERG SEGUIN HIGH SCHOOL	492 492	474 474	966 966	129 <5t <130m	322 54 268	40.1	22 <5t <25m	17.1
HALE	ABERNATHY ISD	ABERNATHY H S	527	492	1,018	6	46	13.0	<5h	
	COTTON CENTER	COTTON CENTER SCHO				<5t	19		<5t	
	HALE CENTER IS	HALE CENTER H S				<5t	31		<5t	
	PETERSBURG ISD	PETERSBURG H S				<5t	21		<5t	
	PLAINVIEW ISD	HOUSTON SCHOOL	493	483 •	976	86 <5t	347 40	24.8	19 <5t	22.1
		PLAINVIEW HIGH SCH	493	483	976	<90m	307	•	<20m	•
HALL	LAKEVIEW ISD	LAKEVIEW SCHOOL	•	•		<5t	0	•	<5t	•
	MEMPHIS ISD	MEMPHIS H S	•	•	•	<5t	34	•	<5t	·
	TURKEY-QUITAQU	VALLEY SCHOOL				<5t	15		<5t	•
HAMILTON	HAMILTON ISD	HAMILTON H S				<5t	66		<5t	•
	HICO ISD	HICO H S	468	444	912	13	40	32.5	<5h	
HANSFORD	GRUVER ISD	GRUVER H S				<5t	28		<5t	•
	SPEARMAN ISD	SPEARMAN H S				<5t	39	•	<5t	
HARDEMAN	CHILLICOTHE IS	CHILLICOTHE H S				<5t	17	•	<5t	
	QUANAH ISD	QUANAH H S	•	i		<5t	50		<5t	

<sup>&#</sup>x27;h' indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;m' indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 1 SAT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

							aduates			
County name	District name	Campus name	Math.		Total	Num.	Num.	Tested (%) 	Num.	Pcnt.
HARDIN	HARDIN-JEFFERS	HARDIN-JEFFERSON H	500	488	987	92	120	76.7	27	29.3
	KOUNTZE ISD	KOUNTZE H S	416	426	842	31	53	58.5	<5h	•
	LUMBERTON ISD	LUMBERTON H S	460	483	943	127	192	66.1	23	18.1
	SILSBEE ISD	SILSBEE H S	458	460	918	104	174	59.8	14	13.5
	WEST HARDIN CO	WEST HARDIN H S	431	423	854	17	40	42.5	<5h	•
HARRIS	ALDINE ISD		445	431	875	862	1,956	44.1	81	9.4
		ALDINE H S	437	422	859	145	466	31.1	<10m	
		CARVER H S FOR AP	465	471	936	<45m	67		<10m	
		CHESTER W NIMITZ H	462	448	910	208	425	48.9	35	16.8
		EISENHOWER H S	438	426	864	266	503	52.9	18	6.8
		MACARTHUR H S	436	417	853	201	386	52.1	14	7.0
		W T HALL SCHOOL				<5t	109	•	<5t	
	ALIEF ISD		478	452	930	1 104	1,787	61.8	211	19.1
	ALILI IOD	ELSIK H S	467		912		837	61.6	<80m	
		HASTINGS H S	482		932		831	•	103	:
		KERR H S	520	503	1,023		119		<35m	
	ALPHONSO CRUTC	ALPHONSO CRUTCH'S-		i		<5t	59	·	<5t	
	AMERICAN ACADE	AMERICAN ACADEMY O	•			<5t	23		<5t	•
	BAY AREA CHART	ED WHITE MEMORIAL				<5t	16		<5t	
	BENJI'S SPECIA	BENJI'S SPECIAL ED				<5t	6		<5t	
	CALVIN NELMS S	CALVIN NELMS HIGH	-			<5t	44		<5t	
	CHANNELVIEW IS		459	460	919	<130m	269		<25m	
		CHANNELVIEW HIGH S	459	460	919	<130m	258		<25m	
		ENDEAVOR SCHOOL	•	-		<5t	11	•	<5t	
	COMQUEST ACADE	COMQUEST ACADEMY				<5t	40		<5t	
	CROSBY ISD	CROSBY HIGH SCHOOL	508	501	1,008	67	197	34.0	17	25.4
	CROSSROADS COM	CROSSROAD COMMUNIT				<5t	25		<5t	
	CYPRESS-FAIRBA		520	504	1,024	2,571	3,563	72.2	836	32.5
		CY-FAIR H S	516	505	1,021	469	599	78.3	146	31.1
		CYPRESS CREEK H S	537	520	1,057	501	613	81.7	198	39.5
		CYPRESS FALLS H S	507	489	996	413	571	72.3	108	26.2
		CYPRESS SPRINGS H	496	482	978	<320m	457		<80m	
		JERSEY VILLAGE H S	520	496	1,017	432	620	69.7	134	31.0
		LANGHAM CREEK H S	539	520	1,060	422	538	78.4	171	40.5
		WINDFERN H S	456	477	934	<20m	165		<5h	
	DEED DARK TOO		<b>50</b> :	504	4 005	-010	215		2.1	
	DEER PARK ISD	DEED DADK !! O A:	524	501	1,025	<310m	646	•	91	•
		DEER PARK H S - NO	524	501	1,025	<310m	582	•	<95m	•
		WOLTERS ACCELERATE	•	•	•	<5t	64	•	<5t	•

Note: 't' indicates masking is applied due to small number of students tested.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>prime}\text{h}^{\prime}$  indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;m' indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 1 SAT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

				Mean score		Tested	Gr	aduates	Exami at or crite	above
County name Dist	rict name	Campus name	Math.	Verbal	Total	Num.		Tested (%)	Num.	Pont.
HARRIS GALE	ENA PARK IS		449	437	885	312	961	32.5	37	11.9
		A C E CTR				<5t	36	•	<5t	•
		GALENA PARK H S	418	401	819	<110m	287	•	<5h	•
		NORTH SHORE H S	464	455	919	<210m	0	•	<35m	•
		NORTH SHORE SR H S	•	·	•	<5t	638	•	<5t	•
GEOR	RGE I SANCH		395	376	771	14	95	14.7	<5h	
		GEORGE I SANCHEZ H	395	376	771	<15m	83		<5h	
		GEORGE I SANCHEZ C	•	•		<5t	12	•	<5t	•
GIRL	S & BOYS P	GIRLS & BOYS PREP	420	422	842	6	0		<5h	
GOOS	SE CREEK CI		495	473	968	341	838	40.7	82	24.0
		ALTER SCH		•		<5t	21	•	<5t	
		LEE H S	469	448	918	<145m	339	•	<25m	•
		NIGHT SCHOOL				<5t	15	•	<5t	•
		SP ED CTR	-:-			<5t	2		<5t	
		STERLING H S	513	490	1,003	199	461	43.2	59	29.6
GULF	SHORES AC					<5t	192		<5t	
		GULF SHORES AT SO				<5t	7		<5t	
		GULF SHORES ACADEM				<5t	160		<5t	•
		GULF SHORES CHARTE				<5t	15	•	<5t	•
		GULF SHORES CHARTE				<5t	5	•	<5t	•
		GULF SHORES CHARTE	•	•	•	<5t	5	•	<5t	•
HEIG	HTS CHARTE	HEIGHTS CHARTER SC				<5t	32		<5t	
HOUS	STON CAN AC	HOUSTON CAN! ACADE	358	337	695	6	109	5.5	<5h	
HOUS	STON ISD		473	466	939	4,221	7,250	58.2	995	23.6
		ACCELERATED LEARNI				<5t	7	•	<5t	•
		AUSTIN H S	405	382	786	198	269	73.6	9	4.5
		BARBARA JORDAN H S	428	417	845	80	198	40.4	<5h	
		BELLAIRE H S	591	574	1,165	567	654	86.7	343	60.5
		CHAVEZ H S		•		<5t	203	•	<5t	•
		COMMUNITY SERVICES	404			<5t	2		<5t	•
		CONTEMPORARY LRN C	404	401 396	805	12	165	7.3	<5h 8	
		DAVIS H S DEBAKEY H S FOR HE	421 585	564	816 1,149	127 148	244 149	52.0 99.3	91	6.3 61.5
		EASTWOOD ACADEMY	408	358	767	6	51	11.8	<5h	
		FURR H S	384	385	769	64	186	34.4	<5h	
		H P CARTER CAREER				<5t	13	•	<5t	
		HARPER ALTERNATIVE				<5t	1	-	<5t	-
		HCC LIFE SKILLS PR				<5t	1	-	<5t	-
		HOUSTON DROP BACK				<5t	17	_	<5t	
		HOUSTON NIGHT HIGH				<5t	1		<5t	
		JONES H S	438	451	890	110	177	62.1	26	23.6
		KASHMERE H S	396	392	788	51	119	42.9	<5h	
		KAY ON-GOING ED CT	364	360	724	5	19	26.3	<5h	
		LAMAR H S	528	527	1,055	511	628	81.4	215	42.1
		LAW ENFCMT-CRIM JU	458	468	926	129	160	80.6	17	13.2
		LEE H S	414	394	808	133	287	46.3	10	7.5
		MADISON H S	403	407	810	175	334	52.4	6	3.4
		MIDDLE COLLEGE FOR	402	456	858	15	24	62.5	<5h	
		MILBY H S	439	416	855	221	454	48.7	15	6.8
		PERFOR & VIS ARTS	568	582	1,151	152	155	98.1	89	58.6

 $<sup>{\</sup>it Note}$ : 't' indicates masking is applied due to small number of students tested.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;h' indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;m' indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 1 SAT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

County nome	District name	Compute name		Mean score				aduates	at or crite	inees above erion Pcnt.
		Campus name	Math. 	Verbal	Total	Num.		Гested (%) 	Num.	
HARRIS	HOUSTON ISD	REAGAN H S SAM HOUSTON H S	434 399	427 396	861 795	103 135	264 370	39.0 36.5	8 <5h	7.8
		SCARBOROUGH H S	436	452	887	50	140	35.7	7	14.0
		SHARPSTOWN H S	442	427	869	158	282	56.0	14	8.9
		SOAR CTR				<5t	1		<5t	
		STERLING H S	405	411	816	96	189	50.8	5	5.2
		WALTRIP H S	451	468	919	152	266	57.1	26	17.1
		WASHINGTON B T H S	480	462	942	148	210	70.5	27	18.2
		WESTBURY H S	424	431	856	173	244	70.9	21	12.1
		WESTSIDE H S	503	488	991	188	237	79.3	48	25.5
		WHEATLEY H S	337	365	702	13	75	17.3	<5h	
		WORTHING H S	403	397	800	157	250	62.8	<5h	•
		YATES H S	371	379	750	116	204	56.9	<5h	
		YOUTH FOR EDUCATIO	534	458	993	23	0	•	<5h	
	HUFFMAN ISD	HARGRAVE H S	458	458	916	68	163	41.7	10	14.7
	HUMBLE ISD		532	525	1,056	1.170	1,559	75.0	445	38.0
		HUMBLE H S	496	487	983	<420m	698		<100m	
		KINGWOOD H S	553	545	1,098	732	841	87.0	335	45.8
		QUEST H S	506	555	1,061	<25m	20	•	<15m	
	JESSE JACKSON	JESSE JACKSON ACAD				<5t	45		<5t	
	KATY ISD		556	537	1,093	,	1,975	60.5	545	45.6
		CINCO RANCH H S	•	•		<5t	411	•	<5t	•
		KATY H S	536	529	1,066	375		70.5	147	39.2
		MAYDE CREEK H S	532	519	1,051	<345m		•	<135m	•
		OPPORT AWARENESS C					0		<5t	
		TAYLOR H S	590	556	1,146	475	521	91.2	267	56.2
	KLEIN ISD		544	515	1,059	1,414	1,892	74.7	576	40.7
		KLEIN FOREST H S	510	475	984	<360m	<sup>2</sup> 560		<100m	
		KLEIN H S	565	534	1,099	601	679	88.5	295	49.1
		KLEIN OAK H S	545	521	1,065	<460m	653	•	<190m	
	LA PORTE ISD	DEWALT ALTER	507	488	994	166	438	37.9	<50m	
		DEWALT ALTER LA PORTE HIGH SCHO	507	488	994	<5t <170m	24 414		<5t <50m	•
		LA TORTE HIGH GORD	307	400	334	<17 OIII	414	•	<b>130III</b>	•
	NORTH FOREST I		361	360	721	204	483	42.2	<5h	•
		FOREST BROOK H S	358	348	706		247		<5h	
		SMILEY H S	366	378	744	<85m	236	•	<5h	
	NORTH HOUSTON	NORTH HOUSTON H S				<5t	39		<5t	
	PASADENA ISD		494	475	969	653	1,947	33.5	143	21.9
		DOBIE H S	512	479	992	223	501	44.5	55	24.7
		PASADENA H S	494	469	963	<105m	453		<25m	
		RAYBURN H S	497	480	977	180	478	37.7	40	22.2
		SOUTH HOUSTON H S	462	468	930	144	481	29.9	<30m	
		TEGELER CAREER CE				<5t	34		<5t	
	RAUL YZAGUIRRE	RAUL YZAGUIRRE SCH				<5t	30		<5t	
	SHELDON ISD	C E KING H S	449	421	871	94	179	52.5	12	12.8

Market Market Control of the Control

Note: 't' indicates masking is applied due to small number of students tested.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;h' indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;m' indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 1 SAT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

County name	District name	Campus name	Mean score Math. Verbal		 Total	Tested Num.	Graduates Num. Tested (%)		Examinees at or above criterion Num. Pcnt.	
HARRIS	SOUTHWEST HIGH	SOUTHWEST HIGH SCH				<5t	94		<5t	
	SPRING BRANCH		556	523	1,079	1,179	1,665	70.8	563	47.8
		MEMORIAL H S	591	562	1,153	468	477	98.1	287	61.3
		NORTHBROOK H S SPRING BRANCH ED C	455	414	869	<125m <5t	298 0	•	<15m <5t	•
		SPRING BRANCH SCHO				<5t	118		<5t	
		SPRING WOODS H S	506	472	977	190	329	57.8	51	26.8
		STRATFORD H S	568	536	1,104	399	442	90.3	212	53.1
		WESTCHESTER ACADEM	•	•	•	<5t	1	•	<5t	•
	SPRING ISD	OD ED CONTRACT	516	492	1,008	595	1,230	48.4	184	30.9
		SP ED CONTRACT SPRING H S	533	515	1,048	<5t <225m	3 505	•	<5t <85m	•
		WESTFIELD H S	506	479	985	<375m	722		<105m	
	TOMBALL ISD	TOMBALL H S	524	513	1,037	292	408	71.6	99	33.9
	WEST HOUSTON C	WEST HOUSTON CHART			•	<5t	6	•	<5t	•
	YES COLLEGE PR	YES COLLEGE PREPAR				<5t	18		<5t	
HARRISON	ELYSIAN FIELDS	ELYSIAN FIELDS H S				<5t	59		<5t	
	HALLSVILLE ISD	HALLSVILLE H S	493	477	970	149	217	68.7	37	24.8
	HARLETON ISD	HARLETON H S	468	505	973	6	34	17.6	<5h	
	KARNACK ISD	KARNACK H S				<5t	17		<5t	
	MARSHALL ISD	MARSHALL ACHIEVEME	495	497	992	<115m	341		28	
						<5t	11	•	<5t	•
		MARSHALL H S	495	497	992	<115m	330	•	<30m	•
	WASKOM ISD	WASKOM H S	•			<5t	50	•	<5t	•
HARTLEY	CHANNING ISD	CHANNING SCHOOL				<5t	9	-	<5t	•
	HARTLEY ISD	HARTLEY SCHOOL				<5t	11	-	<5t	
HASKELL	HASKELL CISD	HASKELL H S	562	548	1,110	5	52	9.6	<5h	
	PAINT CREEK IS	PAINT CREEK SCHOOL				<5t	8		<5t	
	ROCHESTER COUN	ROCHESTER SCHOOL			•	<5t	10		<5t	•
	RULE ISD	RULE SCHOOL	•			<5t	7		<5t	
HAYS	DRIPPING SPRIN	DRIPPING SPRINGS H	539	532	1,070	184	207	88.9	73	39.7
	HAYS CONS ISD		492	481	973	213	423	50.4	53	24.9
		ACADEMY AT HAYS	417	443	860	<10m	26		<5h	
		JACK C HAYS H S	494	482	976	<210m	397	•	<55m	•
	KATHERINE ANNE	KATHERINE ANNE POR	432	478	910	13	45	28.9	<5h	
	SAN MARCOS CON		474	469	943	181	343	52.8	<40m	•

 $<sup>{\</sup>it Note}$ : 't' indicates masking is applied due to small number of students tested.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;h' indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;m' indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 1 SAT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

County name	District name	Campus name	 Math.	Mean score Verbal	 Total	Tested Num.		duates ested (%)		inees above erion Pont.
HAYS	SAN MARCOS CON	PRIDE HIGH SCHOOL SAN MARCOS H S	475	469	944	<5t <185m	23 320		<5t <40m	:
	WIMBERLEY ISD	WIMBERLEY H S	505	509	1,014	87	140	62.1	23	26.4
HEMPHILL	CANADIAN ISD	CANADIAN H S				<5t	40		<5t	
HENDERSON	ATHENS ISD		494	490	983	51	174	29.3	11	21.6
		A L P H A ATHENS H S	494	490	983	<5t	14 160	·	<5t	
		AIRENS R S	494	490	903	<55m	100	•	<15m	•
	BROWNSBORO ISD	A L B II A CAMBIIC	466	494	960	27	114	23.7	<10m	
		A L P H A CAMPUS BROWNSBORO H S	466	494	960	<5t <30m	12 102		<5t <10m	:
	CROSS ROADS IS	CROSS ROADS H S	487	460	947	7	36	19.4	<5h	•
	EUSTACE ISD	EUSTACE H S	464	453	917	20	59	33.9	<5h	•
	LAPOYNOR ISD	LAPOYNOR H S				<5t	25		<5t	
	MALAKOFF ISD	MALAKOFF H S	463	489	951	7	63	11.1	<5h	
	TRINIDAD ISD	TRINIDAD SCHOOL				<5t	18		<5t	
HIDALGO	DONNA ISD	DONNA H S	432	405	837	79	402	19.7	<5h	
	EAGLE PROJECT	EAGLE PROJECT (PHA				<5t	12		<5t	
	EDCOUCH-ELSA I	EDCOUCH-ELSA H S	391	380	771	133	245	54.3	<5h	
	EDINBURG CISD		454	453	906	240	939	25.6	28	11.7
		ECONOMEDES H S	402	403	806	<50m	266		<5h	
		EDINBURG H S	455	463	918	<90m	336		<15m	
		EDINBURG NORTH H S	477	468	944	103	337	30.6	14	13.6
	HIDALGO ISD		420	374	794	<95m	144		<5h	
		HIDALGO ACADEMY				<5t	3	•	<5t	
		HIDALGO H S	420	374	794	<95m	141	•	<5h	•
	LA JOYA ISD		547	473	1,019		705	2.3	<5h	
		ALTER CTR FOR ED				<5t	53	•	<5t	
		LA JOYA SENIOR HIG	547	473	1,019	<20m	652	•	<5h	•
	LA VILLA ISD			·		<5t	37	·	<5t	
		LA VILLA ALTERNATI		•		<5t	5		<5t	•
		LA VILLA H S	•	•	•	<5t	32	•	<5t	•
	MCALLEN ISD		487	473	960	523	1,178	44.4	96	18.4
		INSTR/GUID CTR				<5t	3		<5t	•
		LAMAR ACADEMY MCALLEN H S	412 463	427 448	839 911	<15m 160	125 313	51.1	<5h <15m	•
		MCALLEN MEMORIAL H	504	499	1,003	213	381	55.9	54	25.4
		ROWE H S	495	467	963	<140m	356		29	
	MERCEDES ISD		474	482	956	19	240	7.9	<5h	
	WILLIOLDES TOD	MERCEDES ALTER ACA	4/4	402	930	<5t	32	7.9	<5t	

 $<sup>^{\</sup>prime}\text{h}^{\prime}$  indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;m' indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 1 SAT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

County name	District name	Campus name	 Math.	Mean score Verbal	 Total	Tested Num.		duates ested (%)		inees above erion Pont.
				verbai						
HIDALGO	MERCEDES ISD	MERCEDES H S	474	482	956	<20m	208		<5h	•
	MID-VALLEY ACA	MID-VALLEY ACADEMY		•		<5t	24		<5t	
	MISSION CONS I		466	446	912	206	549	37.5	32	15.5
		COMMUNITY A E P (M MISSION H S	466	446	912	<5t <210m	14 535	:	<5t <35m	
	ONE STOP MULTI					<5t	90		<5t	
		ONE STOP MULTISERV				<5t	37		<5t	
		ONE STOP MULTISERV	•	•	•	<5t	10		<5t	
		ONE STOP MULTISERV	•	•	•	<5t	43	•	<5t	•
	PHARR-SAN JUAN		449	430	879	64	984	6.5	6	9.4
		BUELL CENTRAL H S				<5t	35		<5t	•
		PSJA H S PSJA MEMORIAL H S	473 424	479 400	952 825	29 <30m	294 286	9.9	<5h <5h	
		PSJA NORTH H S	441	360	801	<15m	332	:	<5h	:
		TEENAGE PARENT PRO				<5t	37		<5t	
	PROGRESO ISD		482	473	955	11	87	12.6	<5h	
		MULTI ALTER		-		<5t	3	•	<5t	•
		PROGRESO H S	482	473	955	<15m	84	•	<5h	•
	SENTRY TECHNOL	SENTRY TECHNOLOGY	•			<5t	67	•	<5t	
	SHARYLAND ISD		480	475	954	109	244	44.7	<20m	
		SHARYLAND ALTERNA			954	<5t	2		<5t	
		SHARYLAND H S	480	475	934	<110m	242	•	<20m	•
	TECHNOLOGY EDU	TECHNOLOGY EDUCATI	•	•	•	<5t	13	•	<5t	•
	VALLEY VIEW IS	VALLEY VIEW HIGH S		•		<5t	87	•	<5t	•
	WESLACO ISD		501	482	983	69	591	11.7	<25m	
		SOUTH PALM GARDENS				<5t	68		<5t	
		WESLACO H S	501	482	983	<70m	523		<25m	•
HILL	ABBOTT ISD	ABBOTT SCHOOL	527	483	1,010	13	20	65.0	<5h	•
	AQUILLA ISD	AQUILLA SCHOOL		•		<5t	8	•	<5t	
	BLUM ISD	BLUM H S	468	449	916	8	17	47.1	<5h	
	BYNUM ISD	BYNUM SCHOOL				<5t	16		<5t	
	COVINGTON ISD	COVINGTON SCHOOL	469	416	884	7	20	35.0	<5h	
	HILLSBORO ISD	HILLSBORO H S	485	469	954	19	109	17.4	<5h	
	HUBBARD ISD	HUBBARD H S				<5t	23	•	<5t	
	ITASCA ISD	ITASCA H S	516	476	992	5	30	16.7	<5h	
	PENELOPE ISD	PENELOPE SCHOOL	483	486	969	7	15	46.7	<5h	
	WHITNEY ISD	WHITNEY H S	520	540	1,061	23	82	28.0	8	34.8

------

*Note*: 't' indicates masking is applied due to small number of students tested.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;h' indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;m' indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 1 SAT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

Oswatu sassa	District some	0		Mean score				duates	at or crite	erion
	District name	Campus name	Math. 	Verbal	Total	Num.	Nulli. 16	ested (%) 	Num.	Pcnt.
HOCKLEY	ANTON ISD	ANTON H S				<5t <5t	17 15 2		<5t <5t	
		ANTON P E P	•	•	•	<5t	2	•	<5t	•
	LEVELLAND ISD	LEVELLAND H S	507	512	1,019	37	173	21.4	7	18.9
	ROPES ISD	CHOICES ALTER H S	•			<5t <5t	17 1		<5t <5t	•
		ROPES SCHOOL				<5t	16	· ·	<5t	
	SMYER ISD		467	462	928	6	25	24.0	<5h	
		CHOICES ALTERNATIV SMYER H S	467	462	928	<5t <10m	2 23		<5t <5h	
	SUNDOWN ISD		514	476	989	<25m	35		6	
	001.001 100	PEP ALTER SCHOOL				<5t	1		<5t	
		SUNDOWN H S	514	476	989	<25m	34	•	<10m	•
	WHITHARRAL ISD	WHITHARRAL SCHOOL	•	•	•	<5t	14	•	<5t	•
HOOD	GRANBURY ISD	GRANBURY H S	500 500	488 488	988 988	<175m <175m	312 297		39 <40m	•
		S T A R S ACADEMY		•		<5t	15		<5t	
	LIPAN ISD	LIPAN H S	453	434	887	12	19	63.2	<5h	
	TOLAR ISD	TOLAR H S	562	528	1,090	5	31	16.1	<5h	
HOPKINS	COMO-PICKTON C			•		<5t	51		<5t	
		COMO-PICKTON SCHOO HOLY HIGHWAY PICKT				<5t <5t	47 4		<5t <5t	
	CUMBY ISD	CUMBY H S				<5t	15		<5t	
	MILLER GROVE I	MILLER GROVE SCHOO				<5t	18	•	<5t	•
	NORTH HOPKINS	NORTH HOPKINS H S				<5t	16		<5t	•
	SALTILLO ISD	SALTILLO SCHOOL				<5t	15		<5t	•
	SULPHUR BLUFF	SULPHUR BLUFF SCHO				<5t	21		<5t	
	SULPHUR SPRING	SULPHUR SPRINGS H	498	503	1,001	108	228	47.4	30	27.8
HOUSTON	CROCKETT ISD	CROCKETT H S	454	446	899	45	94	47.9	8	17.8
	GRAPELAND ISD	GRAPELAND H S	518	471	989	16	38	42.1	<5h	
	KENNARD ISD	KENNARD H S				<5t	20		<5t	
	LATEXO ISD	LATEXO H S	459	505	964	11	22	50.0	<5h	
	LOVELADY ISD	LOVELADY H S	449	472	921	12	34	35.3	<5h	
HOWARD	BIG SPRING ISD	BIG SPRING H S	538	535	1,073	21	207	10.1	9	42.9

Note: 't' indicates masking is applied due to small number of students tested.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>prime}\text{h}^{\prime}$  indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;m' indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 1 SAT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

County name	District name	Campus name	 Math.	Mean score Verbal	 Total	Tested Num.		uates sted (%)	at or	inees above erion Pcnt.
HOWARD	COAHOMA ISD	COAHOMA H S	490	458	948	10	61	16.4	<5h	
	FORSAN ISD	FORSAN H S		•		<5t	37	•	<5t	
HUDSPETH	DELL CITY ISD	DELL CITY SCHOOL				<5t	12	•	<5t	
	FT HANCOCK ISD	FORT HANCOCK SCHOO				<5t	37		<5t	
	SIERRA BLANCA	SIERRA BLANCA SCHO				<5t	6		<5t	
HUNT	BLAND ISD	BLAND H S	517	484	1,001	10	27	37.0	<5h	
	BOLES ISD	BOLES ISD HIGH SCH	450	525	975	11	16	68.8	<5h	
	CADDO MILLS IS	CADDO MILLS H S	517	494	1,010	21	51	41.2	6	28.6
	CAMPBELL ISD	CAMPBELL H S				<5t	14	·	<5t	•
	CELESTE ISD	CELESTE HIGH SCHOO	466	434	900	7	32	21.9	<5h	
	COMMERCE ISD	COMMERCE H S	511	520	1,031	44	96	45.8	13	29.5
	GREENVILLE ISD	GREENVILLE H S NEW HORIZONS LEARN	485 485	490 490	975 975	112 <115m <5t	255 238 17	43.9	<35m <35m <5t	
	LONE OAK ISD	LONE OAK H S	486	513	999	13	31	41.9	<5h	
	QUINLAN ISD	WH FORD HIGH SCHOO	497	455	952	24	131	18.3	<5h	
	WOLFE CITY ISD	WOLFE CITY H S				<5t	30		<5t	
HUTCHINSON	BORGER ISD	BORGER H S C H A M P S	563 563	563 563	1,125 1,125	22 <25m <5t	180 176 4	12.2	13 <15m <5t	59.1
	PLEMONS-STINNE	WEST TEXAS H S	581	550	1,131	7	47	14.9	<5h	
	SANFORD ISD	C H A M P S SANFORD-FRITCH H S	:	· ·		<5t <5t <5t	67 3 64		<5t <5t <5t	
IRION	IRION CO ISD	IRION H S	573	508	1,081	9	24	37.5	<5h	
JACK	BRYSON ISD	BRYSON SCHOOL	508	472	980	5	13	38.5	<5h	
	JACKSBORO ISD	AL TED. 0011	457	458	915	39	62	62.9	<10m	
		ALTER SCH JACKSBORO H S	457	458	915	<5t <40m	2 60	:	<5t <10m	:
	PERRIN-WHITT C	PERRIN H S	481	475	956	20	30	66.7	<5h	
JACKSON	EDNA ISD		507	498	1,005	21	94	22.3	6	28.6
		EDNA ALTER EDNA H S	507	498	1,005	<5t <25m	1 93		<5t <10m	
	GANADO ISD	GANADO H S	488	463	951	22	42	52.4	5	22.7

Note: 't' indicates masking is applied due to small number of students tested.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;h' indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;m' indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 1 SAT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

County name	District name	Campus name	 Math.	Mean score Verbal	 Total	Tested Num.		aduates Tested (%)	crite	above
JACKSON	INDUSTRIAL ISD	INDUSTRIAL H S	495	495	991	46	80	57.5	11	23.9
JASPER	BROOKELAND ISD	BROOKELAND H S				<5t	10		<5t	
	BUNA ISD	BUNA H S	455	473	928	39	73	53.4	8	20.5
	EVADALE ISD	EVADALE H S	421	449	870	18	24	75.0	<5h	
	JASPER ISD	JASPER H S	486	490	976	64	171	37.4	14	21.9
	KIRBYVILLE CIS	KIRBYVILLE HIGH SC	486	508	994	10	106	9.4	<5h	
JEFF DAVIS	FT DAVIS ISD	FT DAVIS H S	482	450	932	5	16	31.3	<5h	
	VALENTINE ISD	VALENTINE SCHOOL				<5t	3	•	<5t	
JEFFERSON	BEAUMONT ISD		437	441	878		1,009	63.6	94	14.6
		CENTRAL SENIOR H S OZEN H S	391 386	381 387	772 773	<135m <185m	250 253		<5h <10m	
		PAUL A BROWN ALTER		•		<5t	46		<5t	:
		WEST BROOK SR H S	485	496	981	325	460	70.7	85	26.2
	EAGLE PROJECT	EAGLE PROJECT (BEA				<5t	37		<5t	
	HAMSHIRE-FANNE	HAMSHIRE-FANNETT H	485	468	953	83	112	74.1	16	19.3
	NEDERLAND ISD	NEDERLAND H S	504	489	992	197	278	70.9	51	25.9
	PORT ARTHUR IS		411	400	811	202	503	40.2	14	6.9
		AUSTIN H S	400	405	805	<35m	66		<5h	
		JEFFERSON H S	425	406	831	<85m	242		7	
		LINCOLN H S	403	392	795	89	195	45.6	<10m	•
	PORT NECHES-GR	PORT NECHES-GROVES	499	487	986	213	343	62.1	45	21.1
	RICHARD MILBUR	RICHARD MILBURN AC				<5t	31		<5t	
	SABINE PASS IS	SABINE PASS SCHOOL	392	406	798	9	13	69.2	<5h	•
	TEKOA ACADEMY	TEKOA ACADEMY MARS			•	<5t	1		<5t	
	TEXAS ACADEMY	TEXAS ACADEMY OF L	585	643	1,227	15	0		12	80.0
JIM HOGG	JIM HOGG COUNT	HEBBRONVILLE H S	452	444	896	15	83	18.1	<5h	
JIM WELLS	ALICE ISD	ALICE H S	498	461	959	34	245	13.9	7	20.6
	BEN BOLT-PALIT	BEN BOLT-PAL BLANC	382	364	747	9	32	28.1	<5h	•
	ORANGE GROVE I	ORANGE GROVE H S	512	527	1,039	9	82	11.0	<5h	·
	PREMONT ISD	PREMONT H S	456	424	879	16	56	28.6	<5h	
JOHNSON	ALVARADO ISD	ALVARADO H S	448	456	904	135	188	71.8	16	11.9
	BURLESON ISD		488	492	981	198	387	51.2	52	26.3

<sup>&#</sup>x27;h' indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;m' indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 1 SAT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

County name	District name	Campus name	 Math.	Mean score Verbal	 Total	Tested Num.		uates sted (%)	Exams at or crite Num.	above
JOHNSON	BURLESON ISD	BURLESON H S CROSSROADS LEARNIN	488	492	981	<200m <5t	359 28		<55m <5t	
	CLEBURNE ISD	CLEBURNE H S TEAM SCH	507 507	492 492	999 999	<115m <115m <5t	284 257 27		33 <35m <5t	
	GODLEY ISD	GODLEY H S	464	458	922	25	61	41.0	<5h	
	GRANDVIEW ISD	GRANDVIEW H S	497	481	977	32	61	52.5	9	28.1
	JOSHUA ISD	ACCELERATED LRN CT	486	488	974	93 <5t	231 17	40.3	21 <5t	22.6
		JOSHUA H S	486	488	974	<95m	214		<25m	
	KEENE ISD	ALTER LEARNING CTR	484	491	975	16 <5t	35 1	45.7	<5h <5t	
		KEENE H S	484	491	975	<20m	34	•	<5h	•
	RIO VISTA ISD	RIO VISTA H S	439	434	873	27	41	65.9	<5h	
	VENUS ISD	LEARNING CENTER	488	461	949	17 <5t	93 4	18.3	6 <5t	35.3
JONES	ANSON ISD	VENUS H S  ANSON H S	488 487	461 485	949 972	<20m 17	89 47	36.2	<10m <5h	•
JONES	HAMLIN ISD	HAMLIN H S				<5t	44		<5t	
	HAWLEY ISD	HAWLEY H S				<5t	49		<5t	
	LUEDERS-AVOCA	LUEDERS-AVOCA H S				<5t	11		<5t	
	STAMFORD ISD		517	483	1,000	<15m	59		<5h	
		STAMFORD JR-SR H S WETHERBEE	517	483	1,000	<15m <5t	56 3		<5h <5t	
KARNES	FALLS CITY ISD	FALLS CITY H S	495	461	955	13	22	59.1	<5h	
	KARNES CITY IS	KARNES CITY H S	520	509	1,029	22	59	37.3	5	22.7
	KENEDY ISD	KARNES COUNTY ACAD	443	443	886	<20m <5t	75 10		<5h <5t	
		KENEDY HIGH SCHOO	443	443	886	<20m	65		<5h	•
	RUNGE ISD	RUNGE H S	509	451	960	8	18	44.4	<5h	•
KAUFMAN	CRANDALL ISD	CRANDALL ALTER CTR	490	473	963	49 <5t	107 7	45.8	8 <5t	16.3
	FORMEN, TOP	CRANDALL H S	490	473	963	<50m	100		<10m	
	FORNEY ISD	FORNEY H S	484	480	964	88	153	57.5	17	19.3
	KAUFMAN ISD KEMP ISD	KAUFMAN H S KEMP HIGH SCHOOL	497 455	475 465	973 921	68 11	141 76	48.2 14.5	13 <5h	19.1
	INCIVII 100	NEWI TITUTI GOTTOOL	400	400	321	11	70	14.5	\JII	•

------

*Note*: 't' indicates masking is applied due to small number of students tested.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;h' indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;m' indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 1 SAT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

County name	District name	Campus name	 Math.	Mean score Verbal	Total	Tested Num.		uates sted (%)	Exami at or crite Num.	above
KAUFMAN	MABANK ISD	MABANK H S	469	485	955	52	145	35.9	7	13.5
	SCURRY-ROSSER	SCURRY-ROSSER H S	425	471	896	13	43	30.2	<5h	
	TERRELL ISD	TERRELL H S	481	478	958	58	180	32.2	11	19.0
KENDALL	BOERNE ISD	BOERNE H S	520	504	1,024	270	305	88.5	81	30.0
	COMFORT ISD		498	500	998	29	54	53.7	8	27.6
		COMFORT H S	498	500	998	<30m	53		<10m	
		HILL COUNTRY HIGH	•	•	•	<5t	1	•	<5t	•
KENT	JAYTON-GIRARD	JAYTON SCHOOLS	•			<5t	10	•	<5t	•
KERR	CENTER POINT I	CENTER POINT H S	458	511	969	19	26	73.1	<5h	
	HUNT ISD	HUNT EL	•	•		<5t	2	•	<5t	•
	INGRAM ISD	INGRAM-TOM MOORE H	483	468	951	44	83	53.0	7	15.9
	KERRVILLE ISD		509	506	1,015	178	288	61.8	57	32.0
		HILL COUNTRY HIGH				<5t	17		<5t	•
		TIVY H S	510	506	1,016	<180m	271	•	<60m	•
KIMBLE	JUNCTION ISD	JUNCTION H S	469	462	931	31	47	66.0	<5h	
KING	GUTHRIE CSD	GUTHRIE SCHOOL	•	•		<5t	5	•	<5t	
KINNEY	BRACKETT ISD		474	463	936	14	37	37.8	<5h	
		BRACKETT ALTER				<5t	6	•	<5t	•
		BRACKETT H S	474	463	936	<15m	31	•	<5h	•
KLEBERG	KINGSVILLE ISD		478	481	960	<70m	333		13	
		H M KING HIGH SCHO	478	481	960	<70m	282		<15m	•
		HOMEBOUND K E Y S ACADEMY	•	•	•	<5t <5t	3 29	•	<5t <5t	•
		NIGHT SCHOOL			:	<5t	19	:	<5t	:
	RIVIERA ISD	KAUFER H S	478	432	910	30	40	75.0	<5h	
	SANTA GERTRUDI	ACADEMY H S	493	564	1,057	7	27	25.9	<5h	
KNOX	BENJAMIN ISD	BENJAMIN SCHOOL	·			<5t	6		<5t	
	GOREE ISD	GOREE SCHOOL				<5t	3		<5t	
	KNOX CITY-0'BR	KNOX CITY H S	501	473	974	8	20	40.0	<5h	
	MUNDAY ISD	MUNDAY H S				<5t	22		<5t	
LA SALLE	COTULLA ISD					<5t	71		<5t	
_, , _,,	33.022.( 100	COTULLA ALTERNATIV				<5t	8		<5t	
		COTULLA H S				<5t	63		<5t	
LAMAR	CHISUM ISD	CHISUM H S				<5t	41		<5t	
	NORTH LAMAR IS	NORTH LAMAR H S	516	511	1,027	70	185	37.8	18	25.7

Note: 't' indicates masking is applied due to small number of students tested.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>prime}\text{h}^{\prime}$  indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;m' indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 1 SAT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

County name	District name	Compus name		Mean score				duates	at or crite	inees above erion
county name	District name	Campus name	Math.	Verbal	Total	Num.	Num. 16	ested (%) 	Num.	Pont.
LAMAR	PARIS ISD	PARIS ALTERNATIVE	510	517	1,027	57 <5t	146 12	39.0	18 <5t	31.6
		PARIS H S	510	517	1,027	<60m	134		<20m	•
	PRAIRILAND ISD	PRAIRILAND H S	535	468	1,003	8	58	13.8	<5h	
	ROXTON ISD	ROXTON H S	•	•		<5t	13		<5t	•
LAMB	AMHERST ISD	AMHERST SCHOOL				<5t	13		<5t	
	LITTLEFIELD IS	LITTLEFIELD H S	464	429	893	7	68	10.3	<5h	
	OLTON ISD		483	489	971	7	45	15.6	<5h	•
		OLTON H S OPTIONS ALTER SCH	483	489	971	<10m <5t	39 6		<5h <5t	
	SPADE ISD	SPADE SCHOOL				<5t	8		<5t	
	SPRINGLAKE-EAR	SPRINGLAKE-EARTH H				<5t	23	·	<5t	
	SUDAN ISD		_			<5t	39		<5t	
		P E P ALTER				<5t	2		<5t	
		SUDAN H S	•	·	•	<5t	37	•	<5t	•
LAMPASAS	CEDAR RIDGE CH	CEDAR RIDGE CHARTE				<5t	2		<5t	
	LAMPASAS ISD	CHALLENCED II C	488	473	961	87	190	45.8	14	16.1
		CHALLENGER H S LAMPASAS H S	488	473	961	<5t <90m	6 184	:	<5t <15m	•
	LOMETA ISD	LOMETA SCHOOL	450	396	846	10	13	76.9	<5h	
LAVACA	HALLETTSVILLE	HALLETTSVILLE H S	522	485	1,007	37	83	44.6	11	29.7
	MOULTON ISD	MOULTON H S	474	460	934	17	21	81.0	<5h	
	SHINER ISD	SHINER H S	537	505	1,042	24	37	64.9	10	41.7
LEE	DIME BOX ISD	DIME BOX SCHOOL	469	459	928	8	0		<5h	
	GIDDINGS ISD		520	503	1,023	<50m	104		14	
		GIDDINGS H S KNOX LEARNING CTR	520	503	1,023	<50m <5t	96 8	•	<15m <5t	•
		KNOX LEARNING CIR	•	•	•	\51	0	•	\3t	•
	LEXINGTON ISD	LEXINGTON H S	452	449	901	35	55	63.6	<5h	•
LEON	BUFFALO ISD	BUFFALO H S	450	434	883	33	50	66.0	<5h	•
	CENTERVILLE IS	CENTERVILLE JR-SR	411	413	824	22	43	51.2	<5h	
	LEON ISD	LEON H S	463	469	933	18	39	46.2	<5h	
	NORMANGEE ISD	NORMANGEE H S	552	524	1,077	9	32	28.1	<5h	
	OAKWOOD ISD	OAKWOOD H S				<5t	8	•	<5t	•

*Note*: 't' indicates masking is applied due to small number of students tested.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;h' indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;m' indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 1 SAT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

County name	District name	Camous name	 Math.	Mean score Verbal	 Total	Tested Num.		aduates Tested (%)	at or crite	
LIBERTY	CLEVELAND ISD	CLEVELAND H S	436	446	882	61	148	41.2	9	14.8
	DAYTON ISD		478	467	945	159	212	75.0	28	17.6
		DAYTON H S	478	467	945	<160m	211	•	<30m	•
		GULF COAST H S	•	•		<5t	1	•	<5t	•
	HARDIN ISD		441	451	893	28	64	43.8	<5h	
		GULF COAST H S				<5t	1	•	<5t	•
		HARDIN H S	441	451	893	<30m	63	•	<5h	•
	HULL-DAISETTA	HULL-DAISETTA H S	473	444	916	11	42	26.2	<5h	
	LIBERTY ISD	LIBERTY H S	464	460	924	81	141	57.4	16	19.8
	TARKINGTON ISD	TARKINGTON H S	469	471	940	47	108	43.5	9	19.1
LIMESTONE	COOLIDGE ISD	COOLIDGE H S	•			<5t	8		<5t	
	GROESBECK ISD		492	489	980	34	93	36.6	7	20.6
		ALTER LEARNING CTR				<5t	5	•	<5t	
		GROESBECK H S	492	489	980	<35m	88	•	<10m	
	MEXIA ISD		473	455	928	<15m	97		<5h	
		MEXIA H S	473	455	928	<15m	92	•	<5h	•
		MEXIA J H MEXIA SCHOOL OF CH	•	•	•	<5t <5t	1 4	•	<5t <5t	•
		MEXIX CONSCE OF ON	•	•	•	-01	7	•	-50	•
LIPSCOMB	BOOKER ISD	BOOKER JH/H S	•		•	<5t	26		<5t	•
	FOLLETT ISD	FOLLETT SCHOOL	•			<5t	16	•	<5t	•
	HIGGINS ISD	HIGGINS SCHOOL		•		<5t	9	•	<5t	
LIVE OAK		GEORGE WEST H S	538		1,054	15	66	22.7	5	33.3
		THREE RIVERS H S	508	476	984	10	54	18.5	<5h	•
LLANO	LLANO ISD	LLANO H S	495	513	1,007		80	52.5	14	33.3
LUBBOCK	EAGLE PROJECT	EAGLE PROJECT (LUB	•		•		15		<5t	•
	FRENSHIP ISD	FRENSHIP H S	519 519	504 504	1,024 1,024		301 276	45.8	43 <45m	31.2
		REESE EDUCATIONAL			1,024	<5t	25		<5t	
	IDALOU ISD	IDALOU H S	488	525	1,013	6	43	14.0	<5h	
	LUBBOCK ISD		517	512	1,028	723	1,560	46.3	260	36.0
		CORONADO H S	511	507	1,018	265	444	59.7	93	35.1
		ESTACADO H S	398	380	778	<50m	136	•	<5h	
		HOMEBOUND LUBBOCK H S	558	562	1,119	<5t 230	4 482	47.7	<5t 123	53.5
		MATTHEWS LRN CTR/N			1,119	230 <5t	68	47.7	<5t	
		MONTEREY H S	505	490	996	<180m	426		<45m	
	LUBBOCK-COOPER	LUBBOCK-COOPER HIG	535	528	1,063	19	89	21.3	8	42.1

Note: 't' indicates masking is applied due to small number of students tested.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>prime}\text{h}^{\prime}$  indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>prime}\text{m}^{\prime}$  indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 1 SAT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

County name	District name	Campus name	 Math.	Mean score Verbal	 Total	Tested Num.		uates sted (%)	Exami at or crite Num.	above
LUBBOCK	NEW DEAL ISD	NEW DEAL H S				<5t	47		<5t	
	RICHARD MILBUR	RICHARD MILBURN AC				<5t	31	•	<5t	
	ROOSEVELT ISD	ROOSEVELT H S	518	521	1,038	18	61	29.5	7	38.9
	SHALLOWATER IS	SHALLOWATER H S	513	504	1,017	34	68	50.0	9	26.5
	SLATON ISD	SLATON H S	492	489	981	17	63	27.0	<5h	
	SOUTH PLAINS A	SOUTH PLAINS ACADE				<5t	62		<5t	
	TEXAS TECH UNI	TEXAS TECH H S	526	550	1,076	44	0		17	38.6
LYNN	NEW HOME ISD	NEW HOME SCHOOL				<5t	26		<5t	
	O'DONNELL ISD	O'DONNELL HIGH SCH				<5t	26		<5t	
	TAHOKA ISD	TAHOKA H S	468	462	930	9	45	20.0	<5h	
	WILSON ISD					<5t	23		<5t	
		CHOICES ALTER WILSON SCHOOL				<5t <5t	1 22		<5t <5t	
MADISON	MADISONVILLE C	MADISONVILLE HIGH	438	438	876	53	102	52.0	8	15.1
	NORTH ZULCH IS	NORTH ZULCH H S	520	468	988	6	9	66.7	<5h	
MARION	JEFFERSON ISD	JEFFERSON H S	474	433	906	11	60	18.3	<5h	
MARTIN	GRADY ISD	GRADY SCHOOL				<5t	18	•	<5t	
	STANTON ISD	STANTON H S	446	447	892	25	47	53.2	<5h	
MASON	MASON ISD	MASON H S	506	474	981	17	45	37.8	<5h	
MATAGORDA	BAY CITY ISD	BAY CITY H S	491	467	958	121	236	51.3	25	20.7
	PALACIOS ISD	PALACIOS H S	466	451	917	65	103	63.1	10	15.4
	TIDEHAVEN ISD	TIDEHAVEN H S	448	426	875	13	40	32.5	<5h	
	VAN VLECK ISD	VAN VLECK H S	453	420	873	22	62	35.5	<5h	
MAVERICK	EAGLE PASS ISD	EPHS-CCWINN	442	412	855	299 <5t	547 532	54.7	14 <5t	4.7
		EAGLE PASS H S FRANK CHISUM REGI	442	412	855	<300m <5t	2 13		<15m <5t	
MCCULLOCH	BRADY ISD	BRADY H S	513	511	1,024	7	53	13.2	<5h	•
WOODELOOM	LOHN ISD				•		6			•
		LOHN SCHOOL	•	•	•	<5t		•	<5t	•
MOLENNAN	ROCHELLE ISD	ROCHELLE SCHOOL	400			<5t	15		<5t	•
MCLENNAN	AXTELL ISD	AXTELL H S	488	490	978	10	33	30.3	<5h	•

 $<sup>{\</sup>it Note}$ : 't' indicates masking is applied due to small number of students tested.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;h' indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;m' indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 1 SAT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

Oswatu nama	District some	0		Mean score				luates	Exami at or crite	above erion
	District name	Campus name	Math.	Verbal	Total	Num.	Nulli. Te	ested (%)	Num.	Pcnt.
MCLENNAN	BOSQUEVILLE IS	BOSQUEVILLE SCHOOL	484	476	960	8	18	44.4	<5h	•
	BRUCEVILLE-EDD		536	521	1,057	7	54	13.0	<5h	
		B-E ACHIEVEMENT CT				<5t	1	•	<5t	
		BRUCEVILLE-EDDY H	536	521	1,057	<10m	53	•	<5h	•
	CHINA SPRING I	CHINA SPRING H S	540	512	1,052	43	106	40.6	13	30.2
	CONNALLY ISD		474	445	919	<60m	127		9	
		CONNALLY H S	474	445	919	<60m	124		<10m	
		LAKEVIEW ACADEMY	•	·	•	<5t	3	•	<5t	•
	CRAWFORD ISD		523	521	1,044	28	45	62.2	9	32.1
		CRAWFORD H S	523	521	1,044	<30m	44		<10m	
		OPPORTUNITY LEARNI	•	•	•	<5t	1	•	<5t	•
	EAGLE PROJECT	EAGLE PROJECT (WAC		•		<5t	4		<5t	
	LA VEGA ISD		472	469	941	29	108	26.9	6	20.7
	27. 7207. 102	LA VEGA H S	472	469	941	<30m	103		<10m	
		SUCCESS PROGRAM				<5t	5		<5t	
	LORENA ISD	LORENA H S	530	515	1,045	55	84	65.5	23	41.8
	MART ISD	MART H S	534	548	1,082	5	42	11.9	<5h	
	MCGREGOR ISD	MCGREGOR H S	459	484	943	18	54	33.3	<5h	
	MIDWAY ISD	MIDWAY H S	539	521	1,060	184	358	51.4	70	38.0
	MOODY ISD	MOODY H S	472	462	934	5	27	18.5	<5h	•
	RIESEL ISD	RIESEL SCHOOL	496	491	988	17	33	51.5	5	29.4
	ROBINSON ISD		508	488	995	57	133	42.9	13	22.8
		OPPORTUNITY LEARNI				<5t	1		<5t	
		ROBINSON H S	508	488	995	<60m	132	•	<15m	•
	WACO ISD		472	454	925	144	495	29.1	24	16.7
		A J MOORE ACAD	478	494	972	<15m	76	•	<5h	•
		STARS HIGH SCHOOL				<5t	1		<5t	
		UNIVERSITY H S	426	420	846	<30m	161		<5h	
		WACO H S	484	459	942	103	257	40.1	22	21.4
	WEST ISD		477	461	938	61	122	50.0	14	23.0
		BROOKHAVEN YOUTH R	•	•		<5t	1	•	<5t	
		RBEC OPPORTUNITY L				<5t	6	•	<5t	•
		WEST H S	477	461	938	<65m	115	•	<15m	•
MCMULLEN	MCMULLEN COUNT	MCMULLEN COUNTY S	•	•	•	<5t	13	•	<5t	٠
MEDINA	D'HANIS ISD	D'HANIS SCHOOL	539	476	1,015	11	16	68.8	<5h	
	DEVINE ISD	DEVINE HIGH SCHOOL	450	461	911	55	117	47.0	6	10.9
	HONDO ISD		513	507	1,020	6	111	5.4	<5h	
		DETENTION CTR	•	•		<5t	2	•	<5t	

<sup>&#</sup>x27;h' indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;m' indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 1 SAT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

Oswatu zawa	District some	0		Mean score	_	Tested		aduates	at or crit	inees above erion
	District name	Campus name	Math. 	Verbal	Total	Num.	Num.	Tested (%) 	Num.	Pcnt.
MEDINA	HONDO ISD	HONDO H S	513	507	1,020	<10m	109		<5h	-
	MEDINA VALLEY		475	470	946	<90m	171		9	
		ALTER LEARNING CTR MEDINA VALLEY H S	475	470	946	<5t <90m	2 169		<5t <10m	
	NATALIA ISD	NATALIA H S	409	412	820	28	57	49.1	<5h	
MENARD	MENARD ISD	MENARD H S	560	520	1,080	10	35	28.6	<5h	
MIDLAND	EAGLE PROJECT					<5t	42		<5t	
		EAGLE CHARTER SCHO EAGLE PROJECT (MID				<5t <5t	24 18		<5t <5t	
	GREENWOOD ISD	GREENWOOD H S	462	458	921	106	121	87.6	19	17.9
	MIDLAND ISD		528	512	1,039	526	1,129	46.6	203	38.6
		LEE H S	529	518	1,046	275	558	49.3	<115m	•
		MIDLAND EXCEL CAMP MIDLAND H S	526	505	1,032	<5t <255m	52 495		<5t <95m	
		VIOLA M COLEMAN H				<5t	24		<5t	
	RICHARD MILBUR	RICHARD MILBURN AC	•		-	<5t	11		<5t	-
MILAM	BUCKHOLTS ISD	BUCKHOLTS SCHOOL	•			<5t	14	•	<5t	
	CAMERON ISD	YOE H S	479	471	950	53	107	49.5	9	17.0
	MILANO ISD	MILANO H S		•		<5t	27		<5t	
	ROCKDALE ISD	ROCKDALE H S	470	441	911	55	81	67.9	7	12.7
	THORNDALE ISD	THORNDALE H S	455	457	911	22	31	71.0	<5h	
MILLS	GOLDTHWAITE IS	GOLDTHWAITE HIGH S	536	493	1,029	21	34	61.8	6	28.6
	MULLIN ISD	MULLIN SCHOOL				<5t	5		<5t	
	PRIDDY ISD	PRIDDY SCHOOL		•		<5t	8	•	<5t	
	STAR ISD	STAR SCHOOL		•		<5t	8		<5t	
MITCHELL	COLORADO ISD	COLORADO HIGH SCHO				<5t	58		<5t	
	LORAINE ISD	LORAINE SCHOOL				<5t	15		<5t	
	WESTBROOK ISD	WESTBROOK SCHOOL				<5t	11		<5t	
MONTAGUE	BOWIE ISD	BOWIE H S	481	482	964	30	78	38.5	<5h	
	FORESTBURG ISD	FORESTBURG SCHOOL				<5t	7		<5t	
	GOLD BURG ISD	GOLD BURG H S				<5t	11		<5t	
	NOCONA ISD	NOCONA H S	483	484	967	7	46	15.2	<5h	
	PRAIRIE VALLEY	PRAIRIE VALLEY H S	466	510	976	5	9	55.6	<5h	

 $<sup>{\</sup>it Note}$ : 't' indicates masking is applied due to small number of students tested.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;h' indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;m' indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 1 SAT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

				Mean score				aduates	crite	above erion
County name	District name	Campus name	Math. 		Total	Num.		Tested (%)	Num.	Pont.
MONTAGUE	SAINT JO ISD	SAINT JO H S				<5t	23		<5t	
MONTGOMERY	CONROE ISD		538	516	1,054		1,941	67.6	502	38.3
		CANEY CREEK H S CONROE H S	496 482	455 467	951 948	<75m <220m	253 357		<15m <45m	•
		OAK RIDGE H S	538	526	1,064	238	330	72.1	89	37.4
		THE WOODLANDS H S	557	532	1,089	784	959	81.8	358	45.7
		W L HAUKE ALTER ED	•	•	•	<5t	42		<5t	•
	MAGNOLIA ISD		480	477	957	192	392	49.0	39	20.3
		ALPHA MAGNOLIA H S	480	477	957	<5t <195m	51 341		<5t <40m	
	MONTOOMEDY TOD		505					70.0		
	MONIGOMERY 15D	MONTGOMERY H S	505	483	988	140	179	78.2	36	25.7
	NEW CANEY ISD	NEW CANEY H S	470	486	956	87	247	35.2	15	17.2
	SPLENDORA ISD	SPLENDORA H S	475	454	929	46	113	40.7	5	10.9
	WILLIS ISD	WILLIS H S	498	491	989	102	212	48.1	28	27.5
MOORE	DUMAS ISD		444	438	882	11	204	5.4	<5h	
		C H A M P S DUMAS H S	444	438	882	<5t <15m	9 195		<5t <5h	
	SUNRAY ISD	SUNRAY H S				<5t	42		<5t	
			•	•						
MORRIS	DAINGERFIELD-L	DAINGERFIELD H S	503	474	977	35	79	44.3	11	31.4
	PEWITT ISD	PEWITT H S		•		<5t	36		<5t	•
MOTLEY	MOTLEY COUNTY	MOTLEY COUNTY SCHO				<5t	18		<5t	
NACOGDOCHES	CENTRAL HEIGHT	CENTRAL HEIGHTS H	497	489	986	7	26	26.9	<5h	
	CHIRENO ISD	CHIRENO H S				<5t	16		<5t	
	CUSHING ISD	CUSHING SCHOOL	428	456	884	12	25	48.0	<5h	
	DOUGLASS ISD	DOUGLASS SCHOOL	•			<5t	13		<5t	
	GARRISON ISD	GARRISON H S	·			<5t	43		<5t	
	MARTINSVILLE I	MARTINSVILLE SCHOO				<5t	19	·	<5t	
	NACOGDOCHES IS		523	493	1,016	<185m	355		59	
		ACCELERATED LEARNI NACOGDOCHES H S	523	493	1,016	<5t <185m	2 353		<5t <60m	
	WODEN ISD	WODEN H S	572	577	1,148	6	42	14.3	<5h	-
NAVARRO	BLOOMING GROVE	BLOOMING GROVE H S	512	484	995	13	37	35.1	<5h	
	CORSICANA ISD	CORSICANA H IGH SC	479	477	957	87	225	38.7	19	21.8
	DAWSON ISD	DAWSON H S	483	478	961	9	21	42.9	<5h	
	DINIOUN TOD	MIOON II O	400	4/0	301	3	۷۱	42.3	<b>\</b> 011	•

<sup>&#</sup>x27;h' indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;m' indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 1 SAT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

				Mean score		Tested	Gra	aduates	Exami at or crite	above
County name	District name	Campus name	Math.	Verbal	Total	Num.		Tested (%)	Num.	Pcnt.
NAVARRO	FROST ISD	FROST H S				<5t	22		<5t	
	KERENS ISD	KERENS SCHOOL	414	417	831	16	37	43.2	<5h	
	MILDRED ISD	MILDRED H S				<5t	26		<5t	
	RICE ISD	RICE H S	473	513	985	8	23	34.8	<5h	
NEWTON	BURKEVILLE ISD	BURKEVILLE JR-SR H				<5t	16		<5t	
	DEWEYVILLE ISD	DEWEYVILLE H S	470	443	913	31	40	77.5	5	16.1
	NEWTON ISD	NEWTON H S	438	439	877	15	75	20.0	<5h	
NOLAN	BLACKWELL CONS	BLACKWELL SCHOOL	•	•		<5t	13		<5t	
	HIGHLAND ISD	HIGHLAND SCHOOL	481	465	946	10	13	76.9	<5h	
	ROSCOE ISD					<5t	26		<5t	•
		HOBBS ALTER ED CO- ROSCOE H S				<5t <5t	2 24		<5t <5t	
	SWEETWATER ISD		568	581	1,149	<20m	135		9	
	SWEETWATEN 10D	HOBBS ALTER ED CO-			1,143	<5t	7		<5t	
		SWEETWATER H S	568	581	1,149	<20m	128	•	<10m	•
NUECES	AGUA DULCE ISD	AGUA DULCE H S	471	469	940	9	22	40.9	<5h	
	BANQUETE ISD	BANQUETE H S		·		<5t	48	•	<5t	•
	BISHOP CONS IS	BISHOP H S	481	462	942	53	75	70.7	8	15.1
	CALALLEN ISD	CALALLEN H S	513	495	1,009	196	289	67.8	46	23.5
	COASTAL BEND Y	COASTAL BEND YOUTH				<5t	2		<5t	
	CORPUS CHRISTI	ALTERNATIVE H S CT	480	484	963	976 <5t	1,829 61	53.4	225 <5t	23.1
		CARROLL H S	508	504	1,012	281	457	61.5	76	27.0
		KING H S	506	514	1,020	229	367	62.4	76	33.2
		MARY GRETT SCHOOL MILLER HIGH SCHOOL	420	414	834	<5t <105m	1 251		<5t <10m	•
		MOODY H S	421	416	837	<175m	294	:	<10m	
		RAY H S	493	515	1,007	190	391	48.6	59	31.1
		TEENAGE MOTHERS SC	•	•	•	<5t	7	•	<5t	•
	DR M L GARZA-G	DR M L GARZA-GONZA		•		<5t	62		<5t	-
	FLOUR BLUFF IS	FLOUR BLUFF H S	521	521	1,042	176	285	61.8	62	35.2
	PORT ARANSAS I	PORT ARANSAS H S	546	519	1,065	18	34	52.9	6	33.3
	RICHARD MILBUR	RICHARD MILBURN AC		•		<5t	23	•	<5t	
	ROBSTOWN ISD	ALTER LRN CTR	416	411	827	17 <5t	190 14	8.9	<5h <5t	

 $<sup>{\</sup>it Note}$ : 't' indicates masking is applied due to small number of students tested.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;h' indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;m' indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 1 SAT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

County name	District name	Campus name	 Math.	Mean score Verbal	 Total	Tested Num.		duates ested (%)	Exami at or crite Num.	above
				verbai						
NUECES	ROBSTOWN ISD	ROBSTOWN H S	416	411	827	<20m	176	•	<5h	
	TULOSO-MIDWAY	TULOSO-MIDWAY ACAD TULOSO-MIDWAY H S	474 474	477 477	952 952	59 <5t <60m	211 14 197	28.0	11 <5t <15m	18.6
	WEST OSO ISD	WEST OSO H S	403	397	800	15	45	33.3	<5h	
OCHILTREE	PERRYTON ISD	PERRYTON H S TOP OF TEXAS ACCEL	600 600	614 614	1,214 1,214	<10m <10m <5t	108 99 9		<5h <5h <5t	
OLDHAM	ADRIAN ISD	ADRIAN SCHOOL	•			<5t	4		<5t	
	BOYS RANCH ISD	BOYS RANCH H S				<5t	33		<5t	
	VEGA ISD	VEGA H S				<5t	21		<5t	
ORANGE	BRIDGE CITY IS	BRIDGE CITY H S	484	469	953	120	166	72.3	21	17.5
	LITTLE CYPRESS	LIT CYPR-MRCEVILLE	480	473	953	139	227	61.2	23	16.5
	ORANGEFIELD IS	ORANGEFIELD H S	516	493	1,009	51	90	56.7	11	21.6
	VIDOR ISD		467	475	942	114	255	44.7	14	12.3
		A I M S CTR H S VIDOR H S	467	475	942	<5t <115m	11 244		<5t <15m	
	WEST ORANGE-CO		437	443	881	96	169	56.8	11	11.5
		WEST ORANGE-COVE E WEST ORANGE-STARK	437	443	881	<5t <100m	3 166		<5t <15m	
PALO PINTO	GORDON ISD	GORDON SCHOOL	427	431	858	13	14	92.9	<5h	
	GRAFORD ISD	GRAFORD H S	403	406	809	10	18	55.6	<5h	
	MINERAL WELLS		492	478	969	66	155	42.6	<20m	
		DREAM ACADEMY MINERAL WELL H S	492	478	969	<5t <70m	5 150		<5t <20m	
	SANTO ISD	SANTO HIGH SCHOOL	•			<5t	19		<5t	
	STRAWN ISD	STRAWN SCHOOL				<5t	11		<5t	
PANOLA	BECKVILLE ISD	BECKVILLE JR-SR HI	•			<5t	26		<5t	
	CARTHAGE ISD	CARTHAGE H S	528	523	1,050	34	168	20.2	16	47.1
	GARY ISD	GARY SCHOOL	•			<5t	8		<5t	
	PANOLA CHARTER	PANOLA CS	•			<5t	13		<5t	
PARKER	ALEDO ISD	ALEDO HIGH SCHOOL ALEDO LEARNING CEN	516 516	526 526	1,042 1,042	133 <135m <5t	209 163 46	63.6	44 <45m <5t	33.1
	BROCK ISD	BROCK H S	522	468	990	10	30	33.3	<5h	

<sup>&#</sup>x27;h' indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;m' indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 1 SAT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

County name	District name	Campus name	 Math.	Mean score	 Total	Tested Num.		duates ested (%)	Exam: at or crite Num.	above
PARKER	MILLSAP ISD	MILLSAP H S	505	519	1,024	17	34	50.0	5	29.4
	PEASTER ISD	PEASTER H S	544	558	1,101	17	56	30.4	10	58.8
	POOLVILLE ISD	POOLVILLE H S	475	481	956	8	15	53.3	<5h	
	SPRINGTOWN ISD		480	500	980	62	143	43.4	<20m	
		SPRINGTOWN H S YALE	478	499	978	<65m <5t	138 5		<20m <5t	
		TALL	•	•	•	<b>\3</b> t	3	•	\50	•
	WEATHERFORD IS		529	518	1,047	138	373	37.0	<55m	
		PASS LEARNING CENT WEATHERFORD H S	529	518	1,047	<5t <140m	34 339		<5t <55m	
		WEATHER OND IT O	329	310	1,047	\140III	009	•	<b>\</b> 55111	•
PARMER	BOVINA ISD	BOVINA H S	•		·	<5t	36		<5t	
	FARWELL ISD	FARWELL H S		•		<5t	31		<5t	
	FRIONA ISD	FRIONA H S	•			<5t	52		<5t	
	LAZBUDDIE ISD	LAZBUDDIE SCHOOL	•			<5t	16		<5t	•
PECOS	BUENA VISTA IS	BUENA VISTA SCHOOL	•			<5t	8		<5t	
	FT STOCKTON IS		478	468	946	38	138	27.5	<10m	
		BUTZ EDUCATION CTR				<5t	8		<5t	
		FORT STOCKTON H S	478	468	946	<40m	130	•	<10m	•
	IRAAN-SHEFFIEL	IRAAN H S	493	553	1,046	7	30	23.3	<5h	
POLK	BIG SANDY ISD	BIG SANDY SCHOOL	431	418	849	18	23	78.3	<5h	
	CORRIGAN-CAMDE	CORRIGAN-CAMDEN H	419	409	828	12	49	24.5	<5h	
	GOODRICH ISD	GOODRICH H S				<5t	13		<5t	
	LEGGETT ISD	LEGGETT H S	•			<5t	11		<5t	
	LIVINGSTON ISD	LIVINGSTON H S	483	496	979	104	212	49.1	22	21.2
POTTER	AMARILLO ISD	AMARILLO AREA CTR	512	514	1,026	520 <5t	1,392 1	37.4	169 <5t	32.5
		AMARILLO H S	512	519	1,031	298	468	63.7	93	31.2
		CAPROCK H S	511	492	1,003	<20m	235		6	
		NORTH HEIGHTS ALTE		463	937	<5t	17 283		<5t	•
		PALO DURO H S TASCOSA H S	474 516		1,030	24 178	283 387	8.5 46.0	<10m 64	36.0
		TRAVIS MIDDLE			.,	<5t	1		<5t	
	HIGHLAND PARK	HIGHLAND PARK H S	473	472	946	9	48	18.8	<5h	
	RICHARD MILBUR	RICHARD MILBURN AC				<5t	33	•	<5t	
	RIVER ROAD ISD	RIVER ROAD HIGH SC				<5t	109		<5t	
PRESIDIO	MARFA ISD	MARFA H S	458	438	896	12	29	41.4	<5h	

 $<sup>{\</sup>it Note}$ : 't' indicates masking is applied due to small number of students tested.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;h' indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;m' indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 1 SAT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

County name         District name         Campus name         Math.         Verbal         Total         Num.         Num.         Tested (%)         Num.           PRESIDIO         PRESIDIO ISD         PRESIDIO H S         .	Pcnt.
RAINS RAINS ISD RAINS H S 496 464 959 14 84 16.7 <5h  RANDALL CANYON ISD 528 521 1,049 177 447 39.6 65  CANYON H S 534 532 1,065 <70m 195 . <35m	
RANDALL CANYON ISD 528 521 1,049 177 447 39.6 65 CANYON H S 534 532 1,065 <70m 195 . <35m	
CANYON H S 534 532 1,065 <70m 195 . <35m	
	36.7
1,000 1,000 1.000	
REAGAN REAGAN COUNTY REAGAN COUNTY H S 480 499 979 19 45 42.2 5	26.3
REAL LEAKEY ISD LEAKEY SCHOOL 439 452 891 9 18 50.0 <5h	
RED RIVER AVERY ISD AVERY H S <5t 16 . <5t	ě
CLARKSVILLE IS CLARKSVILLE H S <5t 65 . <5t	
DETROIT ISD DETROIT H S 486 508 993 9 26 34.6 <5h	
RIVERCREST ISD RIVERCREST H S <5t 27 . <5t	
REEVES BALMORHEA ISD BALMORHEA SCHOOL <5t 19 . <5t	
PECOS-BARSTOW- PECOS H S 406 408 813 62 167 37.1 <5h	
REFUGIO AUSTWELL-TIVOL AUSTWELL-TIVOLI H <5t 11 . <5t	
REFUGIO ISD REFUGIO HIGH SCHOO 492 484 976 10 43 23.3 <5h	
WOODSBORO ISD WOODSBORO H S 488 503 990 8 24 33.3 <5h	
ROBERTS MIAMI ISD MIAMI SCHOOL <5t 16 . <5t	
ROBERTSON BREMOND ISD BREMOND H S 469 408 878 32 32 100.0 5	15.6
CALVERT ISD CALVERT H S 330 375 705 6 9 66.7 <5h	
FRANKLIN ISD FRANKLIN H S 473 474 947 38 56 67.9 6	15.8
HEARNE ISD HEARNE H S 433 451 885 15 67 22.4 <5h	-
ROCKWALL ROCKWALL ISD 541 525 1,066 271 458 59.2 <105m	
ROCKWALL ALTERNATI <5t 10 . <5t ROCKWALL H S 541 525 1,066 <275m 448 . <105m	•
ROYSE CITY ISD 459 461 919 33 110 30.0 <10m	
ALTERNATIVE LEARNI <5t 13 . <5t ROYSE CITY H S 459 461 919 <35m 97 . <10m	
RUNNELS BALLINGER ISD 552 492 1,043 6 79 7.6 <5h	
BALLINGER H S 552 492 1,043 <10m 74 . <5h C A P CO-OP <5t 5 . <5t	•
	•
MILES ISD MILES H S <5t 29 . <5t	•
WINTERS ISD <5t 43 . <5t C A P CO-OP <5t 4 . <5t	

<sup>&#</sup>x27;h' indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;m' indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 1 SAT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

County name	District name	Campus name	 Math.	Mean score Verbal	 Total	Tested Num.		luates ested (%)	Exami at or crite Num.	above
RUNNELS	WINTERS ISD	WINTERS H S				<5t	39	-	<5t	•
RUSK	CARLISLE ISD	CARLISLE SCHOOL				<5t	24		<5t	
	HENDERSON ISD	HENDERSON H S	546	516	1,062	30	204	14.7	15	50.0
	LANEVILLE ISD	LANEVILLE SCHOOL				<5t	10		<5t	
	LEVERETTS CHAP	LEVERETTS CHAPEL H				<5t	12		<5t	
	MOUNT ENTERPRI	MT ENTERPRISE H S				<5t	19		<5t	
	OVERTON ISD	OVERTON H S			·	<5t	21	·	<5t	
	TATUM ISD	TATUM H S				<5t	82		<5t	
	WEST RUSK ISD	WEST RUSK H S				<5t	51	•	<5t	
SABINE	HEMPHILL ISD	HEMPHILL H S	484	467	951	20	53	37.7	5	25.0
	WEST SABINE IS	WEST SABINE H S	415	412	827	25	26	96.2	<5h	
SAN AUGUSTI	BROADDUS ISD	BROADDUS H S				<5t	15		<5t	
	SAN AUGUSTINE					<5t	58		<5t	
		ACCELERATED LRN CT SAN AUGUSTINE H S				<5t <5t	1 57		<5t <5t	
SAN JACINTO	COLDSPRING-OAK	COLDSPRING-OAKHURS	454	457	910	35	81	43.2	9	25.7
	SHEPHERD ISD	SHEPHERD H S	441	434	874	38	77	49.4	<5h	
SAN PATRICI	ARANSAS PASS I	ARANSAS PASS HIGH	505	484	989	34	108	31.5	9	26.5
	GREGORY-PORTLA	GREGORY-PORTLAND H	512	486	998	191	252	75.8	52	27.2
	INGLESIDE ISD	INGLESIDE H S	525	480	1,005	50	112	44.6	15	30.0
	MATHIS ISD	MATHIS H S	500	474	974	8	114	7.0	<5h	
	ODEM-EDROY ISD	ODEM H S	436	456	892	10	59	16.9	<5h	
	SINTON ISD	SINTON H S	450	438	888	48	103	46.6	<5h	
	TAFT ISD	TAFT H S	467	439	906	15	71	21.1	<5h	
SAN SABA	CHEROKEE ISD	CHEROKEE H S				<5t	10		<5t	
	RICHLAND SPRIN	RICHLAND SPRINGS S				<5t	9		<5t	
	SAN SABA ISD	SAN SABA H S				<5t	47		<5t	
SCHLEICHER	SCHLEICHER ISD	ELDORADO H S				<5t	47		<5t	
SCURRY	HERMLEIGH ISD	HERMLEIGH SCHOOL				<5t	5	•	<5t	
	IRA ISD	IRA SCHOOL				<5t	11		<5t	

<sup>&#</sup>x27;h' indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;m' indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 1 SAT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

				W		Tankad	0		Exami at or	above
County name	District name	Campus name	Math.	Mean score Verbal	Total	Num.		duates ested (%)	crite Num.	Pont.
		•						` '		
SCURRY	SNYDER ISD	HOBBS ALTER ED CO-	581	555	1,137	21 <5t	155 2	13.5	14 <5t	66.7
		SNYDER H S	581	555	1,137	<25m	153		<15m	
SHACKELFORD	ALBANY ISD	ALBANY JR-SR H S	482	473	955	38	37	100.0	7	18.4
	MORAN ISD	MORAN SCHOOL				<5t	7		<5t	
SHELBY	CENTER ISD	CENTER H S	492	474	967	27	94	28.7	5	18.5
	JOAQUIN ISD	JOAQUIN H S				<5t	22		<5t	
	SHELBYVILLE IS	SHELBYVILLE SCHOOL		•		<5t	31		<5t	•
	TENAHA ISD	TENAHA H S			•	<5t	18		<5t	
	TIMPSON ISD	TIMPSON H S			•	<5t	38		<5t	
SHERMAN	STRATFORD ISD	STRATFORD H S				<5t	36		<5t	
SMITH	ARP ISD	ARP H S	453	449	902	14	42	33.3	<5h	
	AZLEWAY CHARTE	AZLEWAY CHARTER SC				<5t	1		<5t	
	BULLARD ISD		475	502	978	28	70	40.0	7	25.0
		BULLARD ALTERNATIV BULLARD H S	475	502	978	<5t <30m	12 58		<5t <10m	•
								•		-
	CHAPEL HILL IS	CHAPEL HILL H S	463 463	456 456	919 919	<105m <105m	191 186		18 <20m	•
		ST LOUIS UNIT	•			<5t	1		<5t	
		STEPS				<5t	4		<5t	
	EAGLE PROJECT	EAGLE PROJECT (TYL		•		<5t	23	•	<5t	•
	LINDALE ISD	LINDALE H S	495	493	988	59	157	37.6	16	27.1
	TROUP ISD	TROUP H S	486	495	981	18	42	42.9	<5h	
	TYLER ISD		505	504	1,009	361	820	44.0	106	29.4
		ALVIN V ANDERSON E JOHN TYLER H S	460	479	938	<5t <85m	34 310	•	<5t <20m	•
		LEE H S	518	512	1,030	277	473	58.6	<90m	
		SPECIAL SERVICES A			, .	<5t	3		<5t	
	WHITEHOUSE ISD	WHITEHOUSE H S	516	493	1,010	124	255	48.6	37	29.8
	WINONA ISD	WINONA H S	523	544	1,067	7	49	14.3	<5h	
SOMERVELL	BRAZOS RIVER C	BRAZOS RIVER CHART				<5t	32		<5t	
	GLEN ROSE ISD		527	498	1,025	58	94	61.7	19	32.8
		ACE SCHOOL			1 005	<5t	7		<5t	•
		GLEN ROSE H S	527	498	1,025	<60m	87	•	<20m	•
STARR	RIO GRANDE CIT	RIO GRANDE CITY H	438	432	869	85	358	23.7	<5h	•

Note: 't' indicates masking is applied due to small number of students tested.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>prime}\text{h}^{\prime}$  indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;m' indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 1 SAT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

County name		Campus name	Math.		Total	Num.	Num. T	ested (%)	at or crite Num.	inees above erion Pont.
STARR	ROMA ISD	ROMA H S	٠			<5t	280		<5t	
	SAN ISIDRO ISD	SAN ISIDRO H S				<5t	15		<5t	
STEPHENS	BRECKENRIDGE I		497	481	978	48	98	49.0	11	22.9
		BRECKENRIDGE ALTER BRECKENRIDGE H S	497	481	978	<5t <50m	1 97		<5t <15m	
STERLING	STERLING CITY	STERLING CITY H S				<5t	18		<5t	
STONEWALL	ASPERMONT ISD	ASPERMONT HS				<5t	14		<5t	
SUTTON	SONORA ISD	SONORA H S	452	454	906	5	49	10.2	<5h	
SWISHER	HAPPY ISD	HAPPY H S	512	468	980	6	21	28.6	<5h	
	KRESS ISD	KRESS H S				<5t	30		<5t	
	TULIA ISD	TULIA H S	555	545	1,100	6	71	8.5	<5h	
TARRANT	ARLINGTON ISD	ARLINGTON H S BOWIE H S	520 527 488	512 523 486	1,033 1,049 974	320 320	501 545	61.6 63.9 58.7	590 126 73	35.6 39.4 22.8
		HOMEBOUND LAMAR H S MARTIN H S SAM HOUSTON H S VENTURE ALTER H S	530 550 457 460	523 536 442 495	1,053 1,087 899 955	351 505	2 497 711 372 59	70.6 71.0	<5t 137 227 <25m <5h	39.0 45.0
	AZLE ISD	AZLE H S	494	510	1,004	153	334	45.8	43	28.1
	BIRDVILLE ISD	BIRDVILLE HIGH SCH HALTOM H S RICHLAND H S SHANNON LRN CTR	521 518 507 533	502 502 487 516	1,023 1,020 994 1,049		1,055 174 448 353 80	47.3 59.8	147 <35m <50m 68 <5t	29.5 32.2
	CARROLL ISD	CARROLL SENIOR HIG	556	538	1,094	401	409	98.0	184	45.9
	CASTLEBERRY IS	CASTLEBERRY H S REACH H S	451 451	471 471	922 922	59 <60m <5t	159 145 14	37.1	9 <10m <5t	15.3
	CROWLEY ISD	CROWLEY H S NORTH CROWLEY H S	501 494 507	492 492 493	994 986 1,000	393 <175m <225m	549 257 292	71.6	105 <40m <70m	26.7
	EAGLE MT-SAGIN	BOSWELL H S WATSON LEARNING CE	500 500	494 494 •	994 994	183 <185m <5t	381 340 41	48.0	51 <55m <5t	27.9
	EAGLE PROJECT	EAGLE PROJECT (FT				<5t	17		<5t	
	ERATH EXCELS A	ERATH EXCELS ACADE				<5t	34		<5t	
	EVERMAN ISD	EVERMAN H S	458	433	892	53	110	48.2	<5h	

 $<sup>{\</sup>it Note}$ : 't' indicates masking is applied due to small number of students tested.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;h' indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;m' indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 1 SAT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

County name	District name	Campus name	 Math.	Mean score Verbal	 Total	Tested Num.		duates ested (%)	at or	inees above erion Pcnt.
TARRANT	FORT WORTH ACA	FORT WORTH ACADEMY				<5t	1		<5t	•
	FORT WORTH CAN					<5t	128		<5t	
		FORT WORTH CAN ACA				<5t	71		<5t	
		RIVER OAKS	•			<5t	57	•	<5t	•
	FORT WORTH ISD		469	464	933	1,474	2,915	50.6	321	21.8
		ARLINGTON HEIGHTS	504	508	1,012	221	291	75.9	64	29.0
		CARTER-RIVERSIDE H	430	422	852	41	118	34.7	<5h	
		CTR FOR NEW LIVES	•	•		<5t	17	•	<5t	
		DETENT CTR	•	•		<5t	3	•	<5t	
		DIAMOND HILL-JARVI	413	385	798	50	128	39.1	<5h	
		DUNBAR H S	490	489	979	100	177	56.5	30	30.0
		EASTERN HILLS H S	424	412	836	101	235	43.0	8	7.9
		ELDER MIDDLE	•	•	•	<5t	1		<5t	•
		JAMES MIDDLE				<5t	1		<5t	
		NORTH SIDE H S	466	468	934	90	239	37.7	17	18.9
		O D WYATT H S	388	375	762	90	192	46.9	<5h	47.0
		PASCHAL H S	546	534	1,081 784	188	324	58.0	90 -5h	47.9
		POLYTECHNIC H S SOUTH HILLS HIGH S	395 432	389 414	846	60 106	159 234	37.7 45.3	<5h 9	8.5
		SOUTHWEST H S	504	496	1,001	190	261	72.8	53	27.9
		SUCCESS H S			•	<5t	54		<5t	
		TRIMBLE TECHNICAL	383	395	778	91	253	36.0	<5h	
		WESTERN HILLS H S	484	490	975	141	227	62.1	37	26.2
		WILLOUGHBY HOUSE				<5t	1		<5t	
	GRAPEVINE-COLL		547	537	1,084	759	913	83.1	344	45.3
	GRAPEVINE-COLL	COLLEYVILLE HERITA	547	536	1,084	<415m	913 477		<185m	
		GRAPEVINE H S	546	539	1,084	<350m	414	•	<165m	•
		THE BRIDGES ACAD A		•	.,000	<5t	22		<5t	:
	HURST-EULESS-B		514	501	1,015	694	1,162	59.7	217	31.3
		BELL H S	512	506	1,017	347	554	62.6	118	34.0
		KEYS CTR	_:_			<5t	50	•	<5t	
		TRINITY H S	516	496	1,012	<350m	558	•	<100m	•
	KELLER ISD		515	509	1,024	624	972	64.2	199	31.9
		FOSSIL RIDGE H S	499	492	991	<295m	493		<70m	
		KELLER H S	529	523	1,052	332	473	70.2	133	40.1
		NEW DIRECTION LRN	•	•		<5t	6	•	<5t	•
	KENNEDALE ISD	KENNEDALE H S	482	480	962	83	121	68.6	16	19.3
	LAKE WORTH ISD		438	455	893	28	106	26.4	<5h	
		ANNE MANSFIELD SUL				<5t	5		<5t	
		LAKE WORTH H S	438	455	893	<30m	101	•	<5h	-
	MANSFIELD ISD		511	506	1,018	379	736	51.5	123	32.5
		ALTER ED CTR				<5t	34		<5t	
		MANSFIELD HS	511	506	1,018	<380m	702		<125m	
	MASONIC HOME I	MASONIC HOME HIGH	420	422	842	10	8	100.0	<5h	
	THERESA B LEE	THERESA B LEE ACAD				<5t	63		<5t	

Note: 't' indicates masking is applied due to small number of students tested.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>prime}\text{h}^{\prime}$  indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>prime}\text{m}^{\prime}$  indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 1 SAT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

				Mean score		Tested	Grad	duates	Exami at or crite	
County name	District name	Campus name	Math.	Verbal	Total	Num.	Num. Te	ested (%) 	Num.	Pcnt.
TARRANT	TREETOPS SCHOO	TREETOPS SCHOOL IN				<5t	20		<5t	
	WHITE SETTLEME	BREWER H S PHOENIX LEARNING C	493 493	488 488 •	981 981	109 <110m <5t	255 251 4	42.7	<25m <25m <5t	
TAYLOR	ABILENE ISD	ABILENE H S COOPER H S EXCEL ALTER H S SP ED HOMEBOUND	499 500 497	503 511 493	1,002 1,011 990	490 <270m <225m <5t <5t	911 468 393 47 2	53.8	135 <90m <55m <5t <5t	27.6
		SP ED 0 J T				<5t	1		<5t	
	EAGLE PROJECT	EAGLE PROJECT (ABI				<5t	28		<5t	
	JIM NED CONS I	JIM NED H S	483	477	960	18	62	29.0	<5h	
	MERKEL ISD	MERKEL H S	487	504	991	17	101	16.8	<5h	
	TRENT ISD	TRENT SCHOOL	•			<5t	13		<5t	
	WYLIE ISD	WYLIE H S	531	518	1,050	102	173	59.0	36	35.3
TERRELL	TERRELL COUNTY	SANDERSON H S				<5t	14		<5t	
TERRY	BROWNFIELD ISD	BROWNFIELD H S	462	460	922	54	150	36.0	7	13.0
	MEADOW ISD	MEADOW SCHOOL	478	440	918	5	15	33.3	<5h	
	WELLMAN-UNION	WELLMAN-UNION SCHO				<5t	11		<5t	
THROCKMORTO	THROCKMORTON I	THROCKMORTON H S				<5t	18		<5t	
	WOODSON ISD	WOODSON SCHOOL				<5t	15		<5t	
TITUS	CHAPEL HILL IS	CHAPEL HILL H S	523	566	1,089	8	51	15.7	<5h	
	MOUNT PLEASANT	ALTER ED	512	512	1,024	82 <5t	240	34.2	24 <5t	29.3
		MT PLEASANT H S	512	512	1,024	<85m	232	•	<25m	•
TOM GREEN	CHRISTOVAL ISD	CHRISTOVAL H S	489	540	1,029	9	27	33.3	<5h	•
	GRAPE CREEK IS	GRAPE CREEK H S	473	468	940	29	58	50.0	<5h	
	SAN ANGELO ISD	CENTRAL H S	515 526	507 516	1,022	394 <325m	834 596	47.2	127 <120m	32.2
		LAKE VIEW H S	467	470	937	<75m	238	•	<10m	•
	VERIBEST ISD	VERIBEST H S	:			<5t <5t	12 11		<5t <5t	:
		VERIBEST VOC TRAIN	·		•	<5t	1	•	<5t	•
	WALL ISD	FAIRVIEW ACCELERAT	556 •	530	1,086	<15m <5t	63 4		<10m <5t	
		WALL H S	556	530	1,086	<15m	59		<10m	•

 $<sup>{\</sup>it Note}$ : 't' indicates masking is applied due to small number of students tested.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;h' indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;m' indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 1 SAT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

County name	District name	Campus name	 Math.	Mean score Verbal	 Total	Tested Num.		iduates ested (%)	crite	above
TOM GREEN	WATER VALLEY I	WATER VALLEY H S	450	517	967	6	16	37.5	<5h	
TRAVIS	AMERICAN YOUTH	AMERICAN YOUTH WOR				<5t	61		<5t	
	AUSTIN ISD	AKINS HIGH SCHOOL	528	514	1,041	2,052 <5t	3,277 4	62.6	824 <5t	40.2
		ANDERSON H S	579	539	1,119	253	325	77.8	142	56.1
		AUSTIN H S	569	547	1,116	341		81.2	184	54.0
		BOWIE H S	529	516	1,045	457	589	77.6	177	38.7
		CROCKETT H S	454	462	916	208	417	49.9	19	9.1
		GONZALO GARZA INDE	511	512	1,023	<20m	99		<10m	
		JOHNSON H S	578	557	1,135	218	270	80.7	128	58.7
		JOHNSTON H S	505	515	1,020	106	204	52.0	42	39.6
		LANIER H S	442	414	856	120	248	48.4	14	11.7
		MCCALLUM H S	548		1,095	210	306	68.6	101	48.1
		REAGAN H S	397		779	67	176	38.1	<5h	•
		TRAVIS H S	426	441	867	<60m	219	•	9	•
	DEL VALLE ISD		433	428	861	87	276	31.5	8	9.2
		DEL VALLE H S	433	428	861	<90m	258		<10m	
		DEL VALLE OPPORTUN				<5t	18		<5t	
	EANES ISD	WESTLAKE H S	591	577	1,168	498	499	99.8	323	64.9
	FRUIT OF EXCEL	FRUIT OF EXCELLENC				<5t	1		<5t	
	LAGO VISTA ISD	LAGO VISTA H S	518	496	1,014	36	49	73.5	10	27.8
	LAKE TRAVIS IS	LAKE TRAVIS H S	542	529	1,071	212	251	84.5	97	45.8
	MANOR ISD		450	452	902	47	126	37.3	7	14.9
		EXCEL HIGH SCHOOL				<5t	7		<5t	
		MANOR H S	450	452	902	<50m	119	•	<10m	
	PFLUGERVILLE I		516	506	1,022	506	762	66.4	170	33.6
	TT LOGETTY TELL T	JOHN B CONNALLY H	515	506	1,021		369		<80m	
		PFLUGERVILLE H S	517		1,022		393		<95m	
	STAR CHARTER S	STAR CHARTER SCHOO	532	508	1,040	6	6	100.0	<5h	
	TEVAS 0011 F0D	TEVAO 0011001 FOD T			-	.51	•		.=.	
	TEXAS SCH FOR	TEXAS SCHOOL FOR T	•	•	•	<5t <5t	0 0	•	<5t <5t	•
		IX SUN FUN DEAF N	•	•	•	\31	U	•	\5€	•
	UNIVERSITY CHA		_			<5t	3	_	<5t	
		CORNERSTONE ACADEM				<5t	2		<5t	
		MIRACLE FARM				<5t	1		<5t	
TRINITY	APPLE SPRINGS	APPLE SPRINGS H S	477	480	957	7	15	46.7	<5h	
	CENTERVILLE IS	CENTERVILLE H S	428	411	839	8	9	88.9	<5h	
	GROVETON ISD	GROVETON J H-H S	498	514	1,012	18	36	50.0	6	33.3
	TRINITY ISD	TRINITY H S	485	495	979	15	58	25.9	<5h	
TYLER	CHESTER ISD	CHESTER H S	420	424	844	8	14	57.1	<5h	

<sup>&#</sup>x27;h' indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;m' indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 1 SAT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

County namo	District name	Campus name	 Math.	Mean score Verbal	 Total	Tested Num.		duates ested (%)	Exami at or crite Num.	above
	DISCITE Halle	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		verbai						
TYLER	COLMESNEIL ISD	COLMESNEIL H S	417	375	792	16	24	66.7	<5h	•
	SPURGER ISD	SPURGER H S	484	485	969	10	24	41.7	<5h	•
	WARREN ISD	WARREN H S	477	491	968	26	48	54.2	5	19.2
	WOODVILLE ISD	WOODVILLE H S	429	430	859	47	74	63.5	5	10.6
UPSHUR	BIG SANDY ISD	BIG SANDY H S	631	609	1,240	8	31	25.8	7	87.5
	GILMER ISD	GILMER H S	492	482	974	42	141	29.8	13	31.0
	HARMONY ISD	HARMONY H S	483	480	963	8	54	14.8	<5h	
	NEW DIANA ISD	NEW DIANA H S	479	477	956	28	52	53.8	<5h	
	ORE CITY ISD	ORE CITY HIGH SCHO	464	491	955	8	45	17.8	<5h	
	UNION GROVE IS	UNION GROVE H S				<5t	34		<5t	
	UNION HILL ISD	UNION HILL H S				<5t	12		<5t	
UPTON	MCCAMEY ISD	MCCAMEY H S	432	443	875	6	34	17.6	<5h	
	RANKIN ISD	RANKIN H S				<5t	27		<5t	
UVALDE	KNIPPA ISD	KNIPPA SCHOOL				<5t	13		<5t	
	SABINAL ISD	SABINAL H S				<5t	22		<5t	
	UTOPIA ISD	UTOPIA SCHOOL				<5t	11		<5t	
	UVALDE CONS IS	EVCEL ACADEMY	476	461	938	<115m	252		21	
		EXCEL ACADEMY UVALDE H S	476	461	938	<5t <115m	17 235	:	<5t <25m	
VAL VERDE	COMSTOCK ISD	COMSTOCK SCHOOL	407	349	756	7	6	100.0	<5h	
	EAGLE PROJECT	EAGLE PROJECT (DEL				<5t	19		<5t	
	SAN FELIPE-DEL	DEL RIO H S	478	467	945	150	428	35.0	23	15.3
VAN ZANDT	CANTON ISD	CANTON H S	495	494	989	40	95	42.1	8	20.0
	EDGEWOOD ISD	EDGEWOOD H S	487	456	943	22	54	40.7	<5h	
	FRUITVALE ISD	FRUITVALE H S				<5t	14		<5t	
	GRAND SALINE I	GRAND SALINE H S	468	486	954	16	53	30.2	<5h	
	MARTINS MILL I	MARTINS MILL H S				<5t	23		<5t	
	RANCH ACADEMY	RANCH ACADEMY	•			<5t	5		<5t	•
	VAN ISD	VAN H S	520	538	1,058	43	105	41.0	15	34.9
	WILLS POINT IS	WILLS POINT H S	484	489	973	44	136	32.4	11	25.0

<sup>&#</sup>x27;h' indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;m' indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 1 SAT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

County name	District name	Campus name	 Math.	Mean score Verbal	 Total	Tested Num.		aduates <sup>-</sup> ested (%)		inees above erion Pcnt.
VICTORIA	BLOOMINGTON IS	BLOOMINGTON H S	421	421	843	7	47	14.9	<5h	·
	VICTORIA ISD		492	505	997	290	791	36.7	73	25.2
		JUVENILE DETENT CT				<5t	3		<5t	
		MEMORIAL HIGH SCHO MEMORIAL HIGH SCHO	493	505	998	287 <5t	737 3	38.9	<75m <5t	
		PROFIT ACADEMIC CT				<5t	48		<5t	
WALKED	HINTOVILLE TOD		407	400	060	151	010	40.0	20	05.0
WALKER	HUNTSVILLE ISD	HUNTSVILLE H S	487 487	480 480	968 968	151 <155m	313 299	48.2	39 <40m	25.8
		WESTMORELAND LEARN				<5t	14		<5t	
	NEW WAVERLY IS	NEW WAVERLY H S	485	490	975	11	40	27.5	<5h	
WALLER	HEMPSTEAD ISD	HEMPSTEAD H S	437	422	859	33	52	63.5	<5h	
	ROYAL ISD	ROYAL H S				<5t	59		<5t	
	WALLER ISD	WALLER H S	460	456	916	98	250	39.2	18	18.4
WARD	GRANDFALLS-ROY	GRANDFALLS-ROYALTY				<5t	4		<5t	
	MONAHANS-WICKE	MONAHANG ED CTD	584	574	1,158	<10m <5t	119	•	<5h	•
		MONAHANS ED CTR MONAHANS H S	584	574	1,158	<10m	13 106		<5t <5h	
WASHINGTON	BRENHAM ISD	BRENHAM ALTERNATIV	504	493	997	<155m <5t	297 6	•	37 <5t	
		BRENHAM HIGH SCHOO	504	493	997	<155m	291	•	<40m	
	BURTON ISD	BURTON H S	515	510	1,025	10	22	45.5	<5h	
WEBB	EAGLE PROJECT	EAGLE PROJECT (LAR	•		•	<5t	17	•	<5t	
	GATEWAY ACADE	GATEWAY ACADEMY (S				<5t	66		<5t	
	LAREDO ISD		420	407	827	325	948	34.3	23	7.1
		DR LEO CIGARROA H	419	387	806	<90m	243		<5h	
		F S LARA ACADEMY				<5t	3	•	<5t	•
		MARTIN H S NIXON H S	414 424	400 425	814 849	<95m 143	330 372	38.4	<5h 15	10.5
				.20	0.0		5.2			
	UNITED ISD		448	435	883	503	1,217	41.3	58	11.5
		JOHN B ALEXANDER H UNITED H S	469 446	447 438	915 884	231 <155m	377 397	61.3	39 <15m	16.9
		UNITED IT S	412	411	823	<125m	443		<10m	:
	WEBB CONS ISD	BRUNI H S	439 439	397 397	836 836	14 <15m	18 17	77.8	<5h <5h	•
		WEBB CO J J A E P				<5t	1	:	<5t	
WHARTON	BOLING ISD	BOLING H S	489	460	949	26	47	55.3	6	23.1
		EAST BERNARD H S	483	475	958	37	46	80.4	9	24.3
	EL CAMPO ISD	EL CAMPO H S	482	472	953	128	220	58.2	24	18.8
	5, 4,11 0 100	0, ,,,, 0 11 0	-102	712	555	120		30.2	<b>4</b> -7	.5.0

Note: 't' indicates masking is applied due to small number of students tested.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>prime}\text{h}^{\prime}$  indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;m' indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 1 SAT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

County name	District name	Campus name	 Math.	Mean score Verbal	 Total	Tested Num.		duates ested (%)	Exami at or crite Num.	above
WHARTON	LOUISE ISD	LOUISE H S	470	455	925	8	31	25.8	<5h	•
	WHARTON ISD	WHARTON H S	481	474	955	19	147	12.9	<5h	
WHEELER	ALLISON ISD	ALLISON SCHOOL				<5t	5		<5t	
	FORT ELLIOTT C	FORT ELLIOTT SCHOO				<5t	7		<5t	
	SHAMROCK ISD	SHAMROCK H S				<5t	26		<5t	
	WHEELER ISD	WHEELER SCHOOL	•			<5t	15		<5t	
WICHITA	BRIGHT IDEAS C	BRIGHT IDEAS CHART	•			<5t	2		<5t	•
	BURKBURNETT IS		502	497	999	78	209	37.3	19	24.4
		ALTER ED CTR				<5t	8		<5t	•
		BURKBURNETT H S	502	497	999	<80m	201	•	<20m	•
	ELECTRA ISD	ELECTRA H S	514	520	1,034	5	48	10.4	<5h	٠
	IOWA PARK CONS	IOWA PARK H S	499	501	1,001	43	128	33.6	14	32.6
	WICHITA FALLS		514	503	1,017	481	934	51.5	145	30.1
		HARRELL ACCELERATE				<5t	36 175	•	<5t	•
		HIRSCHI HS RIDER H S	495 518	494 508	989 1,026	<95m 234	175 362	64.6	<25m 69	29.5
		WICHITA FALLS H S	519	500	1,019	<160m	361		<55m	
WILBARGER	HARROLD ISD	HARROLD SCHOOL				<5t	8		<5t	•
	NORTHSIDE ISD	NORTHSIDE SCHOOL				<5t	7		<5t	
	VERNON ISD		494	537	1,031	18	132	13.6	7	38.9
		NORTH TEXAS STATE	•	-	· .	<5t	2		<5t	
		VERNON H S	494	537	1,031	<20m	130	•	<10m	•
WILLACY	LYFORD CISD	LYFORD H S	452	479	931	11	106	10.4	<5h	
	RAYMONDVILLE I	RAYMONDVILLE H S	416	430	846	48	147	32.7	5	10.4
	SAN PERLITA IS	SAN PERLITA H S				<5t	7		<5t	
WILLIAMSON	FLORENCE ISD	FLORENCE H S	477	499	976	18	40	45.0	<5h	
	GEORGETOWN ISD	CHIP RICHARTE H S	555	531	1,086	357 <5t	477 15	74.8	<170m <5t	
		GEORGETOWN H S	555	531	1,086	<360m	462		<170m	
	GRANGER ISD	GRANGER SCHOOL	472	468	939	18	31	58.1	<5h	
	HUTTO ISD	HUTTO H S	527	493	1,020	43	61	70.5	14	32.6
	JARRELL ISD	JARRELL H S	450	469	919	22	45	48.9	<5h	
	LEANDER ISD	CEDAR PARK H S	536 546	525 534	1,061 1,079	461 <270m	671 354	68.7	171 <115m	37.1
			5.5		.,5.5	_,		•		•

<sup>&#</sup>x27;h' indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;m' indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 1 SAT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

County name	District name	Campus name	 Math.	Mean score Verbal	 Total			aduates Tested (%)	crite	above erion
WILLIAMSON	LEANDER ISD	LEANDER H S	522	514	1,036	<195m	317		<60m	
	LIBERTY HILL I	LIBERTY HILL H S	513	512	1,025	37	73	50.7	11	29.7
	ROUND ROCK ISD	MCNEIL H S ROUND ROCK H S STONY POINT HIGH S	537 536 520 507	521 515 511 488	1,058 1,051 1,031 996	366 <320m	1,841 480 418 395	75.8 76.3	559 148 <120m <65m	40.0 40.4
		SUCCESS PROGAM WESTWOOD H S	566	551	1,116	<5t 457	38 510	89.6	<5t 233	51.0
	TAYLOR ISD	TAYLOR H S	477	463	939	75	151	49.7	15	20.0
	THRALL ISD	THRALL H S	465	493	958	10	19	52.6	<5h	
WILSON	FLORESVILLE IS	FLORESVILLE H S	512	500	1,012	53	187	28.3	19	35.8
	LA VERNIA ISD	LA VERNIA HIGH SCH	513	486	998	92	133	69.2	21	22.8
	POTH ISD	POTH H S	466	465	931	39	53	73.6	7	17.9
	STOCKDALE ISD	STOCKDALE H S	465	493	958	23	48	47.9	<5h	
WINKLER	KERMIT ISD	KERMIT H S	541	523	1,064	12	91	13.2	5	41.7
	WINK-LOVING IS	WINK H S				<5t	21		<5t	
WISE	ALVORD ISD	ALVORD H S	466	476	943	17	43	39.5	<5h	
	BOYD ISD	BOYD H S	501	485	986	34	51	66.7	11	32.4
	BRIDGEPORT ISD	BRIDGEPORT ACE HIG	525	501	1,026	49 <5t	114 7	43.0	16 <5t	32.7
		BRIDGEPORT H S	525	501	1,026	<50m	107		<20m	•
	CHICO ISD	CHICO HIGH SCHOOL	458	446	904	17	29	58.6	<5h	•
	DECATUR ISD	CATES HIGH SCHOOL	507	483	989	66 <5t	169 12	39.1	18 <5t	27.3
		DECATUR H S	507	483	990	<65m		•	<20m	
	PARADISE ISD	PARADISE H S	507	495	1,002		41		6	28.6
	SLIDELL ISD	SLIDELL SCHOOL	522			5			<5h	•
WOOD	ALBA-GOLDEN IS	ALBA-GOLDEN H S	461 461	497 497	958 958	14 <15m	47 46	29.8	<5h <5h	
		ALTER SCHOOL				<5t	1		<5t	
	HAWKINS ISD	HAWKINS H S	529	487	1,016	7	33	21.2	<5h	
	MINEOLA ISD	MINEOLA H S	497	474	971	17	86	19.8	<5h	
	QUITMAN ISD	QUITMAN H S WOOD CO ALTER	484 484 •	484 484 •	968 968 •	36 <40m <5t	86 84 2	41.9	6 <10m <5t	16.7
		WOOD CO ALTER	•	•		<5t	2		<5t	•

<sup>&#</sup>x27;h' indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;m' indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 1 SAT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

County name	District name	Campus name	Math.	Mean score Verbal	Total	Tested Num.		uates sted (%)	Exami at or crite Num.	above
WOOD	WINNSBORO ISD	WINNSBORO H S	511	483	994	11	79	13.9	<5h	
WOOD	WINNOBORO TOD	WINNOBONO II O	311	400	334		75	10.9	\311	•
	YANTIS ISD	YANTIS SCHOOL	•	•		<5t	18	•	<5t	•
YOAKUM	DENVER CITY IS	DENVER CITY H S	490	444	934	23	116	19.8	<5h	
	PLAINS ISD	PLAINS H S				<5t	31		<5t	
YOUNG	GRAHAM ISD		508	515	1,023	63	141	44.7	21	33.3
		GRAHAM H S	508	515	1,023	<65m	132		<25m	
		GRAHAM LEARNING CT		•	•	<5t	9	•	<5t	•
	NEWCASTLE ISD	NEWCASTLE H S				<5t	9		<5t	
	OLNEY ISD	OLNEY H S	509	479	988	25	45	55.6	<5h	
ZAPATA	ZAPATA COUNTY	ZAPATA H S	486	447	933	32	164	19.5	<5h	
ZAVALA	CRYSTAL CITY I	CRYSTAL CITY H S	390	411	801	9	85	10.6	<5h	
	LA PRYOR ISD	LA PRYOR H S	404	407	811	14	25	56.0	<5h	

 $<sup>{\</sup>it Note}$ : 't' indicates masking is applied due to small number of students tested.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;h' indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;m' indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

## **ACT Results for Districts and Campuses**

Table 2. ACT Participation and Performance by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

Table 2 ACT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

				· Me				Tested		luates	at or crite	inees above erion
County name	District name	Campus name	Eng.	Math.	Read.	Sci.	Comp.	Num.	Num. Te	ested (%)	Num.	Pcnt.
ANDERSON	CAYUGA ISD	CAYUGA H S	18.9	19.5	19.3	20.0	19.6	11	36	30.6	<5h	
	ELKHART ISD	ELKHART H S	20.1	20.1	21.9	21.3	20.9	12	57	21.1	5	41.7
	FRANKSTON ISD	FRANKSTON H S	18.3	18.5	20.3	19.6	19.4	16	38	42.1	<5h	
	NECHES ISD	NECHES H S	24.2	24.0	29.4	23.8	25.4	5	18	27.8	<5h	
	PALESTINE ISD	PALESTINE HIGH SCH	19.2	19.9	20.2	20.6	20.1	38	184	20.7	8	21.1
	SLOCUM ISD	SLOCUM H S	24.2	22.4	27.4	24.6	24.6	5	17	29.4	<5h	
	WESTWOOD ISD	WESTWOOD H S	19.3	19.2	20.6	19.9	19.8	26	73	35.6	5	19.2
ANDREWS	ANDREWS ISD	ANDREWS HIGH SCHOO	18.3	18.2	19.5	19.0	18.9	49	194	25.3	5	10.2
ANGELINA	CENTRAL ISD		19.7	17.6	18.8	19.6	19.0	11	97	11.3	<5h	
		CENTRAL H S STUBBLEFIELD LRN C	19.7	17.6	18.8	19.6	19.0	<15m <5t	80 17		<5h <5t	
	DIBOLL ISD		16.3	16.4	16.9	17.6	16.9	52	92	56.5	<5h	
		DIBOLL H S STUBBLEFIELD LRN C	16.3	16.4	16.9	17.6	16.9	<55m <5t	86 6		<5h <5t	
		STOBBLEI ILLD LAW C	•	•	•	•	•			•		•
	HUDSON ISD	HUDSON H S	21.2	21.0 21.0	20.7 20.7	20.8	21.0 21.0	26 <30m	117 114	22.2	<10m <10m	
		STUBBLEFIELD LRN C						<5t	3		<5t	
	HUNTINGTON ISD		16.5	16.8	18.7	18.5	17.8	6	86	7.0	<5h	
		HUNTINGTON H S PRIDE ALTER SCH	16.5	16.8	18.7	18.5	17.8	<10m <5t	75 11		<5h <5t	
	LUEVIN IOD											17.0
	LUFKIN ISD	LUFKIN H S	16.0 16.0	17.9 17.9	17.1 17.1	18.0 18.0	17.3 17.3	47 <50m	413 401	11.4	8 <10m	17.0
		STUBBLEFIELD LRN C		•				<5t	12		<5t	
	ZAVALLA ISD	ZAVALLA H S						<5t	17		<5t	
ARANSAS	ARANSAS COUNTY	ROCKPORT-FULTON H	18.2	18.1	17.8	19.3	18.4	38	193	19.7	<5h	
ARCHER	ARCHER CITY IS	ARCHER CITY H S	20.0	19.5	19.3	20.2	19.9	21	38	55.3	<5h	
	HOLLIDAY ISD	HOLLIDAY H S	19.0	19.8	21.1	20.8	20.3	31	62	50.0	6	19.4
	MEGARGEL ISD	MEGARGEL SCHOOL						<5t	7		<5t	
	WINDTHORST ISD	WINDTHORST H S	22.1	23.9	22.0	22.4	22.8	17	25	68.0	9	52.9
ARMSTRONG	CLAUDE ISD	CLAUDE H S	22.0	21.8	21.9	21.6	21.9	21	27	77.8	5	23.8
ATASCOSA	CHARLOTTE ISD	CHARLOTTE H S	13.9	16.3	14.7	18.1	15.9	16	23	69.6	<5h	
	JOURDANTON ISD	JOURDANTON H S	20.6	20.2	21.2	21.6	21.1	32	57	56.1	10	31.3
	LYTLE ISD	LYTLE H S	18.9	18.8	19.3	20.3	19.4	24	75	32.0	5	20.8

<sup>&#</sup>x27;h' indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;m' indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 2
ACT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

County name	District name	Campus name		Mea	an score Read.		Comp.	Tested Num.		duates ested (%)	at or	inees above erion Pont.
ATASCOSA	PLEASANTON ISD	PLEASANTON H S	19.0	19.8	19.9	20.2	19.8	77	170	45.3	14	18.2
	POTEET ISD	POTEET H S	17.8	18.1	16.8	19.2	18.0	20	83	24.1	<5h	
AUSTIN	BELLVILLE ISD	BELLVILLE H S	19.7	21.6	20.6	20.8	20.8	53	128	41.4	14	26.4
	BRAZOS ISD	BRAZOS H S	16.3	18.2	16.8	19.2	17.8	13	56	23.2	<5h	
	SEALY ISD	SEALY H S	19.8	19.6	19.9	20.4	20.1	36	114	31.6	8	22.2
BAILEY	MULESHOE ISD		18.9	19.0	19.9	20.0	19.6	<65m	87		12	
		MULESHOE H S	18.9	19.0	19.9	20.0	19.6	<65m	81		<15m	
		PEP		•	•	•		<5t	6	•	<5t	
	THREE WAY ISD	THREE WAY SCHOOL	16.3	20.0	16.1	18.4	18.0	8	0		<5h	
BANDERA	BANDERA ISD		20.2	19.9	22.9	21.1	21.1	59	124	47.6	18	30.5
		BANDERA H S	20.2	19.9	22.9	21.1	21.1	<60m	119		<20m	
		CHALLENGE H S						<5t	5		<5t	
	MEDINA ISD	MEDINA H S	20.2	19.3	21.5	20.8	20.5	12	16	75.0	<5h	
BASTROP	BASTROP ISD		17.8	18.9	19.7	18.5	18.9	39	309	12.6	7	17.9
		BASTROP H S	17.8	18.9	19.7	18.5	18.9	<40m	303		<10m	
		GENESIS H S		•	•	•		<5t	6	•	<5t	
	ELGIN ISD		17.9	19.7	18.7	19.2	19.2	19	145	13.1	<5h	
	LEGIN TOD	ELGIN H S	17.9	19.7	18.7	19.2	19.2	<20m	143		<5h	i.
		PHOENIX LEARNING C		-				<5t	2		<5t	
	SMITHVILLE ISD	SMITHVILLE H S	19.2	18.5	20.5	20.1	19.7	33	85	38.8	<5h	
BAYLOR	SEYMOUR ISD	SEYMOUR H S	20.9	22.1	21.0	21.0	21.5	29	38	76.3	12	41.4
BEE	BEEVILLE ISD		17.2	18.4	17.1	19.5	18.1	89	210	42.4	8	9.0
		A C JONES HIGH SCH	17.2	18.4	17.1	19.5	18.1	<90m	205		<10m	
		LEARNING RESOURCE		•	•	•		<5t	5		<5t	
	PETTUS ISD	PETTUS H S	18.7	19.6	17.8	19.9	19.1	9	34	26.5	<5h	
	SKIDMORE-TYNAN	SKIDMORE-TYNAN H S	18.7	17.9	20.1	20.1	19.4	28	53	52.8	5	17.9
BELL	ACADEMY ISD	ACADEMY H S	21.9	22.6	22.1	22.1	22.3	34	65	52.3	13	38.2
	BARTLETT ISD	BARTLETT H S	17.1	19.6	18.1	18.0	18.4	8	25	32.0	<5h	
	BELTON ISD		18.5	19.2	20.0	19.3	19.4	398	410	97.1	95	23.9
		BELTON H S	18.8	19.4	20.2	19.6	19.6	<370m	377	•	<95m	
		HENRY T WASKOW LEA	15.1	16.5	17.4	16.4	16.5	<35m	33	•	<5h	•
	CEDAR CREST CH	CEDAR CREST CHARTE			•	•	•	<5t	1		<5t	•
	HOLLAND ISD	HOLLAND H S	21.6	19.2	21.2	21.3	20.9	14	40	35.0	<5h	
	KILLEEN ISD		19.1	18.9	19.9	19.9	19.6	272	1,217	22.4	55	20.2
		ELLISON H S	18.1	18.2	19.0	19.3	18.8	107	407	26.3	17	15.9
		HARKER HEIGHTS HIG	21.3	20.9	22.1	21.5	21.6	92	253	36.4	29	31.5

<sup>&#</sup>x27;h' indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;m' indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 2 ACT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

								Tested		duates	at or crit	inees above erion
county name	District name	Campus name	Eng.	Math.	неаа.	SC1.	Comp.	Num.	Num. I	ested (%)	Num.	Pcnt.
BELL	KILLEEN ISD	KILLEEN H S PATHWAYS LEARNING	18.3	18.0	18.7	19.0	18.6	<55m <5t	316 31		<10m <5t	
		SHOEMAKER HIGH SCH	16.1	16.4	17.3	18.0	17.1	<25m	210	•	<5h	•
	RICHARD MILBUR	KILLEEN-RICHARD MI		•			•	<5t	37	•	<5t	
	ROGERS ISD	ROGERS H S	17.8	18.0	18.5	18.5	18.2	22	53	41.5	<5h	
	SALADO ISD	SALADO H S	19.3	20.5	20.4	20.0	20.1	27	60	45.0	<5h	
	TEMPLE ISD	TEMPLE H S	20.3	22.5	21.4	21.2	21.5	134	388	34.5	40	29.9
	TRANSFORMATIVE	TRANSFORMATIVE CHA						<5t	33		<5t	
	TROY ISD	TROY HIGH SCHOOL	20.4	20.8	19.8	20.4	20.6	47	60	78.3	10	21.3
BEXAR	ALAMO HEIGHTS	ALAMO HEIGHTS H S	23.0	23.6	23.3	22.2	23.2	128	316	40.5	64	50.0
	EAGLE PROJECT							<5t	11		<5t	
		EAGLE CHARTER SCHO						<5t	8		<5t	
		EAGLE PROJECT (SAN		•		•	•	<5t	3	•	<5t	
	EAST CENTRAL I		18.8	18.7	19.3	20.0	19.3	<155m	410		24	
		BEXAR COUNTY LRN C						<5t	1		<5t	
		EAST CENTRAL H S	18.8	18.7	19.3	20.0	19.3	<155m	409		<25m	•
	EDGEWOOD ISD		13.6	16.0	15.2	16.4	15.4	112	545	20.6	<5h	
		COMPETENCY BASED H						<5t	43		<5t	
		JOHN F KENNEDY HIG	13.7	15.9	15.0	16.7	15.5	59	280	21.1	<5h	•
		MEMORIAL HIGH SCHO	13.3	16.0	15.2	16.0	15.3	<55m	222	•	<5h	•
	FT SAM HOUSTON	ROBERT G COLE JR-S	19.9	20.8	20.7	20.9	20.6	19	45	42.2	8	42.1
	GEORGE GERVIN							<5t	45		<5t	
		GEORGE GERVIN CHAR				-		<5t	38		<5t	
		THE BASIC CENTER	•		•	•	•	<5t	7		<5t	•
	GEORGE I SANCH	GEORGE I SANCHEZ C						<5t	3		<5t	
	HARLANDALE ISD		16.3	16.5	17.0	17.2	16.9	143	664	21.5	6	4.2
		FRANK M TEJEDA ACA						<5t	35		<5t	•
		HARLANDALE H S MCCOLLUM H S	15.7 17.1	16.5 16.6	16.4 17.8	17.0 17.4	16.5 17.4	<85m <65m	317 312	•	<5h <10m	
	JOHN H WOOD CH	JOHN H WOOD CHARTE						<5t	2		<5t	
	JUBILEE ACADEM	JUBILEE ACADEMIC C						<5t	3		<5t	
	JUDSON ISD	JUDSON HIGH SCHOOL	19.3 19.3	19.8 19.8	20.9 20.9	20.2 20.2	20.2 20.2	206 <210m	729 697	28.3	48 <50m	23.3
		JUDSON LEARNING AC			20.9			<5t	32		<5t	
	LACKLAND ISD	VIRGINIA ALLRED ST	19.6	19.1	20.6	20.6	20.1	15	30	50.0	<5h	
	NORTH EAST ISD		20.1	20.7	21.1	20.9	20.8	640	2,722	23.6	183	28.5
	MOITH LAGT 13D	ACADEMY OF CREATIV	٠.١	٠.،	٠	٠.٠٠	20.0	<5t	31	20.0	<5t	٠.٠
		CENTER SCH						<5t	0		<5t	

<sup>&#</sup>x27;h' indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;m' indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 2
ACT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

County name	District name	Campus name		Mea			 Comp.	Tested Num.		iduates ested (%)	at or crit	inees above erion Pcnt.
			-									
BEXAR	NORTH EAST ISD	CHURCHILL H S HOMEBASED COMP ED	20.1	20.6	21.0	20.8	20.8	94 <5t	457 5	20.6	26 <5t	27.7
		HOMEBOUND		÷	•		:	<5t	5	:	<5t	
		HOSPITAL-COMP ED						<5t	2		<5t	
		INTERNATIONAL SCHO LAUREL RIDGE HOSPI	21.7	21.3	22.0	21.9	21.8	36 <5t	101 1	35.6	14 <5t	38.9
		LEE H S	17.3	18.0	17.8	18.9	18.1	43	346	12.4	<5h	
		MAC ARTHUR H S	21.1	21.5	22.1	21.5	21.7	115	417	27.6	39	33.9
		MADISON H S	19.5	21.4	21.1	21.1	20.9	137	472	29.0	38	27.7
		REAGAN H S	21.5	21.4	22.2	21.5	21.8	152	542	28.0	57	37.5
		ROOSEVELT H S	17.7	18.1	18.5	18.8	18.4	61	343	17.8	<10m	•
	NORTHSIDE ISD		20.4	21.0	21.4	21.1	21.1	991	3,509	28.2	314	31.7
		BUSINESS CAREERS H	18.9	19.2	19.0	19.6	19.3	57	0		<10m	
		CHALLENGE H S						<5t	5		<5t	
		COMMUNICATIONS ART	23.2	23.3	23.5	22.9	23.4	<40m	0		20	
		GOALS-VILLA ROSA						<5t	1		<5t	
		HEALTH CAREERS H S	23.2	23.5	24.0	22.5	23.4	120	223	53.8	62	51.7
		HOLMES H S	17.6	18.0	18.9	18.3	18.3	66	581	11.4	8	12.1
		HOLMGREEN JR-SR H	•	•	•	•	•	<5t	2	•	<5t	•
		HOMEBOUND	17.9	10 /	10.4	10.0	18.9	<5t 77	9 4 <b>71</b>	16.3	<5t 13	16.9
		JAY H S MARSHALL H S	19.8	18.4 20.1	19.4 20.5	19.2 20.9	20.5	131	471 466	28.1	32	24.4
		SANDRA DAY O'CONNO	20.6	21.3	21.9	21.4	21.4	202	626	32.3	63	31.2
		SCHOOL AGED PARENT	20.0					<5t	11		<5t	
		SUNSET H S						<5t	31		<5t	
		TOM CLARK H S	21.3	22.6	22.7	22.0	22.3	162	502	32.3	70	43.2
		WILLIAM H TAFT H S	19.6	20.1	20.7	20.7	20.5	137	581	23.6	37	27.0
	POR VIDA ACAD	POR VIDA ACADEMY	•					<5t	52		<5t	
	POSITIVE SOLUT	POSITIVE SOLUTIONS						<5t	40		<5t	
	RADIANCE ACADE	RADIANCE ACADEMY O		•		•	•	<5t	4		<5t	
	RANDOLPH FIELD	RANDOLPH H S	20.1	21.8	22.3	21.5	21.5	26	58	44.8	11	42.3
	SAN ANTONIO CA	SAN ANTONIO CAN HI			•			<5t	4	•	<5t	٠
	SAN ANTONIO IS	ALAMO ACHIEVEMENT	15.8	17.0	17.0	17.5	17.0	783 <5t	2,438 9	32.1	45 <5t	5.7
		BRACKENRIDGE H S	17.2		18.5	18.6	18.2	188	403	46.7	18	9.6
		BURBANK H S	15.8	17.3	17.0	17.5	17.0	124	236	52.5	9	7.3
		EDISON H S	15.3		16.5	17.4	16.6	94	303	31.0	<5h	
		FOX TECHNICAL H S	14.8	16.9	16.1	16.8	16.2	<40m	273		<5h	
		HEALY-MURPHY						<5t	13		<5t	
		HIGHLANDS H S	16.6	17.4	17.6	18.2	17.6	139	357	38.9	9	6.5
		HOUSTON H S	14.7	16.0	16.0	15.7	15.7	60	182	33.0	<5h	•
		JEFFERSON H S	15.7	16.9	16.6	17.1	16.7	46	316	14.6	<5h	
		LANIER H S	13.8	15.5	14.7	15.9	15.1	87	285	30.5	<5h	•
		NAVARRO ACADEMY	15.0	16.3	16.6	16.7	16.3	<15m	61	•	<5h	•
	SAN ANTONIO SC	SAN ANTONIO SCHOOL		•	•	•	•	<5t	6	•	<5t	•
	SOMERSET ISD	SOMERSET HIGH SCHO	19.7	20.4	21.5	20.1	20.7	15	101	14.9	<5h	
	SOUTH SAN ANTO		14.9	16.4	15.4	17.3	16.1	128	426	30.0	5	3.9

Market College and College Col

 $<sup>{\</sup>it Note:}$  't' indicates masking is applied due to small number of students tested.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;h' indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>prime}\text{m}^{\prime}$  indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 2 ACT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

				Me	an score	9		Tested	Grac	duates	at or	inees above erion
County name	District name	Campus name		Math.		Sci.	Comp.	Num.	Num. Te	ested (%)	Num.	Pcnt.
BEXAR	SOUTH SAN ANTO	COMPETENCY BASED H SO SAN ANTONIO H S SOUTH SAN ANTONIO	16.0 14.6	16.1 16.5	16.4 15.2	18.3 17.0	16.7 15.9	<5t <30m <105m	18 102 306		<5t <5h <5h	
	SOUTHSIDE ISD	SOUTHSIDE H S	15.4	16.5	16.8	17.4	16.7	94	229	41.0	<5h	
	SOUTHWEST ISD	SOUTHWEST H S	17.5	17.8	18.0	18.9	18.2	84	408	20.6	12	14.3
	SOUTHWEST PREP	SOUTHWEST PREPARAT SOUTHWEST PREPARAT		:				<5t <5t <5t	44 34 10	· ·	<5t <5t <5t	•
BLANCO	BLANCO ISD	BLANCO H S	19.0	20.4	19.9	20.8	20.2	25	46	54.3	5	20.0
	JOHNSON CITY I	LYNDON B JOHNSON H	19.3	18.9	19.9	20.1	19.6	11	42	26.2	<5h	
BORDEN	BORDEN COUNTY	BORDEN COUNTY SCHO	18.9	17.8	19.1	19.3	18.8	8	9	88.9	<5h	
BOSQUE	CLIFTON ISD	CLIFTON H S	19.5	19.0	20.1	20.0	19.8	25	54	46.3	<5h	
	CRANFILLS GAP	CRANFILLS GAP SCHO				•		<5t	6	•	<5t	
	IREDELL ISD	IREDELL SCHOOL				•		<5t	8	•	<5t	
	KOPPERL ISD	KOPPERL SCHOOL						<5t	11		<5t	
	MERIDIAN ISD	MERIDIAN H S	18.9	18.2	20.4	19.3	19.3	26	28	92.9	<5h	
	MORGAN ISD	MORGAN SCHOOL						<5t	8		<5t	
	VALLEY MILLS I	VALLEY MILLS H S	24.3	24.1	25.0	24.0	24.4	8	29	27.6	5	62.5
	WALNUT SPRINGS	WALNUT SPRINGS SCH						<5t	10		<5t	
BOWIE	DEKALB ISD	DEKALB H S	19.4	18.4	20.3	19.9	19.5	57	62	91.9	10	17.5
	EAGLE ACADEMY	EAGLE ACADEMY OF T				•		<5t	2	•	<5t	
	HOOKS ISD	HOOKS H S	19.5	18.0	20.3	19.6	19.4	43	60	71.7	7	16.3
	LIBERTY-EYLAU	ALTER SCH	18.6	18.1	19.3	19.0	18.8	81 <5t	145 18	55.9	<15m <5t	
		JUVENILE JUSTICE D		18.1	19.3	19.0		<5t <85m	2 125		<5t <15m	
	MAUD ISD	MAUD SCHOOL	20.0	19.7	20.8	20.1	20.2	24	24	100.0	5	20.8
		NEW BOSTON H S	21.7	20.7	22.7	21.5	21.8	62	73	84.9	24	38.7
		PLEASANT GROVE H S		21.3	22.7	22.0	22.2	95	117	81.2	29	30.5
	REDWATER ISD	REDWATER H S	20.5	19.2	22.1	20.5	20.7	40	49	81.6	12	30.0
	SIMMS ISD		17.3	17.1	19.4	17.8	18.1	21	31	67.7	<5h	
		HOLY HIGHWAY JAMES BOWIE H S	17.3		19.4	17.8	18.1	<5t <25m	2 29		<5t <5h	

<sup>&#</sup>x27;h' indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;m' indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 2
ACT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

County name	District name	Compus name			an score			Tested		duates	at or crit	inees above erion
	District name	Campus name		Math.	неац.		Comp.	Num.	Nuiii. 16	ested (%)		Pcnt.
BOWIE	TEXARKANA ISD	OPTIONS	20.4	19.9	20.8	20.4	20.5	148 <5t	253 15	58.5	43 <5t	29.1
		TEXAS H S	20.4	19.9	20.8	20.4	20.5	<150m	238	•	<45m	
BRAZORIA	ALVIN ISD	ALVIN HIGH SCHOOL	19.1	19.6	19.9	19.8	19.7	68	471	14.4	10	14.7
	ANGLETON ISD	ANOLETON II C	19.7	21.1	20.6	20.7	20.7	43	277	15.5	8 <10m	18.6
		ANGLETON H S MARSHALL EDUCATION	19.7	21.1	20.6	20.7	20.7	<45m <5t	270 7		<10m <5t	
	BRAZOSPORT ISD		20.1	21.2	20.3	21.2	20.9	85	669	12.7	22	25.9
		ALTER PLACEMENT CT						<5t	3		<5t	
		ALTERNATIVE PLACEM						<5t	9	•	<5t	
		BRAZOSPORT H S BRAZOSWOOD H S	20.6 19.9	20.5 21.4	19.9 20.5	20.9 21.2	20.6 20.9	<25m <70m	188 469	•	<10m <20m	•
	COLUMBIA-BRAZO	COLUMBIA H S	20.0	19.5	20.2	19.8	20.0	51	167	30.5	11	21.6
	DANBURY ISD	DANBURY H S						<5t	50		<5t	
	PEARLAND ISD	PEARLAND H S	20.2	20.8	20.8	20.6	20.7	79	575	13.7	23	29.1
	SWEENY ISD	SWEENY H S	20.0	20.7	21.3	21.5	21.0	58	141	41.1	15	25.9
BRAZOS	BRAZOS SCHOOL	BRAZOS SCHOOL FOR	•				•	<5t	4		<5t	
	BRYAN ISD		18.3	20.3	19.0	20.0	19.5	128	631	20.3	22	17.2
		ACE CAMPUS						<5t	43	•	<5t	•
		BRYAN H S	18.3	20.3	19.0	20.0	19.5	<130m	588		<25m	
	COLLEGE STATIO	A & M CONS H S	22.1 22.1	23.8 23.8	22.0 22.0	22.4 22.4	22.7 22.7	98 <100m	484 474	20.2	41 <45m	41.8
		CENTER FOR ALTERNA						<5t	10		<5t	
	EAGLE PROJECT	EAGLE PROJECT (BRY						<5t	8		<5t	
BREWSTER	ALPINE ISD	ALPINE H S	21.0	20.8	21.7	20.9	21.2	53	73	72.6	13	24.5
	MARATHON ISD	MARATHON INDPENDEN					•	<5t	8		<5t	
	TERLINGUA CSD	BIG BEND H S	٠	•	•	•	•	<5t	7	•	<5t	•
BRISCOE	SILVERTON ISD	SILVERTON SCHOOL	19.5	21.6	18.7	19.7	20.1	11	11	100.0	<5h	•
BROOKS	BROOKS COUNTY	FALFURRIAS H S	16.9	17.8	18.1	18.6	18.0	45	95	47.4	5	11.1
BROWN	BANGS ISD	DANCE II C	21.6		22.2	21.3	21.9	23	50	46.0	9	39.1
		BANGS H S C A P HIGH SCHOOL	21.6	21.4	22.2	21.3	21.9	<25m <5t	47 3		<10m <5t	
	BLANKET ISD	BLANKET H S	18.0	17.9	19.5	17.8	18.5	20	21	95.2	<5h	
	BROOKESMITH IS	BROOKESMITH H S	17.6	18.6	21.1	18.8	19.1	17	19	89.5	<5h	
	BROWNWOOD ISD		19.0		20.3	20.6	20.3	62	217	28.6	<20m	
		BROWNWOOD H S CAREER PREP H S	19.0	20.9	20.3	20.6	20.3	<65m <5t	212 5		<20m <5t	

<sup>&#</sup>x27;h' indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;m' indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 2 ACT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

				Mo				Tantad	Cna	, du o to o	at or	inees above
County name	District name	Campus name		Math.			Comp.	Tested Num.		duates ested (%)		erion Pcnt.
BROWN	BROWNWOOD STAT	BROWNWOOD STATE SC						<5t	0		<5t	
	EARLY ISD	EARLY H S	21.2	22.8	22.6	22.4	22.5	62	87	71.3	29	46.8
	MAY ISD	MAY H S						<5t	18		<5t	
	ZEPHYR ISD	ZEPHYR H S	17.3	19.4	18.5	19.5	18.7	15	12	100.0	<5h	
BURLESON	CALDWELL ISD	CALDWELL H S	17.0	19.2	16.8	19.7	18.3	12	101	11.9	<5h	
	SNOOK ISD	SNOOK SECONDARY				•		<5t	24		<5t	
	SOMERVILLE ISD	SOMERVILLE H S	16.7	18.3	18.1	19.1	18.2	9	59	15.3	<5h	
BURNET	BURNET CONS IS		19.5	20.9	20.0	20.6	20.4	62	143	43.4	14	22.6
		BURNET H S QUEST	19.3	20.8	19.8	20.4	20.2	<65m <5t	139 4		<15m <5t	•
		QUEST	•	•	•	•	•	\50	4	•	\J.	•
	MARBLE FALLS I	MARBLE FALLS H S	20.8	20.6	21.1	20.8	21.0	90	174	51.7	21	23.3
CALDWELL	LOCKHART ISD		19.2	19.7	19.8	19.2	19.6	<65m	257		12	
		LOCKHART H S	19.2	19.7	19.8	19.2	19.6	<65m	239		<15m	
		LOCKHART PRIDE HS	•			•		<5t	18	•	<5t	•
	LULING ISD	LULING H S	18.1	20.2	18.9	19.6	19.4	29	80	36.3	6	20.7
	PRAIRIE LEA IS	PRAIRIE LEA SCHOOL						<5t	10		<5t	
CALHOUN	CALHOUN CO ISD		19.3	19.4	19.9	20.1	19.9	34	207	16.4	8	23.5
		CALHOUN H S	19.3	19.4	19.8	20.1	19.8	<35m	181		<10m	
		HOPE H S	•	•	•	•		<5t	26	•	<5t	•
CALLAHAN	BAIRD ISD	BAIRD H S	18.8	18.6	19.3	20.6	19.4	32	38	84.2	8	25.0
	CLYDE CONS ISD	CLYDE H S	21.4	20.3	20.8	21.5	21.1	53	79	67.1	14	26.4
	CROSS PLAINS I	CROSS PLAINS H S	19.4	21.1	21.0	21.6	21.0	21	33	63.6	<5h	
	EULA ISD	EULA H S	19.5	20.3	18.6	19.9	19.7	21	30	70.0	5	23.8
CAMERON	BROWNSVILLE IS		16.6	18.6	17.6	18.4	17.9	249	1,673	14.9	18	7.2
		HANNA H S	18.0	18.8	19.7	18.8	19.0	64	469	13.6	11	17.2
		LINCOLN PARK SCH						<5t	21	•	<5t	
		LOPEZ H S PACE H S	18.8 16.5	19.9 19.0	18.2 18.0	19.9 19.0	19.3 18.2	<20m <45m	293 302	•	<5h <5h	•
		PORTER H S	16.1	18.4	17.2	18.2	17.6	43	318	13.5	<5h	•
		RIVERA H S	15.5	18.0	16.0	17.6	16.9	83	270	30.7	<5h	
	EAGLE PROJECT	EAGLE PROJECT (BRO	•	•	•		•	<5t	23	•	<5t	
	HARLINGEN CONS	HADI TNOEN !! C	17.6	19.0	18.7	18.8	18.6	170	753	22.6	22	12.9
		HARLINGEN H S HARLINGEN H S - SO	16.9 18.0	18.7 19.3	17.9 19.3	18.7 18.9	18.2 18.9	<75m 97	417 310	31.3	<5h <20m	•
		KEYS ACAD	18.0	19.3	19.5	18.9	18.9	97 <5t	26		<5t	
	LA FERIA ISD		18.2	18.2	19.3	18.7	18.7	78	158	49.4	8	10.3

<sup>&#</sup>x27;h' indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;m' indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 2
ACT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

County name	District name	Campus name		· Mea Math.	an score Read.		Comp.	Tested Num.		duates ested (%)	at or	inees above erion Pont
CAMERON	LA FERIA ISD	LA FERIA ALTER LA FERIA H S	18.2	18.2	19.3	18.7	18.7	<5t <80m	19 139		<5t <10m	:
	LOS FRESNOS CO	LOS FRESNOS HS	18.9	19.4	20.4	19.2	19.6	66	317	20.8	15	22.7
	POINT ISABEL I	PORT ISABEL H S	16.7	17.0	18.2	17.6	17.5	10	137	7.3	<5h	
	RIO HONDO ISD	RIO HONDO H S	16.3	18.4	17.2	17.5	17.4	61	97	62.9	<5h	
	SAN BENITO CON	SAN BENITO H S	15.1	16.4	15.7	17.9	16.4	37	358	10.3	<5h	
	SANTA MARIA IS							<5t	27		<5t	
		SANTA MARIA ALTERN SANTA MARIA H S		•	•		•	<5t <5t	1 26	•	<5t <5t	•
		OANTA WARTA II O	•	•	•	•	•	٠٥٢	20	•	٠٥٢	•
	SANTA ROSA ISD	SANTA ROSA H S	13.8	15.5	14.6	15.7	15.1	19	58	32.8	<5h	•
	SOUTH TEXAS IS	LITOU COLICOL FOR LIF	21.6	22.8	23.2	22.0	22.5	175	325	53.8	75	42.9
		HIGH SCHOOL FOR HE THE SCIENCE ACADEM	20.1 23.5	20.8 25.3	21.8 25.0	20.1 24.2	20.8 24.6	<70m 82	143 124	66.1	<20m 50	61.0
		THE TEACHER ACADEM	19.1	20.2	21.0	20.4	20.3	<30m	58		<10m	
	VALLEY HIGH	VALLEY HIGH					•	<5t	42		<5t	
CAMP	PITTSBURG ISD	PITTSBURG H S	19.3	20.3	19.1	17.8	19.1	8	86	9.3	<5h	
CARSON	GROOM ISD	GROOM SCHOOL	23.4	21.5	22.2	22.6	22.5	11	12	91.7	<5h	
	PANHANDLE ISD	PANHANDLE H S	20.4	20.6	22.8	21.8	21.5	27	41	65.9	8	29.6
	WHITE DEER ISD	WHITE DEER H S	17.6	18.6	18.3	18.8	18.5	20	29	69.0	<5h	
CASS	ATLANTA ISD	ATLANTA H S	19.7	18.5	20.4	20.0	19.8	81	104	77.9	17	21.0
	AVINGER ISD	AVINGER H S	17.4	17.3	17.7	19.3	18.1	7	8	87.5	<5h	
	BLOOMBURG ISD	BLOOMBURG H S	23.1	18.9	22.9	22.5	21.8	8	12	66.7	<5h	•
	HUGHES SPRINGS	HUGHES SPRINGS HIG	18.6	18.5	19.1	19.5	19.0	30	43	69.8	<5h	
	LINDEN-KILDARE	LINDEN-KILDARE H S	20.7	19.0	21.3	19.8	20.3	45	56	80.4	12	26.7
	MCLEOD ISD	MCLEOD H S	20.9	22.2	21.0	20.4	21.3	21	35	60.0	7	33.3
	QUEEN CITY ISD	QUEEN CITY H S	17.2	17.1	17.8	18.0	17.7	52	62	83.9	<5h	
CASTRO	DIMMITT ISD	DIMMITT H S	18.7	19.5	19.7	20.0	19.6	47	79	59.5	11	23.4
	HART ISD	HART JR-SR H S	16.4	18.6	17.4	18.5	17.8	8	23	34.8	<5h	
	NAZARETH ISD	NAZARETH SCHOOL	26.7	25.7	25.5	23.8	25.6	16	21	76.2	12	75.0
CHAMBERS	ANAHUAC ISD	ANAHUAC H S GULF COAST H S HARDIN/CHAMBERS CT	16.1 16.1	17.6 17.6	16.2 16.2	16.8 16.8	16.8 16.8	13 <15m <5t <5t	63 60 2 1	20.6	<5h <5h <5t <5t	
		•										

<sup>&#</sup>x27;h' indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;m' indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 2 ACT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

County name	District name	Campus name		Mea			Comp.	Tested Num.		duates ested (%)	at or	inees above erion
CHAMBERS	BARBERS HILL I	BARBERS HILL H S	23.7	23.3	24.4	22.6	23.7	27	136	19.9	13	48.1
	EAST CHAMBERS	EAST CHAMBERS H S	16.5	16.9	18.3	19.3	18.0	15	57	26.3	<5h	
CHEROKEE	ALTO ISD	ALTO H S	18.7	18.2	19.6	19.9	19.3	15	0		<5h	
	JACKSONVILLE I	COMPASS CENTER	18.1	18.9	19.0	19.7	19.1	<105m <5t	212 15		<20m <5t	
		JACKSONVILLE H S	18.1	18.9	19.0	19.7	19.1	<105m	197		<20m	
	NEW SUMMERFIEL	NEW SUMMERFIELD SC					•	<5t	20	•	<5t	
	RUSK ISD	RUSK H S	19.5	19.3	20.7	20.0	19.9	28	100	28.0	6	21.4
	WELLS ISD	WELLS H S	20.5	18.6	20.5	20.5	20.0	8	20	40.0	<5h	
CHILDRESS	CHILDRESS ISD	CHILDRESS H S	19.3	20.0	20.7	20.8	20.3	56	69	81.2	7	12.5
CLAY	BELLEVUE ISD	BELLEVUE SCHOOL	19.2	18.6	24.2	20.8	20.8	5	8	62.5	<5h	
	BYERS ISD	BYERS SCHOOL	20.4	19.8	20.1	21.3	20.5	8	11	72.7	<5h	
	HENRIETTA ISD	HENRIETTA H S	20.7	20.1	20.4	21.9	20.9	25	58	43.1	<5h	
	MIDWAY ISD	MIDWAY SCHOOL	20.5	22.9	21.4	20.6	21.4	10	15	66.7	<5h	
	PETROLIA ISD	PETROLIA H S	20.9	19.9	22.0	21.2	21.2	17	35	48.6	6	35.3
COCHRAN	MORTON ISD	MODTON II O	17.0	18.7	18.1	18.2	18.1	<25m	39		<5h	
		MORTON H S P E P	17.0	18.7	18.1	18.2	18.1	<25m <5t	32 7		<5h <5t	
	WHITEFACE CONS		18.9	18.4	18.5	19.1	18.9	16	26	61.5	<5h	
		P E P ALTER CO-OP WHITEFACE H S	18.9	18.4	18.5	19.1	18.9	<5t <20m	1 25		<5t <5h	
		WHITEFACE H 3								•		•
COKE	BRONTE ISD	BRONTE H S	22.1 22.1	21.4 21.4	22.4 22.4	20.7 20.7	21.8 21.8	19 <20m	35 33	54.3	<10m <10m	:
		FAIRVIEW ACCELERAT						<5t	2		<5t	
	ROBERT LEE ISD		18.6	19.1	18.6	19.5	19.1	19	24	79.2	<5h	
		FAIRVIEW ACCELERAT ROBERT LEE H S	18.6	19.1	18.6	19.5	19.1	<5t <20m	1 23	•	<5t <5h	
COLEMAN	COLEMAN ISD	CO-OP ALTER PROG	18.3	19.0	18.9	19.6	19.2	33 <5t	56 8	58.9	6 <5t	18.2
		COLEMAN H S	18.3	19.0	18.9	19.6	19.2	<35m	48		<10m	
	NOVICE ISD							<5t	4		<5t	
		C A P	•	•	•	•		<5t	2		<5t	•
		NOVICE SCHOOL	•	•	•	•	•	<5t	2	•	<5t	•
	PANTHER CREEK	САР	19.0	19.7	20.4	20.2	20.0	<15m <5t	14 2	•	<5h <5t	
		PANTHER CREEK H S	19.0	19.7	20.4	20.2	20.0	<15m	12		<5h	·
	SANTA ANNA ISD		18.6	18.5	15.5	18.9	18.0	8	16	50.0	<5h	

<sup>&#</sup>x27;h' indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;m' indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 2
ACT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

County name	District none	Compus nome						Tested		aduates	at or crit	inees above erion
		Campus name					Comp.	Num.		Tested (%)		Pont.
COLEMAN	SANTA ANNA ISD	C A P SANTA ANNA H S	19.7	19.4	16.4	19.7	19.0	<5t <10m	1 15		<5t <5h	
COLLIN	ALLEN ISD	ALLEN H S	21.3	21.5	22.5	21.6	21.8	189	619	30.5	62	32.8
	ANNA ISD	ANNA H S	22.0	20.6	21.0	21.0	21.6	9	63	14.3	<5h	
	BLUE RIDGE ISD	BLUE RIDGE H S	20.0	19.8	20.1	20.7	20.3	11	33	33.3	<5h	
	CELINA ISD	CELINA H S	19.9	20.8	21.5	21.8	21.2	38	60	63.3	14	36.8
	COMMUNITY ISD	COMMUNITY H S	22.0	19.8	21.4	19.6	20.8	5	56	8.9	<5h	
	FARMERSVILLE I	FARMERSVILLE H S	19.4	20.1	20.0	20.7	20.3	29	64	45.3	<5h	
	FRISCO ISD	FRISCO H S	21.5	21.9	22.4	22.0	22.1	134	310	43.2	47	35.1
	MCKINNEY ISD		21.2	21.3	22.4	21.6	21.8	172	558	30.8	56	32.6
		ACT ACADEMY AT J L		•	•		•	<5t	6	•	<5t	
		COUNTY RESIDENTIAL MCKINNEY HIGH SCHO	21.3	21.4	22.5	21.6	21.9	<5t 169	1 483	35.0	<5t <60m	•
		SERENITY HIGH	21.0					<5t	2		<5t	
		THE L I N C CTR						<5t	66		<5t	
	PLANO ISD	DLANO FACT CD II C	23.2	24.4	24.4	23.6	24.0	,	2,584	38.8	552	55.0
		PLANO EAST SR H S PLANO SR H S	23.1 23.0	24.6 24.1	24.4 24.0	23.6 23.3	24.1 23.7	<320m 359	977 911	30.4	<175m <190m	•
		PLANO SK II S PLANO WEST SENIOR	23.6	24.5	24.8	23.8	24.3	<330m	696		193	
	PRINCETON ISD	PRINCETON H S	21.7		21.3	21.2	21.6	9	90	10.0	<5h	
	PROSPER ISD	PROSPER H S	20.7	19.9	20.0	20.6	20.5	14	38	36.8	<5h	
	WYLIE ISD	WYLIE H S	19.6	20.6	21.2	20.8	20.6	110	208	52.9	30	27.3
COLLINGSWOR	SAMNORWOOD ISD	SAMNORWOOD SCHOOL	17.7	17.6	18.4	17.9	18.2	11	10	100.0	<5h	
	WELLINGTON ISD	WELLINGTON H S	17.8	18.8	19.1	19.2	18.9	32	39	82.1	<5h	
COLORADO	COLUMBUS ISD	COLUMBUS H S	21.1	19.3	19.5	19.7	20.0	22	93	23.7	<5h	
	RICE CONS ISD	RICE H S	17.0	19.1	19.0	19.3	18.6	33	95	34.7	5	15.2
	WEIMAR ISD	WEIMAR H S	19.3	21.1	21.1	19.9	20.5	12	35	34.3	<5h	
COMAL	COMAL ISD		20.5	20.5	21.8	20.8	21.0	172	595	28.9	45	26.2
		CANYON HIGH SCHOOL	20.4	20.9	21.3	20.8	20.9	<70m	225		<20m	
		COMAL LEADERSHIP I						<5t	26		<5t	
		SMITHSON VALLEY HI	20.7	20.5	22.3	20.9	21.2	104	344	30.2	<30m	•
	NANCY NEY CHAR	NANCY NEY CHARTER						<5t	4		<5t	
	NEW BRAUNFELS		19.4	20.3	20.9	20.6	20.4	<150m	386		26	
		NEW BRAUNFELS H S	19.4	20.3	20.9	20.6	20.4	<150m	362		<30m	
		THE NBISD LEARNING			-			<5t	24	•	<5t	
COMANCHE	COMANCHE ISD	COMANCHE H S	20.4	20.6	21.1	20.8	20.8	32	60	53.3	11	34.4

<sup>&#</sup>x27;h' indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;m' indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 2 ACT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

				Me	an score	e		Tested	Grad	duates	at or	inees above erion
County name	District name	Campus name	Eng.	Math.	Read.	Sci.	Comp.	Num.	Num. Te	ested (%)	Num.	Pcnt.
COMANCHE	DE LEON ISD	DE LEON H S	17.2	18.7	16.5	19.0	18.0	13	37	35.1	<5h	
	GUSTINE ISD	GUSTINE SCHOOL	16.6	18.4	19.6	19.1	18.6	7	13	53.8	<5h	
	SIDNEY ISD	SIDNEY SCHOOL						<5t	8		<5t	
CONCHO	EDEN C I S D	EDEN H S	17.9	18.9	19.2	18.4	18.7	21	21	100.0	<5h	
	PAINT ROCK ISD	PAINT ROCK SCHOOL	17.8	19.7	20.2	20.3	19.7	6	13	46.2	<5h	
COOKE	CALLISBURG ISD	CALLISBURG H S	19.8	18.4	22.5	21.6	20.5	12	55	21.8	<5h	
	ERA ISD	ERA SCHOOL	22.9	21.7	25.1	23.4	23.3	11	27	40.7	5	45.5
	GAINESVILLE IS	GAINESVILLE H S	18.8	19.2	20.5	19.8	19.7	39	126	31.0	5	12.8
	GAINESVILLE ST	GAINESVILLE STATE						<5t	0		<5t	
	LINDSAY ISD	LINDSAY H S	21.2	21.6	19.9	22.2	21.4	12	38	31.6	5	41.7
	MUENSTER ISD	MUENSTER H S	21.5	25.8	21.5	24.0	23.3	6	28	21.4	<5h	
	VALLEY VIEW IS	VALLEY VIEW HS/MS	20.6	26.0	22.4	23.0	23.0	5	40	12.5	<5h	
CORYELL	COPPERAS COVE	000000000 00000 11 0	19.4	19.9	20.5	20.3	20.2	79	410	19.3	18	22.8
		COPPERAS COVE H S CROSSROADS HIGH SC	19.4	19.9	20.5	20.3	20.2	<80m <5t	394 16	•	<20m <5t	
	EVANT ISD	EVANT H S	21.8	19.7	22.1	19.5	20.9	17	22	77.3	5	29.4
	GATESVILLE ISD	GATESVILLE H S	21.6	20.6	22.0	21.8	21.6	52	142	36.6	15	28.8
	JONESBORO ISD	JONESBORO SCHOOL						<5t	3	•	<5t	
	OGLESBY ISD	OGLESBY SCHOOL						<5t	12		<5t	
COTTLE	PADUCAH ISD	PADUCAH SCHOOL	20.1	19.6	19.0	20.1	19.8	14	21	66.7	<5h	
CRANE	CRANE ISD	CRANE H S	18.9	20.1	20.7	20.9	20.3	34	61	55.7	6	17.6
CROCKETT	CROCKETT CO CO	OZONA H S	16.9	19.3	18.6	19.0	18.6	16	49	32.7	<5h	
CROSBY	CROSBYTON ISD	CAP ROCK CO LRN CO	16.9	17.3	18.1	19.1	17.9	18 <5t	29	62.1	<5h	
		CROSBYTON H S	16.9	17.3	18.1	19.1	17.9	<20m	5 24		<5t <5h	
	LORENZO ISD	LORENZO H S	20.6	19.6	20.1	21.0	20.4	7	15	46.7	<5h	
	RALLS ISD	CAPROCK COUNTY LE	17.8	20.4	19.8	20.4	19.8	17	38 5	44.7	<5h	
		RALLS H S	17.8	20.4	19.8	20.4	19.8	<5t <20m	33		<5t <5h	
CULBERSON	CULBERSON COUN	VAN HORN H S	17.5	19.0	20.0	18.5	18.8	20	46	43.5	<5h	
DALLAM	DALHART ISD	DALHART H S	18.7 18.7	19.0 19.0	19.0 19.0	19.4 19.4	19.2 19.2	42 <45m	59 56	71.2	<10m <10m	

<sup>&#</sup>x27;h' indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;m' indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 2
ACT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

											at or	inees above
County name	Dietniet neme	Compute name	Eng		an score					iduates		erion Pont
county name	District name	Campus name	-	Math.		501.	Comp.	Num.	Nulli. I	ested (%)		Pont.
DALLAM	DALHART ISD	X I T SECONDARY SC						<5t	3		<5t	
	TEXLINE ISD	TEXLINE SCHOOL	22.2	20.7	25.0	23.8	23.2	6	7	85.7	<5h	
DALLAS	ALPHA CHARTER	ALPHA CHARTER SCHO				•		<5t	2		<5t	
	CARROLLTON-FAR		19.9	21.6	21.0	20.7	20.9	232	1,201	19.3	66	28.4
		CREEKVIEW HIGH SCH	19.9	21.8	21.3	21.0	21.1	113	496	22.8	33	29.2
		GRIMES EDUCATION C						<5t	49		<5t	
		SMITH HIGH SCHOOL	20.8	21.8	21.4	20.7 20.1	21.4 20.1	58	306 350	19.0	21	36.2
		TURNER HIGH SCHOOL	18.9	20.9	20.0	20.1	20.1	<60m	330	•	<15m	•
	CEDAR HILL ISD	CEDAR HILL H S	20.1	19.3	20.5	20.5	20.2	145	349	41.5	37	25.5
	COPPELL ISD	COPPELL H S	21.7	23.0	22.7	22.1	22.5	207	518	40.0	84	40.6
	DALLAS CAN ACA							<5t	318		<5t	
		DALLAS CAN! ACADEM						<5t	105		<5t	
		DALLAS CAN! ACADEM	•					<5t	213	•	<5t	•
	DALLAS ISD		16.3	17.4	17.3	17.8	17.3	858	6,005	14.3	79	9.2
	DI ILLI IO TOD	A MACEO SMITH H S	12.7	14.2	13.0	14.2	13.5	6	115	5.2	<5h	
		BARBARA MANNS H S						<5t	0		<5t	
		BOOKER T WASHINGTO	21.1	19.0	21.4	19.9	20.6	38	151	25.2	10	26.3
		BRYAN ADAMS H S	19.8	20.6	20.3	20.6	20.4	38	361	10.5	12	31.6
		BUCKNER ACADEMY				16.7	15.6	<5t	4		<5t	•
		DAVID W CARTER H S H GRADY SPRUCE H S	14.9 13.7	15.3 15.0	15.2 14.6	16.7 17.1	15.6 15.3	68 7	352 230	19.3 3.0	<5h <5h	
		HEALTH SPECIAL			14.0			, <5t	2		<5t	
		HILLCREST H S	19.8	20.6	20.9	19.8	20.4	36	212	17.0	9	25.0
		JAMES MADISON H S	14.0	15.9	15.2	15.4	15.2	10	87	11.5	<5h	
		JUSTIN F KIMBALL H	14.8	15.8	15.6	16.6	15.7	52	252	20.6	<5h	
		L G PINKSTON H S	14.4	14.0	14.6	15.8	14.9	8	104	7.7	<5h	
		LINCOLN H S	13.8 14.3	15.3 16.2	14.3 17.3	15.0 17.2	14.7 16.3	114	209 26	54.5	<5h <5h	•
		MIDDLE COLLEGE MOISES MOLINA H S	12.3	16.4	17.3	17.2	15.6	<10m 15	362	4.1	<5h	•
		NORTH DALLAS H S	14.3	18.7	17.0	18.8	17.3	6	288	2.1	<5h	
		ROOSEVELT H S	12.5	14.7	13.8	15.3	14.2	34	130	26.2	<5h	
		SCH OF GOVTLAW& LA	17.2	17.8	19.0	18.0	18.1	38	98	38.8	<5h	
		SCHOOL FOR THE TAL	23.5	23.6	24.4	22.4	23.5	13	52	25.0	6	46.2
		SCHOOL OF BUSINESS	17.5	17.9	18.1	17.8	18.0	25	108	23.1	<5h	
		SCHOOL OF EDUCATIO	16.8 17.9	16.5 18.5	17.1 18.3	16.3 18.8	16.8 18.5	20 29	45 112	44.4 25.9	<5h <5h	•
		SCHOOL OF HEALTH P SCHOOL OF SCIENCE	21.1	24.5	21.8	23.5	22.9	29	89	22.5	7	35.0
		SEAGOVILLE H S	15.5	17.0	18.3	18.1	17.5	8	173	4.6	<5h	
		SKYLINE H S	16.2	17.2	17.6	18.3	17.5	88	772	11.4	<5h	
		SOUTH OAK CLIFF H	14.2	16.0	14.8	16.6	15.6	26	214	12.1	<5h	
		SUNSET H S	14.1	15.7	16.7	18.1	16.3	29	245	11.8	<5h	
		THOMAS JEFFERSON H	15.2	17.1	15.7	17.0	16.4	23	221	10.4	<5h	
		W H ADAMSON H S W T WHITE H S	14.3 19.5	16.6 20.7	16.5 20.7	16.6 21.0	16.1 20.6	15 51	189 346	7.9 14.7	<5h	21.6
		W W SAMUELL H S	15.6	17.5	20.7 15.0	17.3	16.5	51 20	212	9.4	11 <5h	21.0
		WOODROW WILSON H S	20.8	21.8	22.0	21.8	21.5	11	244	4.5	<5h	
	DESOTO ISD	DE SOTO H S	19.2	19.0	19.7	19.4	19.4	193	346	55.8	30	15.5
	DUNCANVILLE IS		19.0	19.2	19.5	20.1	19.6	302	664	45.5	54	17.9

Market 11 to the first constitution of the second state of the sec

 $<sup>{\</sup>it Note:}$  't' indicates masking is applied due to small number of students tested.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;h' indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;m' indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 2 ACT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

				Me	an score			Tested	Gra	duates	at or	inees above erion
County name		Campus name					Comp.	Num.		ested (%)	Num.	
DALLAS	DUNCANVILLE IS	DUNCANVILLE H S	19.0	19.2	19.5	20.1	19.6	<305m	622		<55m	
		PACE						<5t	42		<5t	
	EAGLE ADVANTAG	EAGLE ADVANTAGE CH						<5t	29	•	<5t	•
	EAGLE PROJECT	EAGLE PROJECT (DAL						<5t	3		<5t	
	EDUCATION CENT	EDUCATION CENTER I				•		<5t	3		<5t	
	FAITH FAMILY A	FAITH FAMILY ACADE				·		<5t	5		<5t	
	GARLAND ISD	CARLAND II C	20.1 22.9	20.2 22.4	21.0	20.7	20.6		2,453	23.2	147	25.8
		GARLAND H S GISD EVENING SCH		22.4	23.8	22.8	23.1	110 <5t	407 28	27.0	52 <5t	47.3
		LAKEVIEW CENTENNIA	17.0	17.1	18.3	18.6	17.9	<70m	315		<10m	
		N GARLAND H S	19.0	19.8	19.9	20.5	19.9	<75m	374		<15m	
		NAAMAN FOREST H S	21.1	21.2	21.9	20.8	21.4	109	467	23.3	32	29.4
		ROWLETT H S	20.2	20.0	20.7	20.6	20.5	113	498	22.7	29	25.7
		S GARLAND H S	18.5	19.4	19.6	19.7	19.4	102	363	28.1	15	14.7
		WARREN AEC	•	•	•	•	•	<5t	1	•	<5t	•
	GRAND PRAIRIE		17.9	19.3	18.8	19.5	19.0	203	937	21.7	29	14.3
	GIV ND TIV CITIE	GRAND PRAIRIE H S	17.5	18.8	18.2	18.9	18.4	<85m	429		<10m	
		LLOYD BOZE SECONDA						<5t	19		<5t	
		SO GRAND PRAIRIE H	18.2	19.6	19.2	20.0	19.3	<125m	489		<25m	
	HIGHLAND PARK	HIGHLAND PARK HIGH	24.8	25.2	25.2	23.8	24.8	198	366	54.1	122	61.6
	HONORS ACADEMY							<5t	219		<5t	
		DESTINY HIGH SCHOO						<5t	7		<5t	
		EXCEL ACADEMY						<5t	14		<5t	
		HONORS ACADEMY						<5t	68		<5t	
		LANDMARK SCHOOL						<5t	17		<5t	
		LEGACY HIGH SCHOOL				•		<5t	9		<5t	
		UNIVERSITY SCHOOL				•		<5t	36		<5t	•
		Y W HIGH SCHOOL	•	•	•	•	•	<5t	68	•	<5t	•
	I AM THAT I AM	I AM THAT I AM ACA						<5t	10		<5t	
	IRVING ISD		18.7	19.5	19.5	19.6	19.5	228	1,201	19.0	39	17.1
		IRVING H S	19.4	19.9	20.5	19.9	20.1	<70m	432		<15m	
		MACARTHUR H S	18.2	18.9	18.5	19.0	18.8	<80m	358		<10m	
		NIMITZ H S	18.5	19.7	19.5	19.9	19.6	85	395	21.5	17	20.0
		THE ACADEMY OF IRV						<5t	1		<5t	
		UNION BOWER CENTER	•	•	•	•	•	<5t	15	•	<5t	•
	JEAN MASSIEU A	JEAN MASSIEU ACAD				•		<5t	1		<5t	•
	LANCASTER ISD	LANCASTER H S	16.4	16.4	17.4	17.8	17.2	60	225	26.7	<5h	
	MESQUITE ISD	MECOLITE AGAD	19.2	19.5	20.1	19.9	19.8		1,714	34.5	123	20.8
		MESQUITE H S	10 4	10.5	20.7	20.4	20 1	<5t	60 502	46 1	<5t	23 0
		MESQUITE H S NORTH MESQUITE H S	19.4	19.5 20.2	20.7 19.2	20.4	20.1	273	592 432	46.1 23.8	65	23.8
		RALPH H POTEET H S	19.3 19.4	19.9	20.2	19.8 19.8	19.7 19.9	103 139	432 372	23.8 37.4	<25m 28	20.1
		WEST MESQUITE H S	18.2	17.5	18.8	18.2	18.3	<75m	258	37.4	<10m	20.1
			1512	.,	1010	1012	.5.0	-7 0111	_00	•	- 1 0111	•

<sup>&#</sup>x27;h' indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;m' indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 2
ACT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

County name	District name	Campus name	Eng.	Math.			Comp.	Tested Num.		duates ested (%)	at or crit	inees above erion Pcnt.
DALLAS	NORTH HILLS SC	NORTH HILLS SCHOOL	21.9	22.3	21.9	23.0	22.4	7	20	35.0	<5h	
	PEGASUS CHARTE	PEGASUS CHARTER SC						<5t	2		<5t	
	RICHARDSON ISD		21.3	22.8	22.5	21.8	22.2	726	1,806	40.2	279	38.4
	THOM TOO TOO	BERKNER H S	21.5	23.6	22.6	22.3	22.6	232	568	40.8	97	41.8
		LAKE HIGHLANDS H S	21.2		22.1	21.3	21.9	<160m	477	•.	<65m	
		PEARCE H S RICHARDSON H S	22.9 19.5	23.9 20.6	23.9 21.3	22.9 20.6	23.5 20.6	177 <160m	393 368	45.0	84 <40m	47.5
		HIGH/HIDOON II O	13.0	20.0	21.0	20.0	20.0	1700111	000	•	140111	•
	RYLIE FAITH FA	RYLIE FAITH FAMILY			•	•		<5t	16		<5t	
	UNIVERSAL ACAD	UNIVERSAL ACADEMY	٠	٠		•		<5t	3		<5t	•
	WILMER-HUTCHIN	WILMER-HUTCHINS H	14.2	14.8	14.8	15.0	14.7	15	90	16.7	<5h	•
	WINFREE ACADEM							<5t	344		<5t	
		WINFREE ACADEMY CH WINFREE ACADEMY CH	•	•	•	•	•	<5t <5t	114 152	•	<5t <5t	•
		WINFREE ACADEMY CH			:			<5t	78	:	<5t	
DAWSON	DAWSON ISD	DAWSON SCHOOL	24.0	21.5	22.0	21.7	22.3	6	9	66.7	<5h	
	KLONDIKE ISD	KLONDIKE H S	22.0	26.2	20.3	21.3	22.5	6	9	66.7	<5h	
	LAMESA ISD	LAMESA H S	17.9	19.3	19.5	19.5	19.2	70	141	49.6	14	20.0
	SANDS CISD	SANDS CISD	18.9	20.5	21.0	19.6	20.1	12	18	66.7	<5h	
DEAF SMITH	HEREFORD ISD	HEREFORD H S	19.1	20.1	20.0	20.2	20.0	93	248	37.5	17	18.3
DELTA	COOPER ISD	COOPER H S	21.9	21.6	23.4	22.5	22.4	27	45	60.0	12	44.4
	FANNINDEL ISD	FANNINDEL H S	17.9	18.0	17.1	18.9	18.1	9	18	50.0	<5h	•
DENTON	ARGYLE ISD	ARGYLE HIGH SCHOOL	•			•		<5t	1		<5t	
	AUBREY ISD	AUBREY H S	20.5	19.7	21.6	20.1	20.7	11	44	25.0	<5h	
	DENTON ISD		20.1	21.0	20.7	20.9	20.8	181	655	27.6	54	29.8
		DENTON H S FRED MOORE HIGH SC	21.0	21.9	21.2	21.5	21.5	<90m <5t	318 21	•	<30m <5t	•
		RYAN H S	19.2	20.2	20.3	20.3	20.1	93	316	29.4	<30m	÷
	EDUCATION CENT							<5t	26		<5t	
		EDUCATION CENTER A						<5t	9		<5t	
		EDUCATION CENTER A			•		•	<5t	17		<5t	
	KRUM ISD	KRUM H S	20.4	21.3	19.6	21.4	21.0	7	55	12.7	<5h	
	LAKE DALLAS IS		21.7	21.0	23.4	20.5	21.9	33	151	21.9	11	33.3
		LAKE DALLAS H S	21.7	21.0	23.4	20.5	21.9	<35m	150		<15m	
		LAKE DALLAS MIDDLE	•	•	•	•	•	<5t	1		<5t	•
	LEWISVILLE ISD		20.3	21.1	21.3	20.9	21.0	1,186	2,082	57.0	330	27.8
		FLOWER MOUND H S	21.1	22.2	22.1	21.5	21.8	<200m	388		70	
		HEBRON H S	19.6	20.7	19.9	20.2	20.3	<125m	185	•	<30m	•

<sup>&#</sup>x27;h' indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;m' indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 2 ACT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

				Me	an score	9		Tested	Gra	aduates	at or	inees above erion
County name	District name	Campus name	Eng.	Math.	Read.	Sci.	Comp.	Num.	Num. 7	Tested (%)	Num.	Pcnt.
DENTON	LEWISVILLE ISD	LEARNING CTR LEWISVILLE H S LEWISVILLE H S-NOR MARCUS H S THE COLONY H S	19.3 22.1 19.4	20.4 21.9 20.3	20.4 22.8 20.7	20.2 21.8 20.7	20.2 22.3 20.4	<5t 313 <5t 277 275	34 520 1 535 419	60.2 51.8 65.6	<5t 71 <5t 97 <70m	22.7 35.0
	LITTLE FLM ISD	LITTLE ELM H S	16.5	17.3	18.1	18.7	17.8	33	88	37.5	<5h	•
	NORTHWEST ISD	NORTHWEST ALLIANCE NORTHWEST H S	18.9	19.2	20.6	20.4	19.9	77 <5t <80m	266 11 255	28.9	14 <5t <15m	18.2
	PILOT POINT IS	PILOT POINT H S	22.4	22.5	23.1	22.3	22.7	31	55	56.4	12	38.7
	PONDER ISD	PONDER H S	20.5	22.1	22.7	21.8	21.9	13	34	38.2	<5h	
	SANGER ISD	LINDA TUTT LEARNIN SANGER H S	21.6 21.6	20.2	22.1 22.1	21.5 21.5	21.5 21.5	<45m <5t <45m	144 17 127		11 <5t <15m	
	UNIVERSITY OF	TEXAS ACADEMY OF M	28.1	30.5	29.7	27.7	29.0	13	0		13	100
DEWITT	CUERO ISD	CUERO H S	20.4	20.9	20.3	20.3	20.6	87	145	60.0	21	24.1
	NORDHEIM ISD	NORDHEIM SCHOOL						<5t	8		<5t	
	YOAKUM ISD	YOAKUM H S	18.9	18.9	18.8	19.6	19.1	42	79	53.2	6	14.3
	YORKTOWN ISD	YORKTOWN H S	16.7	17.3	17.7	17.7	17.5	6	28	21.4	<5h	
DICKENS	PATTON SPRINGS	PATTON SPRINGS SCH	18.2	18.2	18.9	20.0	19.0	17	14	100.0	<5h	
	SPUR ISD	SPUR SCHOOL	22.0	19.7	24.7	23.5	22.7	6	11	54.5	<5h	
DIMMIT	CARRIZO SPRING	CARRIZO SPRINGS H	16.6	17.1	17.1	18.1	17.4	27	119	22.7	<5h	
DONLEY	CLARENDON ISD	CLARENDON H S	22.3	19.3	20.8	19.6	20.7	26	23	100.0	6	23.1
	HEDLEY ISD	HEDLEY SCHOOL	16.1	18.6	19.1	19.3	18.4	8	15	53.3	<5h	
DUVAL	BENAVIDES ISD	BENAVIDES H S	17.0	16.7	17.7	17.9	17.5	28	32	87.5	<5h	
	FREER ISD	FREER H S	16.1	17.4	17.1	18.0	17.2	47	55	85.5	<5h	
	SAN DIEGO ISD	SAN DIEGO H S	15.2	16.3	16.3	17.3	16.4	32	83	38.6	<5h	
EASTLAND	CISCO ISD	CISCO H S	20.1	21.1	20.4	20.8	20.9	16	45	35.6	5	31.3
	EASTLAND ISD	EASTLAND H S	22.0	20.8	23.5	21.9	22.3	20	56	35.7	7	35.0
	GORMAN ISD	GORMAN H S	17.9	19.4	19.5	19.4	19.1	11	25	44.0	<5h	
	RANGER ISD	RANGER H S	22.0	23.3	24.5	21.8	23.0	20	31	64.5	7	35.0
	RISING STAR IS	RISING STAR H S	17.0	17.3	17.7	17.2	17.3	6	10	60.0	<5h	
ECTOR	ECTOR COUNTY I		18.9	19.6	20.6	20.3	20.0	145	1,333	10.9	34	23.4

<sup>&#</sup>x27;h' indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;m' indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 2
ACT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

								<b>T</b>	•		at or	inees above
0	D:-+-:-+	0			an score					raduates		erion
county name	District name	Campus name	Eng.	Math.	неац.	501.	Comp.	Num.	Nulli.	Tested (%)		Pont.
ECTOR	ECTOR COUNTY I	АТМ						<5t	90		<5t	
20.0	201011 0001111 1	CAREER CTR	14.8	15.8	20.3	18.1	17.4	<10m	193		<5h	
		HOOD J H						<5t	1		<5t	
		ODESSA H S	19.6	20.4	20.4	20.0	20.2	<65m	528		<25m	
		PERMIAN H S	18.8	19.4	20.8	20.8	20.0	73	518	14.1	<15m	
		TEEN PARENT CTR						<5t	3		<5t	•
EDWARDS	NUECES CANYON	NUECES CANYON HIGH	22.4	18.7	21.2	18.6	20.3	9	23	39.1	<5h	
	ROCKSPRINGS IS	ROCKSPRINGS H S	17.9	19.6	19.1	19.6	19.1	16	23	69.6	<5h	
EL PASO	ANTHONY	ANTHONY H S	14.3	15.5	15.3	16.3	15.5	44	42	100.0	<5h	
	BURNHAM WOOD C	BURNHAM WOOD H S						<5t	2		<5t	
	CANUTILLO ISD	CANUTILLO H S	16.4	17.4	17.8	18.2	17.6	105	220	47.7	8	7.6
	CLINT ISD		14.9	17.8	16.2	17.7	16.8	50	370	13.5	<5h	
		CLINT H S	15.1	16.0	17.8	18.8	17.1	<15m	176		<5h	
		MOUNTAIN VIEW H S	14.8	18.3	15.7	17.4	16.7	<40m	194		<5h	
	EL PASO ACADEM	EL PASO ACADEMY EA						<5t	63		<5t	
	EL PASO ISD		20.0	21.3	21.4	20.8	21.0	472	3,168	14.9	135	28.6
	22 17.00 100	ANDRESS H S	19.4	20.2	20.7	20.1	20.3	54	325		10	18.5
		AUSTIN H S	17.7	18.3	18.7	19.2	18.6	26	296		<5h	
		BOWIE H S	14.9	17.8	16.7	16.8	16.6	22	223	9.9	<5h	
		BURGES H S	17.8	18.0	18.6	19.2	18.5	36	292	12.3	<5h	
		CHAPIN HS						<5t	1		<5t	
		CORONADO H S	23.0	23.8	24.5	22.4	23.5	81	482		42	51.9
		EL PASO H S	18.2	22.5	17.6	20.1	19.7	<15m	252		<5h	
		FRANKLIN H S	21.3	22.5	22.6	21.6	22.0	127	504		46	36.2
		IRVIN H S	17.0	19.1	17.7	19.0	18.3	25	344		<5h	•
		JEFFERSON H S	18.0	19.1	17.9	18.9	18.6	<10m	188		<5h	
		SCHOOL-AGE PARENT SILVA HEALTH MAGNE	20.1	21.6	22.2	21.8	21.5	<5t 81	16 194		<5t 27	33.3
		SUNSET H S						<5t	51		<5t	
	FABENS ISD		15.4	17.0	16.8	18.0	16.9	44	148	29.7	<5h	
	I ADLINO TOD	FABENS A L T A PRO						<5t	13		<5t	:
		FABENS H S	15.4	17.0	16.8	18.0	16.9	<45m	135		<5h	
	PASO DEL NORTE	PASO DEL NORTE ACA	•					<5t	99		<5t	
	SAN ELIZARIO I	SAN ELIZARIO H S	14.6	17.8	16.0	17.1	16.4	64	146	43.8	<5h	
	SOCORRO ISD		17.6	18.3	19.1	18.4	18.5	119	1,423	8.4	17	14.3
		AMERICAS H S	16.0	18.0	17.8	17.9	17.6	66	452		<10m	
		MONTWOOD H S	19.4	18.8	20.7	19.3	19.7	<30m	464		<10m	
		SOCORRO H S	19.9	18.5	20.8	18.8	19.7	<25m	507		6	•
	TORNILLO ISD	TORNILLO H S	13.5	15.9	14.3	15.9	15.0	37	46	80.4	<5h	
	YSLETA ISD		16.4	18.1	18.1	18.4	17.9	279	2,611	10.7	31	11.1
		BEL AIR H S	18.2	18.7	20.1	19.0	19.1	34	434	7.8	5	14.7
		CESAR CHAVEZ ACAD						<5t	11		<5t	
		DEL VALLE H S	15.9	17.7	16.2	17.3	17.0	49	345	14.2	<5h	

 $<sup>{\</sup>it Note:}$  't' indicates masking is applied due to small number of students tested.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>prime}\text{h}^{\prime}$  indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>prime}\text{m}^{\prime}$  indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 2 ACT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

												inees above
County name	District name	Campus name		Me Math.	an score Read.		Comp.	Tested Num.		aduates Tested (%)		erion Pcnt.
EL PASO	YSLETA ISD	DISTRICT HOMEBOUND						<5t	4		<5t	
		EASTWOOD H S J M HANKS H S	19.7 18.5	21.0 20.8	22.0 20.7	20.6 21.3	21.1 20.5	31 30	347 504	8.9 6.0	10 6	32.3 20.0
		PARKLAND H S	17.8	17.4	21.6	19.0	19.0	5	201	2.5	<5h	20.0
		PLATO ACADEMY						<5t	102		<5t	
		RIVERSIDE H S	12.5	17.3	13.3	15.4	14.8	8	293	2.7	<5h	
		SCHOOL FOR ED ENRI	•		•	-	•	<5t	0	•	<5t	•
		TEJAS SCHOOL OF CH YSLETA H S	15.0	16.7	16.8	17.5	16.6	<5t 120	34 336	35.7	<5t 5	4.2
			10.0	10.7	10.0	17.0	10.0			00.7		7.2
ELLIS	AVALON ISD	AVALON SCHOOL	•	•	•	•	•	<5t	12	•	<5t	•
	ENNIS ISD	ENNIS H S	19.2	19.6	19.1	19.9	19.6	122	223	54.7	23	18.9
	FERRIS ISD	FERRIS H S			•			<5t	80	•	<5t	•
	ITALY ISD	ITALY H S	18.2	18.4	17.6	18.9	18.4	19	32	59.4	<5h	
	MAYPEARL ISD	MAYPEARL H S	18.0	19.3	20.3	20.1	19.5	15	46	32.6	<5h	
	MIDLOTHIAN ISD	MIDLOTHIAN H S	20.7	21.4	21.2	21.6	21.4	115	241	47.7	33	28.7
	MILFORD ISD	MILFORD SCHOOL		•				<5t	12		<5t	
	PALMER ISD	PALMER H S	19.0	19.0	19.0	19.2	19.2	21	44	47.7	<5h	
	RED OAK ISD	RED OAK H S	20.4	20.8	20.5	20.4	20.7	103	213	48.4	28	27.2
	WAXAHACHIE ISD		19.2	18.7	20.0	19.4	19.4	74	364	20.3	<20m	
		WAXAHACHIE H S	19.3	18.7	20.2	19.4	19.5	<75m	355		<20m	
		WILEMON EDUCATION/	•	•	•	•	•	<5t	9	•	<5t	•
ERATH	DUBLIN ISD	DUBLIN H S	19.8	20.4	19.6	20.5	20.2	21	59	35.6	<5h	
	HUCKABAY ISD	HUCKABAY SCHOOL	20.9	23.6	21.9	22.5	22.3	10	13	76.9	<5h	
	LINGLEVILLE IS	LINGLEVILLE SCHOOL	21.6	22.7	22.7	23.0	22.6	7	15	46.7	<5h	
	PARADIGM ACCEL	PARADIGM ACCELERAT	•					<5t	9		<5t	
	STEPHENVILLE	STEPHENVILLE H S	20.1	20.8	20.4	20.9	20.7	117	196	59.7	25	21.4
FALLS	CHILTON ISD	CHILTON SCHOOL						<5t	19		<5t	
	MARLIN ISD		17.6	17.7	18.1	18.2	18.1	17	74	23.0	<5h	
		MARLIN H S	17.6	17.7	18.1	18.2	18.1	<20m	72		<5h	
		THE LEARNING CENTE	•			•	•	<5t	2	•	<5t	•
	ROSEBUD-LOTT I		19.8	20.8	21.2	20.6	20.8	<30m	64		<10m	
		ROSEBUD LOTT LEARN						<5t	3		<5t	
		ROSEBUD-LOTT H S	19.8	20.8	21.2	20.6	20.8	<30m	61		<10m	
FANNIN	BONHAM ISD	BONHAM H S	20.2	19.9	22.4	21.1	21.0	29	91	31.9	11	37.9
	DODD CITY ISD	DODD CITY SCHOOL	20.6	19.8	19.7	20.4	20.2	10	15	66.7	<5h	
	ECTOR ISD	ECTOR SCHOOL	17.6	17.4	18.6	18.1	18.2	11	20	55.0	<5h	

<sup>&#</sup>x27;h' indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;m' indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 2
ACT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

County name	District name	Campus name		Mea			Comp.	Tested Num.		iduates Tested (%)	at or	inees above erion Pcnt.
FANNIN	HONEY GROVE IS	HONEY GROVE H S	18.2	19.5	20.2	19.6	19.6	24	40	60.0	5	20.8
	LEONARD ISD	LEONARD HIGH SCHOO	19.8	20.1	19.8	20.4	20.2	24	38	63.2	7	29.2
	SAM RAYBURN IS	RAYBURN H S	21.0	21.3	21.6	21.5	21.6	15	28	53.6	5	33.3
	SAVOY ISD	SAVOY H S						<5t	18		<5t	
	TRENTON ISD	TRENTON H S	20.5	19.5	23.7	19.7	20.8	6	22	27.3	<5h	
FAYETTE	FAYETTEVILLE I	FAYETTEVILLE H S	21.0	24.4	24.2	22.4	23.0	5	16	31.3	<5h	
	FLATONIA ISD	FLATONIA SECONDARY	19.5	19.4	18.6	20.3	19.6	22	41	53.7	<5h	
	LA GRANGE ISD	LA GRANGE H S	19.9	22.0	20.8	21.5	21.2	21	109	19.3	7	33.3
	ROUND TOP-CARM	ROUND TOP-CARMINE	21.3	22.0	23.5	23.3	22.8	6	17	35.3	<5h	
	SCHULENBURG IS	SCHULENBURG H S	23.8	23.8	24.8	23.2	24.0	5	41	12.2	<5h	
FISHER	ROBY CONS ISD	ROBY H S	19.1	18.2	18.8	18.8	18.9	10	19	52.6	<5h	
	ROTAN ISD	ROTAN H S	19.4	20.0	19.8	19.5	19.8	20	27	74.1	<5h	
FLOYD	FLOYDADA ISD	CAPROCK CO LRN CO-	19.4	21.3	20.1	20.4	20.5	32	50 1	64.0	7 <5t	21.9
		FLOYDADA H S	19.4	21.3	20.1	20.4	20.5	<5t <35m	49		<10m	
	LOCKNEY ISD	LOCKNEY H S	18.8	21.3	19.7	21.1	20.4	26	36	72.2	5	19.2
FOARD	CROWELL ISD	CROWELL H S	17.1	20.1	19.6	18.6	18.9	9	22	40.9	<5h	
FORT BEND	FORT BEND ISD		19.7	21.0	20.3	20.1	20.4	1,002	3,385	29.6	275	27.4
		CLEMENTS H S	22.3	23.9	23.0	22.3	23.0	191	589	32.4	88	46.1
		DULLES H S HIGHTOWER H S	19.7 18.0	22.3 19.0	20.3 19.0	20.4 18.7	20.8 18.8	<75m 154	439 460	33.5	<25m 30	19.5
		KEMPNER H S	19.9	21.7	20.2	20.4	20.7	<110m	543		27	
		LAWRENCE E ELKINS	20.1	20.7	20.5	20.2	20.5	191	410	46.6	55	28.8
		PROGRESSIVE H S						<5t	9		<5t	
		STEPHEN F AUSTIN H	20.0	21.7	20.7	20.9	20.9	172	617	27.9	50	29.1
		WILLOWRIDGE H S	16.0	16.4	16.7	16.8	16.5	111	318	34.9	<5h	•
	LAMAR CONSOLID		19.2	19.6	20.0	19.7	19.8	247	745	33.2	47	19.0
		B F TERRY H S	18.4	19.2	19.6	19.5	19.3	<165m	411		<25m	
		JUVENILE DETENT CT						<5t	1	•	<5t	
		LAMAR CONS H S	20.6	20.4	20.9	20.1	20.7	<90m	333	•	<30m	•
	NEEDVILLE ISD	NEEDVILLE H S	20.6	21.6	22.3	22.2	21.8	63	156	40.4	21	33.3
	STAFFORD MUNIC	STAFFORD HIGH SCHO	19.4	21.4	21.0	20.0	20.6	30	160	18.8	9	30.0
FRANKLIN	MOUNT VERNON I	MT VERNON H S	22.0	21.7	21.9	21.2	21.9	55	87	63.2	20	36.4
FREESTONE	FAIRFIELD ISD	FAIRFIELD H S	20.8	20.7	22.3	20.8	21.4	49	91	53.8	16	32.7
	TEAGUE ISD	TEAGUE H S	20.1	19.1	19.3	20.7	19.9	15	68	22.1	<5h	

<sup>&#</sup>x27;h' indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;m' indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 2 ACT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

				Me	an score	9		Tested	Gra	iduates	at or	inees above erion
County name	District name	Campus name	Eng.	Math.	Read.	Sci.	Comp.	Num.	Num. T	ested (%)	Num.	Pcnt.
FREESTONE	WORTHAM ISD	WORTHAM H S	15.5	15.3	16.4	16.8	16.2	12	16	75.0	<5h	
FRIO	DILLEY ISD	DILLEY H S	19.2	19.5	19.9	19.3	19.6	19	31	61.3	5	26.3
	PEARSALL ISD	PEARSALL H S	16.3	18.5	16.9	18.0	17.5	42	104	40.4	<5h	
GAINES	LOOP ISD	LOOP SCHOOL	22.0	23.4	20.7	22.4	22.1	7	10	70.0	<5h	
	SEAGRAVES ISD	CHOICES ALTERNATIV	16.3	17.9	18.1	18.9	18.0	21 <5t	40 2	52.5	<5h <5t	
		SEAGRAVES H S	16.3	17.9	18.1	18.9	18.0	<25m	38	•	<5h	÷
	SEMINOLE ISD	OFMINOLE II O	19.1	19.6	19.8	20.2	19.8	18	120	15.0	<5h	
		SEMINOLE H S SEMINOLE SUCCESS C	19.1	19.6	19.8	20.2	19.8	<20m <5t	115 5		<5h <5t	
GALVESTON	CLEAR CREEK IS		21.1	22.0	22.7	22.0	22.1	355	1,734	20.5	130	36.6
		CLEAR BROOK H S CLEAR CREEK H S	20.7 20.5	20.2 21.6	21.3 22.4	20.9 21.7	20.8 21.7	<95m 131	502 564	23.2	<25m <50m	•
		CLEAR LAKE H S	22.1	23.7	24.1	23.1	23.4	129	606	21.3	58	45.0
		CLEAR VIEW ALTER	•	•	•	•	•	<5t	62	•	<5t	•
	DICKINSON ISD	DICKINSON H S	18.6	18.1	18.5	19.3	18.7	15	230	6.5	<5h	
	FRIENDSWOOD IS	FRIENDSWOOD H S	22.7	23.1	23.2	22.5	23.0	97	354	27.4	40	41.2
	GALVESTON ISD	BALL H S	17.6	20.2	19.3	20.0	19.4	58	378	15.3	13	22.4
	HIGH ISLAND IS	HIGH ISLAND H S						<5t	23		<5t	
	HITCHCOCK ISD	HITCHCOCK H S						<5t	66		<5t	
	LA MARQUE ISD	LA MARQUE H S	15.5	16.8	15.4	16.9	16.2	41	228	18.0	<5h	
	SANTA FE ISD	SANTA FE H S	18.0	17.6	19.5	19.6	18.9	17	234	7.3	<5h	
	TEXAS CITY ISD	TEXAS CITY H S	17.2	18.7	18.3	18.9	18.4	33	249	13.3	<5h	
GARZA	POST ISD	POST H S	17.3	18.0	19.1	19.6	18.5	20	36	55.6	<5h	
	SOUTHLAND ISD	SOUTHLAND SCHOOL	16.7	18.5	17.7	19.3	18.2	6	13	46.2	<5h	
GILLESPIE	FREDERICKSBURG	ALTER SCH	19.5	19.6	20.1	20.3	20.0	<60m <5t	187 2	•	9 <5t	
		FREDERICKSBURG H S	19.5	19.6	20.1	20.3	20.0	<60m	185		<10m	÷
	HARPER ISD	HARPER H S	21.0	19.9	19.3	19.5	20.1	12	23	52.2	<5h	
GLASSCOCK	GLASSCOCK COUN	GLASSCOCK COUNTY H	19.3	21.7	20.2	20.7	20.5	10	24	41.7	<5h	
GOLIAD	GOLIAD ISD	GOLIAD H S	19.6	19.7	21.1	20.5	20.5	40	89	44.9	11	27.5
GONZALES	GONZALES ISD	GONZALES ALTER	16.9	18.5	18.1	18.1	18.0	<60m <5t	127 11	•	8 <5t	
		GONZALES H S	16.9	18.5	18.1	18.1	18.0	<60m	116	·	<10m	

......

 $<sup>{\</sup>it Note:}$  't' indicates masking is applied due to small number of students tested.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;h' indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;m' indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 2
ACT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

				Me				Tested		duates	at or crite	inees above erion
County name	District name	Campus name	Eng.	Math.	Head.	SC1.	Comp.	Num.	Num. I	ested (%)	Num.	Pont.
GONZALES	NIXON-SMILEY C	NIXON-SMILEY H S	16.9	19.7	19.7	20.1	19.2	18	51	35.3	<5h	
	WAELDER ISD	WAELDER H S	16.1	17.4	16.7	17.4	17.0	9	11	81.8	<5h	
GRAY	LEFORS ISD	LEFORS SCHOOL	17.0	16.6	16.8	17.2	17.2	5	7	71.4	<5h	
	MCLEAN ISD	MCLEAN SCHOOL	18.6	17.1	21.9	19.9	19.5	8	7	100.0	<5h	
	PAMPA ISD	P L C-PAMPA LEARNI	20.4	20.1	21.0	20.9	20.7	117 <5t	230 13	50.9	24 <5t	20.5
		PAMPA H S	20.4	20.1	21.0	20.9	20.7	<120m	217	•	<25m	
GRAYSON	BELLS ISD	BELLS H S	21.4	21.8	22.3	21.2	21.8	10	44	22.7	<5h	
	COLLINSVILLE I	COLLINSVILLE H S	17.9	19.1	19.7	20.3	19.3	27	27	100.0	5	18.5
	DENISON ISD	DENISON H S	21.6 21.6	23.2 23.2	23.2 23.2	22.1 22.1	22.7 22.7	59 <60m	192 189	30.7	26 <30m	44.1
		PATHWAYS H S						<5t	3		<5t	:
	GUNTER ISD	GUNTER H S	21.1	20.8	21.5	21.2	21.3	32	47	68.1	11	34.4
	HOWE ISD	HOWE H S	21.2	20.1	21.0	20.6	20.8	38	52	73.1	8	21.1
	POTTSBORO ISD	POTTSBORO H S	21.1	20.2	22.0	22.4	21.5	33	81	40.7	9	27.3
	S AND S CONS I	S AND S CONS H S	22.4	20.1	19.9	21.4	21.1	19	44	43.2	6	31.6
	SHERMAN ISD	DOLIOLAGO L FARNINO	21.4	21.1	22.4	21.4	21.7	74	280	26.4	23	31.1
		DOUGLASS LEARNING SHERMAN HIGH SCHOO	21.4	21.1	22.4	21.4	21.7	<5t <75m	18 262	•	<5t <25m	
	TOM BEAN ISD	TOM BEAN H S	19.8	20.8	20.2	21.9	20.8	18	39	46.2	5	27.8
	VAN ALSTYNE IS	VAN ALSTYNE H S	22.0	23.5	23.5	22.8	23.0	8	70	11.4	<5h	
	WHITESBORO ISD	WHITESBORO H S	21.0	19.9	21.7	20.4	20.9	49	75	65.3	19	38.8
	WHITEWRIGHT IS	WHITEWRIGHT H S	19.4	19.9	22.0	19.7	20.3	9	40	22.5	<5h	
GREGG	EAST TEXAS CHA	DAN CHADWICK CAMPU						<5t	79		<5t	
	GLADEWATER ISD	GLADEWATER H S	22.6	20.4	23.1	22.4	22.3	19	108	17.6	6	31.6
	KILGORE ISD	DANN/THE ALTERNATI	19.3	19.5	20.1	20.1	19.8	81	213	38.0	12	14.8
		DANVILLE ALTERNATI KILGORE H S	19.3	19.5	20.1	20.1	19.8	<5t <85m	7 206	:	<5t <15m	
	LONGVIEW ISD	LONGVIEW H S	18.6	19.6	19.5	19.7	19.5	106	356	29.8	23	21.7
	PINE TREE ISD	PINE TREE H S	20.8	21.4	21.5	21.3	21.4	128	271	47.2	45	35.2
	SABINE ISD	SABINE H S	21.8	21.8	23.7	22.2	22.4	18	75	24.0	6	33.3
	SPRING HILL IS	SPRING HILL H S	21.6	20.2	21.6	21.6	21.4	71	101	70.3	21	29.6
	WHITE OAK ISD	WHITE OAK H S	20.5	19.9	21.6	21.2	20.9	58	81	71.6	13	22.4

<sup>&#</sup>x27;h' indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;m' indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 2 ACT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

				Me	an score	9		Tested	Gra	duates	at or	inees above erion
County name	District name	Campus name	Eng.	Math.	Read.	Sci.	Comp.	Num.	Num. T	ested (%)	Num.	Pcnt.
GRIMES	ANDERSON-SHIRO	ANDERSON-SHIRO JR/	18.7	18.1	19.5	18.8	18.9	10	48	20.8	<5h	
	IOLA ISD	IOLA H S	18.4	19.0	18.2	18.7	18.8	9	41	22.0	<5h	
	NAVASOTA ISD		19.2	20.4	19.9	19.4	19.8	49	172	28.5	12	24.5
		NAVASOTA H S SUCCESS ACADEMY AT	19.2	20.4	19.9	19.4	19.8	<50m <5t	153 19		<15m <5t	
	RICHARDS ISD	RICHARDS H S						<5t	7		<5t	
GUADALUPE	MARION ISD		18.5	21.0	19.1	20.0	19.8	28	90	31.1	<5h	
		CAREER ACADEMY MARION H S	18.5	21.0	19.1	20.0	19.8	<5t <30m	5 85		<5t <5h	
	NAVARRO ISD	NAVARRO H S	19.3	20.5	20.6	20.6	20.4	36	70	51.4	9	25.0
	SCHERTZ-CIBOLO		20.0	20.7	20.7	20.8	20.7	<150m	421		39	
		ALLISON STEELE EN SAMUEL CLEMENS H S	20.0	20.7	20.7	20.8	20.7	<5t <150m	30 391		<5t <40m	
	SEGUIN ISD		17.9	18.4	19.1	19.3	18.8	67	322	20.8	8	11.9
		MERCER & BLUMBERG						<5t	54	•	<5t	
=		SEGUIN HIGH SCHOOL	17.9	18.4	19.1	19.3	18.8	<70m	268		<10m	
HALE	ABERNATHY ISD	ABERNATHY H S	20.2	19.5	20.9	20.1	20.3	35	46	76.1	9	25.7
	COTTON CENTER	COTTON CENTER SCHO	19.3	18.8	20.7	20.2	19.8	13	19	68.4	<5h	•
	HALE CENTER IS	HALE CENTER H S	20.0	17.7	19.5	19.2	19.3	19	31	61.3	<5h	•
	PETERSBURG ISD	PETERSBURG H S	20.0	19.9	20.2	20.9	20.4	16	21	76.2	<5h	•
	PLAINVIEW ISD	HOUSTON SCHOOL	19.4	20.0	20.1	20.3	20.0	126 <5t	347 40	36.3	28 <5t	22.2
		PLAINVIEW HIGH SCH	19.3	20.0	20.0	20.3	20.0	<125m	307		<30m	·
HALL	MEMPHIS ISD	MEMPHIS H S	18.8	19.5	20.2	20.5	19.9	26	34	76.5	6	23.1
	TURKEY-QUITAQU	VALLEY SCHOOL	21.5	20.8	25.0	22.2	22.6	11	15	73.3	<5h	
HAMILTON	HAMILTON ISD	HAMILTON H S	19.8	20.3	21.4	20.4	20.6	61	66	92.4	13	21.3
	HICO ISD	HICO H S	19.4	20.9	19.6	20.2	20.1	19	40	47.5	<5h	
HANSFORD	GRUVER ISD	GRUVER H S	20.0	22.0	21.8	21.4	21.5	20	28	71.4	6	30.0
	SPEARMAN ISD	SPEARMAN H S	20.0	20.6	19.2	20.8	20.4	24	39	61.5	6	25.0
HARDEMAN	CHILLICOTHE IS	CHILLICOTHE H S	16.0	17.6	16.6	18.2	17.2	5	17	29.4	<5h	
	QUANAH ISD	QUANAH H S	18.4	17.7	18.0	18.3	18.2	43	50	86.0	<5h	
HARDIN	HARDIN-JEFFERS	HARDIN-JEFFERSON H	19.5	19.8	19.8	19.8	19.9	12	120	10.0	<5h	
	KOUNTZE ISD	KOUNTZE H S	17.4	17.1	16.7	17.4	17.3	7	53	13.2	<5h	

.....

 $<sup>{\</sup>it Note:}$  't' indicates masking is applied due to small number of students tested.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;h' indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;m' indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 2
ACT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

County nome	District none	Compus nome						Tested		aduates	at or crit	inees above erion
	District name		-	Math.			Comp.	Num.	Nulli.	Tested (%)		Pont.
HARDIN	LUMBERTON ISD	LUMBERTON H S	20.3	19.2	20.4	20.3	20.1	21	192	10.9	<5h	
	SILSBEE ISD	SILSBEE H S	19.5	20.3	19.5	19.4	19.8	8	174	4.6	<5h	
	WEST HARDIN CO	WEST HARDIN H S	18.2	17.3	16.9	16.6	17.3	9	40	22.5	<5h	
HARRIS	ALDINE ISD		17.0	18.1	17.6	18.1	17.8		1,956	17.5	34	9.9
		ALDINE H S CARVER H S FOR AP	16.0 18.1	16.8 19.0	16.5 18.6	17.5 16.0	16.8 18.1	104 <10m	466 67	22.3	8 <5h	7.7
		CHESTER W NIMITZ H	17.4		18.2	18.6	18.4	98	425	23.1	12	12.2
		EISENHOWER H S	17.0	17.9	17.4	18.0	17.7	100	503	19.9	7	7.0
		MACARTHUR H S	18.5	19.7	19.6	18.9	19.2	<35m	386		<10m	
		W T HALL SCHOOL				•		<5t	109		<5t	
	ALIEF ISD		17.1	19.0	18.2	18.6	18.4	435	1,787	24.3	52	12.0
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	ELSIK H S	16.9		18.2	18.6	18.3	210	837	25.1	22	10.5
		HASTINGS H S	16.9	18.9	17.8	18.5	18.2	<190m	831		<25m	
		KERR H S	19.3	20.3	20.6	19.8	20.1	<40m	119	•	<10m	•
	ALPHONSO CRUTC	ALPHONSO CRUTCH'S-		•				<5t	59		<5t	
	AMERICAN ACADE	AMERICAN ACADEMY O						<5t	23		<5t	
	BAY AREA CHART	ED WHITE MEMORIAL			•		-	<5t	16	•	<5t	•
	BENJI'S SPECIA	BENJI'S SPECIAL ED			•		•	<5t	6		<5t	•
	CALVIN NELMS S	CALVIN NELMS HIGH	•		•	•	•	<5t	44		<5t	•
	CHANNELVIEW IS		19.2		20.5	19.1	20.1	22	269	8.2	<10m	
		CHANNELVIEW HIGH S	19.2	21.0	20.5	19.1	20.1	<25m	258	•	<10m	•
		ENDEAVOR SCHOOL	•	•	•	•	•	<5t	11	•	<5t	•
	COMQUEST ACADE	COMQUEST ACADEMY	•		•		•	<5t	40		<5t	
	CROSBY ISD	CROSBY HIGH SCHOOL	19.0	19.6	19.8	19.5	19.6	89	197	45.2	14	15.7
	CROSSROADS COM	CROSSROAD COMMUNIT	•	•	•	•	•	<5t	25		<5t	•
	CYPRESS-FAIRBA		20.0	21.5	21.1	21.1	21.1	,	3,563	31.4	319	28.5
		CY-FAIR H S CYPRESS CREEK H S	20.6		21.3 21.6	21.6 21.6	21.4 21.7	280 174	599 613	46.7 28.4	80 66	28.6 37.9
		CYPRESS FALLS H S	19.4		20.6	20.3	20.5	182	571	31.9	39	21.4
		CYPRESS SPRINGS H		20.7		20.5		<115m			<35m	
		JERSEY VILLAGE H S	19.4	21.2	20.7	20.9	20.7	211	620	34.0	53	25.1
		LANGHAM CREEK H S	20.7	22.4	21.9	21.8	21.9	147	538	27.3	49	33.3
		WINDFERN H S	16.5	18.0	18.7	19.4	18.4	<15m	165	•	<5h	•
	DEER PARK ISD		19.9	22.0	20.8	20.9	21.0	71	646	11.0	16	22.5
		DEER PARK H S - NO	19.9	22.0	20.8	20.9	21.0	<75m	582		<20m	
		WOLTERS ACCELERATE	•	•	•	•	•	<5t	64	•	<5t	•
	GALENA PARK IS		16.8	17.2	17.6	18.0	17.5	244	961	25.4	17	7.0
		A C E CTR						<5t	36	•	<5t	
		GALENA PARK H S NORTH SHORE H S	16.3 16.9	17.0 17.2	17.8 17.5	18.5 17.9	17.5 17.5	<45m <205m	287 0	•	<5h <15m	•
		NORTH SHORE SR H S						<5t	638		<5t	

<sup>&#</sup>x27;h' indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;m' indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 2 ACT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

												inees above
				Me	an score	e		Tested	Gr	aduates		erion
County name	District name	Campus name	Eng.	Math.	Read.	Sci.	Comp.	Num.	Num.	Tested (%)	Num.	Pont.
HARRIS	GEORGE I SANCH							<5t	95		<5t	
HAIIII	GLONGE I SANON	GEORGE I SANCHEZ H	•	•	•	•	•	<5t	83	•	<5t	•
		GEORGE I SANCHEZ C	•	•	•	•	•	<5t	12	•	<5t	•
		GEORGE I OF WORLD O	•	•	•	•	•	٠٥٤	12	•	101	•
	GIRLS & BOYS P	GIRLS & BOYS PREP			•		•	<5t	0		<5t	
	GOOSE CREEK CI		18.5	19.4	19.9	19.2	19.4	84	838	10.0	16	19.0
		ALTER SCH						<5t	21		<5t	
		LEE H S	17.8	18.4	18.5	18.3	18.3	<25m	339		<5h	
		NIGHT SCHOOL						<5t	15		<5t	
		SP ED CTR		•	•		•	<5t	2		<5t	
		STERLING H S	18.8	19.7	20.4	19.4	19.7	<65m	461		<15m	
	GULF SHORES AC							<5t	192		<5t	
		GULF SHORES AT SO						<5t	7		<5t	
		GULF SHORES ACADEM						<5t	160		<5t	
		GULF SHORES CHARTE						<5t	15		<5t	
		GULF SHORES CHARTE						<5t	5		<5t	
		GULF SHORES CHARTE						<5t	5		<5t	
	HEIGHTS CHARTE	HEIGHTS CHARTER SC						<5t	32		<5t	
	HOUSTON CAN AC	HOUSTON CAN! ACADE						<5t	109		<5t	
	HOUSTON ISD		17.9	18.8	18.4	18.5	18.5	1,434	7,250	19.8	229	16.0
		ACCELERATED LEARNI						<5t	7		<5t	
		AUSTIN H S	15.0	17.5	15.6	16.6	16.3	41	269	15.2	<5h	
		BARBARA JORDAN H S	15.3	17.2	16.1	17.0	16.5	55	198	27.8	<5h	
		BELLAIRE H S	22.0	22.9	22.3	21.6	22.4	119	654	18.2	53	44.5
		CHAVEZ H S	13.9	16.7	14.7	17.2	15.6	18	203	8.9	<5h	
		COMMUNITY SERVICES						<5t	2		<5t	
		CONTEMPORARY LRN C	14.6	15.7	15.6	15.8	15.6	16	165	9.7	<5h	
		DAVIS H S	12.0	16.1	14.6	15.3	14.6	<10m	244		<5h	
		DEBAKEY H S FOR HE	24.6	25.7	24.5	23.3	24.6	88	149	59.1	51	58.0
		EASTWOOD ACADEMY						<5t	51		<5t	
		FURR H S	15.6	16.8	15.4	16.9	16.3	39	186	21.0	<5h	
		H P CARTER CAREER						<5t	13		<5t	
		HARPER ALTERNATIVE						<5t	1		<5t	
		HCC LIFE SKILLS PR						<5t	1		<5t	
		HOUSTON DROP BACK						<5t	17		<5t	
		HOUSTON NIGHT HIGH						<5t	1		<5t	
		JONES H S	17.3	17.6	17.2	17.1	17.5	17	177	9.6	<5h	
		KASHMERE H S	16.0	16.3	15.8	17.0	16.3	41	119	34.5	<5h	
		KAY ON-GOING ED CT						<5t	19		<5t	
		LAMAR H S	20.3	20.1	21.2	20.0	20.5	206	628	32.8	51	24.8
		LAW ENFCMT-CRIM JU	19.9	18.4	18.2	18.7	19.0	25	160	15.6	<5h	
		LEE H S	16.9	19.6	17.7	18.4	18.3	31	287	10.8	7	22.6
		MADISON H S	16.4	16.3	16.5	17.4	16.8	75	334	22.5	<5h	
		MIDDLE COLLEGE FOR						<5t	24		<5t	
		MILBY H S	14.1	17.3	15.6	17.2	16.1	50	454	11.0	<5h	
		PERFOR & VIS ARTS	23.2	21.8	22.8	21.2	22.3	31	155	20.0	10	32.3
		REAGAN H S	15.5	17.7	15.7	17.7	16.8	29	264	11.0	<5h	
		SAM HOUSTON H S	15.1	17.3	16.7	16.7	16.6	35	370	9.5	<5h	
		SCARBOROUGH H S	19.3	17.6	19.6	18.8	19.0	39	140	27.9	5	12.8
		SHARPSTOWN H S	16.3	18.1	17.0	17.4	17.3	93	282	33.0	8	8.6
		SOAR CTR	•	•	•	•	•	<5t	1	•	<5t	•

 $<sup>{\</sup>it Note:}$  't' indicates masking is applied due to small number of students tested.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;h' indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;m' indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 2
ACT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

				Me	an score	e		Tested	Gr	aduates	at or	inees above erion
County name	District name	Campus name		Math.			Comp.	Num.		Tested (%)		Pont.
HARRIS	HOUSTON ISD	STERLING H S	14.2	15.5	14.9	16.1	15.3	42	189	22.2	<5h	
		WALTRIP H S WASHINGTON B T H S	19.1 17.1	18.6 19.2	19.5 17.1	19.1 18.9	19.2 18.1	41 54	266 210	15.4 25.7	7 <5h	17.1
		WESTBURY H S	17.5	17.7	18.4	18.3	18.2	59	244	24.2	8	13.6
		WESTSIDE H S	18.3		19.8	19.8	19.5	52	237	21.9	11	21.2
		WHEATLEY H S	12.5		13.3	13.5	13.6	15	75	20.0	<5h	
		WORTHING H S	14.3	15.9	14.8	15.7	15.3	65	250	26.0	<5h	
		YATES H S	15.0	14.8	14.9	15.5	15.1	47	204	23.0	<5h	•
	HUFFMAN ISD	HARGRAVE H S	19.2	19.3	20.4	19.6	19.7	35	163	21.5	6	17.1
	HUMBLE ISD		20.3	21.3	21.6	21.2	21.2		1,559	27.4	121	28.3
		HUMBLE H S	18.7		20.2	20.2	19.8	<210m	698		<50m	
		KINGWOOD H S	21.9		23.0	22.2	22.6	213	841	25.3	74	34.7
		QUEST H S	20.4	18.4	18.8	19.4	19.4	<10m	20	•	<5h	•
	JESSE JACKSON	JESSE JACKSON ACAD						<5t	45		<5t	
	KATY ISD		22.2	23.4	23.1	22.7	23.0	878	1,975	44.5	379	43.2
		CINCO RANCH H S	22.6	23.7	23.4	22.8	23.3	<215m	411		<100m	
		KATY H S	21.8	22.3	22.3	22.2	22.3	260	532	48.9	98	37.7
		MAYDE CREEK H S	20.1		21.7	21.3	21.3	<130m	511		<40m	•
		TAYLOR H S	23.3	24.8	24.2	23.9	24.2	277	521	53.2	144	52.0
	KLEIN ISD		20.9	22.9	21.8	21.7	21.9		1,892	28.9	202	37.0
		KLEIN FOREST H S	18.1	20.7	19.2	19.5	19.5	<145m	560		<30m	
		KLEIN H S	22.4		23.2	22.5	23.2	255	679	37.6	129	50.6
		KLEIN OAK H S	20.9	23.0	21.9	22.2	22.1	<150m	653	•	<50m	•
	LA PORTE ISD		19.3	19.7	20.5	19.3	19.8	<70m	438		11	
		DEWALT ALTER						<5t	24		<5t	
		LA PORTE HIGH SCHO	19.3	19.7	20.5	19.3	19.8	<70m	414	•	<15m	•
	NORTH FOREST I		14.5	15.7	15.4	16.1	15.6	115	483	23.8	<5h	
		FOREST BROOK H S	14.4	15.9	14.9	16.5	15.5	<65m	247		<5h	
		SMILEY H S	14.6	15.5	16.0	15.7	15.6	<60m	236		<5h	
	NORTH HOUSTON	NORTH HOUSTON H S						<5t	39		<5t	
	PASADENA ISD		18.5	19.9	19.7	19.9	19.6	196	1,947	10.1	35	17.9
		DOBIE H S	18.0		19.3	19.3	19.0	80	501	16.0	<10m	
		PASADENA H S	18.6	20.1	19.1	19.5	19.5	55	453	12.1	11	20.0
		RAYBURN H S	19.8		21.1	21.2	20.9	<50m	478		13	
		SOUTH HOUSTON H S	16.8	19.9	19.4	20.9	19.4	<20m	481		<5h	
		TEGELER CAREER CE		•	•	•	•	<5t	34	•	<5t	•
	RAUL YZAGUIRRE	RAUL YZAGUIRRE SCH	•					<5t	30		<5t	•
	SHELDON ISD	C E KING H S	17.8	19.1	19.8	19.2	19.1	33	179	18.4	5	15.2
	SOUTHWEST HIGH	SOUTHWEST HIGH SCH				•		<5t	94		<5t	
	SPRING BRANCH		22.0	24.1	23.1	22.2	23.0	429	1,665	25.8	202	47.1
		MEMORIAL H S	23.2		24.0	23.0	24.0	182	477	38.2	105	57.7
		NORTHBROOK H S	17.4	20.8	18.3	19.8	19.2	<20m	298		<5h	
		SPRING BRANCH SCHO						<5t	118		<5t	
		SPRING WOODS H S	18.4	21.7	20.4	19.3	20.0	<55m	329	•	<20m	•

<sup>&#</sup>x27;h' indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;m' indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 2 ACT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

				Me	an scor	e		Tested	Gra	duates	at or	inees above erion
County name	District name	Campus name	Eng.	Math.	Read.	Sci.	Comp.	Num.	Num. T	ested (%)	Num.	Pcnt.
HARRIS	SPRING BRANCH	STRATFORD H S WESTCHESTER ACADEM	22.4	24.0	23.4	22.5	23.2	177 <5t	442 1	40.0	77 <5t	43.5
	SPRING ISD	SP ED CONTRACT SPRING H S	18.7 19.9	20.0 21.1	19.7 21.3	20.2	19.8 21.1	381 <5t <170m	1,230 3 505	31.0	78 <5t <50m	20.5
		WESTFIELD H S	17.8	19.1	18.4	19.2	18.7	<215m	722	•	<35m	•
	TOMBALL ISD	TOMBALL H S	21.0	21.9	22.6	22.5	22.1	125	408	30.6	47	37.6
	WEST HOUSTON C	WEST HOUSTON CHART	•	•			•	<5t	6	•	<5t	
	YES COLLEGE PR	YES COLLEGE PREPAR						<5t	18		<5t	
HARRISON	ELYSIAN FIELDS	ELYSIAN FIELDS H S	18.5	18.9	19.0	19.5	19.1	55	59	93.2	10	18.2
	HALLSVILLE ISD	HALLSVILLE H S	18.8	21.1	19.8	20.0	20.0	52	217	24.0	11	21.2
	HARLETON ISD	HARLETON H S	18.6	19.6	19.7	20.3	19.8	24	34	70.6	<5h	
	KARNACK ISD	KARNACK H S	15.4	16.1	15.4	15.6	15.8	16	17	94.1	<5h	
	MARSHALL ISD	MADOUALL AGUTEVEME	18.5	19.1	19.6	19.3	19.3	189	341	55.4	38	20.1
		MARSHALL ACHIEVEME MARSHALL H S	18.5	19.1	19.6	19.3	19.3	<5t <190m	11 330		<5t <40m	
	WASKOM ISD	WASKOM H S	18.5	18.5	18.4	19.3	18.9	41	50	82.0	<5h	•
HARTLEY	CHANNING ISD	CHANNING SCHOOL			•		•	<5t	9		<5t	•
	HARTLEY ISD	HARTLEY SCHOOL	17.0	17.0	18.1	19.3	17.9	8	11	72.7	<5h	
HASKELL	HASKELL CISD	HASKELL H S	20.2	20.3	19.9	20.3	20.3	43	52	82.7	10	23.3
	PAINT CREEK IS	PAINT CREEK SCHOOL		•			•	<5t	8		<5t	
	ROCHESTER COUN	ROCHESTER SCHOOL	16.3	16.5	18.3	16.0	16.8	6	10	60.0	<5h	
	RULE ISD	RULE SCHOOL	20.5	19.8	21.0	21.3	20.7	6	7	85.7	<5h	
HAYS	DRIPPING SPRIN	DRIPPING SPRINGS H	21.8	22.6	22.9	22.1	22.5	92	207	44.4	35	38.0
	HAYS CONS ISD	ACADEMY AT HAVE	19.1	19.1	20.4	20.4	19.9	124	423	29.3	24	19.4
		ACADEMY AT HAYS JACK C HAYS H S	19.1	19.2	20.3	20.4	19.9	<5T <125m	26 397		<5T <25m	
	KATHERINE ANNE	KATHERINE ANNE POR	18.2	18.4	18.6	18.6	18.6	5	45	11.1	<5h	
	SAN MARCOS CON		17.8	19.6	19.7	19.4	19.3	106	343	30.9	17	16.0
		PRIDE HIGH SCHOOL SAN MARCOS H S	13.7 18.1	16.6 19.8	14.0 20.1	17.9 19.6	15.6 19.5	<10m <100m	23 320	•	<5h <20m	
	WIMBERLEY ISD	WIMBERLEY H S	20.5	20.1	20.7	21.3	20.8	66	140	47.1	17	25.8
HEMPHILL	CANADIAN ISD	CANADIAN H S	20.8	21.8	21.7	21.2	21.4	36	40	90.0	14	38.9
HENDERSON	ATHENS ISD		21.2	20.0	20.6	20.0	20.6	37	174	21.3	8	21.6

<sup>&#</sup>x27;h' indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;m' indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 2
ACT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

					an score					duates	at or crite	inees above erion
County name	District name	Campus name			Read.		Comp.	Num.	Num. Te	ested (%) 	Num.	Pcnt.
HENDERSON	ATHENS ISD	A L P H A ATHENS H S	21.2	20.0	20.6	20.0	20.6	<5t <40m	14 160	•	<5t <10m	
	BROWNSBORO ISD	A L P H A CAMPUS	19.6	17.6	20.1	19.7	19.3	19 <5t	114 12	16.7	<5h <5t	
		BROWNSBORO H S	19.6	17.6	20.1	19.7	19.3	<20m	102	•	<5h	
	CROSS ROADS IS	CROSS ROADS H S	22.4	19.4	21.7	20.7	21.1	9	36	25.0	<5h	
	EUSTACE ISD	EUSTACE H S	18.9	20.3	20.3	19.4	19.8	12	59	20.3	<5h	
	LAPOYNOR ISD	LAPOYNOR H S	19.4	18.9	20.1	20.1	19.7	15	25	60.0	<5h	
	MALAKOFF ISD	MALAKOFF H S	17.9	18.2	18.7	19.8	18.9	16	63	25.4	<5h	
	TRINIDAD ISD	TRINIDAD SCHOOL	18.2	16.6	17.8	18.4	18.2	5	18	27.8	<5h	
HIDALGO	DONNA ISD	DONNA H S	14.8	16.7	16.0	16.8	16.2	255	402	63.4	6	2.4
	EAGLE PROJECT	EAGLE PROJECT (PHA						<5t	12		<5t	
	EDCOUCH-ELSA I	EDCOUCH-ELSA H S	13.1	15.3	14.4	15.2	14.7	74	245	30.2	<5h	
	EDINBURG CISD	ECONOMEDES H S EDINBURG H S EDINBURG NORTH H S	15.3 13.4 15.9 16.1	17.3 16.3 17.4 18.0	16.6 15.0 17.2 17.2	17.2 15.9 17.6 17.9	16.7 15.3 17.1 17.4	630 <175m <225m 236	939 266 336 337	67.1 70.0	39 <5h 19 <20m	6.2
	HIDALGO ISD	HIDALGO ACADEMY	16.3	18.4	17.8	17.4	17.6	34 <5t	144 3	23.6	<5h <5t	
		HIDALGO H S	16.3	18.4	17.8	17.4	17.6	<35m	141		<5h	
	LA JOYA ISD	ALTER CTR FOR ED	14.5	16.8	15.9	16.9	16.1	351 <5t	705 53	49.8	18 <5t	5.1
		LA JOYA SENIOR HIG	14.5	16.8	15.9	16.9	16.1	<355m	652	•	<20m	•
	LA VILLA ISD	LA VILLA ALTERNATI	15.2	15.9	15.6	17.2	16.1	21 <5t	37 5	56.8	<5h <5t	
		LA VILLA H S	15.2	15.9	15.6	17.2	16.1	<25m	32	•	<5h	•
	MCALLEN ISD	INSTR/GUID CTR	16.5	18.9	18.3	18.5	18.2	421 <5t	1,178 3	35.7	51 <5t	12.1
		LAMAR ACADEMY	14.0	15.2	16.7	17.0	15.8	<25m	125		<5h	•
		MCALLEN H S MCALLEN MEMORIAL H	16.0 17.6	18.4 19.3	17.6 19.1	17.6 19.4	17.5 19.0	<100m 150	313 381	39.4	<10m 28	18.7
		ROWE H S	16.1		18.1	18.3		150	356	42.1	<20m	
	MERCEDES ISD		16.5	17.7	16.8	18.2	17.5	<100m	240		<10m	
		MERCEDES ALTER ACA	16 E			10.0	17 E	<5t	32	•	<5t	•
	MTD-VALLEY ACA	MERCEDES H S MID-VALLEY ACADEMY	16.5		16.8	18.2	17.5	<100m <5t	208 24		<10m <5t	•
		MID-AUTEL WOUNTING										
	MISSION CONS I	COMMUNITY A E P (M	16.6		17.5	18.0	17.7	232 <5t	549 14	42.3	18 <5t	7.8
		MISSION H S	16.6	18.0	17.5	18.0	17.7	<235m	535	•	<20m	•

......

 $<sup>{\</sup>it Note:}$  't' indicates masking is applied due to small number of students tested.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>prime}\text{h}^{\prime}$  indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;m' indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 2 ACT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

											at or	inees above
County name	District name	Campus name		Me Math.			Comp.	Tested Num.		aduates Tested (%)		erion Pcnt.
HIDALGO	ONE STOP MULTI							<5t	90		<5t	
		ONE STOP MULTISERV						<5t	37		<5t	
		ONE STOP MULTISERV						<5t	10		<5t	
		ONE STOP MULTISERV	•	•	•	•	•	<5t	43	•	<5t	•
	PHARR-SAN JUAN		15.1	17.1	16.7	17.3	16.7	663	984	67.4	36	5.4
		BUELL CENTRAL H S	14.4	15.9	15.8	16.6	15.8	<30m	35		<5h	
		PSJA H S PSJA MEMORIAL H S	16.1	17.7	17.8	18.0	17.5	232	294	78.9	19 <10m	8.2
		PSJA NORTH H S	14.5 14.7	17.1 16.7	16.1 16.2	16.9 17.2	16.3 16.3	213 174	286 332	74.5 52.4	<10m 9	5.2
		TEENAGE PARENT PRO	13.6	15.1	16.0	17.3	15.6	<20m	37		<5h	
	PROGRESO ISD	MILTI ALTED	16.1	17.4	16.0	17.6	16.8	32	87	36.8	<5h	•
		MULTI ALTER PROGRESO H S	16.1	17.4	16.0	17.6	16.8	<5t <35m	3 84	•	<5t <5h	•
		FROUNESO II S	10.1	17.4	10.0	17.0	10.0	\JJIII	04	•	<b>\</b> 311	•
	SENTRY TECHNOL	SENTRY TECHNOLOGY		-			•	<5t	67		<5t	•
	SHARYLAND ISD		16.6	18.3	17.9	18.2	17.9	<120m	244		11	
		SHARYLAND ALTERNA						<5t	2	•	<5t	•
		SHARYLAND H S	16.6	18.3	17.9	18.2	17.9	<120m	242	•	<15m	•
	TECHNOLOGY EDU	TECHNOLOGY EDUCATI		•	•		•	<5t	13		<5t	
	VALLEY VIEW IS	VALLEY VIEW HIGH S	15.3	16.4	15.8	16.5	16.2	88	87	100.0	<5h	
	WESLACO ISD		16.5	18.2	18.1	18.2	17.9	285	591	48.2	<25m	
	WEGEN TOP	SOUTH PALM GARDENS	13.1	16.0	14.6	15.8	14.9	<10m	68		<5h	:
		WESLACO EAST HIGH						<5t	0		<5t	
		WESLACO H S	16.6	18.3	18.2	18.2	18.0	275	523	52.6	<25m	
HILL	ABBOTT ISD	ABBOTT SCHOOL	19.3	20.8	21.3	21.7	20.9	17	20	85.0	<5h	
	AQUILLA ISD	AQUILLA SCHOOL						<5t	8		<5t	
	BLUM ISD	BLUM H S	19.4	17.4	17.0	19.3	18.4	7	17	41.2	<5h	•
	BYNUM ISD	BYNUM SCHOOL	19.1	22.3	20.2	20.9	20.8	9	16	56.3	<5h	
	COVINGTON ISD	COVINGTON SCHOOL						<5t	20		<5t	•
	HILLSBORO ISD	HILLSBORO H S	18.4	18.5	18.9	19.4	19.0	39	109	35.8	<5h	
	HUBBARD ISD	HUBBARD H S	20.3	23.0	21.3	22.1	21.8	14	23	60.9	5	35.7
	ITASCA ISD	ITASCA H S	20.4	22.3	23.0	21.4	21.9	17	30	56.7	6	35.3
	PENELOPE ISD	PENELOPE SCHOOL	18.1	19.3	19.0	18.4	19.0	10	15	66.7	<5h	•
	WHITNEY ISD	WHITNEY H S	20.1	20.6	21.2	20.7	20.8	33	82	40.2	9	27.3
HOCKLEY	ANTON ISD	ANTON II C	20.4	21.0	22.8	21.6	21.6	9	17	52.9	<5h	
		ANTON H S ANTON P E P	20.4	21.0	22.8	21.6	21.6	<10m <5t	15 2		<5h <5t	
			•	•	•	•	•		_	•		•
	LEVELLAND ISD	LEVELLAND H S	19.5	19.7	21.3	21.2	20.6	80	173	46.2	16	20.0

<sup>&#</sup>x27;h' indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;m' indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 2
ACT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

					an score			Tested		duates	at or crite	inees above erion
County name	District name	Campus name	Eng.	Math.	Read.	Sci.	Comp.	Num.	Num. I	ested (%) 	Num.	Pont.
HOCKLEY	ROPES ISD	CHOICES ALTER H S	15.4	17.7	15.0	17.9	16.7	9 <5t	17 1	52.9	<5h <5t	•
		ROPES SCHOOL	15.4	17.7	15.0	17.9	16.7	<10m	16	•	<5h	•
	SMYER ISD	CHOICES ALTERNATIV	19.2	19.1	19.4	20.1	19.5	17 <5t	25 2	68.0	<5h <5t	
		SMYER H S	19.2	19.1	19.4	20.1	19.5	<20m	23		<5h	•
	SUNDOWN ISD	PEP ALTER SCHOOL	18.5	21.5	19.1	20.5	20.0	<25m <5t	35 1		<10m <5t	
		SUNDOWN H S	18.5	21.5	19.1	20.5	20.0	<25m	34		<10m	÷
	WHITHARRAL ISD	WHITHARRAL SCHOOL	18.6	17.8	18.4	18.5	18.5	13	14	92.9	<5h	
HOOD	GRANBURY ISD		20.5	21.4	21.4	21.4	21.2	91	312	29.2	22	24.2
		GRANBURY H S S T A R S ACADEMY	20.4	21.4	21.3	21.4	21.2	<95m <5t	297 15		<25m <5t	
	LIPAN ISD	LIPAN H S	19.1	17.9	20.4	19.0	19.2	9	19	47.4	<5h	
	TOLAR ISD	TOLAR H S	19.5	20.3	20.2	20.4	20.2	24	31	77.4	5	20.8
HOPKINS	COMO-PICKTON C		19.1	20.9	20.2	20.8	20.3	22	51	43.1	<10m	
		COMO-PICKTON SCHOO HOLY HIGHWAY PICKT	19.1	20.9	20.2	20.8	20.3	<25m <5t	47 4		<10m <5t	
	CUMBY ISD	CUMBY H S						<5t	15		<5t	
	MILLER GROVE I	MILLER GROVE SCHOO	17.0	18.2	16.4	18.4	17.7	9	18	50.0	<5h	
	NORTH HOPKINS	NORTH HOPKINS H S	22.1	20.9	24.0	22.3	22.4	10	16	62.5	<5h	
	SALTILLO ISD	SALTILLO SCHOOL	20.3	18.5	21.5	18.8	20.2	6	15	40.0	<5h	
	SULPHUR BLUFF	SULPHUR BLUFF SCHO	23.0	19.8	22.9	22.0	22.0	9	21	42.9	<5h	
	SULPHUR SPRING	SULPHUR SPRINGS H	19.8	20.2	20.7	20.7	20.5	104	228	45.6	21	20.2
HOUSTON	CROCKETT ISD	CROCKETT H S	17.0	17.3	17.1	17.2	17.3	30	94	31.9	<5h	
	GRAPELAND ISD	GRAPELAND H S	19.5	22.0	20.6	21.8	21.0	16	38	42.1	<5h	
	KENNARD ISD	KENNARD H S						<5t	20	•	<5t	
	LATEXO ISD	LATEXO H S	17.8	18.4	18.8	20.2	19.0	5	22	22.7	<5h	
	LOVELADY ISD	LOVELADY H S						<5t	34		<5t	
HOWARD	BIG SPRING ISD	BIG SPRING H S	20.9	20.4	20.6	21.0	20.9	70	207	33.8	20	28.6
	COAHOMA ISD	COAHOMA H S	18.2	19.0	18.7	20.0	19.0	26	61	42.6	<5h	
	FORSAN ISD	FORSAN H S	19.5	21.3	21.2	20.7	20.8	19	37	51.4	<5h	
HUDSPETH	DELL CITY ISD	DELL CITY SCHOOL	14.7	15.3	15.1	16.0	15.6	7	12	58.3	<5h	
	FT HANCOCK ISD	FORT HANCOCK SCHOO	15.8	16.0	17.3	17.3	16.8	12	37	32.4	<5h	•

<sup>&#</sup>x27;h' indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;m' indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 2 ACT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

				Me	an score	9		Tested	Grad	duates	at or	inees above erion
County name	District name	Campus name	Eng.	Math.	Read.	Sci.	Comp.	Num.	Num. Te	ested (%)	Num.	Pcnt.
HUDSPETH	SIERRA BLANCA	SIERRA BLANCA SCHO						<5t	6		<5t	
HUNT	BLAND ISD	BLAND H S	19.9	20.6	21.2	20.7	20.8	10	27	37.0	<5h	
	BOLES ISD	BOLES ISD HIGH SCH	19.5	17.8	22.8	20.1	20.1	12	16	75.0	<5h	
	CADDO MILLS IS	CADDO MILLS H S	18.0	19.7	20.5	20.5	19.8	22	51	43.1	<5h	
	CAMPBELL ISD	CAMPBELL H S	18.3	17.1	19.2	19.1	18.7	9	14	64.3	<5h	
	CELESTE ISD	CELESTE HIGH SCHOO	19.1	19.0	19.7	19.4	19.4	23	32	71.9	5	21.7
	COMMERCE ISD	COMMERCE H S	19.6	19.7	20.8	20.4	20.2	50	96	52.1	9	18.0
	GREENVILLE ISD	GREENVILLE H S NEW HORIZONS LEARN	19.7 19.7	20.0 20.0	20.1	19.6 19.6	20.0	81 <85m <5t	255 238 17	31.8	17 <20m <5t	21.0
	LONE OAK ISD	LONE OAK H S	19.9	20.9	22.1	20.4	20.8	13	31	41.9	<5h	
	QUINLAN ISD	WH FORD HIGH SCHOO	19.7	19.8	20.1	20.9	20.2	37	131	28.2	7	18.9
	WOLFE CITY ISD	WOLFE CITY H S	20.0	20.2	21.0	20.1	20.4	16	30	53.3	<5h	
HUTCHINSON	BORGER ISD		21.2	21.9	22.4	21.7	21.9	87	180	48.3	29	33.3
		BORGER H S C H A M P S	21.2	21.9	22.4	21.7	21.9	<90m <5t	176 4		<30m <5t	
	PLEMONS-STINNE	WEST TEXAS H S	20.6	22.0	22.4	21.7	21.8	41	47	87.2	15	36.6
	SANFORD ISD		19.6	20.1	20.7	20.9	20.4	47	67	70.1	11	23.4
	SANI OND 13D	C H A M P S						<5t	3		<5t	
		SANFORD-FRITCH H S	19.6	20.1	20.7	20.9	20.4	<50m	64	•	<15m	•
IRION	IRION CO ISD	IRION H S	19.9	23.3	21.7	21.3	21.7	10	24	41.7	<5h	•
JACK	BRYSON ISD	BRYSON SCHOOL	16.6	18.8	17.8	16.9	17.6	12	13	92.3	<5h	
	JACKSBORO ISD	ALTER SCH	20.6	19.9	21.0	20.3	20.7	23 <5t	62 2	37.1	7 <5t	30.4
		JACKSBORO H S	20.6	19.9	21.0	20.3	20.7	<25m	60		<10m	
	PERRIN-WHITT C	PERRIN H S	19.3	19.5	21.4	21.2	20.5	11	30	36.7	<5h	
JACKSON	EDNA ISD		19.0	20.0	20.2	20.1	20.0	43	94	45.7	8	18.6
		EDNA ALTER EDNA H S	19.0	20.0	20.2	20.1	20.0	<5t <45m	1 93		<5t <10m	
	GANADO ISD	GANADO H S	17.9	20.3	18.1	19.1	19.0	24	42	57.1	<5h	
	INDUSTRIAL ISD	INDUSTRIAL H S	19.5	20.1	20.9	21.3	20.6	36	80	45.0	8	22.2
JASPER	BROOKELAND ISD	BROOKELAND H S						<5t	10		<5t	
	BUNA ISD	BUNA H S	19.8	19.2	19.4	19.0	19.7	10	73	13.7	<5h	

Market 11 Section 2 and Sectio

 $<sup>{\</sup>it Note:}$  't' indicates masking is applied due to small number of students tested.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;h' indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;m' indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 2
ACT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

								Tested		duates	at or crit	inees above erion
	District name	Campus name	_	Math.			Comp.	Num.		ested (%) 	Num.	Pcnt.
JASPER	EVADALE ISD	EVADALE H S						<5t	24		<5t	
	JASPER ISD	JASPER H S	17.8	18.6	18.8	19.0	18.7	94	171	55.0	12	12.8
	KIRBYVILLE CIS	KIRBYVILLE HIGH SC	18.3	17.2	19.8	19.9	18.9	61	106	57.5	8	13.1
JEFF DAVIS	FT DAVIS ISD	FT DAVIS H S	19.0	18.3	19.3	20.8	19.4	11	16	68.8	<5h	
	VALENTINE ISD	VALENTINE SCHOOL						<5t	3		<5t	
JEFFERSON	BEAUMONT ISD		18.3	18.2	18.5	18.6	18.5		1,009	15.8	23	14.5
		CENTRAL SENIOR H S OZEN H S	13.6 15.2	15.9 16.3	14.8 15.0	16.8 16.7	15.5 16.0	<15m <65m	250 253		<5h <5h	•
		PAUL A BROWN ALTER						<5t	46		<5t	
		WEST BROOK SR H S	21.5	20.0	21.7	20.3	21.0	82	460	17.8	<25m	
	EAGLE PROJECT	EAGLE PROJECT (BEA		•				<5t	37	·	<5t	
	HAMSHIRE-FANNE	HAMSHIRE-FANNETT H	19.3	19.6	19.1	18.6	19.2	9	112	8.0	<5h	
	NEDERLAND ISD	NEDERLAND H S	21.0	23.6	22.4	22.4	22.4	18	278	6.5	7	38.9
	PORT ARTHUR IS		16.1	16.7	17.1	17.4	16.9	79	503	15.7	<5h	
		AUSTIN H S						<5t	66		<5t	
		JEFFERSON H S LINCOLN H S	16.2 16.0	17.3 15.8	17.4 16.4	18.0 16.5	17.4 16.3	44 <35m	242 195	18.2	<5h <5h	
		EINOCH II O	1010	1010	1011	1010	1010		100	•	-011	•
		PORT NECHES-GROVES	22.6	23.0	22.5	22.3	22.8	39	343	11.4	16	41.0
	RICHARD MILBUR	RICHARD MILBURN AC	•			•	•	<5t	31	•	<5t	
	SABINE PASS IS	SABINE PASS SCHOOL	•	·	•	•	•	<5t	13		<5t	•
	TEKOA ACADEMY	TEKOA ACADEMY MARS	•			•		<5t	1		<5t	
JIM HOGG	JIM HOGG COUNT	HEBBRONVILLE H S	16.4	17.2	16.7	17.1	17.0	61	83	73.5	<5h	
JIM WELLS	ALICE ISD	ALICE H S	17.0	17.8	18.1	18.6	18.0	173	245	70.6	17	9.8
	BEN BOLT-PALIT	BEN BOLT-PAL BLANC	16.0	16.3	16.8	17.4	16.8	20	32	62.5	<5h	
	ORANGE GROVE I	ORANGE GROVE H S	19.7	18.7	20.2	19.8	19.7	61	82	74.4	11	18.0
	PREMONT ISD	PREMONT H S	16.0	16.8	17.4	17.3	17.0	33	56	58.9	<5h	
JOHNSON	ALVARADO ISD	ALVARADO H S	17.3	17.8	20.0	19.6	18.8	10	188	5.3	<5h	
	BURLESON ISD		18.9	20.1	21.2	20.7	20.3	49	387	12.7	<15m	
		BURLESON H S CROSSROADS LEARNIN	18.9	20.1	21.2	20.7	20.3	<50m <5t	359 28	•	<15m	
		ONOCONOLDO LLANIIN		•	•	•	•	\JL	20		<5t	•
	CLEBURNE ISD		20.2		21.8	21.2	21.2	72	284	25.4	18	25.0
		CLEBURNE H S TEAM SCH	20.2	21.3	21.8	21.2	21.2	<75m <5t	257 27		<20m <5t	
		55	•	•	•	•	•	.50		•	.0.0	•
	GODLEY ISD	GODLEY H S	19.5	19.0	22.1	21.4	20.6	25	61	41.0	6	24.0

<sup>&#</sup>x27;h' indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;m' indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 2 ACT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

County name	District name	Campus name		Me Math.			Comp.	Tested Num.		duates ested (%)	at or crit	inees above erion
			Liig.									Pcnt.
JOHNSON	GRANDVIEW ISD	GRANDVIEW H S	21.0	21.1	22.2	20.3	21.3	22	61	36.1	6	27.3
	JOSHUA ISD	ACCELERATED LRN CT	19.3	21.0	21.7	22.1	21.2	22 <5t	231 17	9.5	6 <5t	27.3
		JOSHUA H S	19.3	21.0	21.7	22.1	21.2	<25m	214		<10m	
	KEENE ISD	ALTER LEARNING CTR						<5t <5t	35 1	•	<5t <5t	
		KEENE H S						<5t	34		<5t	
	RIO VISTA ISD	RIO VISTA H S	•					<5t	41	•	<5t	
	VENUS ISD	LEARNING CENTER	22.4	22.0	22.2	22.4	22.6	<10m <5t	93 4	•	<5h <5t	
		VENUS H S	22.4	22.0	22.2	22.4	22.6	<10m	89		<5h	÷
JONES	ANSON ISD	ANSON H S	19.7	20.8	20.7	20.9	20.7	24	47	51.1	5	20.8
	HAMLIN ISD	HAMLIN H S	19.0	20.2	20.3	20.5	20.1	36	44	81.8	8	22.2
	HAWLEY ISD	HAWLEY H S	19.6	18.9	19.4	19.8	19.5	28	49	57.1	<5h	
	LUEDERS-AVOCA	LUEDERS-AVOCA H S						<5t	11		<5t	
	STAMFORD ISD	STAMFORD JR-SR H S	18.8 18.8	20.7 20.7	19.0 19.0	20.7 20.7	19.9 19.9	32 <35m	59 56	54.2	<5h <5h	
		WETHERBEE						<5t	3	:	<5t	÷
KARNES	FALLS CITY ISD	FALLS CITY H S	20.3	20.1	19.7	19.9	20.2	19	22	86.4	<5h	
	KARNES CITY IS	KARNES CITY H S	18.4	19.6	18.9	19.8	19.2	30	59	50.8	5	16.7
	KENEDY ISD	KARNES COUNTY ACAD	18.8	19.2	19.3	18.5	19.0	16 <5t	75 10	21.3	<5h <5t	
		KENEDY HIGH SCHOO	18.8	19.2	19.3	18.5	19.0	<20m	65	:	<5h	÷
	RUNGE ISD	RUNGE H S	14.3	17.5	16.6	16.8	16.4	10	18	55.6	<5h	
KAUFMAN	CRANDALL ISD	CRANDALL ALTER CTR	20.0	20.3	21.3	20.0	20.6	29 <5t	107 7	27.1	6 <5t	20.7
		CRANDALL H S	20.0	20.3	21.3	20.0	20.6	<30m	100		<10m	
	FORNEY ISD	FORNEY H S	20.0	19.5	19.4	20.4	20.0	76	153	49.7	9	11.8
	KAUFMAN ISD	KAUFMAN H S	19.9	20.1	20.2	20.6	20.3	35	141	24.8	6	17.1
	KEMP ISD	KEMP HIGH SCHOOL	19.3	20.2	21.4	21.0	20.7	16	76	21.1	5	31.3
	MABANK ISD	MABANK H S	19.6	19.9	21.0	20.5	20.4	34	145	23.4	8	23.5
	SCURRY-ROSSER	SCURRY-ROSSER H S	19.0	17.4	20.5	19.3	19.2	11	43	25.6	<5h	
	TERRELL ISD	TERRELL H S	17.8	18.3	18.3	18.8	18.5	45	180	25.0	<5h	
KENDALL	BOERNE ISD	BOERNE H S	21.4	21.3	22.0	21.3	21.7	99	305	32.5	29	29.3
	COMFORT ISD		24.8	19.7	25.0	21.9	22.9	12	54	22.2	6	50.0

<sup>&#</sup>x27;h' indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;m' indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 2
ACT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

								Tested	Grad	duates	at or	inees above erion
County name	District name	Campus name	_	Math.			Comp.	Num.		ested (%)	Num.	Pcnt.
KENDALL	COMFORT ISD	COMFORT H S HILL COUNTRY HIGH	24.8	19.7	25.0	21.9	22.9	<15m <5t	53 1		<10m <5t	
KENT	JAYTON-GIRARD	JAYTON SCHOOLS	20.2	18.9	19.6	19.7	19.8	9	10	90.0	<5h	
KERR	CENTER POINT I	CENTER POINT H S	20.5	19.9	21.5	21.1	20.9	12	26	46.2	<5h	
	HUNT ISD	HUNT EL						<5t	2		<5t	
	INGRAM ISD	INGRAM-TOM MOORE H	18.9	19.3	20.0	20.6	19.9	41	83	49.4	10	24.4
	KERRVILLE ISD		20.4	21.7	21.4	20.9	21.2	102	288	35.4	31	30.4
		HILL COUNTRY HIGH TIVY H S	20.5	21.8	21.5	21.0	21.3	<5t <105m	17 271		<5t <35m	
KIMBLE	JUNCTION ISD	JUNCTION H S	16.6	18.7	17.9	18.4	18.0	18	47	38.3	<5h	
KING	GUTHRIE CSD	GUTHRIE SCHOOL						<5t	5	•	<5t	
KINNEY	BRACKETT ISD		20.1	19.3	20.1	21.1	20.3	12	37	32.4	<5h	
		BRACKETT ALTER BRACKETT H S	20.1	19.3	20.1	21.1	20.3	<5t <15m	6 31		<5t <5h	
KLEBERG	KINGSVILLE ISD	H M KING HIGH SCHO	17.7 17.7	17.4 17.5	18.5 18.5	18.8 18.9	18.2 18.3	138 <135m	333 282	41.4	<20m <20m	
		HOMEBOUND	15.2	14.8	16.8	16.2	16.0	<5t <10m	3 29		<5t <5h	
		K E Y S ACADEMY NIGHT SCHOOL						<5t	19		<5t	
	RIVIERA ISD	KAUFER H S	19.4	20.7	19.5	19.5	20.0	26	40	65.0	6	23.1
	SANTA GERTRUDI	ACADEMY H S	20.2	18.7	22.6	19.7	20.4	21	27	77.8	7	33.3
KNOX	BENJAMIN ISD	BENJAMIN SCHOOL	21.2	19.4	20.2	21.0	20.4	5	6	83.3	<5h	
	GOREE ISD	GOREE SCHOOL						<5t	3		<5t	
	KNOX CITY-0'BR	KNOX CITY H S	17.3	19.7	17.6	19.4	18.5	15	20	75.0	<5h	
	MUNDAY ISD	MUNDAY H S	19.7	20.2	19.8	21.7	20.4	18	22	81.8	<5h	
LA SALLE	COTULLA ISD	COTULIA ALTERNATIV	16.2	17.0	18.1	18.2	17.5	36 <5t	71 8	50.7	<10m <5t	
		COTULLA ALTERNATIV COTULLA H S	16.2	17.0	18.1	18.2	17.5	<40m	63	:	<10m	·
LAMAR	CHISUM ISD	CHISUM H S	19.6	20.3	21.3	20.4	20.5	37	41	90.2	7	18.9
	NORTH LAMAR IS	NORTH LAMAR H S	19.3	19.4	20.5	21.0	20.3	46	185	24.9	9	19.6
	PARIS ISD	DADIO ALTERNATIVE	17.0	18.1	18.3	17.5	17.9	23	146	15.8	<5h	
		PARIS ALTERNATIVE PARIS H S	17.0	18.1	18.3	17.5	17.9	<5t <25m	12 134		<5t <5h	
	PRAIRILAND ISD	PRAIRILAND H S	18.4	19.6	19.0	19.6	19.2	25	58	43.1	<5h	
	ROXTON ISD	ROXTON H S	18.0	17.5	23.0	18.2	19.2	6	13	46.2	<5h	

<sup>&#</sup>x27;h' indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;m' indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 2 ACT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

County name	District name	Campus name	 Eng.	Me: Math.			Comp.	Tested Num.		luates	at or crit	inees above erion Pcnt.
LAMB	AMHERST ISD	AMHERST SCHOOL	21.0	21.7	22.2	22.2	21.8	10	13	76.9	<5h	
	LITTLEFIELD IS	LITTLEFIELD H S	17.6	19.3	18.3	19.6	18.9	45	68	66.2	7	15.6
	OLTON ISD	OLTON H S	20.1 20.1	20.9 20.9	21.5 21.5	20.4 20.4	20.9 20.9	23 <25m	45 39	51.1	<5h <5h	
		OPTIONS ALTER SCH				•	•	<5t	6		<5t	
	SPADE ISD	SPADE SCHOOL	•			•		<5t	8		<5t	
	SPRINGLAKE-EAR	SPRINGLAKE-EARTH H	19.4	21.1	20.1	20.7	20.5	19	23	82.6	<5h	
	SUDAN ISD	P E P ALTER	20.0	20.1	19.6	19.5	19.9	<30m <5t	39 2		<10m <5t	
		SUDAN H S	20.0	20.1	19.6	19.5	19.9	<30m	37		<10m	
LAMPASAS	CEDAR RIDGE CH	CEDAR RIDGE CHARTE						<5t	2		<5t	
	LAMPASAS ISD		19.0	19.7	20.1	19.9	19.8	57	190	30.0	8	14.0
		CHALLENGER H S LAMPASAS H S	19.0	19.7	20.1	19.9	19.8	<5t <60m	6 184		<5t <10m	
	LOMETA ISD	LOMETA SCHOOL	17.2	19.3	17.7	16.5	18.0	6	13	46.2	<5h	
LAVACA	HALLETTSVILLE	HALLETTSVILLE H S	19.1	20.0	19.6	21.0	20.1	34	83	41.0	5	14.7
	MOULTON ISD	MOULTON H S						<5t	21		<5t	
	SHINER ISD	SHINER H S	22.0	21.8	21.8	21.2	21.9	26	37	70.3	10	38.5
LEE	DIME BOX ISD	DIME BOX SCHOOL						<5t	0		<5t	
	GIDDINGS ISD	GIDDINGS H S KNOX LEARNING CTR	21.6 21.6	21.7 21.7	22.8 22.8	21.8 21.8	22.1 22.1	31 <35m <5t	104 96 8	29.8	11 <15m <5t	35.5
	GIDDINGS STATE	GIDDINGS STATE SCH						<5t	0		<5t	
	LEXINGTON ISD	LEXINGTON H S	15.0	17.4	16.0	18.8	16.8	5	55	9.1	<5h	
LEON	BUFFALO ISD	BUFFALO H S	21.8	19.2	22.0	18.4	20.4	5	50	10.0	<5h	
	CENTERVILLE IS	CENTERVILLE JR-SR						<5t	43		<5t	
	LEON ISD	LEON H S	19.4	19.7	21.0	20.6	20.3	23	39	59.0	5	21.7
	NORMANGEE ISD	NORMANGEE H S	16.8	18.9	19.1	19.7	18.6	15	32	46.9	<5h	
	OAKWOOD ISD	OAKWOOD H S						<5t	8		<5t	
LIBERTY	CLEVELAND ISD	CLEVELAND H S	15.9	16.8	17.2	17.7	17.0	39	148	26.4	<5h	
	DAYTON ISD	DAYTON H S	19.4 19.4	21.8 21.8	20.3 20.3	20.4 20.4	20.6 20.6	17 <20m	212 211	8.0	<5h <5h	
	HARDIN ISD	GULF COAST H S	18.9	17.5	20.1	18.9	19.1	<5t 8	1 64	12.5	<5t <5h	

 $<sup>^{\</sup>prime}\text{h}^{\prime}$  indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;m' indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 2
ACT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

					an score					duates	at or crit	inees above erion
County name	District name	Campus name	-	Math.	Read.	Sci.	Comp.	Num.		ested (%) 	Num.	Pcnt.
LIBERTY	HARDIN ISD	GULF COAST H S HARDIN H S	18.9	17.5	20.1	18.9	19.1	<5t <10m	1 63		<5t <5h	
	HULL-DAISETTA	HULL-DAISETTA H S	17.5	19.9	16.7	18.6	18.2	13	42	31.0	<5h	
	LIBERTY ISD	LIBERTY H S	18.8	20.0	20.1	19.3	19.6	19	141	13.5	6	31.6
	TARKINGTON ISD	TARKINGTON H S	20.1	19.3	19.8	20.1	19.8	12	108	11.1	<5h	
LIMESTONE	COOLIDGE ISD	COOLIDGE H S		•		•		<5t	8		<5t	
	GROESBECK ISD	ALTER LEARNING CTR	20.4	19.8	22.0	20.5	20.8	24 <5t	93 5	25.8	7 <5t	29.2
		GROESBECK H S	20.4	19.8	22.0	20.5	20.8	<25m	88		<10m	
	MEXIA ISD	MEXIA H S	19.3 19.3	18.7 18.7	20.8 20.8	19.8 19.8	19.8 19.8	<65m <65m	97 92		12 <15m	
		MEXIA J H						<5t	1		<5t	
		MEXIA SCHOOL OF CH	•	•	•	•	•	<5t	4	•	<5t	•
LIPSCOMB	BOOKER ISD	BOOKER JH/H S	17.6	19.5	19.6	19.0	19.0	20	26	76.9	<5h	٠
	FOLLETT ISD	FOLLETT SCHOOL	21.4	21.1	23.1	20.9	21.6	17	16	100.0	<5h	•
	HIGGINS ISD	HIGGINS SCHOOL	20.8	20.8	21.3	20.7	21.0	6	9	66.7	<5h	•
LIVE OAK	GEORGE WEST IS	GEORGE WEST H S	17.0	18.9	18.9	18.8	18.5	33	66	50.0	<5h	
	THREE RIVERS I	THREE RIVERS H S	17.3	20.0	19.2	20.0	19.2	36	54	66.7	6	16.7
LLANO	LLANO ISD	LLANO H S	20.3	18.8	20.2	20.7	20.0	12	80	15.0	<5h	
LUBBOCK	EAGLE PROJECT	EAGLE PROJECT (LUB	•	•	•	•		<5t	15	•	<5t	
	FRENSHIP ISD	FRENSHIP H S	20.5	20.4 20.4	21.2 21.2	21.3 21.3	21.0 21.0	<100m <100m	301 276		23 <25m	
		REESE EDUCATIONAL						<5t	25	•	<5t	
	IDALOU ISD	IDALOU H S	21.0	19.3	20.7	20.6	20.5	22	43	51.2	5	22.7
	LUBBOCK ISD	00001100 11 0	19.5	20.3	20.7	20.4	20.3		1,560	25.6	102	25.5
		CORONADO H S ESTACADO H S	19.8 15.1	20.7 16.4	20.8 15.7	20.6 16.7	20.6 16.1	136 <60m	444 136	30.6	38 <5h	27.9
		HOMEBOUND						<5t	4		<5t	
		LUBBOCK H S	21.7		23.5	21.9	22.4	115	482	23.9	44	38.3
		MATTHEWS LRN CTR/N MONTEREY H S	18.9	20.0	19.9	20.3	19.9	<5t <95m	68 426		<5t <20m	
	LUBBOCK-COOPER	LUBBOCK-COOPER HIG	20.9	20.6	21.3	21.0	21.1	55	89	61.8	17	30.9
	NEW DEAL ISD	NEW DEAL H S	21.1	20.1	20.7	21.0	20.9	27	47	57.4	<5h	
	RICHARD MILBUR	RICHARD MILBURN AC						<5t	31	•	<5t	
	ROOSEVELT ISD	ROOSEVELT H S	17.1	19.5	19.5	20.4	19.3	17	61	27.9	<5h	
	SHALLOWATER IS	SHALLOWATER H S	19.6	21.2	21.4	21.4	21.1	42	68	61.8	11	26.2

<sup>&#</sup>x27;h' indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;m' indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 2 ACT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

											at or	inees above
County name	District name	Campus name		Math.			Comp.	Tested Num.		duates ested (%)		erion Pcnt.
LUBBOCK	SLATON ISD	SLATON H S	18.5	19.4	19.6	20.2	19.5	30	63	47.6	5	16.7
	SOUTH PLAINS A	SOUTH PLAINS ACADE						<5t	62	-	<5t	
LYNN	NEW HOME ISD	NEW HOME SCHOOL	17.4	20.1	18.3	18.7	18.6	16	26	61.5	<5h	
	O'DONNELL ISD	O'DONNELL HIGH SCH	20.0	19.5	21.3	20.0	20.3	18	26	69.2	<5h	
	TAHOKA ISD	ТАНОКА Н S	17.6	18.5	18.7	19.1	18.6	38	45	84.4	<5h	
	WILSON ISD	01107050 ALTER	20.4	19.6	20.4	19.8	20.3	8	23	34.8	<5h	
		CHOICES ALTER WILSON SCHOOL	20.4	19.6	20.4	19.8	20.3	<5t <10m	1 22		<5t <5h	
MADISON	MADISONVILLE C	MADISONVILLE HIGH	18.7	19.1	18.2	19.0	18.9	38	102	37.3	10	26.3
	NORTH ZULCH IS	NORTH ZULCH H S						<5t	9		<5t	
MARION	JEFFERSON ISD	JEFFERSON H S	17.6	18.9	17.7	19.5	18.6	41	60	68.3	<5h	
MARTIN	GRADY ISD	GRADY SCHOOL	23.2	20.2	22.8	21.1	22.1	9	18	50.0	<5h	
	STANTON ISD	STANTON H S						<5t	47		<5t	
MASON	MASON ISD	MASON H S	19.9	20.5	19.4	19.6	19.9	18	45	40.0	<5h	
MATAGORDA	BAY CITY ISD	BAY CITY H S	18.7	20.3	19.6	20.5	19.7	43	236	18.2	9	20.9
	PALACIOS ISD	PALACIOS H S	17.2	19.1	19.4	18.9	18.9	31	103	30.1	<5h	
	TIDEHAVEN ISD	TIDEHAVEN H S	18.6	19.6	19.1	18.5	19.1	15	40	37.5	<5h	
	VAN VLECK ISD	VAN VLECK H S	18.4	19.1	19.7	20.2	19.4	29	62	46.8	6	20.7
MAVERICK	EAGLE PASS ISD		17.6	19.6	18.2	18.6	18.6	38	547	6.9	<5h	
		E P H S - C C WINN EAGLE PASS H S	17.6	19.6	18.2	18.6	18.6	<5t <40m	532		<5t <5h	
		FRANK CHISUM REGI	•	•	•	•	•	<5t	13	•	<5t	•
MCCULLOCH	BRADY ISD	BRADY H S	20.1	18.9	19.8	20.2	19.9	35	53	66.0	<5h	•
	LOHN ISD	LOHN SCHOOL	•	•	•	•	•	<5t	6		<5t	•
	ROCHELLE ISD	ROCHELLE SCHOOL	19.7	19.8	19.4	20.9	20.0	9	15	60.0	<5h	•
MCLENNAN	AXTELL ISD	AXTELL H S	21.2	19.6	20.5	22.1	20.9	16	33	48.5	<5h	•
	BOSQUEVILLE IS	BOSQUEVILLE SCHOOL	20.3	22.7	20.6	20.3	21.0	7	18	38.9	<5h	•
	BRUCEVILLE-EDD	B-E ACHIEVEMENT CT	19.5	19.7	19.3	19.8	19.6	28 <5t	54 1	51.9	<5h <5t	
		BRUCEVILLE-EDDY H	19.5	19.7	19.3	19.8	19.6	<30m	53	•	<5h	•
	CHINA SPRING I	CHINA SPRING H S	20.3	21.5	21.8	22.1	21.6	32	106	30.2	11	34.4
	CONNALLY ISD		19.8	19.5	19.2	19.1	19.6	29	127	22.8	6	20.7

<sup>&#</sup>x27;h' indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;m' indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 2
ACT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

0	Pintaint and	•			an score			Tested		duates	at or crit	inees above erion
County name	District name	Campus name	Eng.	Math.	Read.	Sci.	Comp.	Num.	Num. 16	ested (%) 	Num.	Pont.
MCLENNAN	CONNALLY ISD	CONNALLY H S LAKEVIEW ACADEMY	19.8	19.5	19.2	19.1	19.6	<30m <5t	124 3		<10m <5t	
	CRAWFORD ISD	CRAWFORD H S OPPORTUNITY LEARNI	21.9 21.9	20.9 20.9	21.3 21.3	20.9 20.9	21.3 21.3	<20m <20m <5t	45 44 1	•	<10m <10m <5t	
	EAGLE PROJECT	EAGLE PROJECT (WAC						<5t	4		<5t	
	LA VEGA ISD	LA VEGA H S SUCCESS PROGRAM	18.9 18.9	19.1 19.1	19.0 19.0	19.1 19.1	19.2 19.2	33 <35m <5t	108 103 5	30.6	6 <10m <5t	18.2
	LORENA ISD	LORENA H S	20.5	21.6	21.3	21.5	21.4	24	84	28.6	6	25.0
	MART ISD	MART H S	18.5	18.3	19.3	19.5	19.2	24	42	57.1	5	20.8
	MCGREGOR ISD	MCGREGOR H S	16.4	18.5	17.8	17.9	17.8	14	54	25.9	<5h	
	MIDWAY ISD	MIDWAY H S	21.4	22.1	22.1	22.1	22.1	136	358	38.0	45	33.1
	MOODY ISD	MOODY H S	19.2	18.9	19.1	19.9	19.3	17	27	63.0	<5h	
	RIESEL ISD	RIESEL SCHOOL	19.6	20.9	21.7	20.7	20.9	19	33	57.6	5	26.3
	ROBINSON ISD	OPPORTUNITY LEARNI ROBINSON H S	19.8 19.8	21.3 21.3	22.8 22.8	21.0 21.0	21.4 21.4	<35m <5t <35m	133 1 132		12 <5t <15m	
	WACO ISD	A J MOORE ACAD STARS HIGH SCHOOL UNIVERSITY H S WACO H S	16.9 15.2 17.2 17.5	17.8 16.5 18.2 18.3	17.4 16.0 17.0 18.3	18.4 17.4 18.3 18.8	17.8 16.4 17.8 18.4	117 <30m <5t <35m 58	495 76 1 161 257	23.6	10 <5h <5t <5h 7	8.5
	WEST ISD	BROOKHAVEN YOUTH R RBEC OPPORTUNITY L WEST H S	20.1	20.0	19.0 19.0	20.2	19.9 19.9	21 <5t <5t <25m	122 1 6 115	17.2	<10m <5t <5t <10m	
MCMULLEN	MCMULLEN COUNT	MCMULLEN COUNTY S	22.9	19.8	23.3	22.3	22.3	8	13	61.5	<5h	
MEDINA	D'HANIS ISD	D'HANIS SCHOOL	20.0	23.1	20.7	20.8	21.3	10	16	62.5	<5h	
	DEVINE ISD	DEVINE HIGH SCHOOL	18.2	18.6	18.8	20.0	19.1	40	117	34.2	5	12.5
	HONDO ISD	DETENTION CTR	20.6	20.0	20.7	21.0	20.7	69 <5t	111 2	62.2	22 <5t	31.9
		HONDO H S	20.6	20.0	20.7	21.0	20.7	<70m	109		<25m	÷
	MEDINA VALLEY	ALTER LEARNING CTR	18.8	19.7	19.6	20.1	19.7	71 <5t	171 2	41.5	14 <5t	19.7
		MEDINA VALLEY H S	18.8	19.7	19.6	20.1	19.7	<75m	169		<15m	•
	NATALIA ISD	NATALIA H S	17.5	17.1	19.5	18.2	18.4	13	57	22.8	<5h	
MENARD	MENARD ISD	MENARD H S	19.6	20.6	19.2	21.5	20.4	17	35	48.6	<5h	

<sup>&#</sup>x27;h' indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;m' indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 2 ACT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

												inees above
County name	District name	Campus name		Me Math.			Comp.	Tested Num.		aduates Tested (%)		erion Pcnt.
MIDLAND	EAGLE PROJECT							<5t	42		<5t	
		EAGLE CHARTER SCHO						<5t	24		<5t	
		EAGLE PROJECT (MID	•	•	•	•	•	<5t	18	•	<5t	•
	GREENWOOD ISD	GREENWOOD H S	21.7	22.2	23.5	22.0	22.5	35	121	28.9	15	42.9
	MIDLAND ISD	1.EE 11.0	20.7	22.0	22.2	21.3	21.6	234	1,129	20.7	81	34.6
		LEE H S MIDLAND EXCEL CAMP	20.8	22.2	22.5	21.5	21.8	160 <5t	558 52	28.7	<60m <5t	•
		MIDLAND H S	20.5	21.5	21.6	20.8	21.2	<75m	495		<25m	:
		VIOLA M COLEMAN H					•	<5t	24	•	<5t	
	RICHARD MILBUR	RICHARD MILBURN AC						<5t	11		<5t	
MILAM	BUCKHOLTS ISD	BUCKHOLTS SCHOOL						<5t	14		<5t	
	CAMERON ISD	YOE H S	18.5	19.5	18.9	20.1	19.4	22	107	20.6	<5h	
	MILANO ISD	MILANO H S	21.5	22.8	17.9	18.9	20.4	8	27	29.6	<5h	
	ROCKDALE ISD	ROCKDALE H S	20.5	19.2	18.8	19.7	19.7	6	81	7.4	<5h	
	THORNDALE ISD	THORNDALE H S		•			•	<5t	31		<5t	
MILLS	GOLDTHWAITE IS	GOLDTHWAITE HIGH S	21.9	21.9	22.0	22.0	22.0	21	34	61.8	8	38.1
	MULLIN ISD	MULLIN SCHOOL						<5t	5		<5t	
	PRIDDY ISD	PRIDDY SCHOOL						<5t	8		<5t	
	STAR ISD	STAR SCHOOL						<5t	8		<5t	
MITCHELL	COLORADO ISD	COLORADO HIGH SCHO	17.5	18.1	19.6	18.8	18.6	34	58	58.6	5	14.7
	LORAINE ISD	LORAINE SCHOOL	18.0	20.2	18.6	20.8	19.6	5	15	33.3	<5h	
	WESTBROOK ISD	WESTBROOK SCHOOL	19.2	17.0	21.2	20.4	19.6	5	11	45.5	<5h	
MONTAGUE	BOWIE ISD	BOWIE H S	19.8	19.9	22.5	20.5	20.8	20	78	25.6	6	30.0
	FORESTBURG ISD	FORESTBURG SCHOOL						<5t	7	•	<5t	
	GOLD BURG ISD	GOLD BURG H S				•		<5t	11	•	<5t	
	NOCONA ISD	NOCONA H S	18.7	19.1	19.2	20.1	19.5	35	46	76.1	<5h	
	PRAIRIE VALLEY	PRAIRIE VALLEY H S	•		•	•		<5t	9	•	<5t	•
	SAINT JO ISD	SAINT JO H S	21.7	22.3	21.2	21.2	21.8	6	23	26.1	<5h	•
MONTGOMERY	CONROE ISD		21.5	22.6	22.1	21.9	22.2	605	1,941	31.2	218	36.0
		CANEY CREEK H S CONROE H S	19.2 19.2	19.6 19.1	19.1 19.7	19.7 19.5	19.5 19.5	<40m <75m	253 357		<10m <15m	
		OAK RIDGE H S	21.2	22.4	22.4	22.0	22.1	81	330	24.5	30	37.0
		THE WOODLANDS H S	22.2	23.5	22.7	22.6	22.9	414	959	43.2	168	40.6
		W L HAUKE ALTER ED	•	•	•	•	•	<5t	42	•	<5t	•

<sup>&#</sup>x27;h' indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;m' indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 2
ACT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

				Mea	an score	9		Tested	Gra	duates	at or	inees above erion
County name	District name	Campus name		Math.		Sci.		Num.		ested (%)	Num.	
MONTGOMERY	MAGNOLIA ISD	ALPHA	20.0	20.0	21.0	21.0	20.6	82 <5t	392 51	20.9	18 <5t	22.0
		MAGNOLIA H S	20.0	20.0	21.0	21.0	20.6	<85m	341		<20m	÷
	MONTGOMERY ISD	MONTGOMERY H S	22.2	22.1	22.8	21.4	22.3	40	179	22.3	15	37.5
	NEW CANEY ISD	NEW CANEY H S	20.9	19.6	22.0	21.5	21.3	17	247	6.9	5	29.4
	SPLENDORA ISD	SPLENDORA H S					•	<5t	113		<5t	
	WILLIS ISD	WILLIS H S	18.1	19.4	18.6	19.8	19.1	42	212	19.8	7	16.7
MOORE	DUMAS ISD	CHAMPS	18.4	18.5	19.0	19.8	19.0	<95m <5t	204 9		9	
		DUMAS H S	18.4	18.5	19.0	19.8	19.0	<95m	195		<5t <10m	
	SUNRAY ISD	SUNRAY H S	20.3	18.9	20.4	19.4	19.9	29	42	69.0	5	17.2
MORRIS	DAINGERFIELD-L	DAINGERFIELD H S	14.1	17.1	16.6	17.8	16.4	10	79	12.7	<5h	
	PEWITT ISD	PEWITT H S	19.9	18.9	19.7	20.1	19.6	17	36	47.2	<5h	
MOTLEY	MOTLEY COUNTY	MOTLEY COUNTY SCHO	14.4	17.9	16.3	16.5	16.4	14	18	77.8	<5h	
NACOGDOCHES	CENTRAL HEIGHT	CENTRAL HEIGHTS H					•	<5t	26		<5t	
	CHIRENO ISD	CHIRENO H S	16.9	19.3	17.0	18.6	18.0	7	16	43.8	<5h	
	CUSHING ISD	CUSHING SCHOOL	19.8	18.8	21.5	20.5	20.3	12	25	48.0	<5h	
	DOUGLASS ISD	DOUGLASS SCHOOL	19.0	20.6	19.0	19.8	19.8	12	13	92.3	<5h	
	GARRISON ISD	GARRISON H S	18.9	19.4	19.5	19.6	19.5	31	43	72.1	<5h	
	MARTINSVILLE I	MARTINSVILLE SCHOO	16.4	17.3	18.2	18.4	17.8	17	19	89.5	<5h	
	NACOGDOCHES IS	ACCELERATED LEARNI	20.1	20.9	20.6	20.6	20.7	94	355 2	26.5	22 <5t	23.4
		NACOGDOCHES H S	20.1	20.9	20.6	20.6	20.7	<5t <95m	353		<25m	÷
	WODEN ISD	WODEN H S	20.5	20.1	20.1	19.2	20.0	20	42	47.6	<5h	
NAVARRO	BLOOMING GROVE	BLOOMING GROVE H S	19.4	19.8	20.9	19.6	20.0	20	37	54.1	5	25.0
	CORSICANA ISD	CORSICANA H IGH SC	19.4	18.9	19.2	20.2	19.5	54	225	24.0	8	14.8
	DAWSON ISD	DAWSON H S						<5t	21		<5t	
	FROST ISD	FROST H S	18.7	19.2	20.1	19.5	19.5	15	22	68.2	<5h	
	KERENS ISD	KERENS SCHOOL	19.2	19.3	19.8	20.0	19.6	12	37	32.4	<5h	
	MILDRED ISD	MILDRED H S	20.8	19.3	20.8	20.4	20.4	16	26	61.5	<5h	
	RICE ISD	RICE H S	20.0	18.8	21.7	19.5	20.2	6	23	26.1	<5h	

<sup>&#</sup>x27;h' indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;m' indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 2 ACT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

County name	District name	Campus name		· Me: Math.			Comp.	Tested Num.		duates ested (%)	at or crit	inees above erion Pcnt.
NEWTON	BURKEVILLE ISD	BURKEVILLE JR-SR H	15.7	15.6	16.4	15.5	16.0	11	16	68.8	<5h	
	DEWEYVILLE ISD	DEWEYVILLE H S	17.5	19.9	17.8	19.4	18.8	8	40	20.0	<5h	
	NEWTON ISD	NEWTON H S	17.0	17.5	17.8	17.2	17.5	32	75	42.7	<5h	
NOLAN	BLACKWELL CONS	BLACKWELL SCHOOL	13.7	17.3	17.0	18.4	16.7	10	13	76.9	<5h	
	HIGHLAND ISD	HIGHLAND SCHOOL	18.3	20.0	19.4	19.2	19.3	9	13	69.2	<5h	
	ROSCOE ISD	HODDO ALTED ED OO	18.8	18.5	18.8	19.3	18.9	<25m	26		<5h	
		HOBBS ALTER ED CO- ROSCOE H S	18.8	18.5	18.8	19.3	18.9	<5t <25m	2 24		<5t <5h	
	SWEETWATER ISD		21.0	21.0	21.5	21.4	21.3	84	135	62.2	28	33.3
		HOBBS ALTER ED CO- SWEETWATER H S	21.0	21.0	21.5	21.4	21.3	<5t <85m	7 128		<5t <30m	
NUECES	AGUA DULCE ISD	AGUA DULCE H S	20.8	19.2	20.8	20.8	20.6	13	22	59.1	<5h	
	BANQUETE ISD	BANQUETE H S	17.3	18.4	16.9	17.8	17.7	43	48	89.6	<5h	
	BISHOP CONS IS	BISHOP H S	17.3	19.2	17.6	18.6	18.3	25	75	33.3	<5h	
	CALALLEN ISD	CALALLEN H S	20.9	22.1	21.4	21.5	21.6	171	289	59.2	48	28.1
	COASTAL BEND Y	COASTAL BEND YOUTH						<5t	2		<5t	
	CORPUS CHRISTI		18.4	18.8	19.2	19.1	19.0	623	1,829	34.1	111	17.8
		ADULT ED						<5t	0	•	<5t	
		ALTERNATIVE H S CT CARROLL H S	19.5	19.8	19.9	19.7	19.9	<5t 122	61 457	26.7	<5t 26	21.3
		KING H S	20.8	20.3	21.5	20.6	20.9	121	367	33.0	35	28.9
		MARY GRETT SCHOOL						<5t	1		<5t	
		MILLER HIGH SCHOOL	15.2	17.3	16.9	17.5	16.8	<110m	251		<15m	
		MOODY H S	16.0	17.0	16.5	16.9	16.8	111	294	37.8	<5h	
		RAY H S TEENAGE MOTHERS SC	19.5	19.1	20.4	20.1	19.9	162 <5t	391 7	41.4	34 <5t	21.0
	DD M I 04D74 0			•	•	•	•					
		DR M L GARZA-GONZA						<5t	62		<5t	
		FLOUR BLUFF H S	20.4	20.1	21.6	21.6	21.0	76	285	26.7	20	26.3
		PORT ARANSAS H S	20.3	20.9	19.8	20.9	20.6	25	34	73.5		24.0
		RICHARD MILBURN AC	•		•	•	•	<5t	23	•	<5t	•
	ROBSTOWN ISD	ALTER LRN CTR	15.7	16.8	16.4	16.6	16.5	147 <5t	190 14	77.4	<5h <5t	
		ROBSTOWN H S	15.6	16.8	16.3	16.5	16.5	<145m	176	·	<5h	
	TULOSO-MIDWAY	TULOSO-MIDWAY ACAD	19.9	20.1	20.8	21.0	20.6	133 <5t	211 14	63.0	<35m <5t	
		TULOSO-MIDWAY H S	19.9	20.1	20.8	21.0	20.6	<135m	197		<35m	•
	WEST OSO ISD	WEST OSO H S	14.4	16.5	15.5	15.5	15.6	22	45	48.9	<5h	

<sup>&#</sup>x27;h' indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;m' indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 2
ACT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

				Me	an score	9		Tested	Grad	duates	at or	inees above erion
County name	District name	Campus name	Eng.	Math.	Read.	Sci.	Comp.	Num.	Num. Te	ested (%)	Num.	Pcnt.
OCHILTREE	PERRYTON ISD	PERRYTON H S TOP OF TEXAS ACCEL	21.0 21.0	21.7 21.7	21.8 21.8	21.5 21.5	21.6 21.6	74 <75m <5t	108 99 9	68.5	22 <25m <5t	29.7
OLDHAM	ADRIAN ISD	ADRIAN SCHOOL						<5t	4		<5t	
	BOYS RANCH ISD	BOYS RANCH H S	17.6	17.2	19.1	18.1	18.2	38	33	100.0	<5h	
	VEGA ISD	VEGA H S	20.7	21.0	19.6	19.7	20.3	19	21	90.5	<5h	
ORANGE	BRIDGE CITY IS	BRIDGE CITY H S	19.1	20.3	19.0	20.8	19.9	22	166	13.3	<5h	
	LITTLE CYPRESS	LIT CYPR-MRCEVILLE	19.8	19.5	19.3	20.6	19.9	40	227	17.6	10	25.0
	ORANGEFIELD IS	ORANGEFIELD H S	21.6	22.0	23.2	22.8	22.5	17	90	18.9	6	35.3
	VIDOR ISD		21.6	19.4	21.3	21.0	21.0	23	255	9.0	<10m	
		A I M S CTR H S VIDOR H S	21.6	19.4	21.3	21.0	21.0	<5t <25m	11 244		<5t <10m	
	WEST ORANGE-CO		18.3	17.5	17.3	17.9	17.8	<20m	169		<5h	
		WEST ORANGE-COVE E WEST ORANGE-STARK	18.3	17.5	17.3	17.9	17.8	<5t <20m	3 166	•	<5t <5h	
PALO PINTO	GORDON ISD	GORDON SCHOOL	18.0	18.9	19.6	19.8	19.3	12	14	85.7	<5h	
	GRAFORD ISD	GRAFORD H S						<5t	18		<5t	
	MINERAL WELLS		19.0	22.0	19.8	20.3	20.4	8	155	5.2	<5h	
		DREAM ACADEMY MINERAL WELL H S	19.0	22.0	19.8	20.3	20.4	<5t <10m	5 150	•	<5t <5h	
	SANTO ISD	SANTO HIGH SCHOOL	19.4	18.2	19.2	18.0	18.8	5	19	26.3	<5h	
	STRAWN ISD	STRAWN SCHOOL	21.3	23.5	20.5	20.6	21.8	8	11	72.7	<5h	
PANOLA	BECKVILLE ISD	BECKVILLE JR-SR HI	16.7	18.3	18.6	18.9	18.1	15	26	57.7	<5h	
	CARTHAGE ISD	CARTHAGE H S	21.2	20.7	20.9	21.5	21.2	102	168	60.7	35	34.3
	GARY ISD	GARY SCHOOL	21.6	20.2	21.8	20.4	21.2	5	8	62.5	<5h	
	PANOLA CHARTER	PANOLA CS						<5t	13		<5t	
PARKER	ALEDO ISD		21.3	22.0	23.2	21.6	22.1	72	209	34.4	29	40.3
		ALEDO HIGH SCHOOL ALEDO LEARNING CEN	21.3	22.0	23.2	21.6	22.1	<75m <5t	163 46		<30m <5t	
	BROCK ISD	BROCK H S	20.1	19.1	20.0	20.6	20.0	7	30	23.3	<5h	
	MILLSAP ISD	MILLSAP H S		•				<5t	34		<5t	
	PEASTER ISD	PEASTER H S	21.0	21.3	22.8	22.1	22.0	20	56	35.7	7	35.0
	POOLVILLE ISD	POOLVILLE H S						<5t	15		<5t	
	SPRINGTOWN ISD		16.9	18.3	20.0	20.0	18.8	26	143	18.2	<5h	

<sup>&#</sup>x27;h' indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>prime}\text{m}^{\prime}$  indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 2 ACT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

				Mo	an score			Tested	Gn	aduates	at or	inees above erion
County name	District name	Campus name		Math.			Comp.	Num.		Tested (%)		Pont.
PARKER	SPRINGTOWN ISD	SPRINGTOWN H S YALE	16.8	18.3	20.0	19.8	18.8	<30m <5t	138 5		<5h <5t	
	WEATHERFORD IS	PASS LEARNING CENT	20.2	20.6	21.2	21.1	21.0	119 <5t	373 34	31.9	34 <5t	28.6
		WEATHERFORD H S	20.2	20.6	21.2	21.1	21.0	<120m	339	•	<35m	
PARMER	BOVINA ISD	BOVINA H S	15.3	17.4	17.4	18.7	17.5	15	36	41.7	<5h	
	FARWELL ISD	FARWELL H S	22.4	21.5	22.7	22.4	22.3	23	31	74.2	10	43.5
	FRIONA ISD	FRIONA H S	18.7	19.2	20.7	20.3	19.8	39	52	75.0	6	15.4
	LAZBUDDIE ISD	LAZBUDDIE SCHOOL	20.4	18.2	20.8	20.9	20.2	16	16	100.0	5	31.3
PECOS	BUENA VISTA IS	BUENA VISTA SCHOOL						<5t	8		<5t	
	FT STOCKTON IS	DUTZ EDUCATION OTD	17.1	20.2	19.7	19.8	19.3	24	138	17.4	<5h	
		BUTZ EDUCATION CTR FORT STOCKTON H S	17.1	20.2	19.7	19.8	19.3	<5t <25m	8 130	•	<5t <5h	
	IRAAN-SHEFFIEL	IRAAN H S	18.5	19.7	21.0	19.9	19.9	23	30	76.7	5	21.7
POLK	BIG SANDY ISD	BIG SANDY SCHOOL	17.8	20.3	16.7	19.0	18.5	6	23	26.1	<5h	
	CORRIGAN-CAMDE	CORRIGAN-CAMDEN H	16.5	16.2	20.1	17.6	17.8	16	49	32.7	<5h	•
	GOODRICH ISD	GOODRICH H S						<5t	13		<5t	
	LEGGETT ISD	LEGGETT H S						<5t	11		<5t	
	LIVINGSTON ISD	LIVINGSTON H S	18.7	18.9	22.6	20.5	20.3	22	212	10.4	5	22.7
POTTER	AMARILLO ISD	AMARTILIO AREA OTR	19.6	20.4	20.5	20.5	20.4	417		30.0	107	25.7
		AMARILLO AREA CTR AMARILLO H S	21.8	21.7	22.6	21.6	22.1	<5t 185	1 468	39.5	<5t 67	36.2
		CAPROCK H S	17.5	18.9	18.3	19.4	18.7	<60m	235		<10m	
		NORTH HEIGHTS ALTE	•					<5t	17		<5t	
		PALO DURO H S	16.0	17.8	16.5	18.2	17.3	96 <90m	283 387	33.9	<5h	•
		TASCOSA H S TRAVIS MIDDLE	20.7	21.5	22.0	21.5	21.6	<80m <5t	1		27 <5t	
	HIGHLAND PARK	HIGHLAND PARK H S	20.6	19.9	21.6	21.7	21.1	16	48	33.3	5	31.3
	RICHARD MILBUR	RICHARD MILBURN AC						<5t	33		<5t	
	RIVER ROAD ISD	RIVER ROAD HIGH SC	19.3	19.3	21.0	20.5	20.2	47	109	43.1	12	25.5
PRESIDIO	MARFA ISD	MARFA H S	18.9	18.4	21.3	19.2	19.7	12	29	41.4	<5h	
	PRESIDIO ISD	PRESIDIO H S	13.1	16.4	15.1	16.0	15.3	54	78	69.2	<5h	
RAINS	RAINS ISD	RAINS H S	19.0	19.0	19.4	19.8	19.5	48	84	57.1	9	18.8
RANDALL	CANYON ISD	OANNON II C	21.1	21.4	22.0	21.6	21.6	249	447	55.7	74	29.7
		CANYON H S RANDALL H S	21.8	21.1 21.8	22.2 21.7	21.8 21.3	21.8 21.3	<150m <105m	195 252		<50m <30m	

<sup>&#</sup>x27;h' indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;m' indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 2
ACT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

				Me	an scor	e		Tested	Gra	duates	at or	inees above erion
County name	District name	Campus name		Math.			Comp.	Num.		ested (%)	Num.	
REAGAN	REAGAN COUNTY	REAGAN COUNTY H S	19.2	20.4	20.5	21.2	20.5	19	45	42.2	5	26.3
REAL	LEAKEY ISD	LEAKEY SCHOOL	19.7	18.1	17.9	21.1	19.4	7	18	38.9	<5h	
RED RIVER	AVERY ISD	AVERY H S	18.8	19.7	17.6	19.2	19.0	12	16	75.0	<5h	
	CLARKSVILLE IS	CLARKSVILLE H S	19.5	18.9	18.3	19.7	19.3	32	65	49.2	6	18.8
	DETROIT ISD	DETROIT H S	19.6	18.0	21.8	19.6	19.8	16	26	61.5	<5h	
	RIVERCREST ISD	RIVERCREST H S	19.8	19.8	20.2	20.9	20.3	22	27	81.5	5	22.7
REEVES	BALMORHEA ISD	BALMORHEA SCHOOL						<5t	19		<5t	
	PECOS-BARSTOW-	PECOS H S						<5t	167		<5t	
REFUGIO	AUSTWELL-TIVOL	AUSTWELL-TIVOLI H	18.9	21.0	19.4	20.9	20.3	7	11	63.6	<5h	
	REFUGIO ISD	REFUGIO HIGH SCHOO	18.8	20.4	19.6	19.7	19.8	26	43	60.5	5	19.2
	WOODSBORO ISD	WOODSBORO H S	16.5	18.1	16.7	19.1	17.8	20	24	83.3	<5h	
ROBERTS	MIAMI ISD	MIAMI SCHOOL	18.5	18.2	18.8	20.3	18.9	12	16	75.0	<5h	
ROBERTSON	BREMOND ISD	BREMOND H S	17.8	20.0	17.8	20.2	19.2	6	32	18.8	<5h	
	CALVERT ISD	CALVERT H S						<5t	9		<5t	
	FRANKLIN ISD	FRANKLIN H S	19.1	19.6	21.0	20.0	20.0	14	56	25.0	<5h	
	HEARNE ISD	HEARNE H S	14.4	15.2	16.4	16.2	15.7	18	67	26.9	<5h	
ROCKWALL	ROCKWALL ISD		21.6	21.5	22.5	21.6	21.9	<180m	458	·	59	
		ROCKWALL ALTERNATI ROCKWALL H S	21.6	21.5	22.5	21.6	21.9	<5t <180m	10 448		<5t <60m	
	ROYSE CITY ISD		17.8	18.8	19.3	19.6	19.0	<45m	110		<10m	
		ALTERNATIVE LEARNI ROYSE CITY H S	17.8	18.8	19.3	19.6	19.0	<5t <45m	13 97		<5t <10m	
RUNNELS	BALLINGER ISD		19.9	21.2	20.1	21.0	20.7	53	79	67.1	<15m	
		BALLINGER H S C A P CO-OP	19.9	21.2	20.1	21.0	20.7	<55m <5t	74 5		<15m <5t	
	MILES ISD	MILES H S	18.2	19.7	19.6	19.3	19.3	27	29	93.1	<5h	
	WINTERS ISD		19.6	18.0	19.9	19.3	19.4	22	43	51.2	<5h	
		C A P CO-OP WINTERS H S	19.6	18.0	19.9	19.3	19.4	<5t <25m	4 39		<5t <5h	
RUSK	CARLISLE ISD	CARLISLE SCHOOL	20.5	20.6	22.1	21.6	21.3	17	24	70.8	<5h	
	HENDERSON ISD	HENDERSON H S	20.0	20.0	20.5	20.1	20.3	103	204	50.5	26	25.2
	LANEVILLE ISD	LANEVILLE SCHOOL						<5t	10		<5t	

.....

 $<sup>{\</sup>it Note:}$  't' indicates masking is applied due to small number of students tested.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;h' indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;m' indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 2 ACT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

											at or	inees above
County name	District name	Campus name		Math.	an score Read.		Comp.	Tested Num.		duates ested (%)	Num.	erion Pcnt.
RUSK	LEVERETTS CHAP	LEVERETTS CHAPEL H	17.6	17.5	17.6	17.1	17.6	8	12	66.7	<5h	
	MOUNT ENTERPRI	MT ENTERPRISE H S	21.4	20.1	23.2	21.0	21.6	15	19	78.9	5	33.3
	OVERTON ISD	OVERTON H S	19.3	20.4	19.8	19.3	19.9	9	21	42.9	<5h	
	TATUM ISD	TATUM H S	18.7	17.6	18.8	19.2	18.7	51	82	62.2	8	15.7
	WEST RUSK ISD	WEST RUSK H S	18.8	18.1	18.0	19.2	18.7	24	51	47.1	<5h	
SABINE	HEMPHILL ISD	HEMPHILL H S	18.4	20.0	20.2	20.4	19.9	21	53	39.6	<5h	
	WEST SABINE IS	WEST SABINE H S	19.1	19.3	19.5	20.0	19.8	8	26	30.8	<5h	
SAN AUGUSTI	BROADDUS ISD	BROADDUS H S	17.3	16.5	17.8	19.1	17.7	12	15	80.0	<5h	
	SAN AUGUSTINE		15.3	16.7	16.1	17.8	16.6	28	58	48.3	<5h	
		ACCELERATED LRN CT SAN AUGUSTINE H S	15.3	16.7	16.1	17.8	16.6	<5t <30m	1 57		<5t <5h	
SAN JACINTO	COLDSPRING-OAK	COLDSPRING-OAKHURS	19.0	17.5	20.7	20.7	19.5	6	81	7.4	<5h	
	SHEPHERD ISD	SHEPHERD H S	20.8	19.3	21.7	18.7	20.2	6	77	7.8	<5h	
SAN PATRICI	ARANSAS PASS I	ARANSAS PASS HIGH	19.8	20.0	19.8	20.5	20.0	20	108	18.5	<5h	
	GREGORY-PORTLA	GREGORY-PORTLAND H	17.8	19.7	18.9	19.7	19.1	87	252	34.5	12	13.8
	INGLESIDE ISD	INGLESIDE H S	21.1	22.9	21.1	22.0	21.9	34	112	30.4	13	38.2
	MATHIS ISD	MATHIS H S	16.5	17.4	17.9	18.3	17.7	80	114	70.2	11	13.8
	ODEM-EDROY ISD	ODEM H S	16.3	17.4	17.5	18.4	17.5	32	59	54.2	<5h	
	SINTON ISD	SINTON H S	15.5	17.1	16.2	17.5	16.6	22	103	21.4	<5h	
	TAFT ISD	TAFT H S	16.1	17.7	17.7	18.0	17.4	43	71	60.6	<5h	
SAN SABA	CHEROKEE ISD	CHEROKEE H S	19.0	18.6	17.8	19.4	18.9	8	10	80.0	<5h	
	RICHLAND SPRIN	RICHLAND SPRINGS S						<5t	9		<5t	
	SAN SABA ISD	SAN SABA H S	17.6	19.3	18.3	18.9	18.8	32	47	68.1	5	15.6
SCHLEICHER	SCHLEICHER ISD	ELDORADO H S	20.5	21.0	21.3	21.3	21.1	40	47	85.1	6	15.0
SCURRY	HERMLEIGH ISD	HERMLEIGH SCHOOL						<5t	5		<5t	
	IRA ISD	IRA SCHOOL	17.9	19.6	21.6	20.8	20.0	9	11	81.8	<5h	
	SNYDER ISD		21.2	21.0	21.0	21.0	21.2	97	155	62.6	32	33.0
		HOBBS ALTER ED CO- SNYDER H S	21.2	21.0	21.0	21.0	21.2	<5t <100m	2 153		<5t <35m	
SHACKELFORD	ALBANY ISD	ALBANY JR-SR H S	18.7	20.5	21.8	20.9	20.6	20	37	54.1	<5h	
	MORAN ISD	MORAN SCHOOL						<5t	7		<5t	

<sup>&#</sup>x27;h' indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;m' indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 2
ACT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

County name	District name	Campus name			an score Read.		Comp.	Tested Num.		uates sted (%)	at or	inees above erion Pont.
SHELBY	CENTER ISD	CENTER H S	18.8	19.0	20.4	19.6	19.5	59	94	62.8	5	8.5
	JOAQUIN ISD	JOAQUIN H S	16.9	18.3	16.8	18.3	17.8	19	22	86.4	<5h	
	SHELBYVILLE IS	SHELBYVILLE SCHOOL	19.0	19.0	17.7	20.0	19.1	21	31	67.7	<5h	
	TENAHA ISD	TENAHA H S	16.9	17.8	17.1	18.9	17.7	16	18	88.9	<5h	
	TIMPSON ISD	TIMPSON H S	17.3	17.3	18.3	18.0	17.8	24	38	63.2	<5h	
SHERMAN	STRATFORD ISD	STRATFORD H S	18.4	19.5	20.9	20.6	20.0	34	36	94.4	8	23.5
SMITH	ARP ISD	ARP H S	14.5	16.1	14.3	16.3	15.4	20	42	47.6	<5h	
	AZLEWAY CHARTE	AZLEWAY CHARTER SC					•	<5t	1		<5t	
	BULLARD ISD		20.5	20.7	19.5	19.2	20.1	<20m	70		<5h	
		BULLARD ALTERNATIV BULLARD H S	20.5	20.7	19.5	19.2	20.1	<5t <20m	12 58	•	<5t <5h	•
		BOLL/ WID TI O	20.0	20.7	13.3	13.2	2011	12011	50	•	1011	•
	CHAPEL HILL IS	OUADEL LITE II O	17.8		19.1	19.1	18.7	79	191	41.4	9	11.4
		CHAPEL HILL H S ST LOUIS UNIT	17.8	18.6	19.1	19.1	18.7	<80m <5t	186 1		<10m <5t	
		STEPS	:	·	÷			<5t	4	•	<5t	÷
	EAGLE PROJECT	EAGLE PROJECT (TYL	•			•	-	<5t	23		<5t	
	LINDALE ISD	LINDALE H S	19.7	19.9	20.0	20.6	20.3	65	157	41.4	14	21.5
	TROUP ISD	TROUP H S					•	<5t	42		<5t	
	TYLER ISD		19.2	20.1	19.9	20.1	19.9	196	820	23.9	46	23.5
		ALVIN V ANDERSON E						<5t	34		<5t	
		JOHN TYLER H S	18.1	18.7	18.5	18.9	18.6	<90m	310		<15m	
		LEE H S	20.2	21.2	21.1	21.1	21.0	<110m	473	•	<35m	
		SPECIAL SERVICES A	•	•	•	•	•	<5t	3	•	<5t	•
	WHITEHOUSE ISD	WHITEHOUSE H S	22.1	23.0	23.3	22.4	22.8	32	255	12.5	15	46.9
	WINONA ISD	WINONA H S	19.4	18.8	20.1	19.0	19.5	29	49	59.2	<5h	•
SOMERVELL		BRAZOS RIVER CHART	•			•	•	<5t	32		<5t	•
	GLEN ROSE ISD		21.3	23.4	21.4	22.0	22.1	43	94	45.7	16	37.2
		ACE SCHOOL GLEN ROSE H S	21.3	23.4	21.4	22.0	22.1	<5t <45m	7 87	•	<5t <20m	•
CTADD	DIO CDANDE CIT	RIO GRANDE CITY H								E7 0		•
STARR	ROMA ISD	ROMA H S	14.1	16.3	16.0	16.6	15.9	207	358	57.8	<5h	
			15.0	17.1	15.8	17.0	16.3	166	280	59.3	5 -Eh	3.0
		SAN ISIDRO H S	18.6		18.7	19.7	18.8	10	15	66.7	<5h	•
STEPHENS	BRECKENRIDGE I	BRECKENRIDGE ALTER	20.9	22.2	21.6	21.5	21.8	33 <5t	98 1	33.7	12 <5t	36.4
		BRECKENRIDGE H S	20.9	22.2	21.6	21.5	21.8	<35m	97		<15m	

 $<sup>{\</sup>it Note:}$  't' indicates masking is applied due to small number of students tested.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;h' indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;m' indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 2 ACT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

											at or	inees above
0	District	0						Tested		aduates		erion
County name	District name	Campus name	Eng.	Math.	неаа.	SC1.	Comp.	Num.	Num.	Tested (%)	Num.	Pcnt.
STERLING	STERLING CITY	STERLING CITY H S	19.7	20.6	21.3	20.9	20.7	15	18	83.3	<5h	
STONEWALL	ASPERMONT ISD	ASPERMONT HS	18.4	18.8	19.3	19.4	19.1	10	14	71.4	<5h	•
SUTTON	SONORA ISD	SONORA H S	17.7	18.1	18.5	19.7	18.7	38	49	77.6	<5h	
SWISHER	HAPPY ISD	HAPPY H S	20.4	20.9	21.4	21.8	21.3	16	21	76.2	<5h	
	KRESS ISD	KRESS H S	16.2	18.2	17.3	19.5	17.9	19	30	63.3	<5h	
	TULIA ISD	TULIA H S	18.3	19.9	19.6	20.0	19.6	42	71	59.2	9	21.4
TARRANT	ARLINGTON ISD		19.8	20.7	20.8	20.6	20.6	456	2,687	17.0	119	26.1
		ARLINGTON H S	20.3	21.6	21.2	21.1	21.1	89	501	17.8	30	33.7
		BOWIE H S	18.5	19.5	20.3	20.0	19.7	88	545	16.1	<15m	
		HOMEBOUND						<5t	2		<5t	
		LAMAR H S	21.0	21.1	21.8	21.0	21.3	81	497	16.3	28	34.6
		MARTIN H S	21.1	22.3	21.8	21.6	21.8	125	711	17.6	38	30.4
		SAM HOUSTON H S	17.0	17.8	18.1	18.5	18.0	<75m	372		<10m	
		VENTURE ALTER H S		•		•		<5t	59		<5t	
	AZLE ISD	AZLE H S	20.5	19.8	22.2	21.4	21.1	94	334	28.1	31	33.0
	BIRDVILLE ISD		19.8	19.7	21.1	20.6	20.4	174	1,055	16.5	35	20.1
		BIRDVILLE HIGH SCH	20.4	21.5	21.4	21.6	21.4	<45m	174		<15m	
		HALTOM H S	19.0	17.9	19.6	19.3	19.1	<50m	448		<10m	
		RICHLAND H S	20.1	19.9	21.7	20.8	20.7	84	353	23.8	19	22.6
		SHANNON LRN CTR						<5t	80		<5t	
	CARROLL ISD	CARROLL SENIOR HIG	22.7	23.6	24.2	23.0	23.5	268	409	65.5	133	49.6
	CASTLEBERRY IS		20.7	17.8	22.1	21.8	20.8	<15m	159		<5h	
		CASTLEBERRY H S	20.7	17.8	22.1	21.8	20.8	<15m	145		<5h	
		REACH H S						<5t	14		<5t	
	CROWLEY ISD		20.0	20.9	21.2	20.9	20.9	161	549	29.3	36	22.4
	ONONEET TOD	CROWLEY H S	20.0	21.2	21.7	21.4	21.2	<55m	257		<15m	
		NORTH CROWLEY H S	20.0	20.8	21.0	20.6	20.7	<110m	292		<30m	
		NOTTH GROWLET IT C										
	EAGLE MT-SAGIN	5000511 11 0	19.3	20.3	20.6	20.7	20.4	44	381	11.5	11	25.0
		BOSWELL H S	19.3	20.3	20.6	20.7	20.4	<45m	340	•	<15m	•
		WATSON LEARNING CE	•	•	•	•	•	<5t	41	•	<5t	•
	EAGLE PROJECT	EAGLE PROJECT (FT		•	٠	•		<5t	17	į	<5t	٠
	ERATH EXCELS A	ERATH EXCELS ACADE	•	•	•	•	•	<5t	34		<5t	•
	EVERMAN ISD	EVERMAN H S	14.7	17.3	15.3	17.3	16.3	38	110	34.5	<5h	
	FORT WORTH ACA	FORT WORTH ACADEMY		-			•	<5t	1	•	<5t	
	FORT WORTH CAN							<5t	128		<5t	
		FORT WORTH CAN ACA						<5t	71		<5t	
		RIVER OAKS						<5t	57	•	<5t	
	FORT WORTH ISD		18.2	19.3	19.2	19.1	19.1	415	2,915	14.2	77	18.6

<sup>&#</sup>x27;h' indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;m' indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 2
ACT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

County name	District name	ame Campus name		Mean score Eng. Math. Read. Sci.				Tested		duates	at or crit	inees above erion Pcnt.
	District name		-					Num.		ested (%)		
TARRANT	FORT WORTH ISD	ARLINGTON HEIGHTS CARTER-RIVERSIDE H	20.8 18.6	21.5 21.1	21.3 20.4	21.4 19.4	21.4 20.1	59 <10m	291 118	20.3	19 <5h	32.2
		CTR FOR NEW LIVES DETENT CTR						<5t <5t	17 3		<5t <5t	
		DIAMOND HILL-JARVI DUNBAR H S EASTERN HILLS H S	15.1 18.6 16.1	16.5 20.2 17.1	18.1 19.3 16.7	17.9 19.1 17.8	16.9 19.4 17.1	11 16 55	128 177 235	8.6 9.0 23.4	<5h <5h 6	10.9
		ELDER MIDDLE JAMES MIDDLE	•					<5t <5t	1 1		<5t <5t	
		NORTH SIDE H S O D WYATT H S	19.0 15.0	20.3	20.9	20.6	20.3	36 36	239 192	15.1 18.8	12 <5h	33.3
		PASCHAL H S POLYTECHNIC H S SOUTH HILLS HIGH S	21.0 14.2 16.3	21.5 16.4 17.0	22.3 16.2 17.1	20.3 17.2 16.1	21.3 16.1 16.8	49 10 20	324 159 234	15.1 6.3 8.5	14 <5h <5h	28.6
		SOUTHWEST H S SUCCESS H S	19.5	21.1	21.1	20.6	20.7	46 <5t	261 54	17.6	10 <5t	21.7
		TRIMBLE TECHNICAL WESTERN HILLS H S	14.0 20.7	15.9 20.7	15.1 21.7	15.9 21.0	15.4 21.2	35 34	253 227	13.8 15.0	<5h 8	23.5
	GRAPEVINE-COLL	WILLOUGHBY HOUSE	21.5	22.6	. 22.6	21.8	22.3	<5t 249	1 913	27.3	<5t	40.2
	arvii Evine Goll	COLLEYVILLE HERITA GRAPEVINE H S	21.2	22.5 22.8	22.4	21.8	22.1 22.6	148 <105m	477 414	31.0	<60m <45m	
		THE BRIDGES ACAD A						<5t	22		<5t	
	HURST-EULESS-B	BELL H S KEYS CTR	20.1	21.3	21.0 21.0	20.8	20.9 21.0	320 169 <5t	1,162 554 50	27.5 30.5	91 <50m <5t	28.4
		TRINITY H S	19.9	21.4	21.1	20.6	20.9	<155m	558		<45m	
	KELLER ISD	FOSSIL RIDGE H S	19.9 19.7	20.3	21.1	20.6	20.6	340 <150m	972 493	35.0	74 <35m	21.8
		KELLER H S NEW DIRECTION LRN	20.1	20.2	20.9	20.6	20.6	192 <5t	473 6	40.6	<45m <5t	:
	KENNEDALE ISD	KENNEDALE H S	19.8	19.6	18.9	19.1	19.3	14	121	11.6	<5h	
	LAKE WORTH ISD	ANNE MANSFIELD SUL	16.3	16.8	17.6	18.5	17.5	<25m <5t	106 5		<5h <5t	
	MANSFIELD ISD	LAKE WORTH H S	16.3	16.8	17.6 20.3	18.5	17.5 20.5	<25m	101 736	23.2	<5h 43	25.1
	WWW. ILLD TOD	ALTER ED CTR MANSFIELD HS	19.9		20.3	20.8	20.5	<5t <175m	34 702		<5t <45m	
	MASONIC HOME I	MASONIC HOME HIGH	15.3	17.5	16.0	17.5	16.6	8	8	100.0	<5h	
	THERESA B LEE	THERESA B LEE ACAD						<5t	63		<5t	
	TREETOPS SCHOO	TREETOPS SCHOOL IN	•			•		<5t	20		<5t	
	WHITE SETTLEME	BREWER H S PHOENIX LEARNING C	19.7 19.7	20.9	20.5 20.5	20.6 20.6		63 <65m <5t	255 251 4	24.7	14 <15m <5t	22.2
TAYLOR	ABILENE ISD	ABILENE H S	20.3 20.3		21.4 21.3	20.8		305 <180m	911 468	33.5	76 <45m	24.9

 $<sup>{\</sup>it Note:}$  't' indicates masking is applied due to small number of students tested.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;h' indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;m' indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 2 ACT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

								<b>T</b>	0		at or	inees above
County name	District name	Campus name		Math.			Comp.	Tested Num.		aduates Tested (%)	Num.	erion Pcnt.
TAYLOR	ABILENE ISD	COOPER H S	20.4	20.5	21.6	20.9	21.0	<130m	393		<35m	
		EXCEL ALTER H S SP ED HOMEBOUND	•	•	•	•	•	<5t <5t	47 2	•	<5t <5t	•
		SP ED 0 J T			:	:		<5t	1		<5t	:
	EAGLE PROJECT	EAGLE PROJECT (ABI						<5t	28		<5t	
	JIM NED CONS I	JIM NED H S	18.7	20.7	19.6	20.0	19.9	43	62	69.4	8	18.6
	MERKEL ISD	MERKEL H S	20.3	20.3	21.1	20.7	20.8	63	101	62.4	18	28.6
	TRENT ISD	TRENT SCHOOL	20.3	22.3	20.4	23.3	21.9	8	13	61.5	<5h	
	WYLIE ISD	WYLIE H S	21.5	22.4	22.7	22.2	22.3	92	173	53.2	22	23.9
TERRELL	TERRELL COUNTY	SANDERSON H S	20.4	20.9	22.3	21.4	21.4	13	14	92.9	<5h	
TERRY	BROWNFIELD ISD	BROWNFIELD H S	18.0	19.7	19.4	19.6	19.2	72	150	48.0	11	15.3
	MEADOW ISD	MEADOW SCHOOL	13.6	16.9	13.9	17.0	15.4	7	15	46.7	<5h	
	WELLMAN-UNION	WELLMAN-UNION SCHO	21.7	20.1	19.6	21.7	20.9	7	11	63.6	<5h	
THROCKMORTO	THROCKMORTON I	THROCKMORTON H S	18.3	19.1	21.2	19.8	19.8	16	18	88.9	<5h	
	WOODSON ISD	WOODSON SCHOOL	20.3	20.7	21.1	21.4	21.1	7	15	46.7	<5h	
TITUS	CHAPEL HILL IS	CHAPEL HILL H S						<5t	51		<5t	
	MOUNT PLEASANT		20.0	20.3	21.6	20.9	20.8	<65m	240		<20m	
		ALTER ED MT PLEASANT H S	20.0	20.3	21.6	20.9	20.8	<5t <65m	8		<5t <20m	•
		MI PLEASANI H S	20.0	20.3	21.0	20.9	20.0	\03III	232	•	<b>\2011</b>	•
TOM GREEN	CHRISTOVAL ISD	CHRISTOVAL H S	20.5	21.2	23.5	22.4	22.1	17	27	63.0	<5h	•
	GRAPE CREEK IS	GRAPE CREEK H S	17.5	18.6	19.3	19.5	18.9	28	58	48.3	6	21.4
	SAN ANGELO ISD		20.2	20.8	21.4	20.9	20.9	327	834	39.2	82	25.1
		CENTRAL H S	20.6	21.5	21.7	21.2	21.4	243	596	40.8	<75m	
		LAKE VIEW H S	19.2	18.7	20.2	20.0	19.7	<85m	238	•	<15m	
		PAYS ALTER SCH	•	•	•	•	•	<5t	0	•	<5t	•
	VERIBEST ISD							<5t	12		<5t	
		VERIBEST H S	•		•	•		<5t	11		<5t	
		VERIBEST VOC TRAIN	•	•	•	•	•	<5t	1		<5t	•
	WALL ISD		20.2		20.6	21.4	21.3	46	63	73.0	16	34.8
		FAIRVIEW ACCELERAT WALL H S	20.2	22.1	20.6	21.4	21.3	<5t <50m	4 59		<5t <20m	
	WATER VALLEY I	WATER VALLEY H S	18.9		19.1	19.8	19.3	12	16	75.0	<5h	
TRAVIS	AMERICAN YOUTH	AMERICAN YOUTH WOR						<5t	61		<5t	
	AUSTIN ISD		19.6	20.6	20.6	20.3	20.4	501		15.3	142	28.3
	, OCITIVIOD	AKINS HIGH SCHOOL						<5t	4		<5t	
		ANDERSON H S	22.9	23.9	23.7	21.9	23.2	68	325	20.9	31	45.6

<sup>&#</sup>x27;h' indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;m' indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 2
ACT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

					an score			Tested			at or crit	inees above erion
County name	District name	Campus name	Eng.	Math.	Read.	Sci.	Comp.	Num.	Num. Te	ested (%) 	Num.	Pcnt.
TRAVIS	AUSTIN ISD	AUSTIN H S BOWIE H S	19.5	20.3	20.3	20.4	20.2	62 130	420 589	14.8 22.1	14 41	22.6
		CROCKETT H S GONZALO GARZA INDE JOHNSON H S	17.7 19.2	18.3 21.1	19.4 20.6	19.5 21.2	18.8 20.7	40 <5t 41	417 99 270	9.6 15.2	7 <5t 14	17.5 34.1
		JOHNSTON H S LANIER H S	18.8 16.3	19.5 17.9	19.3 16.6	18.9 17.4	19.3 17.2	<25m 31	204 248	12.5	5 <5h	
		MCCALLUM H S REAGAN H S TRAVIS H S	21.7 12.4 15.4	21.3 15.6 16.4	21.8 15.0 16.7	20.6 15.6 17.8	21.4 14.9 16.7	62 23 21	306 176 219	20.3 13.1 9.6	23 <5h <5h	37.1
	DEL VALLE ISD	DEL VALLE H S DEL VALLE OPPORTUN	17.6 17.6	17.6 17.6	17.9 17.9	18.6 18.6	18.0 18.0	38 <40m <5t	276 258 18	13.8	<5h <5h <5t	
	EANES ISD	WESTLAKE H S	24.0	25.1	25.1	23.8	24.6	192	499	38.5	111	57.8
	FRUIT OF EXCEL	FRUIT OF EXCELLENC						<5t	1		<5t	
	LAGO VISTA ISD	LAGO VISTA H S	20.0	21.7	22.4	21.6	21.5	21	49	42.9	6	28.6
		LAKE TRAVIS H S	20.6	21.8	22.1	21.7	21.7	55	251	21.9	19	34.5
	MANOR ISD	EXCEL HIGH SCHOOL	17.9 17.9	18.2 18.2	18.2 18.2	19.1 19.1	18.5 18.5	37 <5t <40m	126 7 119	29.4	6 <5t <10m	16.2
	PFLUGERVILLE I	Nu dvort 11 o	19.9	21.2	20.6	20.8	20.8	160	762	21.0	45	28.1
		JOHN B CONNALLY H PFLUGERVILLE H S	20.0 19.7	21.1 21.2	21.0 20.4	20.6 21.0	20.9 20.7	<70m <95m	369 393		<25m <30m	
	STAR CHARTER S	STAR CHARTER SCHOO	•	•				<5t	6		<5t	
	TEXAS SCH FOR	TX SCH FOR DEAF H				•		<5t	0		<5t	
	UNIVERSITY CHA	A R C CONGRESS	•		:			<5t <5t	3		<5t <5t	
		CORNERSTONE ACADEM MIRACLE FARM						<5t <5t	2 1		<5t <5t	:
TRINITY	APPLE SPRINGS	APPLE SPRINGS H S						<5t	15		<5t	
		CENTERVILLE H S	•	•	•	•	•	<5t	9	•	<5t	•
	GROVETON ISD TRINITY ISD	GROVETON J H-H S TRINITY H S	18 5	19.5	18.7	17 0	18.5	<5t 22	36 58	37.9	<5t <5h	•
TYLER	CHESTER ISD	CHESTER H S						<5t	14		<5t	
		COLMESNEIL H S		16.3			16.2	9	24	37.5	<5h	
	SPURGER ISD	SPURGER H S						<5t	24		<5t	
	WARREN ISD	WARREN H S						<5t	48		<5t	
	WOODVILLE ISD	WOODVILLE H S	18.2	18.2	19.0	19.2	18.9	14	74	18.9	<5h	

<sup>&#</sup>x27;h' indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;m' indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 2 ACT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

				Mea	an score	9		Tested	Grad	duates	at or	inees above erion
County name	District name	Campus name	Eng.	Math.	Read.	Sci.	Comp.	Num.	Num. Te	ested (%)	Num.	Pcnt.
UPSHUR	BIG SANDY ISD	BIG SANDY H S	20.2	21.4	19.8	21.4	20.8	11	31	35.5	<5h	
	GILMER ISD	GILMER H S	19.1	19.6	20.2	20.1	19.9	73	141	51.8	16	21.9
	HARMONY ISD	HARMONY H S	20.6	20.6	21.5	21.3	21.1	40	54	74.1	11	27.5
	NEW DIANA ISD	NEW DIANA H S	20.9	21.4	21.8	21.4	21.5	32	52	61.5	12	37.5
	ORE CITY ISD	ORE CITY HIGH SCHO	19.7	19.5	21.0	21.4	20.4	27	45	60.0	6	22.2
	UNION GROVE IS	UNION GROVE H S	19.5	18.5	20.3	20.9	19.9	14	34	41.2	<5h	
	UNION HILL ISD	UNION HILL H S	13.4	15.0	15.4	15.8	15.2	5	12	41.7	<5h	
UPTON	MCCAMEY ISD	MCCAMEY H S	18.1	17.3	18.9	19.3	18.5	12	34	35.3	<5h	
	RANKIN ISD	RANKIN H S	19.7	21.4	20.5	21.9	21.0	18	27	66.7	<5h	
UVALDE	KNIPPA ISD	KNIPPA SCHOOL	19.9	21.3	19.8	20.3	20.4	15	13	100.0	5	33.3
	SABINAL ISD	SABINAL H S	15.1	16.9	16.6	18.3	16.8	20	22	90.9	<5h	
	UTOPIA ISD	UTOPIA SCHOOL	22.4	25.0	23.2	23.2	23.6	5	11	45.5	<5h	
	UVALDE CONS IS	EVCEL ACADEMY	17.4	19.1	16.4	19.2	18.2	23	252	9.1	<5h	
		EXCEL ACADEMY UVALDE H S	17.4	19.1	16.4	19.2	18.2	<5t <25m	17 235		<5t <5h	
VAL VERDE	COMSTOCK ISD	COMSTOCK SCHOOL					•	<5t	6		<5t	
	EAGLE PROJECT	EAGLE PROJECT (DEL					•	<5t	19		<5t	
	SAN FELIPE-DEL	DEL RIO H S	16.1	18.0	18.0	18.4	17.7	85	428	19.9	7	8.2
VAN ZANDT	CANTON ISD	CANTON H S	20.1	18.9	20.1	19.9	19.9	37	95	38.9	5	13.5
	EDGEWOOD ISD	EDGEWOOD H S	20.3	19.4	20.6	21.2	20.5	21	54	38.9	5	23.8
	FRUITVALE ISD	FRUITVALE H S						<5t	14		<5t	
	GRAND SALINE I	GRAND SALINE H S	20.6	19.3	22.1	19.6	20.6	19	53	35.8	<5h	
	MARTINS MILL I	MARTINS MILL H S	20.1	19.0	21.4	21.0	20.5	12	23	52.2	<5h	
	RANCH ACADEMY	RANCH ACADEMY						<5t	5		<5t	
	VAN ISD	VAN H S						<5t	105		<5t	
	WILLS POINT IS	WILLS POINT H S	19.8	18.7	20.0	19.9	19.7	42	136	30.9	7	16.7
VICTORIA	BLOOMINGTON IS	BLOOMINGTON H S	17.2	17.7	17.8	17.5	17.7	6	47	12.8	<5h	
	VICTORIA ISD	.IIIVENTI E DETENT CT	20.0	20.0	20.8	20.2	20.4	140 <5t	791 3	17.7	27 <5t	19.3
		JUVENILE DETENT CT MEMORIAL HIGH SCHO MEMORIAL HIGH SCHO	20.0	20.1	20.9	20.2	20.4	<140m <5t	737 3	:	<30m <5t	

<sup>&#</sup>x27;h' indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;m' indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 2
ACT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

County nome	District name	Compus nome			an score					duates	at or crite	inees above erion
	District name	Campus name	_		Read.		Comp.	Num.		ested (%)	Num.	Pent.
VICTORIA	VICTORIA ISD	PROFIT ACADEMIC CT						<5t	48	•	<5t	
WALKER	HUNTSVILLE ISD	HUNTSVILLE H S WESTMORELAND LEARN	17.4 17.4	18.0 18.0	19.0 19.0	18.8 18.8	18.4 18.4	89 <90m <5t	313 299 14	28.4	12 <15m <5t	13.5
	NEW WAVERLY IS	NEW WAVERLY H S	16.7	16.9	18.6	18.5	17.9	18	40	45.0	<5h	
WALLER	HEMPSTEAD ISD	HEMPSTEAD H S	17.2	18.8	18.8	18.1	18.3	24	52	46.2	<5h	
	ROYAL ISD	ROYAL H S	15.9	17.6	16.3	18.0	17.2	31	59	52.5	<5h	
	WALLER ISD	WALLER H S	16.5	18.2	17.7	18.3	17.8	102	250	40.8	11	10.8
WARD	GRANDFALLS-ROY	GRANDFALLS-ROYALTY						<5t	4		<5t	
	MONAHANS-WICKE	MONAHANS ED CTR	19.3	20.0	20.8	20.4	20.3	74 ~5+	119	62.2	17 <5t	23.0
		MONAHANS H S	19.3	20.0	20.8	20.4	20.3	<5t <75m	13 106		<20m	
WASHINGTON	BRENHAM ISD	DDENIIAM ALTERNATIV	20.2	21.9	20.9	21.5	21.3	39	297	13.1	<15m	
		BRENHAM ALTERNATIV BRENHAM HIGH SCHOO	20.2	21.9	20.9	21.5	21.3	<5t <40m	6 291		<5t <15m	
	BURTON ISD	BURTON H S	19.6	18.1	18.9	20.8	19.6	8	22	36.4	<5h	
WEBB	EAGLE PROJECT	EAGLE PROJECT (LAR						<5t	17	•	<5t	
	GATEWAY ACADE	GATEWAY ACADEMY (S	·					<5t	66		<5t	
	LAREDO ISD	DR LEO CIGARROA H F S LARA ACADEMY MARTIN H S NIXON H S	14.7 14.6 14.1 15.7	17.3 17.7 16.9 17.4	15.7 15.8 15.5 15.7	16.7 17.1 16.1 16.8	16.2 16.4 15.8 16.6	189 <75m <5t 73 <50m	948 243 3 330 372	19.9 22.1	<5h <5h <5t <5h <5h	
	UNITED ISD		15.8	17.4	16.6	17.6	17.0		1,217	35.1	27	6.3
		JOHN B ALEXANDER H UNITED H S	16.8 15.8	18.3 17.4	17.7 16.6	18.3 17.9	17.9 17.1	<155m <115m	377 397		17 <10m	
		UNITED SOUTH H S	14.9	16.5	15.7	16.7	16.1	166	443	37.5	<5h	•
	WEBB CONS ISD	BRUNI H S WEBB CO J J A E P		•	•	•		<5t <5t <5t	18 17 1	•	<5t <5t <5t	· ·
WHARTON	BOLING ISD	BOLING H S	16.5	18.5	17.6	18.4	17.9	17	47	36.2	<5h	
	EAST BERNARD I	EAST BERNARD H S	20.1	19.0	19.1	19.9	19.6	7	46	15.2	<5h	
	EL CAMPO ISD	EL CAMPO H S	18.3	19.1	18.6	19.4	19.0	26	220	11.8	<5h	
	LOUISE ISD	LOUISE H S	18.3	18.6	18.8	19.5	18.8	11	31	35.5	<5h	
	WHARTON ISD	WHARTON H S	17.9	18.6	18.9	19.2	18.7	81	147	55.1	9	11.1
WHEELER	ALLISON ISD	ALLISON SCHOOL						<5t	5		<5t	

 $<sup>{\</sup>it Note:}$  't' indicates masking is applied due to small number of students tested.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;h' indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;m' indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 2 ACT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

			Mean score					aduates	at or	inees above erion		
County name	District name	Campus name		Math.			Comp.	Num.		Tested (%)		Pont.
WHEELER	FORT ELLIOTT C	FORT ELLIOTT SCHOO	21.1	22.7	24.6	24.0	23.4	7	7	100.0	<5h	
	SHAMROCK ISD	SHAMROCK H S	19.9	19.3	19.3	21.0	20.1	15	26	57.7	<5h	
	WHEELER ISD	WHEELER SCHOOL	20.4	20.6	20.1	20.8	20.4	13	15	86.7	<5h	
WICHITA	BRIGHT IDEAS C	BRIGHT IDEAS CHART						<5t	2		<5t	
	BURKBURNETT IS	ALTER ED CTR	20.2	20.2	20.4	21.0	20.6	<115m <5t	209 8		26 <5t	
		BURKBURNETT H S	20.2	20.2	20.4	21.0	20.6	<115m	201		<30m	:
	ELECTRA ISD	ELECTRA H S	20.4	18.7	21.1	19.9	20.2	21	48	43.8	5	23.8
	IOWA PARK CONS	IOWA PARK H S	21.9	20.4	22.8	21.9	21.9	59	128	46.1	20	33.9
	WICHITA FALLS	HARRELL AGGELERATE	19.9	20.6	20.9	20.8	20.7	233	934	24.9	61	26.2
		HARRELL ACCELERATE HIRSCHI HS	20.4	21.4	23.0	22.1	21.8	<5t <50m	36 175		<5t <20m	•
		RIDER H S	20.3	20.9	20.9	20.9	20.9	96	362	26.5	24	25.0
		WICHITA FALLS H S	19.1	19.8	19.6	20.1	19.8	87	361	24.1	<20m	
WILBARGER	HARROLD ISD	HARROLD SCHOOL						<5t	8	·	<5t	
	NORTHSIDE ISD	NORTHSIDE SCHOOL						<5t	7		<5t	
	VERNON ISD		20.8	19.9	21.4	20.9	20.8	82	132	62.1	21	25.6
	72	NORTH TEXAS STATE						<5t	2		<5t	
		VERNON H S	20.8	19.9	21.4	20.9	20.8	<85m	130	•	<25m	•
WILLACY	LYFORD CISD	LYFORD H S	16.0	16.9	17.3	17.8	17.1	67	106	63.2	5	7.5
	RAYMONDVILLE I	RAYMONDVILLE H S	16.6	17.2	18.7	18.9	18.0	26	147	17.7	<5h	•
	SAN PERLITA IS	SAN PERLITA H S	14.6	17.4	15.1	15.5	15.8	12	7	100.0	<5h	
WILLIAMSON	FLORENCE ISD	FLORENCE H S	19.5	19.1	21.8	19.5	20.0	16	40	40.0	<5h	
	GEORGETOWN ISD	CHIP RICHARTE H S	20.3	21.9	21.7	21.1	21.4	158 <5t	477 15	33.1	<50m <5t	
		GEORGETOWN H S	20.3	21.9	21.8	21.1	21.4	<160m	462		<50m	÷
	GRANGER ISD	GRANGER SCHOOL	18.1	18.5	17.0	18.4	18.3	15	31	48.4	<5h	
	HUTTO ISD	HUTTO H S	17.1	21.3	19.4	20.1	19.6	17	61	27.9	<5h	
	JARRELL ISD	JARRELL H S	19.6	18.9	21.3	20.2	20.1	23	45	51.1	6	26.1
	LEANDER ISD		22.0	22.6	23.2	22.7	22.8	136	671	20.3	55	40.4
		CEDAR PARK H S	22.1	23.0	23.7	22.8	23.1	<80m	354		<35m	
		LEANDER H S	21.9	22.1	22.6	22.6	22.4	<65m	317	•	<30m	•
	LIBERTY HILL I	LIBERTY HILL H S	20.7	21.2	22.1	20.6	21.4	17	73	23.3	6	35.3
	ROUND ROCK ISD		21.6	22.7	22.6	22.2	22.4	625	1,841	33.9	241	38.6
		MCNEIL H S ROUND ROCK H S	19.9 21.0	21.1 21.7	21.3 21.9	20.8 21.6	20.9 21.6	<135m 165	480 418	39.5	<35m 51	30.9
		HOUND HOUR II G	21.0	41.1	21.3	21.0	21.0	103	710	09.0	31	50.9

<sup>&#</sup>x27;h' indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;m' indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 2
ACT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

					an score			Tested				
County name	District name	Campus name	Eng.	Math.	Read.	Sci.	Comp.	Num.	Num. Te	ested (%)	Num.	Pont.
WILLIAMSON	ROUND ROCK ISD	STONY POINT HIGH S SUCCESS PROGAM WESTWOOD H S	19.7 23.6	21.3 24.7	19.8 24.9	20.7	20.5 24.4	<85m <5t 244	395 38 510	47.8	<25m <5t 135	55.3
	TAVI OR TOR											33.0
	TAYLOR ISD	TAYLOR H S	17.8	19.3	19.1	19.1	19.0	20	151	13.2	<5h	•
	THRALL ISD	THRALL H S	•		•	•	•	<5t	19	•	<5t	•
WILSON	FLORESVILLE IS	FLORESVILLE H S	20.0	20.5	20.0	20.4	20.3	88	187	47.1	22	25.0
	LA VERNIA ISD	LA VERNIA HIGH SCH	19.4	20.2	20.1	20.9	20.3	19	133	14.3	5	26.3
	POTH ISD	POTH H S	19.6	19.6	20.4	20.4	20.1	36	53	67.9	8	22.2
	STOCKDALE ISD	STOCKDALE H S	19.4	17.9	20.6	20.0	19.6	19	48	39.6	<5h	
WINKLER	KERMIT ISD	KERMIT H S	19.8	19.8	20.7	20.4	20.4	43	91	47.3	7	16.3
	WINK-LOVING IS	WINK H S	16.8	18.5	19.3	19.3	18.5	10	21	47.6	<5h	
WISE	ALVORD ISD	ALVORD H S	19.7	19.3	20.0	19.6	19.7	22	43	51.2	<5h	
	BOYD ISD	BOYD H S	20.3	21.0	20.8	21.9	21.2	21	51	41.2	8	38.1
	BRIDGEPORT ISD		21.4	22.6	22.5	21.7	22.1	33	114	28.9	13	39.4
		BRIDGEPORT ACE HIG BRIDGEPORT H S	21.4	22.6	22.5	21.7	22.1	<5t <35m	7 107		<5t <15m	
	CHICO ISD	CHICO HIGH SCHOOL	16.5	17.2	16.3	18.6	17.2	25	29	86.2	<5h	
	DECATUR ISD		19.7	22.0	20.6	21.4	21.0	38	169	22.5	14	36.8
		CATES HIGH SCHOOL DECATUR H S	19.7	22.0	20.6	21.4	21.0	<5t <40m	12 157		<5t <15m	
	PARADISE ISD	PARADISE H S	20.3	21.5	22.7	21.8	21.8	21	41	51.2	7	33.3
	SLIDELL ISD	SLIDELL SCHOOL	19.2	19.8	20.3	22.0	20.3	6	25	24.0	<5h	55.5
WOOD		CLIDELE CONCOL										•
WOOD	ALBA-GOLDEN IS	ALBA-GOLDEN H S	19.8 19.8	19.2 19.2	19.7 19.7	19.6 19.6	19.8 19.8	13 <15m	47 46	27.7	<5h <5h	
		ALTER SCHOOL	•	•	•	•	•	<5t	1	•	<5t	•
	HAWKINS ISD	HAWKINS H S	21.8	17.7	24.8	21.0	21.5	6	33	18.2	<5h	•
	MINEOLA ISD	MINEOLA H S	18.4	18.9	19.4	19.7	19.1	42	86	48.8	9	21.4
	QUITMAN ISD	QUITMAN H S	17.7 17.7	17.2 17.2	20.6 20.6	18.2 18.2	18.5 18.5	31 <35m	86 84	36.0	<5h <5h	
		WOOD CO ALTER						<5t	2		<5t	÷
	WINNSBORO ISD	WINNSBORO H S	17.7	19.0	18.8	19.4	18.9	57	79	72.2	5	8.8
	YANTIS ISD	YANTIS SCHOOL	20.1	19.9	18.5	20.1	19.8	8	18	44.4	<5h	
YOAKUM	DENVER CITY IS	DENVER CITY H S	18.3	19.3	19.6	19.3	19.3	68	116	58.6	6	8.8
	PLAINS ISD	PLAINS H S	17.8	21.1	18.8	20.3	19.7	28	31	90.3	<5h	

<sup>&#</sup>x27;h' indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;m' indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 2 ACT Participation and Performance, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

				Me	an score	ə		Tested	Gi	raduates	at or	inees above erion
County name	District name	Campus name	Eng.	Math.	Read.	Sci.	Comp.	Num.	Num.	Tested (%)	Num.	Pcnt.
YOUNG	GRAHAM ISD		20.4	21.1	23.2	20.9	21.5	<45m	141		11	
		GRAHAM H S	20.4	21.1	23.2	20.9	21.5	<45m	132		<15m	
		GRAHAM LEARNING CT						<5t	9	•	<5t	•
	NEWCASTLE ISD	NEWCASTLE H S						<5t	9		<5t	
	OLNEY ISD	OLNEY H S	19.7	19.8	20.6	20.7	20.3	33	45	73.3	6	18.2
ZAPATA	ZAPATA COUNTY	ZAPATA H S	16.4	18.4	16.5	18.1	17.5	69	164	42.1	5	7.2
ZAVALA	CRYSTAL CITY I	CRYSTAL CITY H S	15.1	16.3	16.4	17.3	16.5	49	85	57.6	<5h	
	LA PRYOR ISD	LA PRYOR H S						<5t	25		<5t	

 $<sup>{\</sup>it Note:}$  't' indicates masking is applied due to small number of students tested.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;h' indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;m' indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

## **College Admissions Examination Results Reported in the Texas Accountability System**

Table 3. College Admissions Examination
Participation and Performance Based on
Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards,
by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

Table 3 College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

County name	District name	Campus name	Examinees		aduates Tested (%)	above o	
ANDERSON	CAYUGA ISD	CAYUGA H S	22	36	61.1	<5h	
	ELKHART ISD	ELKHART H S	30	57	52.6	13	43.3
	FRANKSTON ISD	FRANKSTON H S	21	38	55.3	<5h	
	NECHES ISD	NECHES H S	8	18	44.4	<5h	
	PALESTINE ISD	PALESTINE HIGH SCH	103	184	56.0	20	19.4
	SLOCUM ISD	SLOCUM H S	6	17	35.3	<5h	
	WESTWOOD ISD	WESTWOOD H S	31	73	42.5	6	19.4
ANDREWS	ANDREWS ISD	ANDREWS HIGH SCHOO	90	194	46.4	11	12.2
ANGELINA	CENTRAL ISD		<40m	97		6	•
		CENTRAL H S	<40m	80		<10m	
		STUBBLEFIELD LRN C	<5t	17	•	<5t	•
	DIBOLL ISD		62	92	67.4	<5h	
		DIBOLL H S	<65m	86		<5h	
		STUBBLEFIELD LRN C	<5t	6	•	<5t	
	HUDSON ISD		59	117	50.4	<20m	_
		HUDSON H S	<60m	114		<20m	
		STUBBLEFIELD LRN C	<5t	3		<5t	
	HUNTINGTON ISD		42	86	48.8	<5h	
		HUNTINGTON H S	<45m	75		<5h	
		PRIDE ALTER SCH	<5t	11		<5t	
	LUFKIN ISD		236	413	57.1	<55m	
		LUFKIN H S	<240m	401		<55m	
		STUBBLEFIELD LRN C	<5t	12		<5t	·
	ZAVALLA ISD	ZAVALLA H S	7	17	41.2	<5h	
ARANSAS	ARANSAS COUNTY	ROCKPORT-FULTON H	108	193	56.0	19	17.6
ARCHER	ARCHER CITY ISD	ARCHER CITY H S	22	38	57.9	<5h	
	HOLLIDAY ISD	HOLLIDAY H S	36	62	58.1	7	19.4
	MEGARGEL ISD	MEGARGEL SCHOOL	<5t	7	•	<5t	•
	WINDTHORST ISD	WINDTHORST H S	18	25	72.0	9	50.0
ARMSTRONG	CLAUDE ISD	CLAUDE H S	22	27	81.5	5	22.7
ATASCOSA	CHARLOTTE ISD	CHARLOTTE H S	16	23	69.6	<5h	

Note: 't' indicates masking is applied due to small number of students tested.
'h' indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above

<sup>&#</sup>x27;m' indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 3
College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

County name		Campus name		Number		above o Number	Percent
ATASCOSA	JOURDANTON ISD	JOURDANTON H S	33				
	LYTLE ISD	LYTLE H S	38	75	50.7	9	23.7
	PLEASANTON ISD	PLEASANTON H S	91	170	53.5	17	18.7
	POTEET ISD	POTEET H S	32	83	38.6	<5h	
AUSTIN	BELLVILLE ISD	BELLVILLE H S	98	128	76.6	28	28.6
	BRAZOS ISD	BRAZOS H S	34	56	60.7	8	23.5
	SEALY ISD	SEALY H S	63	114	55.3	14	22.2
BAILEY	MULESHOE ISD	MULESHOE H S P E P	<65m <65m <5t	87 81 6		12 <15m <5t	:
	THREE WAY ISD	THREE WAY SCHOOL	8	0	ē	<5h	
BANDERA	BANE CHAL		93 <95m <5t	124 119 5		29 <30m <5t	31.2
	MEDINA ISD	MEDINA H S	19	16	100	<5h	
BASTROP	BASTROP ISD	BASTROP H S GENESIS H S	162 <160m <5t			<45m	26.5
	ELGIN ISD	ELGIN H S PHOENIX LEARNING C	57 <60m <5t	143		<15m	19.3
	SMITHVILLE ISD	SMITHVILLE H S	47	85	55.3	6	12.8
BAYLOR	SEYMOUR ISD	SEYMOUR H S	31	38	81.6	13	41.9
BEE	BEEVILLE ISD	A C JONES HIGH SCH LEARNING RESOURCE	143 <145m <5t	205		<15m	9.8
	PETTUS ISD	PETTUS H S	14	34	41.2	<5h	•
	SKIDMORE-TYNAN	SKIDMORE-TYNAN H S	28	53	52.8	5	17.9
BELL	ACADEMY ISD	ACADEMY H S	41	65	63.1	18	43.9
	BARTLETT ISD	BARTLETT H S	16	25	64.0	<5h	
	BELTON ISD	BELTON H S HENRY T WASKOW LEA	406 <375m <35m	410 377 33		102 <105m <5h	25.1

Note: 't' indicates masking is applied due to small number of students tested.

 $<sup>\,^{&#</sup>x27;}\text{h'}$  indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

 $<sup>\</sup>mbox{'m'}$  indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 3
College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

County name		Campus name		Number		above o Number	Percent
DELL		CEDAD ODECT CHARTE					
BELL	CEDAR CREST CHA	CEDAR CREST CHARTE	<b>\5</b> 1	1	•	<5t	•
	HOLLAND ISD	HOLLAND H S	16	40	40.0	6	37.5
	KILLEEN ISD		721	1,217	59.2	134	18.6
		ELLISON H S	248	407	60.9	49	19.8
		HARKER HEIGHTS HIG				51	
		KILLEEN H S		316		<25m	
		PATHWAYS LEARNING	<5t			<5t	
		SHOEMAKER HIGH SCH	<115m	210	•	<15m	•
	RICHARD MILBURN	KILLEEN-RICHARD MI	<5t	37		<5t	•
	ROGERS ISD	ROGERS H S	28	53	52.8	<5h	
	SALADO ISD	SALADO H S	36	60	60.0	12	33.3
	TEMPLE ISD	TEMPLE H S	258	388	66.5	96	37.2
	TRANSFORMATIVE	TRANSFORMATIVE CHA	<5t	33		<5t	
	TROY ISD	TROY HIGH SCHOOL	50	60	83.3	10	20.0
BEXAR	ALAMO HEIGHTS I	ALAMO HEIGHTS H S	271	316	85.8	155	57.2
	EAGLE PROJECT (		<5t	11		<5t	
		EAGLE CHARTER SCHO	<5t			<5t	
		EAGLE PROJECT (SAN	<5t	3	•	<5t	•
	EAST CENTRAL IS		273	410	66.6	<45m	
		BEXAR COUNTY LRN C				<5t	
		EAST CENTRAL H S	<275m	409		<45m	•
	EDGEWOOD ISD		262			8	
		COMPETENCY BASED H				<5t	
		JOHN F KENNEDY HIG	152			<10m	•
		MEMORIAL HIGH SCHO	<110m	222	•	<5h	•
	FT SAM HOUSTON	ROBERT G COLE JR-S	46	45	100	18	39.1
	GEORGE GERVIN A		<5t	45		<5t	i
		GEORGE GERVIN CHAR	<5t	38		<5t	
		THE BASIC CENTER	<5t	7	•	<5t	•
	GEORGE I SANCHE	GEORGE I SANCHEZ C	<5t	3		<5t	
	HARLANDALE ISD		330	664	49.7	12	3.6
		FRANK M TEJEDA ACA	<5t	35		<5t	
		HARLANDALE H S	172	317		<5h	
		MCCOLLUM H S	<160m	312	•	<10m	•
	JOHN H WOOD CHA	JOHN H WOOD CHARTE	<5t	2		<5t	

*Note:* 't' indicates masking is applied due to small number of students tested.

 $<sup>\</sup>mbox{'h'}$  indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

 $<sup>\</sup>mbox{'m'}$  indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 3
College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

County name	District name	Campus name	Examinees		aduates Tested (%)	above o	ees at or criterion Percent
BEXAR	JUBILEE ACADEMI	JUBILEE ACADEMIC C	<5t	3		<5t	
	JUDSON ISD		434	729		114	26.3
		JUDSON HIGH SCHOOL JUDSON LEARNING AC	<430m <10m	697 32		<115m <5h	•
		JUDSON LEARNING AC	×10III	32	•	\JII	•
	LACKLAND ISD	VIRGINIA ALLRED ST	28	30	93.3	9	32.1
	NORTH EAST ISD		2,159	2,722	79.3	697	32.3
		ACADEMY OF CREATIV	<15m	31		<5h	
		CENTER SCH	<5t	0		<5t	
		CHURCHILL H S	400			159	39.8
		HOMEBASED COMP ED	<5t	5		<5t	•
		HOMEBOUND	<5t	5		<5t	
		HOSPITAL-COMP ED	<5t			<5t	
		INTERNATIONAL SCHO LAUREL RIDGE HOSPI	96 <5t	101 1		36 <5t	37.5
		LEE H S	208			42	20.2
		MAC ARTHUR H S	319	417			
		MADISON H S	385	472		97	25.2
		REAGAN H S	537	542			39.5
		ROOSEVELT H S	203	343	59.2	<30m	
	NORTHSIDE ISD		2,442	3,509	69.6	707	29.0
		BUSINESS CAREERS H	137	0		21	15.3
		CHALLENGE H S	<5t	5		<5t	
		COMMUNICATIONS ART	84	0		37	44.0
		GOALS-VILLA ROSA	<5t	1		<5t	
		HEALTH CAREERS H S	209	223		117	56.0
		HOLMES H S	200 <5t	581 2		<20m <5t	•
		HOLMGREEN JR-SR H HOMEBOUND	<5t	9		<5t	•
		JAY H S	249	471		26	10.4
		MARSHALL H S	324	466		72	22.2
		SANDRA DAY O'CONNO	501	626		168	33.5
		SCHOOL AGED PARENT	<5t	11		<5t	
		SUNSET H S	7	31		<5h	
		TOM CLARK H S	392	502		163	41.6
		WILLIAM H TAFT H S	336	581	57.8	86	25.6
	POR VIDA ACAD C	POR VIDA ACADEMY	7	52	13.5	<5h	
	POSITIVE SOLUTI	POSITIVE SOLUTIONS	<5t	40		<5t	
	RADIANCE ACADEM	RADIANCE ACADEMY O	<5t	4		<5t	
	RANDOLPH FIELD	RANDOLPH H S	56	58	96.6	21	37.5
	SAN ANTONIO CAN	SAN ANTONIO CAN HI	<5t	4	•	<5t	
	SAN ANTONIO ISD	ALAMO ACHIEVEMENT	1,464 <5t	2,438 9	60.0	78 <5t	5.3
		VENTO MOLITE VENTENT	٠٥٢	9	•	٠٥١	•

*Note:* 't' indicates masking is applied due to small number of students tested.

 $<sup>\</sup>mbox{'h^{\prime}}$  indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

 $<sup>\</sup>mbox{'m'}$  indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 3
College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

County name		Campus name		Number		above o Number	Percent
BEXAR	SAN ANTONIO ISD	BRACKENRIDGE H S BURBANK H S	258 173				12.4 6.4
		EDISON H S	158				
		FOX TECHNICAL H S					
		HEALY-MURPHY	<5t			<5t	
		HIGHLANDS H S	226	357	63.3	18	8.0
		HOUSTON H S	144	182			
		JEFFERSON H S	192			8	
		LANIER H S	158				
		NAVARRO ACADEMY	<25m	61	•	<5h	
	SAN ANTONIO SCH	SAN ANTONIO SCHOOL	<5t	6	•	<5t	
	SOMERSET ISD	SOMERSET HIGH SCHO	49	101	48.5	10	20.4
	SOUTH SAN ANTON		256	426	60.1	9	3.5
		COMPETENCY BASED H	<5t	18		<5t	•
		SO SAN ANTONIO H S					•
		SOUTH SAN ANTONIO	<190m	306	•	<10m	•
	SOUTHSIDE ISD	SOUTHSIDE H S	121	229	52.8	7	5.8
	SOUTHWEST ISD	SOUTHWEST H S	205	408	50.2	21	10.2
	SOUTHWEST PREPA			44		<5t	
		SOUTHWEST PREPARAT		34		<5t	•
		SOUTHWEST PREPARAT	<5t	10		<5t	•
BLANCO	BLANCO ISD	BLANCO H S	35	46	76.1	11	31.4
	JOHNSON CITY IS	LYNDON B JOHNSON H	31	42	73.8	10	32.3
BORDEN	BORDEN COUNTY I	BORDEN COUNTY SCHO	8	9	88.9	<5h	
BOSQUE	CLIFTON ISD	CLIFTON H S	37	54	68.5	<5h	
	CRANFILLS GAP I	CRANFILLS GAP SCHO	<5t	6	•	<5t	•
	IREDELL ISD	IREDELL SCHOOL	5	8	62.5	<5h	
	KOPPERL ISD	KOPPERL SCHOOL	9	11	81.8	<5h	
	MERIDIAN ISD	MERIDIAN H S	28	28	100	<5h	•
	MORGAN ISD	MORGAN SCHOOL	<5t	8	•	<5t	
	VALLEY MILLS IS	VALLEY MILLS H S	11	29	37.9	6	54.5
	WALNUT SPRINGS	WALNUT SPRINGS SCH	<5t	10	•	<5t	•
BOWIE	DEKALB ISD	DEKALB H S	58	62	93.5	10	17.2
	EAGLE ACADEMY O	EAGLE ACADEMY OF T	<5t	2		<5t	

Note: 't' indicates masking is applied due to small number of students tested.

 $<sup>\</sup>mbox{'h'}$  indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

 $<sup>\</sup>mbox{'m'}$  indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 3
College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

County name	District name	Campus name				above c	
BOWIE	HOOKS ISD	HOOKS H S	43	60	71.7	7	16.3
	LIBERTY-EYLAU I		83	145	57.2	11	13.3
		ALTER SCH	<5t	18		<5t	
		JUVENILE JUSTICE D			•	<5t	
		LIBERTY-EYLAU H S	<85m	125	•	<15m	•
	MAUD ISD	MAUD SCHOOL	25	24	100	5	20.0
	NEW BOSTON ISD	NEW BOSTON H S	62	73	84.9	24	38.7
	PLEASANT GROVE	PLEASANT GROVE H S	100	117	85.5	33	33.0
	REDWATER ISD	REDWATER H S	42	49	85.7	13	31.0
	SIMMS ISD		21	31	67.7	<5h	
		HOLY HIGHWAY	<5t			<5t	
		JAMES BOWIE H S	<25m	29	•	<5h	•
	TEXARKANA ISD		152	253	60.1	<50m	
		OPTIONS	<5t			<5t	
		TEXAS H S	<155m	238		<50m	•
BRAZORIA	ALVIN ISD	ALVIN HIGH SCHOOL	243	471	51.6	58	23.9
	ANGLETON ISD		136	277	49.1	41	30.1
		ANGLETON H S	<140m		•		
		MARSHALL EDUCATION	<5t	7	•	<5t	•
	BRAZOSPORT ISD		390	669	58.3	126	32.3
		ALTER PLACEMENT CT	<5t		•	<5t	
		ALTERNATIVE PLACEM	<5t		•	<5t	•
		BRAZOSPORT H S BRAZOSWOOD H S	<95m <300m	188 469	•	<20m <115m	•
		BRAZUSWOUD H 3	<b>\300</b> III	409	•	<115III	•
	COLUMBIA-BRAZOR	COLUMBIA H S	96	167	57.5	23	24.0
	DANBURY ISD	DANBURY H S	26	50	52.0	<5h	
	PEARLAND ISD	PEARLAND H S	384	575	66.8	129	33.6
	SWEENY ISD	SWEENY H S	86	141	61.0	20	23.3
BRAZOS	BRAZOS SCHOOL F	BRAZOS SCHOOL FOR	<5t	4		<5t	
	BRYAN ISD		307	631	48.7	97	31.6
		ACE CAMPUS	<5t	43		<5t	
		BRYAN H S	<310m	588		<100m	
	COLLEGE STATION		363	484	75.0	194	53.4
		A & M CONS H S	<365m	474		<195m	
		CENTER FOR ALTERNA	<5t	10	•	<5t	•

Note: 't' indicates masking is applied due to small number of students tested.

 $<sup>\,^{&#</sup>x27;}\text{h'}$  indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

 $<sup>\</sup>mbox{'m'}$  indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 3
College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

County name		Campus name		Number	` ,	above c Number	Percent
BRAZOS		EAGLE PROJECT (BRY					
BREWSTER	ALPINE ISD	ALPINE H S	55	73	75.3	13	23.6
	MARATHON ISD	MARATHON INDPENDEN	6	8	75.0	<5h	
	TERLINGUA CSD	BIG BEND H S	6	7	85.7	<5h	
BRISCOE	SILVERTON ISD	SILVERTON SCHOOL	11	11	100	<5h	
BROOKS	BROOKS COUNTY I	FALFURRIAS H S	54	95	56.8	5	9.3
BROWN	BANGS ISD	BANGS H S	27 <30m	50 47		11 <15m	40.7
		C A P HIGH SCHOOL	<5t	3		<5t	
	BLANKET ISD	BLANKET H S	20	21	95.2	<5h	
	BROOKESMITH ISD	BROOKESMITH H S	17	19	89.5	<5h	
	BROWNWOOD ISD		133	217		39	29.3
		BROWNWOOD H S CAREER PREP H S	<135m <5t	212 5		<40m <5t	
	EARLY ISD	EARLY H S	75	87	86.2	31	41.3
	MAY ISD	MAY H S	23	18	100	<5h	
	ZEPHYR ISD	ZEPHYR H S	15	12	100	<5h	
BURLESON	CALDWELL ISD	CALDWELL H S	52	101	51.5	9	17.3
	SNOOK ISD	SNOOK SECONDARY	13	24	54.2	<5h	
	SOMERVILLE ISD	SOMERVILLE H S	22	59	37.3	<5h	
BURNET	BURNET CONS ISD	DUDNET II O	99	143		23	23.2
		BURNET H S QUEST	<100m <5t	139 4		<25m <5t	
	MARBLE FALLS IS	MARBLE FALLS H S	116	174	66.7	29	25.0
CALDWELL	LOCKHART ISD		131	257	51.0	31	23.7
		LOCKHART H S LOCKHART PRIDE HS	<135m <5t	239 18	•	<35m <5t	•
	LULING ISD	LULING H S	49	80	61.3	8	16.3
		PRAIRIE LEA SCHOOL	<5t	10		<5t	
CALHOUN	CALHOUN CO ISD	CALHOUN H S	80 <80m	207 181	38.6	19 <20m	23.8

*Note:* 't' indicates masking is applied due to small number of students tested.

 $<sup>\</sup>mbox{'h'}$  indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

 $<sup>\</sup>mbox{'m'}$  indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 3
College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

County name		Campus name		Number	aduates Tested (%)	above o Number	Percent
CALHOUN	CALHOUN CO ISD		<5t				
CALLAHAN	BAIRD ISD	BAIRD H S	32	38			25.0
O, (LL) 11/11				79			
	CLYDE CONS ISD						
		CROSS PLAINS H S	22				
	EULA ISD	EULA H S	22	30	73.3	6	27.3
CAMERON	BROWNSVILLE ISD	LIANNA II O	612				
		HANNA H S	211	469			
		LINCOLN PARK SCH	<5t	21		<5t	•
		LOPEZ H S	<80m	293		<10m	
		PACE H S	104	302			9.6
		PORTER H S	<90m	318		7	
		RIVERA H S	131	270	48.5	<10m	•
	EAGLE PROJECT (	EAGLE PROJECT (BRO	<5t	23	•	<5t	·
	HARLINGEN CONS		409	753	54.3	57	13.9
		HARLINGEN H S	210	417			
		HARLINGEN H S - SO		310			
		KEYS ACAD	<5t	26			
	LA FERIA ISD		83	158	52.5	8	9.6
		LA FERIA ALTER	<5t	19		<5t	
		LA FERIA H S	<85m	139		<10m	
	LOS FRESNOS CON	LOS FRESNOS HS	168	317	53.0	28	16.7
	POINT ISABEL IS	PORT ISABEL H S	75	137	54.7	6	8.0
	RIO HONDO ISD	RIO HONDO H S	73	97	75.3	<5h	
	SAN BENITO CONS	SAN BENITO H S	127	358	35.5	<5h	
	SANTA MARIA ISD		<20m	27		<5h	
		SANTA MARIA ALTERN	<5t	1		<5t	
		SANTA MARIA H S	<20m	26		<5h	•
	SANTA ROSA ISD	SANTA ROSA H S	26	58	44.8	<5h	
	SOUTH TEXAS ISD		310	325	95.4	140	45.2
		HIGH SCHOOL FOR HE	131	143	91.6	<45m	
		THE SCIENCE ACADEM	<130m	124		87	
		THE TEACHER ACADEM	<55m	58		<15m	
	VALLEY HIGH	VALLEY HIGH	<5t	42		<5t	
CAMP	PITTSBURG ISD	PITTSBURG H S	38	86	44.2	9	23.7
CARSON	GROOM ISD	GROOM SCHOOL	11	12	91.7	<5h	

Note: 't' indicates masking is applied due to small number of students tested.

 $<sup>\,^{&#</sup>x27;}\text{h'}$  indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

 $<sup>\</sup>mbox{'m'}$  indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 3
College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

County name		Campus name		Number		above c Number	
CARSON	PANHANDLE ISD	PANHANDLE H S	29	41	70.7	8	27.6
	WHITE DEER ISD	WHITE DEER H S	21	29	72.4	<5h	
CASS	ATLANTA ISD	ATLANTA H S	81	104	77.9	17	21.0
	AVINGER ISD	AVINGER H S	7	8	87.5	<5h	
	BLOOMBURG ISD	BLOOMBURG H S	10	12	83.3	<5h	
	HUGHES SPRINGS	HUGHES SPRINGS HIG	29	43	67.4	<5h	
	LINDEN-KILDARE	LINDEN-KILDARE H S	45	56	80.4	12	26.7
	MCLEOD ISD	MCLEOD H S	21	35	60.0	7	33.3
	QUEEN CITY ISD	QUEEN CITY H S	52	62	83.9	<5h	
CASTRO	DIMMITT ISD	DIMMITT H S	49	79	62.0	13	26.5
	HART ISD	HART JR-SR H S	8	23	34.8	<5h	
	NAZARETH ISD	NAZARETH SCHOOL	16	21	76.2	12	75.0
CHAMBERS	ANAHUAC ISD	ANAHUAC H S GULF COAST H S	43 <45m <5t	63 60 2		6 <10m <5t	14.0
		HARDIN/CHAMBERS CT	<5t	1	•	<5t	
	BARBERS HILL IS	BARBERS HILL H S	86	136	63.2	26	30.2
	EAST CHAMBERS I	EAST CHAMBERS H S	35	57	61.4	<5h	·
CHEROKEE	ALTO ISD	ALTO H S	21	0		<5h	
	JACKSONVILLE IS	COMPASS CENTER JACKSONVILLE H S	<120m <5t <120m	212 15 197		<25m <5t <25m	:
	NEW SUMMERFIELD	NEW SUMMERFIELD SC	7	20	35.0	<5h	·
	RUSK ISD	RUSK H S	44	100	44.0	12	27.3
	WELLS ISD	WELLS H S	11	20	55.0	<5h	
CHILDRESS	CHILDRESS ISD	CHILDRESS H S	57	69	82.6	7	12.3
CLAY	BELLEVUE ISD	BELLEVUE SCHOOL	8	8	100	<5h	
	BYERS ISD	BYERS SCHOOL	8	11	72.7	<5h	
	HENRIETTA ISD	HENRIETTA H S	40	58	69.0	8	20.0

*Note:* 't' indicates masking is applied due to small number of students tested.

 $<sup>\</sup>mbox{'h'}$  indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

 $<sup>\</sup>mbox{'m'}$  indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 3
College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

County name	District name	Campus name	Examinees		aduates Tested (%)	above c	es at or criterion Percent
CLAY	MIDWAY ISD	MIDWAY SCHOOL	11	15	73.3	<5h	
	PETROLIA ISD	PETROLIA H S	17	35	48.6	6	35.3
COCHRAN	MORTON ISD		<25m	39		<5h	
		MORTON H S	<25m	32		<5h	
		PEP	<5t	7		<5t	
	WHITEFACE CONS		17	26	65.4	<5h	
		P E P ALTER CO-OP	<5t	1		<5t	
		WHITEFACE H S	<20m	25	•	<5h	•
COKE	BRONTE ISD		19	35		<10m	
		BRONTE H S	<20m	33		<10m	•
		FAIRVIEW ACCELERAT	<5t	2	•	<5t	•
	ROBERT LEE ISD		19	24	79.2	<5h	
		FAIRVIEW ACCELERAT	<5t	1	•	<5t	
		ROBERT LEE H S	<20m	23	•	<5h	•
COLEMAN	COLEMAN ISD		33	56	58.9	6	18.2
		CO-OP ALTER PROG	<5t	8	•	<5t	•
		COLEMAN H S	<35m	48	•	<10m	•
	NOVICE ISD		<5t	4		<5t	
		CAP	<5t	2		<5t	•
		NOVICE SCHOOL	<5t	2	•	<5t	•
	PANTHER CREEK C		<15m	14	•	<5h	
		CAP	<5t	2	•	<5t	
		PANTHER CREEK H S	<15m	12	•	<5h	•
	SANTA ANNA ISD		9	16	56.3	<5h	
		C A P	<5t	1	•	<5t	•
		SANTA ANNA H S	<10m	15	•	<5h	•
COLLIN	ALLEN ISD	ALLEN H S	490	619	79.2	204	41.6
	ANNA ISD	ANNA H S	16	63	25.4	<5h	
	BLUE RIDGE ISD	BLUE RIDGE H S	18	33	54.5	<5h	
	CELINA ISD	CELINA H S	48	60	80.0	15	31.3
	COMMUNITY ISD	COMMUNITY H S	19	56	33.9	<5h	
	FARMERSVILLE IS	FARMERSVILLE H S	40	64	62.5	8	20.0
	FRISCO ISD	FRISCO H S	214	310	69.0	74	34.6
	MCKINNEY ISD	ACT ACADEMY AT J L	346 <10m	558 6	62.0	127 <5h	36.7

Note: 't' indicates masking is applied due to small number of students tested.

 $<sup>\,^{&#</sup>x27;}\text{h'}$  indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

 $<sup>\</sup>mbox{'m'}$  indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 3
College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

County name	District name	Campus name	Examinees		aduates Tested (%)	above c	
		•					
COLLIN	MCKINNEY ISD	COUNTY RESIDENTIAL MCKINNEY HIGH SCHO SERENITY HIGH	337 <5t	483 2	69.8	<5t <130m <5t	
		THE L I N C CTR	<5t	66	•	<5t	•
	PLANO ISD	CLARK H S	2,276 <5t	2,584 0		1,294 <5t	56.9
		JASPER H S	<5t	0		<5t	
		PLANO EAST SR H S	754	977			53.7
		PLANO SR H S	845	911		465	55.0
		PLANO WEST SENIOR SHEPTON H S	669 <5t	696 0		417 <5t	62.3
		WILLIAMS H S	<5t	0			•
	PRINCETON ISD	PRINCETON H S	42	90	46.7	11	26.2
	PROSPER ISD	PROSPER H S	30	38	78.9	11	36.7
	WYLIE ISD	WYLIE H S	152	208	73.1	42	27.6
COLLINGSWORT	SAMNORWOOD ISD	SAMNORWOOD SCHOOL	11	10	100	<5h	•
	WELLINGTON ISD	WELLINGTON H S	32	39	82.1	<5h	•
COLORADO	COLUMBUS ISD	COLUMBUS H S	64	93	68.8	6	9.4
	RICE CONS ISD	RICE H S	60	95	63.2	9	15.0
	WEIMAR ISD	WEIMAR H S	26	35	74.3	6	23.1
COMAL	COMAL ISD	0.441/041 117011 0011001	429	595			30.1
		CANYON HIGH SCHOOL	<160m	225 26	•	<55m	•
		COMAL LEADERSHIP I SMITHSON VALLEY HI	<10m 269	344	78.2	<5h 78	29.0
	NANCY NEY CHART	NANCY NEY CHARTER	<5t	4		<5t	
	NEW BRAUNFELS I		263	386	68.1	84	31.9
		NEW BRAUNFELS H S		362	•	<85m	
		THE NBISD LEARNING	<5t	24	•	<5t	•
COMANCHE	COMANCHE ISD	COMANCHE H S	39	60	65.0	13	33.3
	DE LEON ISD	DE LEON H S	27	37	73.0	<5h	•
	GUSTINE ISD	GUSTINE SCHOOL	7	13	53.8	<5h	
	SIDNEY ISD	SIDNEY SCHOOL	10	8	100	<5h	
CONCHO	EDEN C I S D	EDEN H S	21	21	100	<5h	•
	PAINT ROCK ISD	PAINT ROCK SCHOOL	6	13	46.2	<5h	

*Note:* 't' indicates masking is applied due to small number of students tested.

 $<sup>\</sup>mbox{'h'}$  indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

 $<sup>\</sup>mbox{'m'}$  indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 3
College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

County name		Campus name		Number		above o Number	Percent
COOKE	CALLISBURG ISD	CALLISBURG H S	28	55	50.9	9	32.1
	ERA ISD	ERA SCHOOL	17	27	63.0	5	29.4
	GAINESVILLE ISD	GAINESVILLE H S	60	126	47.6	15	25.0
	LINDSAY ISD	LINDSAY H S	31	38	81.6	14	45.2
	MUENSTER ISD	MUENSTER H S	23	28	82.1	10	43.5
	VALLEY VIEW ISD	VALLEY VIEW HS/MS	24	40	60.0	6	25.0
CORYELL	COPPERAS COVE I	COPPERAS COVE H S CROSSROADS HIGH SC	221 <220m <5t	410 394 16	•	<45m	18.6
	EVANT ISD	EVANT H S	17	22	77.3	5	29.4
	GATESVILLE ISD	GATESVILLE H S	65	142	45.8	17	26.2
	JONESBORO ISD	JONESBORO SCHOOL	<5t	3		<5t	•
	OGLESBY ISD	OGLESBY SCHOOL	<5t	12		<5t	•
COTTLE	PADUCAH ISD	PADUCAH SCHOOL	14	21	66.7	<5h	
CRANE	CRANE ISD	CRANE H S	41	61	67.2	8	19.5
CROCKETT	CROCKETT CO CON	OZONA H S	35	49	71.4	<5h	
CROSBY	CROSBYTON ISD	CAP ROCK CO LRN CO CROSBYTON H S	18 <5t <20m	29 5 24	•	<5t	
	LORENZO ISD	LORENZO H S	9	15	60.0	<5h	
	RALLS ISD	CAPROCK COUNTY LE RALLS H S	17 <5t <20m	38 5 33	•	<5t	
CULBERSON	CULBERSON COUNT	VAN HORN H S	28	46	60.9	<5h	
DALLAM	DALHART ISD	DALHART H S X I T SECONDARY SC	42 <45m <5t	59 56 3	71.2	<10m <10m <5t	
	TEXLINE ISD	TEXLINE SCHOOL	6	7	85.7	<5h	
DALLAS	ALPHA CHARTER S	ALPHA CHARTER SCHO	<5t	2		<5t	
	CARROLLTON-FARM	CREEKVIEW HIGH SCH GRIMES EDUCATION C	798 381 <10m	1,201 496 49	66.4 76.8	320 146 <5h	40.1 38.3

Note: 't' indicates masking is applied due to small number of students tested.

 $<sup>\,^{&#</sup>x27;}\text{h'}$  indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

 $<sup>\</sup>mbox{'m'}$  indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 3
College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

County name	District name	Campus name	Evaminos		aduates Tested (%)	above c	
	District name	•					
DALLAS	CARROLLTON-FARM	SMITH HIGH SCHOOL		306		96	
		TURNER HIGH SCHOOL	206	350	58.9	<80m	
	CEDAR HILL ISD	CEDAR HILL H S	255	349	73.1	58	22.7
	COPPELL ISD	COPPELL H S	490	518	94.6	235	48.0
	DALLAS CAN ACAD		8	318	2.5	<5h	
		DALLAS CAN! ACADEM	<10m	105		<5h	
		DALLAS CAN! ACADEM	<5t	213	•	<5t	
	DALLAS ISD		2,899	6,005	48.3	326	11.2
	DALLE TO TOD	A MACEO SMITH H S	54	115		<5h	
		BARBARA MANNS H S	<5t	0		<5t	•
		BOOKER T WASHINGTO	113	151		37	32.7
		BRYAN ADAMS H S	155	361	42.9	35	22.6
		BUCKNER ACADEMY	<5t	4		<5t	
		DAVID W CARTER H S	181	352		<5h	
		H GRADY SPRUCE H S	69	230		<5h	
		HEALTH SPECIAL	<5t	2		<5t	
		HILLCREST H S	108	212		33	30.6
		JAMES MADISON H S	42	87	48.3	<5h	
		JUSTIN F KIMBALL H	119	252		<5h	
		L G PINKSTON H S	43	104	41.3	<5h	
		LINCOLN H S	190	209	90.9	<5h	
		METROPOLITAN ED CT	<10m	0		<5h	
		MIDDLE COLLEGE	10	26		<5h	
		MOISES MOLINA H S	88	362	24.3	<5h	
		NORTH DALLAS H S	197	288	68.4	<5h	
		ROOSEVELT H S	71	130	54.6	<5h	
		SCH OF GOVTLAW& LA	67	98	68.4	9	13.4
		SCHOOL FOR THE TAL	52	52	100	37	71.2
		SCHOOL OF BUSINESS	68	108	63.0	<5h	
		SCHOOL OF EDUCATIO	41	45	91.1	<5h	
		SCHOOL OF HEALTH P	81	112	72.3	9	11.1
		SCHOOL OF SCIENCE	81	89	91.0	44	54.3
		SEAGOVILLE H S	73	173	42.2	10	13.7
		SKYLINE H S	351	772		30	8.5
		SOUTH OAK CLIFF H	100	214	46.7	<5h	•
		SUNSET H S	78	245			
		THOMAS JEFFERSON H	80	221		<5h	
		W H ADAMSON H S	66	189		<5h	
		W T WHITE H S	176	346		31	17.6
		W W SAMUELL H S	56	212		<5h	
		WOODROW WILSON H S	80	244	32.8	28	35.0
	DESOTO ISD	DE SOTO H S	257	346	74.3	55	21.4
	DUNCANVILLE ISD		431	664	64.9	94	21.8
		DUNCANVILLE H S	<435m	622		<95m	
		PACE	<5t	42		<5t	
	EAGLE ADVANTAGE	EAGLE ADVANTAGE CH	<5t	29		<5t	

*Note:* 't' indicates masking is applied due to small number of students tested.

 $<sup>\</sup>mbox{'h'}$  indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

 $<sup>\</sup>mbox{'m'}$  indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 3
College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

				Gra	aduates		es at or criterion
County name	District name	Campus name	Examinees	Number	Tested (%)	Number	Percent
DALLAS	EAGLE PROJECT (	EAGLE PROJECT (DAL	<5t	3	•	<5t	•
	EDUCATION CENTE	EDUCATION CENTER I	<5t	3	•	<5t	
	FAITH FAMILY AC	FAITH FAMILY ACADE	<5t	5		<5t	
	GARLAND ISD		1,429	2,453	58.3	411	28.8
		GARLAND H S	255	407	62.7	141	55.3
		GISD EVENING SCH	<5t	28		<5t	
		LAKEVIEW CENTENNIA	<160m	315 374 467		<25m	
		N GARLAND H S	201	374	53.7	<40m	
		NAAMAN FOREST H S	270	467	57.8	85	31.5
		ROWLETT H S	316	498	63.5		26.3
		S GARLAND H S	230			40	17.4
		WARREN AEC	<5t	1			
		7.20					•
	GRAND PRAIRIE I		425	937 429	45.4	99	23.3
		GRAND PRAIRIE H S	<150m	429		<30m	
		LLOYD BOZE SECONDA	<5t	19		<5t	
		SO GRAND PRAIRIE H	<5t <285m	489		<75m	
	HIGHLAND PARK I	HIGHLAND PARK HIGH	409		100	302	73.8
	HONORS ACADEMY		42	219	19.2	20	47.6
		DESTINY HIGH SCHOO	<5t			<5t	
		EXCEL ACADEMY	<25m			<10m	
		HONORS ACADEMY	<5t			<5t	
		LANDMARK SCHOOL	<5t			<5t	_
		LEGACY HIGH SCHOOL	<5t			<5t	•
		UNIVERSITY SCHOOL	<25m			<15m	
		Y W HIGH SCHOOL	<5t			<5t	
	I AM THAT I AM	I AM THAT I AM ACA	<5t	10		<5t	
	IRVING ISD		631	1,201	52.5	183	29.0
	11	IRVING H S	<190m				
		MACARTHUR H S	<215m	432 358		69	•
		NIMITZ H S	230			<70m	•
		THE ACADEMY OF IRV				<5t	
		UNION BOWER CENTER	<5t				
	JEAN MASSIEU AC	JEAN MASSIEU ACAD	<5t	1		<5t	
	LANCASTER ISD	LANCASTER H S	142	225	63.1	13	9.2
	MESQUITE ISD		997	1,714	58.2	262	26.3
		MESQUITE ACAD	<1 Om			<5h	
		MESQUITE H S	350	592	60.6		28.1
		NORTH MESQUITE H S	263	432	60.9		
		RALPH H POTEET H S	266	432 372	71.5		30.1
		WEST MESQUITE H S	<105m	258		<20m	3011
		ocdoiiL ii 0	- 100111	200	•	·20iii	•

*Note:* 't' indicates masking is applied due to small number of students tested.

 $<sup>\,^{&#</sup>x27;}\text{h'}$  indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

 $<sup>\</sup>mbox{'m'}$  indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 3
College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

_					aduates	above c	
County name		Campus name					
DALLAS	NORTH HILLS SCH	NORTH HILLS SCHOOL	6	20	30.0	<5h	
	PEGASUS CHARTER	PEGASUS CHARTER SC	<5t	2		<5t	
	RENAISSANCE CHA	RENAISSANCE CHARTE	<5t	0		<5t	
	RICHARDSON ISD		1,564	1,806	86.6	692	44.2
		BERKNER H S	490	568	86.3	207	42.2
		LAKE HIGHLANDS H S	411	477	86.2	<185m	
		PEARCE H S	<375m	393		210	
		RICHARDSON H S	<295m	368		<95m	
	RYLIE FAITH FAM	RYLIE FAITH FAMILY	<5t	16		<5t	
	UNIVERSAL ACADE	UNIVERSAL ACADEMY	<5t	3		<5t	
	WILMER-HUTCHINS	WILMER-HUTCHINS H	37	90	41.1	<5h	
	WINFREE ACADEMY		<5t	344		<5t	
		WINFREE ACADEMY CH	<5t	114		<5t	
		WINFREE ACADEMY CH	<5t	152		<5t	
		WINFREE ACADEMY CH	<5t	78		<5t	
DAWSON	DAWSON ISD	DAWSON SCHOOL	6	9	66.7	<5h	
	KLONDIKE ISD	KLONDIKE H S	6	9	66.7	<5h	•
	LAMESA ISD	LAMESA H S	71	141	50.4	15	21.1
	SANDS CISD	SANDS CISD	12	18	66.7	<5h	
DEAF SMITH	HEREFORD ISD	HEREFORD H S	93	248	37.5	20	21.5
DELTA	COOPER ISD	COOPER H S	29	45	64.4	12	41.4
	FANNINDEL ISD	FANNINDEL H S	9	18	50.0	<5h	•
DENTON	ARGYLE ISD	ARGYLE HIGH SCHOOL	<5t	1		<5t	•
	AUBREY ISD	AUBREY H S	26	44	59.1	<5h	
	DENTON ISD		462	655	70.5	172	37.2
		DENTON H S	235	318	73.9	<100m	
		FRED MOORE HIGH SC	<5t	21		<5t	
		RYAN H S	<225m	316	•	<75m	
	EDUCATION CENTE		<5t	26		<5t	
		EDUCATION CENTER A	<5t	9		<5t	
		EDUCATION CENTER A	<5t	17		<5t	
	KRUM ISD	KRUM H S	34	55	61.8	9	26.5
	LAKE DALLAS ISD		98	151	64.9	26	26.5

 $<sup>\</sup>mbox{'h'}$  indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

 $<sup>\</sup>mbox{'m'}$  indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 3
College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

County name	District name	Campus name		Number <sup>-</sup>		above o Number	
DENTON	LAKE DALLAS ISD	LAKE DALLAS H S LAKE DALLAS MIDDLE		150 1	•	<30m <5t	
	LEWISVILLE ISD	ELOWED HOUND II O		2,082		503	32.7
		FLOWER MOUND H S HEBRON H S	<200m <125m	388 185	•	<75m <30m	•
		LEARNING CTR	<5t		•	<5t	•
		LEWISVILLE H S	397		76.3		28.0
		LEWISVILLE H S-NOR	<5t	1		<5t	
		MARCUS H S	498		93.1		42.2
		THE COLONY H S	322	419	76.8	87	27.0
	LITTLE ELM ISD	LITTLE ELM H S	52	88	59.1	<5h	
	NORTHWEST ISD		169	266	63.5	48	28.4
		NORTHWEST ALLIANCE	<5t	11		<5t	
		NORTHWEST H S	<170m	255	•	<50m	•
	PILOT POINT ISD	PILOT POINT H S	40	55	72.7	17	42.5
	PONDER ISD	PONDER H S	21	34	61.8	6	28.6
	SANGER ISD		<65m	144		<20m	
		LINDA TUTT LEARNIN	<5t	17		<5t	
		SANGER H S	<65m	127		<20m	
	UNIVERSITY OF N	TEXAS ACADEMY OF M	166	0		164	98.8
DEWITT	CUERO ISD	CUERO H S	92	145	63.4	22	23.9
	NORDHEIM ISD	NORDHEIM SCHOOL	<5t	8		<5t	
	YOAKUM ISD	YOAKUM H S	51	79	64.6	11	21.6
	YORKTOWN ISD	YORKTOWN H S	13	28	46.4	<5h	
DICKENS	PATTON SPRINGS	PATTON SPRINGS SCH	17	14	100	<5h	•
	SPUR ISD	SPUR SCHOOL	7	11	63.6	<5h	•
DIMMIT	CARRIZO SPRINGS	CARRIZO SPRINGS H	59	119	49.6	<5h	
DONLEY	CLARENDON ISD	CLARENDON H S	27	23	100	7	25.9
	HEDLEY ISD	HEDLEY SCHOOL	8	15	53.3	<5h	
DUVAL	BENAVIDES ISD	BENAVIDES H S	28	32	87.5	<5h	
	FREER ISD	FREER H S	48	55	87.3	<5h	
	SAN DIEGO ISD	SAN DIEGO H S	33	83	39.8	<5h	•
EASTLAND	CISCO ISD	CISCO H S	18	45	40.0	5	27.8

Note: 't' indicates masking is applied due to small number of students tested.

 $<sup>\,^{&#</sup>x27;}\text{h'}$  indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

 $<sup>\</sup>mbox{'m'}$  indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 3
College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

-		Campus name		Number		above c Number	Percent
EASTLAND	EASTLAND ISD					8	
	GORMAN ISD	GORMAN H S	13	25	52.0	<5h	
	RANGER ISD	RANGER H S	21	31	67.7	8	38.1
	RISING STAR ISD	RISING STAR H S	8	10	80.0	<5h	
ECTOR	ECTOR COUNTY IS		531	1,333	39.8	106	20.0
		AIM	<5t	90		<5t	
		CAREER CTR	<15m	193		<5h	
		HOOD J H	<5t	1		<5t	
		ODESSA H S	<250m			<60m	
		PERMIAN H S	273			<50m	
		TEEN PARENT CTR	<5t	3	•	<5t	•
EDWARDS	NUECES CANYON C	NUECES CANYON HIGH	9	23	39.1	<5h	
	ROCKSPRINGS ISD	ROCKSPRINGS H S	16	23	69.6	<5h	
EL PASO	ANTHONY	ANTHONY H S	44	42	100	<5h	
	BURNHAM WOOD CH		<5t			<5t	
		BURNHAM WOOD CHART				<5t	
		BURNHAM WOOD H S	<5t	2	•	<5t	•
	CANUTILLO ISD	CANUTILLO H S	125	220	56.8	9	7.2
	CLINT ISD		212	370	57.3	8	3.8
		CLINT H S	<120m	176		<10m	
		MOUNTAIN VIEW H S		194		<5h	
	EL PASO ACADEMY	EL PASO ACADEMY EA	<5t	63		<5t	
	EL PASO ISD		1,849	3,168	58.4		
		ANDRESS H S	191	325	58.8	27	14.1
		AUSTIN H S	164	296	55.4	13	7.9
		BOWIE H S	90	223	40.4	<5h	
		BURGES H S	165	292	56.5	20	12.1
		CHAPIN HS	<5t			<5t	
		CORONADO H S	370	482	76.8	137	37.0
		EL PASO H S	97	252	38.5		
		FRANKLIN H S	366	504	72.6	120	32.8
		IRVIN H S	143	344	41.6	17	11.9
		JEFFERSON H S	<90m	188		<5h	
		SCHOOL-AGE PARENT	<10m	16		<5h	
		SILVA HEALTH MAGNE	168	194	86.6	45	26.8
		SUNSET H S	<5t	51	•	<5t	•
	FABENS ISD		<75m	148		<5h	
		FABENS A L T A PRO	<5t	13		<5t	•
		FABENS H S	<75m	135	·	<5h	•

*Note:* 't' indicates masking is applied due to small number of students tested.

 $<sup>\</sup>mbox{'h'}$  indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

 $<sup>\</sup>mbox{'m'}$  indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 3
College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

County name	District name	Campus name		Number		above o Number	
EL PASO	PASO DEL NORTE	PASO DEL NORTE ACA	<5t	99		<5t	
	SAN ELIZARIO IS	SAN ELIZARIO H S	80	146	54.8	<5h	
	SOCORRO ISD		783	1,423	55.0	75	9.6
		AMERICAS H S	<260m	452		<30m	
		MONTWOOD H S	271	464	58.4	27	10.0
		SOCORRO H S	<260m	507	•	<25m	•
	TORNILLO ISD	TORNILLO H S	43	46	93.5	<5h	•
	YSLETA ISD		2,439	2,611	93.4		
		ACAD OF SCIENCE/TE	111	0		<5h	
		BEL AIR H S	396	434	91.2	19	4.8
		CESAR CHAVEZ ACAD	41	11	100	<5h	
		DEL VALLE H S	285			<10m	
		DISTRICT HOMEBOUND	<5t			<5t	
		EASTWOOD H S	316	347	91.1	37	11.7
		J M HANKS H S	475	504	94.2	42	8.8
		PARKLAND H S	214	201		8	3.7
		PLATO ACADEMY	<5t	102		<5t	
		RIVERSIDE H S	245			<10m	
		SCHOOL FOR ED ENRI	<5t	0		<5t	
		TEJAS SCHOOL OF CH	<40m			<5h	
		YSLETA H S	318	336	94.6	9	2.8
ELLIS	AVALON ISD	AVALON SCHOOL	<5t	12		<5t	-
	ENNIS ISD	ENNIS H S	130	223	58.3	27	20.8
	FERRIS ISD	FERRIS H S	42	80	52.5	<5h	
	ITALY ISD	ITALY H S	25	32	78.1	<5h	
	MAYPEARL ISD	MAYPEARL H S	37	46	80.4	6	16.2
	MIDLOTHIAN ISD	MIDLOTHIAN H S	151	241	62.7	44	29.1
	MILFORD ISD	MILFORD SCHOOL	<5t	12		<5t	
	PALMER ISD	PALMER H S	26	44	59.1	6	23.1
	RED OAK ISD	RED OAK H S	152	213	71.4	37	24.3
	WAXAHACHIE ISD		192	364	52.7	52	27.1
	MAVAUACUIE 19D	WAXAHACHIE H S	192 <195m	355		52 <55m	
			<5t	9			•
		WILEMON EDUCATION/	\51	9	•	<5t	•
ERATH	DUBLIN ISD	DUBLIN H S	40	59	67.8	6	15.0
	HUCKABAY ISD	HUCKABAY SCHOOL	14	13	100	5	35.7

Note: 't' indicates masking is applied due to small number of students tested.

 $<sup>\</sup>mbox{'h'}$  indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

 $<sup>\</sup>mbox{'m'}$  indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 3
College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

County name		Campus name		Number		above o Number	Percent
ERATH	LINGLEVILLE ISD	LINGLEVILLE SCHOOL	8	15	53.3	<5h	
	PARADIGM ACCELE	PARADIGM ACCELERAT	<5t	9		<5t	
	STEPHENVILLE	STEPHENVILLE H S	155	196	79.1	42	27.1
FALLS	CHILTON ISD	CHILTON SCHOOL	<5t	19		<5t	
	MARLIN ISD	MARLIN H S	36 <40m	74 72		<5h <5h	
		THE LEARNING CENTE		2		<5t	
	ROSEBUD-LOTT IS		31	64	48.4		
		ROSEBUD LOTT LEARN ROSEBUD-LOTT H S	<5t <35m	3 61		<5t <10m	
FANNIN	BONHAM ISD	BONHAM H S	49	91	53.8	13	26.5
	DODD CITY ISD	DODD CITY SCHOOL	10	15	66.7	<5h	
	ECTOR ISD	ECTOR SCHOOL	12	20	60.0	<5h	
	HONEY GROVE ISD	HONEY GROVE H S	24	40	60.0	5	20.8
	LEONARD ISD	LEONARD HIGH SCHOO	24	38	63.2	7	29.2
	SAM RAYBURN ISD	RAYBURN H S	16	28	57.1	5	31.3
	SAVOY ISD	SAVOY H S	5	18	27.8	<5h	
	TRENTON ISD	TRENTON H S	12	22	54.5	<5h	
FAYETTE	FAYETTEVILLE IS	FAYETTEVILLE H S	8	16	50.0	<5h	
	FLATONIA ISD	FLATONIA SECONDARY	23	41	56.1	5	21.7
	LA GRANGE ISD	LA GRANGE H S	69	109	63.3	28	40.6
	ROUND TOP-CARMI	ROUND TOP-CARMINE	15	17	88.2	<5h	
	SCHULENBURG ISD	SCHULENBURG H S	24	41	58.5	5	20.8
FISHER	ROBY CONS ISD	ROBY H S	10	19	52.6	<5h	
	ROTAN ISD	ROTAN H S	20	27	74.1	<5h	
FLOYD	FLOYDADA ISD	CAPROCK CO LRN CO-	32 <5t	50 1	64.0	7 <5t	21.9
		FLOYDADA H S	<35m	49		<10m	:
	LOCKNEY ISD	LOCKNEY H S	26	36	72.2	5	19.2
FOARD	CROWELL ISD	CROWELL H S	9	22	40.9	<5h	

 $<sup>\</sup>it Note:$  't' indicates masking is applied due to small number of students tested. 
'h' indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

 $<sup>\</sup>mbox{'m'}$  indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 3
College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

County name	District name	Campus name	Examinees		aduates Tested (%)	above c	es at or riterion Percent
FORT BEND	FORT BEND ISD		2,851	3,385	84.2	1,113	39.0
		CLEMENTS H S	624	589	100	364	58.3
		DULLES H S	375	439		146	38.9
		HIGHTOWER H S KEMPNER H S	<360m 398	460 543		<120m 130	32.7
		LAWRENCE E ELKINS	384	410		135	35.2
		PROGRESSIVE H S	<5t	9		<5t	
		STEPHEN F AUSTIN H	511	617	82.8	212	41.5
		WILLOWRIDGE H S	<205m	318		<10m	
	LAMAR CONSOLIDA		442	745	59.3	108	24.4
		ALTERNATIVE LEARNI	<5t	0		<5t	•
		B F TERRY H S	233	411	56.7	<45m	•
		JUVENILE DETENT CT LAMAR CONS H S	<5t <210m	1 333	•	<5t 63	•
		LAWAR CONS R S	\210III	333	•	03	•
	NEEDVILLE ISD	NEEDVILLE H S	89	156	57.1	34	38.2
	STAFFORD MUNICI	STAFFORD HIGH SCHO	109	160	68.1	31	28.4
FRANKLIN	MOUNT VERNON IS	MT VERNON H S	59	87	67.8	24	40.7
FREESTONE	FAIRFIELD ISD	FAIRFIELD H S	59	91	64.8	18	30.5
	TEAGUE ISD	TEAGUE H S	30	68	44.1	6	20.0
	WORTHAM ISD	WORTHAM H S	12	16	75.0	<5h	
FRIO	DILLEY ISD	DILLEY H S	19	31	61.3	5	26.3
	PEARSALL ISD	PEARSALL H S	44	104	42.3	<5h	
GAINES	LOOP ISD	LOOP SCHOOL	7	10	70.0	<5h	
	SEAGRAVES ISD		21	40	52.5	<5h	
		CHOICES ALTERNATIV	<5t	2		<5t	
		SEAGRAVES H S	<25m	38	•	<5h	•
	SEMINOLE ISD		56	120	46.7	13	23.2
		SEMINOLE H S	<60m	115		<15m	
		SEMINOLE SUCCESS C	<5t	5		<5t	•
GALVESTON	CLEAR CREEK ISD		1,353	1,734	78.0	602	44.5
		CLEAR BROOK H S	<385m	502		<135m	
		CLEAR CREEK H S	403	564		155	38.5
		CLEAR LAKE H S CLEAR VIEW ALTER	557 <15m	606 62	91.9	314 <5h	56.4
							•
	DICKINSON ISD	DICKINSON H S	123	230	53.5	22	17.9
	FRIENDSWOOD ISD	FRIENDSWOOD H S	292	354	82.5	131	44.9

*Note:* 't' indicates masking is applied due to small number of students tested.

 $<sup>\</sup>mbox{'h^{\prime}}$  indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

 $<sup>\</sup>mbox{'m'}$  indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 3
College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

		Campus name		Number		above o Number	Percent
GALVESTON	GALVESTON ISD	BALL H S	184	378	48.7	55	29.9
	HIGH ISLAND ISD	HIGH ISLAND H S	12	23	52.2	<5h	
	HITCHCOCK ISD	HITCHCOCK H S	25	66	37.9	<5h	
	LA MARQUE ISD	LA MARQUE H S	138	228	60.5	9	6.5
	SANTA FE ISD	SANTA FE H S	98	234	41.9	11	11.2
	TEXAS CITY ISD	TEXAS CITY H S	130	249	52.2	18	13.8
GARZA	POST ISD	POST H S	21	36	58.3	5	23.8
	SOUTHLAND ISD	SOUTHLAND SCHOOL	6	13	46.2	<5h	
GILLESPIE	FREDERICKSBURG	AL TED . 0011	134				
		ALTER SCH FREDERICKSBURG H S	<5t <135m	2 185		<5t <45m	
	HARPER ISD	HARPER H S	16	23	69.6	<5h	
GLASSCOCK	GLASSCOCK COUNT	GLASSCOCK COUNTY H	15	24	62.5	<5h	•
GOLIAD	GOLIAD ISD	GOLIAD H S	68	89	76.4	24	35.3
GONZALES	GONZALES ISD		67	127	52.8		
		GONZALES ALTER GONZALES H S	<5t <70m	11 116		<5t <15m	
	NIXON-SMILEY CO	NIXON-SMILEY H S	27	51	52.9	<5h	
	WAELDER ISD	WAELDER H S	8	11	72.7	<5h	•
GRAY	LEFORS ISD	LEFORS SCHOOL	5	7	71.4	<5h	
	MCLEAN ISD	MCLEAN SCHOOL	9	7	100	<5h	•
	PAMPA ISD		153		66.5		
		P L C-PAMPA LEARNI PAMPA H S	<5t <155m	13 217		<5t <35m	
GRAYSON	BELLS ISD	BELLS H S	23	44	52.3	5	21.7
	COLLINSVILLE IS	COLLINSVILLE H S	27	27	100	5	18.5
	DENISON ISD		87	192	45.3	38	43.7
		DENISON H S PATHWAYS H S	<90m <5t	189 3		<40m <5t	
	GUNTER ISD	GUNTER H S	34	47	72.3	13	38.2
	HOWE ISD	HOWE H S	42	52	80.8	11	26.2
	HOWE TOD	HOWE II O	42	52	00.8	11	20.2

 $<sup>\</sup>mbox{'h'}$  indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

 $<sup>\</sup>mbox{'m'}$  indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 3
College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

County name	District name	Campus name	Examinees	Number T	uates ested (%)	above o Number	es at or criterion Percent
GRAYSON	POTTSBORO ISD	POTTSBORO H S	37	81	45.7	11	29.7
	S AND S CONS IS	S AND S CONS H S	28	44	63.6	7	25.0
	SHERMAN ISD	DOUGLASS LEARNING SHERMAN HIGH SCHOO	148 <5t <150m	280 18 262	52.9	51 <5t <55m	34.5
	TOM BEAN ISD	TOM BEAN H S	26	39	66.7	8	30.8
	VAN ALSTYNE ISD	VAN ALSTYNE H S	39	70	55.7	10	25.6
	WHITESBORO ISD	WHITESBORO H S	50	75	66.7	20	40.0
	WHITEWRIGHT ISD	WHITEWRIGHT H S	23	40	57.5	<5h	
GREGG	EAST TEXAS CHAR	DAN CHADWICK CAMPU	<5t	79		<5t	•
	GLADEWATER ISD	GLADEWATER H S	58	108	53.7	10	17.2
	KILGORE ISD	DANVILLE ALTERNATI KILGORE H S	99 <5t <100m	213 7 206	46.5	21 <5t <25m	21.2
	LONGVIEW ISD	LONGVIEW H S	229	356	64.3	66	28.8
	PINE TREE ISD	PINE TREE H S	212	271	78.2	74	34.9
	SABINE ISD	SABINE H S	26	75	34.7	10	38.5
	SPRING HILL ISD	SPRING HILL H S	78	101	77.2	22	28.2
	WHITE OAK ISD	WHITE OAK H S	59	81	72.8	13	22.0
GRIMES	ANDERSON-SHIRO	ANDERSON-SHIRO JR/	29	48	60.4	<5h	
	IOLA ISD	IOLA H S	21	41	51.2	<5h	
	NAVASOTA ISD	NAVASOTA H S SUCCESS ACADEMY AT	68 <70m <5t	172 153 19	39.5	18 <20m <5t	26.5
	RICHARDS ISD	RICHARDS H S	<5t	7		<5t	
GUADALUPE	MARION ISD	CAREER ACADEMY MARION H S	<65m <5t <65m	90 5 85		16 <5t <20m	
	NAVARRO ISD	NAVARRO H S	61	70	87.1	21	34.4
	SCHERTZ-CIBOLO-	ALLISON STEELE EN	254 <5t	421 30	60.3	73 <5t	28.7

*Note:* 't' indicates masking is applied due to small number of students tested.

 $<sup>\</sup>mbox{'h^{\prime}}$  indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

 $<sup>\</sup>mbox{'m'}$  indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 3
College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

County name	District name	Campus name	Fyaminees		aduates	above c	
-					` '		
GUADALUPE	SCHERTZ-CIBOLO-	SAMUEL CLEMENS H S	<255m	391		<75m	
	SEGUIN ISD		156	322	48.4	27	17.3
		MERCER & BLUMBERG	<5t	54		<5t	
		SEGUIN HIGH SCHOOL	<160m	268	•	<30m	•
HALE	ABERNATHY ISD	ABERNATHY H S	35	46	76.1	9	25.7
	COTTON CENTER I	COTTON CENTER SCHO	13	19	68.4	<5h	
	HALE CENTER ISD	HALE CENTER H S	19	31	61.3	<5h	•
	PETERSBURG ISD	PETERSBURG H S	16	21	76.2	<5h	•
	PLAINVIEW ISD		143	347	41.2	34	23.8
		HOUSTON SCHOOL	<5t	40		<5t	•
		PLAINVIEW HIGH SCH	<145m	307	•	<35m	•
HALL	LAKEVIEW ISD	LAKEVIEW SCHOOL	<5t	0	•	<5t	
	MEMPHIS ISD	MEMPHIS H S	26	34	76.5	6	23.1
	TURKEY-QUITAQUE	VALLEY SCHOOL	11	15	73.3	<5h	
HAMILTON	HAMILTON ISD	HAMILTON H S	61	66	92.4	14	23.0
	HICO ISD	HICO H S	21	40	52.5	<5h	
HANSFORD	GRUVER ISD	GRUVER H S	20	28	71.4	6	30.0
	SPEARMAN ISD	SPEARMAN H S	24	39	61.5	6	25.0
HARDEMAN	CHILLICOTHE ISD	CHILLICOTHE H S	5	17	29.4	<5h	•
	QUANAH ISD	QUANAH H S	43	50	86.0	<5h	•
HARDIN	HARDIN-JEFFERSO	HARDIN-JEFFERSON H	93	120	77.5	27	29.0
	KOUNTZE ISD	KOUNTZE H S	34	53	64.2	<5h	•
	LUMBERTON ISD	LUMBERTON H S	129	192	67.2	25	19.4
	SILSBEE ISD	SILSBEE H S	105	174	60.3	15	14.3
	WEST HARDIN COU	WEST HARDIN H S	22	40	55.0	<5h	•
HARRIS	ALDINE ISD		973	1,956		91	9.4
		ALDINE H S	194	466		<15m	
		CARVER H S FOR AP	<45m 239	67 425	56.2	<10m 36	15 1
		CHESTER W NIMITZ H EISENHOWER H S	239	425 503	56.2 58.1	21	15.1 7.2
		MACARTHUR H S	204	386	52.8	16	7.8
		W T HALL SCHOOL	<5t	109		<5t	

Note: 't' indicates masking is applied due to small number of students tested.

 $<sup>\</sup>mbox{'h'}$  indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

 $<sup>\</sup>mbox{'m'}$  indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 3
College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

County name	District name	Campus name	Examinees		aduates Tested (%)	above o	ees at or criterion Percent
HADDIC	ALTEE TOD		1 004	1 707	67.4	006	10.0
HARRIS	ALIEF ISD	ELSIK H S	1,204 562	1,787 837		226 <85m	18.8
		HASTINGS H S	<545m	831		111	
		KERR H S	<100m	119		<40m	
	ALPHONSO CRUTCH	ALPHONSO CRUTCH'S-	<5t	59		<5t	
	AMERICAN ACADEM	AMERICAN ACADEMY O	<5t	23		<5t	
	BAY AREA CHARTE	ED WHITE MEMORIAL	<5t	16		<5t	
	BENJI'S SPECIAL	BENJI'S SPECIAL ED	<5t	6		<5t	
	CALVIN NELMS SC	CALVIN NELMS HIGH	<5t	44		<5t	
	CHANNELVIEW ISD		128	269	47.6	22	17.2
		CHANNELVIEW HIGH S	<130m	258		<25m	
		ENDEAVOR SCHOOL	<5t	11		<5t	•
	COMQUEST ACADEM	COMQUEST ACADEMY	<5t	40		<5t	
	CROSBY ISD	CROSBY HIGH SCHOOL	116	197	58.9	23	19.8
	CROSSROADS COMM	CROSSROAD COMMUNIT	<5t	25		<5t	
	CYPRESS-FAIRBAN		2,750	3,563	77.2	914	33.2
		CY-FAIR H S	514	599	85.8	162	31.5
		CYPRESS CREEK H S	518	613	84.5	211	40.7
		CYPRESS FALLS H S	442			123	27.8
		CYPRESS SPRINGS H	<340m				
		JERSEY VILLAGE H S	464	620			32.3
		LANGHAM CREEK H S	448	538			40.4
		WINDFERN H S	<30m	165	•	<10m	•
	DEER PARK ISD		318	646	49.2	97	30.5
		DEER PARK H S - NO	<320m			<100m	
		WOLTERS ACCELERATE	<5t	64	•	<5t	•
	GALENA PARK ISD		444	961	46.2	46	10.4
		A C E CTR	<5t	36		<5t	•
		GALENA PARK H S	<125m			<10m	•
		NORTH SHORE H S	<325m	0		<45m	•
		NORTH SHORE SR H S	<5t	638	•	<5t	•
	GEORGE I SANCHE		14	95		<5h	
		GEORGE I SANCHEZ H	<15m	83		<5h	
		GEORGE I SANCHEZ C	<5t	12	•	<5t	•
	GIRLS & BOYS PR	GIRLS & BOYS PREP	7	0	-	<5h	
	GOOSE CREEK CIS		374	838	44.6	86	23.0
		ALTER SCH	<5t	21		<5t	·

Note: 't' indicates masking is applied due to small number of students tested.

 $<sup>\,^{&#</sup>x27;}\text{h'}$  indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

 $<sup>\</sup>mbox{'m'}$  indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 3
College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

es at or		duotos	0				
	Number		Number		Campus name	District name	County name
	<25m	•	339	<145m		GOOSE CREEK CIS	HARRIS
	<5t		15	<5t	NIGHT SCHOOL		
	<5t		2	<5t	SP ED CTR		
27.6	63	49.5	461	228	STERLING H S		
	<5t			<5t		GULF SHORES ACA	
•	<5t		7	<5t	GULF SHORES AT SO		
	<5t		160	<5t	GULF SHORES ACADEM		
	<5t		15	<5t	GULF SHORES CHARTE		
	<5t		5	<5t	GULF SHORES CHARTE		
	<5t	•	5	<5t	GULF SHORES CHARTE		
	<5t		32	<5t	HEIGHTS CHARTER SC	HEIGHTS CHARTER	
	<5h	7.3	109	8	HOUSTON CAN! ACADE	HOUSTON CAN ACA	
23.3	1,056	62.6	7,250	4,535		HOUSTON ISD	
	<5t		7	<5t	ACCELERATED LEARNI		
3.9	8	76.2	269	205	AUSTIN H S		
	<5h	50.0	198	99	BARBARA JORDAN H S		
60.8	354	89.0	654	582	BELLAIRE H S		
	<5h	8.9	203	18	CHAVEZ H S		
	<5t		2	<5t	COMMUNITY SERVICES		
	<5h	15.8	165	26	CONTEMPORARY LRN C		
6.3	8	52.5	244	128	DAVIS H S		
66.7	98		149	147	DEBAKEY H S FOR HE		
•	<5h	11.8	51	6	EASTWOOD ACADEMY		
	<5h	42.5	186	79	FURR H S		
	<5t		13	<5t	H P CARTER CAREER		
	<5t		1	<5t	HARPER ALTERNATIVE		
•	<5t		1	<5t	HCC LIFE SKILLS PR		
	<5t		17	<5t	HOUSTON DROP BACK		
	<5t		1	<5t	HOUSTON NIGHT HIGH		
23.6	26		177	110	JONES H S		
•	<5h		119	65	KASHMERE H S		
40.0	<5h		19	5	KAY ON-GOING ED CT		
42.0	230	87.3	628	548	LAMAR H S		
14.0	18		160 287	129 137	LAW ENFCMT-CRIM JU		
9.5 3.6	13 7		334	195	LEE H S MADISON H S		
	/ <5h		24	15	MIDDLE COLLEGE FOR		
6.8	16		454	234	MILBY H S		
58.6	89		155	152	PERFOR & VIS ARTS		
8.3	9	40.9	264	108	REAGAN H S		
		40.3		149	SAM HOUSTON H S		
15.4	<5h 10	46.4	370 140	65	SCARBOROUGH H S		
9.3	17	64.9	282	183	SHARPSTOWN H S		
	<5t		1	<5t	SOAR CTR		
4.5	5	58.2	189	110	STERLING H S		
17.6	29	62.0	266	165	WALTRIP H S		
19.3	29	71.4	210	150	WASHINGTON B T H S		
	29	/1.4	210	150	IN COLITION DI 11 9		
13.1	23	72.1	244	176	WESTBURY H S		

*Note:* 't' indicates masking is applied due to small number of students tested.

 $<sup>\</sup>mbox{'h'}$  indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

 $<sup>\</sup>mbox{'m'}$  indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 3
College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

				Gr	aduates		es at or
County name	District name	Campus name	Examinees				Percent
HARRIS	HOUSTON ISD	WHEATLEY H S	26	75	34.7	<5h	
		WORTHING H S	167	250	66.8	<5h	
		YATES H S	131				
		YOUTH FOR EDUCATIO	23	0	•	<5h	•
	HUFFMAN ISD	HARGRAVE H S	77	163	47.2	12	15.6
	HUMBLE ISD			1,559			38.8
		HUMBLE H S	<485m	698			
		KINGWOOD H S	763	841			47.4
		QUEST H S	<25m	20	•	<15m	•
	JESSE JACKSON A	JESSE JACKSON ACAD	<5t	45	•	<5t	
	KATY ISD		1,508	1,975	76.4	702	46.6
		CINCO RANCH H S	<215m	411		<100m	
		KATY H S	423	532	79.5	168	39.7
		MAYDE CREEK H S	367				37.9
		OPPORT AWARENESS C	<5t			<5t	
		TAYLOR H S	505	521	96.9	296	58.6
	KLEIN ISD		1,509	1,892	79.8	633	41.9
		KLEIN FOREST H S	<395m	560		<100m	
		KLEIN H S	630	679	92.8	335	53.2
		KLEIN OAK H S	<490m	653	•	<200m	•
	LA PORTE ISD		196	438	44.7	52	26.5
		DEWALT ALTER	<5t	24		<5t	
		LA PORTE HIGH SCHO	<200m	414	•	<55m	•
	NORTH FOREST IS		234	483			
		FOREST BROOK H S	<135m	247		<5h	
		SMILEY H S	<105m	236	•	<5h	•
	NORTH HOUSTON H	NORTH HOUSTON H S	<5t	39		<5t	
	PASADENA ISD		717	1,947	36.8	154	21.5
		DOBIE H S	260	501	51.9	60	23.1
		PASADENA H S	<115m	453			
		RAYBURN H S	190	478			22.6
		SOUTH HOUSTON H S	151	481			
		TEGELER CAREER CE	<5t	34	•	<5t	•
	RAUL YZAGUIRRE	RAUL YZAGUIRRE SCH	<5t	30		<5t	
	SHELDON ISD	C E KING H S	100	179	55.9	13	13.0
	SOUTHWEST HIGH	SOUTHWEST HIGH SCH	<5t	94	•	<5t	
	SPRING BRANCH I		1,218	1,665	73.2	587	48.2
		MEMORIAL H S	478	477		300	62.8
		NORTHBROOK H S	<130m	298		<15m	
		SPRING BRANCH ED C	<5t	0	•	<5t	•

------

Note: 't' indicates masking is applied due to small number of students tested.

 $<sup>\</sup>mbox{'h^{\prime}}$  indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

 $<sup>\</sup>mbox{'m'}$  indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 3
College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

County name		Campus name		Number		above o Number	Percent
HARRIS		SPRING BRANCH SCHO SPRING WOODS H S STRATFORD H S WESTCHESTER ACADEM	<5t 197 416	118 329	59.9 94.1	<5t 53 221	26.9 53.1
	SPRING ISD	SP ED CONTRACT SPRING H S WESTFIELD H S	740 <5t <295m <450m	505			
	TOMBALL ISD	TOMBALL H S	301	408	73.8	109	36.2
	WEST HOUSTON CH	WEST HOUSTON CHART	<5t	6		<5t	
	YES COLLEGE PRE	YES COLLEGE PREPAR	<5t	18		<5t	
HARRISON	ELYSIAN FIELDS	ELYSIAN FIELDS H S	55	59	93.2	10	18.2
	HALLSVILLE ISD	HALLSVILLE H S	159	217	73.3	39	24.5
	HARLETON ISD	HARLETON H S	25	34	73.5	<5h	
	KARNACK ISD	KARNACK H S	16	17	94.1	<5h	
	MARSHALL ISD	MARSHALL ACHIEVEME MARSHALL H S	224 <5t <225m	11	65.7	<5t	
	WASKOM ISD	WASKOM H S	41	50	82.0	<5h	
HARTLEY	CHANNING ISD	CHANNING SCHOOL	5	9	55.6	<5h	
	HARTLEY ISD	HARTLEY SCHOOL	8	11	72.7	<5h	
HASKELL	HASKELL CISD	HASKELL H S	43	52	82.7	10	23.3
	PAINT CREEK ISD	PAINT CREEK SCHOOL	<5t	8		<5t	
	ROCHESTER COUNT	ROCHESTER SCHOOL	6	10	60.0	<5h	
	RULE ISD	RULE SCHOOL	6	7	85.7	<5h	
HAYS	DRIPPING SPRING	DRIPPING SPRINGS H	199	207	96.1	81	40.7
	HAYS CONS ISD	ACADEMY AT HAYS JACK C HAYS H S	239 <10m <235m	423 26 397	56.5	62 <5h <65m	25.9
	KATHERINE ANNE	KATHERINE ANNE POR	15	45	33.3	<5h	
	SAN MARCOS CONS	PRIDE HIGH SCHOOL SAN MARCOS H S	210 <10m <205m	343 23 320	61.2	41 <5h <45m	19.5

*Note:* 't' indicates masking is applied due to small number of students tested.

 $<sup>\</sup>mbox{'h'}$  indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

 $<sup>\</sup>mbox{'m'}$  indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 3
College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

-		Campus name		Number		above o Number	
HAYS	WIMBERLEY ISD		104				29.8
HEMPHILL	CANADIAN ISD	CANADIAN H S	36	40	90.0	14	38.9
HENDERSON	ATHENS ISD		68	174	39.1	<20m	
		A L P H A ATHENS H S	<5t <70m	14 160		<5t <20m	
	BROWNSBORO ISD	A L P H A CAMPUS BROWNSBORO H S	38 <5t <40m			<10m <5t <10m	•
	CDOSS DOADS ISD	CROSS ROADS H S		36			•
	EUSTACE ISD	EUSTACE H S		59			•
	LAPOYNOR ISD	LAPOYNOR H S		25			•
	MALAKOFF ISD	MALAKOFF H S			30.2		
	TRINIDAD ISD	TRINIDAD SCHOOL	7	18	38.9	<5h	•
HIDALGO	DONNA ISD	DONNA H S	268	402	66.7	6	2.2
	EAGLE PROJECT (	EAGLE PROJECT (PHA	<5t	12	•	<5t	•
	EDCOUCH-ELSA IS	EDCOUCH-ELSA H S	170	245	69.4	<5h	
	EDINBURG CISD	ECONOMEDES H S EDINBURG H S EDINBURG NORTH H S	679 <185m <245m 256	266		<5h	
	HIDALGO ISD	HIDALGO ACADEMY HIDALGO H S	93 <5t <95m	3		6 <5t <10m	6.5
	LA JOYA ISD	ALTER CTR FOR ED LA JOYA SENIOR HIG	<5t			19 <5t <20m	
	LA VILLA ISD	LA VILLA ALTERNATI LA VILLA H S	21 <5t <25m	5		<5h <5t <5h	•
	MCALLEN ISD	INSTR/GUID CTR LAMAR ACADEMY MCALLEN H S MCALLEN MEMORIAL H ROWE H S	733 <5t <35m <205m 273 227		71.7	<5t <5h <15m	

Note: 't' indicates masking is applied due to small number of students tested.

 $<sup>\</sup>mbox{'h^{\prime}}$  indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

 $<sup>\</sup>mbox{'m'}$  indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 3
College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

County name		Campus name		Number		above o Number	
UTDALOO							0.0
HIDALGO	MERCEDES ISD	MERCEDES ALTER ACA	103 <5t				
		MERCEDES H S		208		<10m	
	MID-VALLEY ACAD	MID-VALLEY ACADEMY	<5t	24	-	<5t	
	MISSION CONS IS		321		58.5	39	12.1
		COMMUNITY A E P (M	<5t	14		<5t	•
		MISSION H S	<325m	535		<40m	
	ONE STOP MULTIS		<5t			<5t	
		ONE STOP MULTISERV				<5t	
		ONE STOP MULTISERV ONE STOP MULTISERV		10 43		<5t <5t	
		ONE STOP MOLITISERY	<b>\5</b> t	40			•
	PHARR-SAN JUAN-	DUELL CENTRAL II C	670			37	
		BUELL CENTRAL H S PSJA H S	<30m 235				
		PSJA MEMORIAL H S	215			<10m	0.5
		PSJA NORTH H S					
		TEENAGE PARENT PRO				<5h	
	PROGRESO ISD		33	87	37.9	<5h	
		MULTI ALTER	<5t	3		<5t	
		PROGRESO H S	<35m	84	•	<5h	•
	SENTRY TECHNOLO	SENTRY TECHNOLOGY	<5t	67		<5t	
	SHARYLAND ISD		174	244	71.3	22	12.6
		SHARYLAND ALTERNA	<5t			<5t	
		SHARYLAND H S	<175m	242	•	<25m	•
	TECHNOLOGY EDUC	TECHNOLOGY EDUCATI	<5t	13	-	<5t	
	VALLEY VIEW ISD	VALLEY VIEW HIGH S	89	87	100	<5h	•
	WESLACO ISD		304			33	
		SOUTH PALM GARDENS					
		WESLACO EAST HIGH WESLACO H S		0 523		<5t <35m	
		WESLACO H S	294	525	50.2	\33III	•
HILL	ABBOTT ISD	ABBOTT SCHOOL	17	20	85.0	5	29.4
	AQUILLA ISD	AQUILLA SCHOOL	<5t	8	•	<5t	
	BLUM ISD	BLUM H S	11	17	64.7	<5h	
	BYNUM ISD	BYNUM SCHOOL	9	16	56.3	<5h	
	COVINGTON ISD	COVINGTON SCHOOL	8	20	40.0	<5h	
	HILLSBORO ISD	HILLSBORO H S	44	109	40.4	5	11.4

*Note:* 't' indicates masking is applied due to small number of students tested.

 $<sup>\</sup>mbox{'h'}$  indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

 $<sup>\</sup>mbox{'m'}$  indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 3
College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

County name	District name	Campus name		Number		above o Number	Percent
HILL	HUBBARD ISD	HUBBARD H S	13	23	56.5	<5h	
	ITASCA ISD	ITASCA H S	17	30			35.3
	PENELOPE ISD	PENELOPE SCHOOL	10	15	66.7	<5h	
	WHITNEY ISD	WHITNEY H S	39	82	47.6	11	28.2
HOCKLEY	ANTON ISD		9	17	52.9	<5h	
		ANTON H S	<10m				
		ANTON P E P	<5t	2		<5t	
	LEVELLAND ISD	LEVELLAND H S	85	173	49.1	17	20.0
	ROPES ISD		9	17	52.9	<5h	
		CHOICES ALTER H S	<5t			<5t	
		ROPES SCHOOL	<10m	16		<5h	•
	SMYER ISD		18				
		CHOICES ALTERNATIV	<5t				•
		SMYER H S	<20m	23	•	<5h	•
	SUNDOWN ISD		27	35	77.1	9	33.3
		PEP ALTER SCHOOL	<5t				
		SUNDOWN H S	<30m	34	•	<10m	•
	WHITHARRAL ISD	WHITHARRAL SCHOOL	13	14	92.9	<5h	
HOOD	GRANBURY ISD		190				
		GRANBURY H S	<190m				•
		S T A R S ACADEMY	<5t	15	•	<5t	•
	LIPAN ISD	LIPAN H S	13	19	68.4	<5h	•
	TOLAR ISD	TOLAR H S	25	31	80.6	7	28.0
HOPKINS	COMO-PICKTON CI		22				
		COMO-PICKTON SCHOO					
		HOLY HIGHWAY PICKT	<5t	4	•	<5t	•
	CUMBY ISD	CUMBY H S	<5t	15	•	<5t	•
	MILLER GROVE IS	MILLER GROVE SCHOO	9	18	50.0	<5h	•
	NORTH HOPKINS I	NORTH HOPKINS H S	10	16	62.5	<5h	•
	SALTILLO ISD	SALTILLO SCHOOL	6	15	40.0	<5h	
	SULPHUR BLUFF I	SULPHUR BLUFF SCHO	9	21	42.9	<5h	
	SULPHUR SPRINGS	SULPHUR SPRINGS H	148	228	64.9	38	25.7
HOUSTON	CROCKETT ISD	CROCKETT H S	56	94	59.6	8	14.3

Note: 't' indicates masking is applied due to small number of students tested.

 $<sup>\,^{&#</sup>x27;}\text{h}^{'}$  indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

 $<sup>\</sup>mbox{'m'}$  indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 3
College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

County name		Campus name		Number T		above c Number	
HOUSTON	GRAPELAND ISD	GRAPELAND H S	22	38	57.9	6	27.3
	KENNARD ISD	KENNARD H S	<5t	20		<5t	
	LATEXO ISD	LATEXO H S	14	22	63.6	<5h	
	LOVELADY ISD	LOVELADY H S	12	34	35.3	<5h	
HOWARD	BIG SPRING ISD	BIG SPRING H S	78	207	37.7	24	30.8
	COAHOMA ISD	COAHOMA H S	32	61	52.5	<5h	
	FORSAN ISD	FORSAN H S	19	37	51.4	<5h	
HUDSPETH	DELL CITY ISD	DELL CITY SCHOOL	7	12	58.3	<5h	
	FT HANCOCK ISD	FORT HANCOCK SCHOO	13	37	35.1	<5h	
	SIERRA BLANCA I	SIERRA BLANCA SCHO	<5t	6		<5t	
HUNT	BLAND ISD	BLAND H S	13	27	48.1	<5h	
	BOLES ISD	BOLES ISD HIGH SCH	18	16	100	<5h	
	CADDO MILLS ISD	CADDO MILLS H S	31	51	60.8	7	22.6
	CAMPBELL ISD	CAMPBELL H S	9	14	64.3	<5h	
	CELESTE ISD	CELESTE HIGH SCHOO	25	32	78.1	6	24.0
	COMMERCE ISD	COMMERCE H S	65	96	67.7	15	23.1
	GREENVILLE ISD	GREENVILLE H S NEW HORIZONS LEARN	139 <140m <5t	255 238 17	54.5	34 <35m <5t	24.5
	LONE OAK ISD	LONE OAK H S	17	31	54.8	<5h	
	QUINLAN ISD	WH FORD HIGH SCHOO	46	131	35.1	8	17.4
	WOLFE CITY ISD	WOLFE CITY H S	16	30	53.3	<5h	
HUTCHINSON	BORGER ISD	BORGER H S C H A M P S	97 <100m <5t	180 176 4	53.9	33 <35m <5t	34.0
	PLEMONS-STINNET	WEST TEXAS H S	41	47	87.2	15	36.6
	SANFORD ISD	C H A M P S SANFORD-FRITCH H S	48 <5t <50m	67 3 64	71.6	12 <5t <15m	25.0

*Note:* 't' indicates masking is applied due to small number of students tested.

 $<sup>\</sup>mbox{'h'}$  indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

 $<sup>\</sup>mbox{'m'}$  indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 3
College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

County name	District name	•	Examinees		sted (%)	above o	
IRION	IRION CO ISD	IRION H S	13	24	54.2	6	46.2
JACK	BRYSON ISD	BRYSON SCHOOL	12	13	92.3	<5h	
	JACKSBORO ISD		44	62	71.0	<15m	
		ALTER SCH	<5t	2		<5t	
		JACKSBORO H S	<45m	60	•	<15m	•
	PERRIN-WHITT CO	PERRIN H S	20	30	66.7	<5h	
JACKSON	EDNA ISD		49	94	52.1	11	22.4
		EDNA ALTER	<5t	1		<5t	
		EDNA H S	<50m	93	•	<15m	•
	GANADO ISD	GANADO H S	33	42	78.6	5	15.2
	INDUSTRIAL ISD	INDUSTRIAL H S	55	80	68.8	13	23.6
JASPER	BROOKELAND ISD	BROOKELAND H S	<5t	10		<5t	•
	BUNA ISD	BUNA H S	43	73	58.9	8	18.6
	EVADALE ISD	EVADALE H S	19	24	79.2	<5h	
	JASPER ISD	JASPER H S	117	171	68.4	22	18.8
	KIRBYVILLE CISD	KIRBYVILLE HIGH SC	63	106	59.4	8	12.7
JEFF DAVIS	FT DAVIS ISD	FT DAVIS H S	12	16	75.0	<5h	•
	VALENTINE ISD	VALENTINE SCHOOL	<5t	3		<5t	•
JEFFERSON	BEAUMONT ISD		660	1,009	65.4	103	15.6
		CENTRAL SENIOR H S	<140m	250		<5h	
		OZEN H S	<190m	253		<10m	
		PAUL A BROWN ALTER	<5t	46	70.0	<5t	
		WEST BROOK SR H S	336	460	73.0	93	27.7
	EAGLE PROJECT (	EAGLE PROJECT (BEA	<5t	37		<5t	
	HAMSHIRE-FANNET	HAMSHIRE-FANNETT H	87	112	77.7	16	18.4
	NEDERLAND ISD	NEDERLAND H S	201	278	72.3	53	26.4
	PORT ARTHUR ISD		226	503	44.9	15	6.6
		AUSTIN H S	<35m	66		<5h	
		JEFFERSON H S	<100m	242		8	•
		LINCOLN H S	98	195	50.3	<10m	•
	PORT NECHES-GRO	PORT NECHES-GROVES	217	343	63.3	49	22.6
	RICHARD MILBURN	RICHARD MILBURN AC	<5t	31		<5t	•

*Note:* 't' indicates masking is applied due to small number of students tested.

 $<sup>\,^{&#</sup>x27;}\text{h'}$  indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

 $<sup>\</sup>mbox{'m'}$  indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 3
College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

County name		Campus name		Number		above o Number	Percent
JEFFERSON		SABINE PASS SCHOOL			69.2		
	TEKOA ACADEMY O	TEKOA ACADEMY MARS	<5t	1		<5t	
	TEXAS ACADEMY 0	TEXAS ACADEMY OF L	14	0	•	11	78.6
JIM HOGG	JIM HOGG COUNTY	HEBBRONVILLE H S	62	83	74.7	<5h	
JIM WELLS	ALICE ISD	ALICE H S	180	245	73.5	21	11.7
	BEN BOLT-PALITO	BEN BOLT-PAL BLANC	21	32	65.6	<5h	
	ORANGE GROVE IS	ORANGE GROVE H S	62	82	75.6	11	17.7
	PREMONT ISD	PREMONT H S	35	56	62.5	<5h	
JOHNSON	ALVARADO ISD	ALVARADO H S	135	188	71.8	16	11.9
	BURLESON ISD	BURLESON H S	208 <210m			<60m <60m	
		CROSSROADS LEARNIN		28			
	CLEBURNE ISD	CLEBURNE H S TEAM SCH	126 <130m <5t			<40m	30.2
	GODLEY ISD	GODLEY H S	34	61		8	23.5
	GRANDVIEW ISD	GRANDVIEW H S	37	61	60.7	11	29.7
	JOSHUA ISD		99	231	42.9	23	23.2
		ACCELERATED LRN CT JOSHUA H S	<5t <100m	17 214		<5t <25m	
	KEENE ISD	ALTER LEARNING CTR KEENE H S	17 <5t <20m	35 1 34	•	<5t	
	RIO VISTA ISD		27			<5h	•
	VENUS ISD	NIO VION II O	19				36.8
	72.100 202	LEARNING CENTER VENUS H S	<5t <20m	4 89	•	<5t <10m	
JONES	ANSON ISD	ANSON H S	27	47	57.4	5	18.5
	HAMLIN ISD	HAMLIN H S	36	44		8	22.2
	HAWLEY ISD	HAWLEY H S	28	49	57.1	<5h	
	LUEDERS-AVOCA I	LUEDERS-AVOCA H S	<5t	11		<5t	
	STAMFORD ISD		34	59	57.6	<10m	

Note: 't' indicates masking is applied due to small number of students tested.

 $<sup>\</sup>mbox{'h'}$  indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

 $<sup>\</sup>mbox{'m'}$  indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 3
College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

County name		Campus name		Number		above o Number	
JONES	STAMFORD ISD	STAMFORD JR-SR H S WETHERBEE	<35m <5t	56 3		<10m <5t	
KARNES	FALLS CITY ISD	FALLS CITY H S	19	22	86.4	<5h	
	KARNES CITY ISD	KARNES CITY H S	41	59	69.5	9	22.0
	KENEDY ISD		22	75	29.3	<5h	
		KARNES COUNTY ACAD	<5t	10			
		KENEDY HIGH SCHOO	<25m	65	•	<5h	•
	RUNGE ISD	RUNGE H S	16	18	88.9	<5h	
KAUFMAN	CRANDALL ISD		54	107	50.5	11	20.4
		CRANDALL ALTER CTR CRANDALL H S	<5t <55m	7 100	•	<5t <15m	•
		CHANDALL II 3	<b>\</b> 33111	100	•	\13III	•
	FORNEY ISD	FORNEY H S	118	153	77.1	20	16.9
	KAUFMAN ISD	KAUFMAN H S	77	141	54.6	16	20.8
	KEMP ISD	KEMP HIGH SCHOOL	25	76	32.9	5	20.0
	MABANK ISD	MABANK H S	58	145	40.0	14	24.1
	SCURRY-ROSSER I	SCURRY-ROSSER H S	19	43	44.2	<5h	
	TERRELL ISD	TERRELL H S	70	180	38.9	13	18.6
KENDALL	BOERNE ISD	BOERNE H S	280	305	91.8	90	32.1
	COMFORT ISD		34	54	63.0	11	32.4
		COMFORT H S	<35m	53		<15m	
		HILL COUNTRY HIGH	<5t	1	•	<5t	•
KENT	JAYTON-GIRARD I	JAYTON SCHOOLS	9	10	90.0	<5h	
KERR	CENTER POINT IS	CENTER POINT H S	21	26	80.8	5	23.8
	HUNT ISD	HUNT EL	<5t	2	-	<5t	
	INGRAM ISD	INGRAM-TOM MOORE H	53	83	63.9	14	26.4
	KERRVILLE ISD		197	288	68.4	68	34.5
		HILL COUNTRY HIGH	<10m	17		<5h	
		TIVY H S	<195m	271	•	<70m	•
KIMBLE	JUNCTION ISD	JUNCTION H S	35	47	74.5	<5h	
KING	GUTHRIE CSD	GUTHRIE SCHOOL	<5t	5	-	<5t	
KINNEY	BRACKETT ISD	DDAOKETT ALTER	22	37	59.5	<5h	
		BRACKETT ALTER	<5t	6	•	<5t	•

Note: 't' indicates masking is applied due to small number of students tested.

 $<sup>\,^{&#</sup>x27;}\text{h}^{'}$  indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

 $<sup>\</sup>mbox{'m'}$  indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 3
College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

		Campus name		Number		above o Number	Percent
KINNEY	BRACKETT ISD	BRACKETT H S	<25m	31	•	<5h	•
KLEBERG	KINGSVILLE ISD		165				
		H M KING HIGH SCHO HOMEBOUND	<165m <5t	282 3			
		K E Y S ACADEMY	<10m	29		-C-	
		NIGHT SCHOOL	<5t	19		<5t	
	RIVIERA ISD	KAUFER H S	36	40	90.0	6	16.7
	SANTA GERTRUDIS	ACADEMY H S	21	27	77.8	7	33.3
KNOX	BENJAMIN ISD	BENJAMIN SCHOOL	5	6	83.3	<5h	•
	GOREE ISD	GOREE SCHOOL	<5t	3		<5t	
	KNOX CITY-0'BRI	KNOX CITY H S	17	20	85.0	<5h	
	MUNDAY ISD	MUNDAY H S	18	22	81.8	<5h	
LA SALLE	COTULLA ISD		36	71	50.7	<10m	
		COTULLA ALTERNATIV	<5t			<5t	•
		COTULLA H S	<40m	63	•	<10m	•
LAMAR	CHISUM ISD	CHISUM H S	37	41	90.2	7	18.9
	NORTH LAMAR ISD	NORTH LAMAR H S	93	185	50.3	22	23.7
	PARIS ISD		69	146	47.3	18	26.1
		PARIS ALTERNATIVE	<5t	12		<5t	
		PARIS H S	<70m	134	•	<20m	•
	PRAIRILAND ISD	PRAIRILAND H S	29	58	50.0	<5h	
	ROXTON ISD	ROXTON H S	6	13	46.2	<5h	
LAMB	AMHERST ISD	AMHERST SCHOOL	10	13	76.9	<5h	
	LITTLEFIELD ISD	LITTLEFIELD H S	45	68	66.2	7	15.6
	OLTON ISD		23		51.1	<5h	
		OLTON H S	<25m				•
		OPTIONS ALTER SCH	<5t	6	•	<5t	•
	SPADE ISD	SPADE SCHOOL	<5t	8	•	<5t	
	SPRINGLAKE-EART	SPRINGLAKE-EARTH H	19	23	82.6	<5h	•
	SUDAN ISD		26	39	66.7	<10m	
		P E P ALTER	<5t	2		<5t	
		SUDAN H S	<30m	37	•	<10m	•
LAMPASAS	CEDAR RIDGE CHA	CEDAR RIDGE CHARTE	<5t	2		<5t	

Note: 't' indicates masking is applied due to small number of students tested.
'h' indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above

<sup>&#</sup>x27;h' indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

 $<sup>\</sup>mbox{'m'}$  indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 3
College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

County name	District name	Campus name	Examinees		aduates Tested (%)	above o	ees at or criterion Percent
LAMPASAS	LAMPASAS ISD		104	190	54.7	19	18.3
		CHALLENGER H S LAMPASAS H S	<5t <105m	6 184		<5t <20m	•
		LAWIFAGAG II G				<b>\20</b> III	•
	LOMETA ISD	LOMETA SCHOOL	10	13	76.9	<5h	•
LAVACA	HALLETTSVILLE I	HALLETTSVILLE H S	53	83	63.9	14	26.4
	MOULTON ISD	MOULTON H S	18	21	85.7	<5h	
	SHINER ISD	SHINER H S	28	37	75.7	11	39.3
LEE	DIME BOX ISD	DIME BOX SCHOOL	8	0		<5h	
	GIDDINGS ISD		51	104	49.0	<20m	
		GIDDINGS H S	<55m	96		<20m	
		KNOX LEARNING CTR	<5t	8	•	<5t	•
	LEXINGTON ISD	LEXINGTON H S	36	55	65.5	<5h	•
LEON	BUFFALO ISD	BUFFALO H S	35	50	70.0	<5h	
	CENTERVILLE ISD	CENTERVILLE JR-SR	23	43	53.5	<5h	•
	LEON ISD	LEON H S	26	39	66.7	5	19.2
	NORMANGEE ISD	NORMANGEE H S	20	32	62.5	<5h	
	OAKWOOD ISD	OAKWOOD H S	<5t	8		<5t	
LIBERTY	CLEVELAND ISD	CLEVELAND H S	83	148	56.1	10	12.0
	DAYTON ISD		<165m	212		29	
		DAYTON H S	<165m	211		<30m	•
		GULF COAST H S	<5t	1	•	<5t	•
	HARDIN ISD		31	64		<5h	
		GULF COAST H S	<5t	1 63		<5t	•
		HARDIN H S	<35m	63	•	<5h	•
	HULL-DAISETTA I	HULL-DAISETTA H S	19	42	45.2	<5h	•
	LIBERTY ISD	LIBERTY H S	85	141	60.3	18	21.2
	TARKINGTON ISD	TARKINGTON H S	51	108	47.2	9	17.6
LIMESTONE	COOLIDGE ISD	COOLIDGE H S	<5t	8		<5t	
	GROESBECK ISD		41	93		<15m	
		ALTER LEARNING CTR	<5t	5		<5t	•
		GROESBECK H S	<45m	88	•	<15m	•

*Note:* 't' indicates masking is applied due to small number of students tested.

 $<sup>\</sup>mbox{'h^{\prime}}$  indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

 $<sup>\</sup>mbox{'m'}$  indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 3
College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

County name		Campus name		Number		above c Number	Percent
LIMESTONE	MEVIA ICD		62	97	63.9	12	19.4
LIMESTONE	WLXIA 13D	MEXIA H S	<65m	92		<15m	
		MEXIA J H	<5t	1		<5t	
		MEXIA SCHOOL OF CH	<5t	4		<5t	
LIPSCOMB	BOOKER ISD	BOOKER JH/H S	20	26	76.9	<5h	•
	FOLLETT ISD	FOLLETT SCHOOL	17	16	100	<5h	
	HIGGINS ISD	HIGGINS SCHOOL	6	9	66.7	<5h	
LIVE OAK	GEORGE WEST ISD	GEORGE WEST H S	41	66	62.1	6	14.6
	THREE RIVERS IS	THREE RIVERS H S	37	54	68.5	6	16.2
LLANO	LLANO ISD	LLANO H S	43	80	53.8	14	32.6
LUBBOCK	EAGLE PROJECT (	EAGLE PROJECT (LUB	<5t	15		<5t	
	FRENSHIP ISD		164	301	54.5	52	31.7
		FRENSHIP H S	<165m	276		<55m	
		REESE EDUCATIONAL	<5t	25		<5t	•
	IDALOU ISD	IDALOU H S	24	43	55.8	5	20.8
	LUBBOCK ISD		857	1,560	54.9	286	33.4
		CORONADO H S	300	444	67.6	100	33.3
		ESTACADO H S	<75m	136		<5h	•
		HOMEBOUND LUBBOCK H S	<5t 268	4 482		<5t 137	51.1
		MATTHEWS LRN CTR/N		68		<5t	
		MONTEREY H S	<220m	426			
	LUBBOCK-COOPER	LUBBOCK-COOPER HIG	56	89	62.9	17	30.4
	NEW DEAL ISD	NEW DEAL H S	27	47	57.4	<5h	
	RICHARD MILBURN	RICHARD MILBURN AC	<5t	31		<5t	
	ROOSEVELT ISD	ROOSEVELT H S	31	61	50.8	10	32.3
	SHALLOWATER ISD	SHALLOWATER H S	49	68	72.1	14	28.6
	SLATON ISD	SLATON H S	31	63	49.2	5	16.1
	SOUTH PLAINS AC	SOUTH PLAINS ACADE	<5t	62		<5t	
	TEXAS TECH UNIV	TEXAS TECH H S	44	0		17	38.6
LYNN	NEW HOME ISD	NEW HOME SCHOOL	16	26	61.5	<5h	
	O'DONNELL ISD	O'DONNELL HIGH SCH	18	26	69.2	<5h	

*Note:* 't' indicates masking is applied due to small number of students tested.

 $<sup>\</sup>mbox{'h'}$  indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

 $<sup>\</sup>mbox{'m'}$  indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 3
College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

		Campus name		Number		above o Number	Percent
LYNN	TAHOKA ISD	ТАНОКА Н S	38	45	84.4	<5h	
	WILSON ISD		8	23	34.8	<5h	
		CHOICES ALTER	<5t			<5t	
		WILSON SCHOOL	<10m	22	•	<5h	•
MADISON	MADISONVILLE CO	MADISONVILLE HIGH	64	102	62.7	13	20.3
	NORTH ZULCH ISD	NORTH ZULCH H S	6	9	66.7	<5h	•
MARION	JEFFERSON ISD	JEFFERSON H S	43	60	71.7	<5h	•
MARTIN	GRADY ISD	GRADY SCHOOL	9	18	50.0	<5h	•
	STANTON ISD	STANTON H S	26	47	55.3	<5h	•
MASON	MASON ISD	MASON H S	26	45	57.8	5	19.2
MATAGORDA	BAY CITY ISD	BAY CITY H S	134	236	56.8	29	21.6
	PALACIOS ISD	PALACIOS H S	72	103	69.9	12	16.7
	TIDEHAVEN ISD	TIDEHAVEN H S	20	40	50.0	<5h	
	VAN VLECK ISD	VAN VLECK H S	40	62	64.5	7	17.5
MAVERICK	EAGLE PASS ISD		301	547	55.0	<20m	
		E P H S - C C WINN		532		<5t	
		EAGLE PASS H S FRANK CHISUM REGI	<305m <5t	2 13		<20m <5t	•
		FRANK UNISUW REGI	\5t	13	•	\5€	•
MCCULLOCH	BRADY ISD	BRADY H S	35	53	66.0	5	14.3
	LOHN ISD	LOHN SCHOOL	<5t	6		<5t	
	ROCHELLE ISD	ROCHELLE SCHOOL	9	15	60.0	<5h	•
MCLENNAN	AXTELL ISD	AXTELL H S	17	33	51.5	<5h	•
	BOSQUEVILLE ISD	BOSQUEVILLE SCHOOL	10	18	55.6	<5h	•
	BRUCEVILLE-EDDY		<35m	54	ė	<10m	
		B-E ACHIEVEMENT CT	<5t	1		<5t	
		BRUCEVILLE-EDDY H	<35m	53	•	<10m	•
	CHINA SPRING IS	CHINA SPRING H S	59	106	55.7	19	32.2
	CONNALLY ISD		61	127	48.0	12	19.7
		CONNALLY H S	<65m	124	•	<15m	•
		LAKEVIEW ACADEMY	<5t	3		<5t	•
	CRAWFORD ISD		32	45	71.1	11	34.4
		CRAWFORD H S	<35m	44		<15m	

Note: 't' indicates masking is applied due to small number of students tested.

 $<sup>\</sup>mbox{'h^{\prime}}$  indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

 $<sup>\</sup>mbox{'m'}$  indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 3
College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

County name	District name	Campus name		Number	aduates Tested (%)	above o Number	Percent
MCLENNAN	CRAWFORD ISD	OPPORTUNITY LEARNI		1		<5t	
	EAGLE PROJECT (	EAGLE PROJECT (WAC	<5t	4		<5t	
	LA VEGA ISD		49	108	45.4	<15m	
	LA VLGA 13D	LA VEGA H S		103			
		SUCCESS PROGRAM	<5t				
	LORENA ISD	LORENA H S	56	84	66.7	25	44.6
	MART ISD	MART H S	24	42	57.1	5	20.8
	MCGREGOR ISD	MCGREGOR H S	22	54	40.7	<5h	
	MIDWAY ISD	MIDWAY H S	224	358	62.6	92	41.1
	MOODY ISD	MOODY H S	18	27	66.7	<5h	
	RIESEL ISD	RIESEL SCHOOL	23	33	69.7	8	34.8
	ROBINSON ISD		63	133	47.4	19	30.2
		OPPORTUNITY LEARNI	<5t	1		<5t	
		ROBINSON H S	<65m	132	•	<20m	•
	WACO ISD		215	495		29	13.5
		A J MOORE ACAD	<35m	76		<5h	
		STARS HIGH SCHOOL				<5t	
		UNIVERSITY H S	<50m			<5h	
		WACO H S	132	257	51.4	24	18.2
	WEST ISD		67	122	54.9	16	23.9
		BROOKHAVEN YOUTH R	<5t				
		RBEC OPPORTUNITY L	<5t			<5t	•
		WEST H S	<70m	115	•	<20m	•
MCMULLEN	MCMULLEN COUNTY	MCMULLEN COUNTY S	8	13	61.5	<5h	•
MEDINA	D'HANIS ISD	D'HANIS SCHOOL	13	16	81.3	5	38.5
	DEVINE ISD	DEVINE HIGH SCHOOL	69	117	59.0	8	11.6
	HONDO ISD		71		64.0		31.0
		DETENTION CTR	<5t			<5t	•
		HONDO H S	<75m	109	•	<25m	•
	MEDINA VALLEY I		108	171		<25m	
		ALTER LEARNING CTR	<5t	2		<5t	
		MEDINA VALLEY H S	<110m	169	•	<25m	•
	NATALIA ISD	NATALIA H S	30	57	52.6	<5h	
MENARD	MENARD ISD	MENARD H S	19	35	54.3	6	31.6

*Note:* 't' indicates masking is applied due to small number of students tested.

 $<sup>\</sup>mbox{'h'}$  indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

 $<sup>\</sup>mbox{'m'}$  indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 3
College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

		Campus name		Number		above o	Percent
MIDLAND	EAGLE PROJECT (		<5t				
		EAGLE CHARTER SCHO		24 18			
		EAGLE PROJECT (MID	\31	10	•	\30	•
	GREENWOOD ISD	GREENWOOD H S	108	121	89.3	24	22.2
	MIDLAND ISD			1,129			
		LEE H S		558		<125m	
		MIDLAND EXCEL CAMP MIDLAND H S	<5t	52 495		<5t <100m	
		VIOLA M COLEMAN H		24		<5t	
	RICHARD MILBURN	RICHARD MILBURN AC	<5t	11		<5t	
MILAM	BUCKHOLTS ISD	BUCKHOLTS SCHOOL	<5t	14		<5t	
	CAMERON ISD	YOE H S					19.6
	MILANO ISD	MILANO H S				<5h	
	ROCKDALE ISD	ROCKDALE H S		81			12.3
						<5h	
MTLLO	THORNDALE ISD						
MILLS		GOLDTHWAITE HIGH S				11	
	MULLIN ISD	MULLIN SCHOOL		5	•	<5t	•
	PRIDDY ISD	PRIDDY SCHOOL	<5t	8	·	<5t	•
	STAR ISD	STAR SCHOOL	<5t	8	•	<5t	
MITCHELL	COLORADO ISD	COLORADO HIGH SCHO	34	58	58.6	5	14.7
	LORAINE ISD	LORAINE SCHOOL	5	15	33.3	<5h	·
	WESTBROOK ISD	WESTBROOK SCHOOL	5	11	45.5	<5h	
MONTAGUE	BOWIE ISD	BOWIE H S	41	78	52.6	9	22.0
	FORESTBURG ISD	FORESTBURG SCHOOL	<5t	7	•	<5t	•
	GOLD BURG ISD	GOLD BURG H S	<5t	11		<5t	
	NOCONA ISD	NOCONA H S	38	46	82.6	<5h	
	PRAIRIE VALLEY	PRAIRIE VALLEY H S	6	9	66.7	<5h	
	SAINT JO ISD	SAINT JO H S	6	23	26.1	<5h	•
MONTGOMERY	CONROE ISD	CANEY CREEK H S CONROE H S	1,411 <90m <240m	1,941 253 357		559 <20m <50m	39.6

Note: 't' indicates masking is applied due to small number of students tested.

 $<sup>\,^{&#</sup>x27;}\text{h'}$  indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

 $<sup>\</sup>mbox{'m'}$  indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 3
College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

County name		Campus name		Number		above o Number	Percent
MONTGOMERY	CONROE ISD	OAK RIDGE H S THE WOODLANDS H S W L HAUKE ALTER ED		959	86.5	100 398 <5t	48.0
	MAGNOLIA ISD	ALPHA MAGNOLIA H S	211 <5t <215m			.45	
	MONTGOMERY ISD	MONTGOMERY H S	145	179	81.0	38	26.2
	NEW CANEY ISD	NEW CANEY H S	92	247	37.2	17	18.5
	SPLENDORA ISD	SPLENDORA H S	46	113	40.7	6	13.0
	WILLIS ISD	WILLIS H S	120	212	56.6	31	25.8
MOORE	DUMAS ISD	C H A M P S DUMAS H S	93 <5t <95m	9		<15m <5t <15m	
	SUNRAY ISD	SUNRAY H S	29	42	69.0	5	17.2
MORRIS	DAINGERFIELD-LO	DAINGERFIELD H S	41	79	51.9	11	26.8
	PEWITT ISD	PEWITT H S	18	36	50.0	<5h	•
MOTLEY	MOTLEY COUNTY I	MOTLEY COUNTY SCHO	13	18	72.2	<5h	•
NACOGDOCHES	CENTRAL HEIGHTS	CENTRAL HEIGHTS H	10	26	38.5	<5h	•
	CHIRENO ISD	CHIRENO H S	9	16	56.3	<5h	
	CUSHING ISD	CUSHING SCHOOL	17	25	68.0	<5h	
	DOUGLASS ISD	DOUGLASS SCHOOL	13	13	100	<5h	
	GARRISON ISD	GARRISON H S	31	43	72.1	<5h	
	MARTINSVILLE IS	MARTINSVILLE SCHOO	18	19	94.7	<5h	
	NACOGDOCHES ISD	ACCELERATED LEARNI NACOGDOCHES H S	<215m <5t <215m	2		63 <5t <65m	
	WODEN ISD	WODEN H S	23	42	54.8	5	21.7
NAVARRO	BLOOMING GROVE	BLOOMING GROVE H S	27	37	73.0	7	25.9
	CORSICANA ISD	CORSICANA H IGH SC	113	225	50.2	23	20.4
	DAWSON ISD	DAWSON H S	10	21	47.6	<5h	
	FROST ISD	FROST H S	15	22	68.2	<5h	

Note: 't' indicates masking is applied due to small number of students tested.

 $<sup>\</sup>mbox{'h'}$  indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

 $<sup>\</sup>mbox{'m'}$  indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 3
College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

County name	District name	Campus name	Examinees	Number	aduates Tested (%)	above o	ees at or criterion Percent
NAVARRO	KERENS ISD	KERENS SCHOOL	22	37	59.5	<5h	
NAVAIIIO							•
	MILDRED ISD	MILDRED H S	17	26	65.4	<5h	•
	RICE ISD	RICE H S	10	23	43.5	<5h	
NEWTON	BURKEVILLE ISD	BURKEVILLE JR-SR H	13	16	81.3	<5h	
	DEWEYVILLE ISD	DEWEYVILLE H S	32	40	80.0	5	15.6
	NEWTON ISD	NEWTON H S	36	75	48.0	<5h	
NOLAN	BLACKWELL CONS	BLACKWELL SCHOOL	10	13	76.9	<5h	
	HIGHLAND ISD	HIGHLAND SCHOOL	13	13	100	<5h	
	ROSCOE ISD		<25m	26		<5h	
		HOBBS ALTER ED CO- ROSCOE H S	<5t <25m	2 24		<5t <5h	
	SWEETWATER ISD		84	135	62.2	28	33.3
	CHEETINGER 100	HOBBS ALTER ED CO-	<5t	7	02.12	<5t	
		SWEETWATER H S	<85m	128		<30m	
NUECES	AGUA DULCE ISD	AGUA DULCE H S	15	22	68.2	<5h	
	BANQUETE ISD	BANQUETE H S	44	48	91.7	<5h	
	BISHOP CONS ISD	BISHOP H S	63	75	84.0	9	14.3
	CALALLEN ISD	CALALLEN H S	236	289	81.7	63	26.7
	COASTAL BEND YO	COASTAL BEND YOUTH	<5t	2	-	<5t	
	CORPUS CHRISTI		1,189	1,829	65.0	266	22.4
		ALTERNATIVE H S CT	<5t	61		<5t	
		CARROLL H S	319	457	69.8	86	27.0
		KING H S	261	367	71.1	88	33.7
		MARY GRETT SCHOOL	<5t	1	•	<5t	•
		MILLER HIGH SCHOOL	<155m	251	•	<15m	•
		MOODY H S RAY H S	<200m 260	294 391	66.5	<10m 70	26.9
		TEENAGE MOTHERS SC	<5t	7		<5t	20.9
	DR M L GARZA-GO	DR M L GARZA-GONZA	<5t	62		<5t	
	FLOUR BLUFF ISD	FLOUR BLUFF H S	199	285	69.8	67	33.7
	PORT ARANSAS IS	PORT ARANSAS H S	31	34	91.2	10	32.3
	RICHARD MILBURN	RICHARD MILBURN AC	<5t	23		<5t	

Note: 't' indicates masking is applied due to small number of students tested.

 $<sup>\</sup>mbox{'h^{\prime}}$  indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

 $<sup>\</sup>mbox{'m'}$  indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 3
College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

				Gr	aduates		es at or criterion
		Campus name					
NUECES	ROBSTOWN ISD		147				
		ALTER LRN CTR ROBSTOWN H S	<5t <145m	14 176			•
		RODSTOWN II S	<b>\143</b> III	176	•	<b>\</b> 311	•
	TULOSO-MIDWAY I		143	211	67.8	34	23.8
		TULOSO-MIDWAY ACAD		14		<5t	•
		TULOSO-MIDWAY H S	<145m	197	•	<35m	•
	WEST OSO ISD	WEST OSO H S	27	45	60.0	<5h	
OCHILTREE	PERRYTON ISD		<80m	108		24	
		PERRYTON H S	<80m	99		<25m	
		TOP OF TEXAS ACCEL	<5t	9	•	<5t	•
OLDHAM	ADRIAN ISD	ADRIAN SCHOOL	<5t	4	-	<5t	
	BOYS RANCH ISD	BOYS RANCH H S	38	33	100	<5h	
	VEGA ISD	VEGA H S	19	21	90.5	<5h	
ORANGE	BRIDGE CITY ISD	BRIDGE CITY H S	123	166	74.1	22	17.9
	LITTLE CYPRESS-	LIT CYPR-MRCEVILLE	147	227	64.8	26	17.7
	ORANGEFIELD ISD	ORANGEFIELD H S	55	90	61.1	13	23.6
	VIDOR ISD		121	255	47.5	<20m	
		A I M S CTR H S	<5t	11		<5t	•
		VIDOR H S	<125m	244	•	<20m	•
	WEST ORANGE-COV		99	169	58.6	11	11.1
		WEST ORANGE-COVE E		3		<5t	•
		WEST ORANGE-STARK	<100m	166		<15m	•
PALO PINTO	GORDON ISD	GORDON SCHOOL	14	14	100	<5h	
	GRAFORD ISD	GRAFORD H S	11	18	61.1	<5h	
	MINERAL WELLS I		66	155	42.6	<20m	
		DREAM ACADEMY	<5t	5		<5t	
		MINERAL WELL H S	<70m	150		<20m	•
	SANTO ISD	SANTO HIGH SCHOOL	6	19	31.6	<5h	•
	STRAWN ISD	STRAWN SCHOOL	8	11	72.7	<5h	
PANOLA	BECKVILLE ISD	BECKVILLE JR-SR HI	16	26	61.5	<5h	•
	CARTHAGE ISD	CARTHAGE H S	108	168	64.3	39	36.1
	GARY ISD	GARY SCHOOL	5	8	62.5	<5h	
	PANOLA CHARTER	PANOLA CS	<5t	13	-	<5t	•

Note: 't' indicates masking is applied due to small number of students tested.

 $<sup>\</sup>mbox{'h'}$  indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

 $<sup>\</sup>mbox{'m'}$  indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 3
College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

County name	District name	Campus name		Number		above c Number	Percent
PARKER	ALEDO ISD		139			48	
		ALEDO HIGH SCHOOL					
		ALEDO LEARNING CEN				<5t	•
	BROCK ISD	BROCK H S	14	30	46.7	<5h	
	MILLSAP ISD	MILLSAP H S	16	34	47.1	<5h	
	PEASTER ISD	PEASTER H S	27	56	48.2	12	44.4
	POOLVILLE ISD	POOLVILLE H S	9	15	60.0	<5h	
	SPRINGTOWN ISD		74	143	51.7	18	24.3
		SPRINGTOWN H S	<75m			<20m	
		YALE	<5t	5	•	<5t	•
	WEATHERFORD ISD		194	373	52.0	66	34.0
		PASS LEARNING CENT		34		<5t	
		WEATHERFORD H S	<195m	339	•	<70m	•
PARMER	BOVINA ISD	BOVINA H S	15	36	41.7	<5h	
	FARWELL ISD	FARWELL H S	24	31	77.4	10	41.7
	FRIONA ISD	FRIONA H S	39	52	75.0	6	15.4
	LAZBUDDIE ISD	LAZBUDDIE SCHOOL	16	16	100	5	31.3
PECOS	BUENA VISTA ISD	BUENA VISTA SCHOOL	<5t	8	-	<5t	
	FT STOCKTON ISD		44	138	31.9	7	15.9
		BUTZ EDUCATION CTR				<5t	
		FORT STOCKTON H S	<45m	130	•	<10m	•
	IRAAN-SHEFFIELD	IRAAN H S	23	30	76.7	6	26.1
POLK	BIG SANDY ISD	BIG SANDY SCHOOL	18	23	78.3	<5h	
	CORRIGAN-CAMDEN	CORRIGAN-CAMDEN H	25	49	51.0	<5h	
	GOODRICH ISD	GOODRICH H S	<5t	13	-	<5t	•
	LEGGETT ISD	LEGGETT H S	<5t	11		<5t	•
	LIVINGSTON ISD	LIVINGSTON H S	109	212	51.4	24	22.0
POTTER	AMARILLO ISD		718	1,392	51.6	210	29.2
		AMARILLO AREA CTR	<5t	1		<5t	
		AMARILLO H S	340 <70m	468		119	35.0
		CAPROCK H S NORTH HEIGHTS ALTE	<70m <5t	235 17		11 <5t	
		PALO DURO H S	107	283	37.8	<10m	

*Note:* 't' indicates masking is applied due to small number of students tested.

 $<sup>\,^{&#</sup>x27;}\text{h'}$  indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

 $<sup>\</sup>mbox{'m'}$  indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 3
College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

County name	District name	Campus name		Number	duates Tested (%)	above o Number	
POTTER	AMARILLO ISD	TASCOSA H S TRAVIS MIDDLE	204 <5t	387 1	52.7	_:	34.8
	HIGHLAND PARK I	HIGHLAND PARK H S	22	48	45.8	5	22.7
	RICHARD MILBURN	RICHARD MILBURN AC	<5t	33		<5t	•
	RIVER ROAD ISD	RIVER ROAD HIGH SC	48	109	44.0	13	27.1
PRESIDIO	MARFA ISD	MARFA H S	15	29	51.7	<5h	
	PRESIDIO ISD	PRESIDIO H S	55	78	70.5	<5h	
RAINS	RAINS ISD	RAINS H S	50	84	59.5	9	18.0
RANDALL	CANYON ISD	CANYON H S RANDALL H S	308 <165m <150m	447 195 252	68.9	106 <65m <50m	34.4
REAGAN	REAGAN COUNTY I	REAGAN COUNTY H S	26	45	57.8	8	30.8
REAL	LEAKEY ISD	LEAKEY SCHOOL	14	18	77.8	<5h	
RED RIVER	AVERY ISD	AVERY H S	12	16	75.0	<5h	
	CLARKSVILLE ISD	CLARKSVILLE H S	32	65	49.2	6	18.8
	DETROIT ISD	DETROIT H S	17	26	65.4	<5h	•
	RIVERCREST ISD	RIVERCREST H S	22	27	81.5	5	22.7
REEVES	BALMORHEA ISD	BALMORHEA SCHOOL	<5t	19		<5t	•
	PECOS-BARSTOW-T	PECOS H S	62	167	37.1	<5h	
REFUGIO	AUSTWELL-TIVOLI	AUSTWELL-TIVOLI H	8	11	72.7	<5h	
	REFUGIO ISD	REFUGIO HIGH SCHOO	30	43	69.8	6	20.0
	WOODSBORO ISD	WOODSBORO H S	20	24	83.3	<5h	
ROBERTS	MIAMI ISD	MIAMI SCHOOL	12	16	75.0	<5h	
ROBERTSON	BREMOND ISD	BREMOND H S	32	32	100	5	15.6
	CALVERT ISD	CALVERT H S	6	9	66.7	<5h	
	FRANKLIN ISD	FRANKLIN H S	39	56	69.6	6	15.4
	HEARNE ISD	HEARNE H S	30	67	44.8	<5h	
ROCKWALL	ROCKWALL ISD	ROCKWALL ALTERNATI	<335m <5t	458 10		123 <5t	

Note: 't' indicates masking is applied due to small number of students tested.

 $<sup>\</sup>mbox{'h'}$  indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

 $<sup>\</sup>mbox{'m'}$  indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 3
College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

County name		Campus name		Number		above o Number	Percent
ROCKWALL	ROCKWALL ISD	ROCKWALL H S	<335m	448		<125m	
	ROYSE CITY ISD	ALTERNATIVE LEARNI ROYSE CITY H S	<60m <5t <60m	110 13 97		8 <5t <10m	
RUNNELS	BALLINGER ISD	BALLINGER H S C A P CO-OP	53 <55m <5t	74		<15m	
	MILES ISD	MILES H S	26	29	89.7	<5h	
	WINTERS ISD	C A P CO-OP WINTERS H S	23 <5t <25m	4	•	<5t	
RUSK	CARLISLE ISD	CARLISLE SCHOOL	17	24	70.8	<5h	
	HENDERSON ISD	HENDERSON H S	113	204	55.4	32	28.3
	LANEVILLE ISD	LANEVILLE SCHOOL	<5t	10		<5t	
	LEVERETTS CHAPE	LEVERETTS CHAPEL H	9	12	75.0	<5h	
	MOUNT ENTERPRIS	MT ENTERPRISE H S	15	19	78.9	5	33.3
	OVERTON ISD	OVERTON H S	9	21	42.9	<5h	
	TATUM ISD	TATUM H S	52	82	63.4	8	15.4
	WEST RUSK ISD	WEST RUSK H S	24	51	47.1	<5h	
SABINE	HEMPHILL ISD	HEMPHILL H S	32	53	60.4	7	21.9
	WEST SABINE ISD	WEST SABINE H S	26	26	100	<5h	
SAN AUGUSTIN	BROADDUS ISD	BROADDUS H S	13	15	86.7	<5h	
	SAN AUGUSTINE I	ACCELERATED LRN CT SAN AUGUSTINE H S	<35m <5t <35m	1	•	<5h <5t <5h	
SAN JACINTO	COLDSPRING-OAKH	COLDSPRING-OAKHURS	36	81	44.4	10	27.8
	SHEPHERD ISD	SHEPHERD H S	39	77	50.6	<5h	
SAN PATRICIO	ARANSAS PASS IS	ARANSAS PASS HIGH	45	108	41.7	11	24.4
	GREGORY-PORTLAN	GREGORY-PORTLAND H	217	252	86.1	55	25.3
	INGLESIDE ISD	INGLESIDE H S	60	112	53.6	19	31.7
	MATHIS ISD	MATHIS H S	79	114	69.3	10	12.7

Note: 't' indicates masking is applied due to small number of students tested.

 $<sup>\,^{&#</sup>x27;}\text{h'}$  indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

 $<sup>\</sup>mbox{'m'}$  indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 3
College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

		Campus name		Number		above c Number	Percent
	ODEM-EDROY ISD	ODEM H S	35	59	59.3		
	SINTON ISD	SINTON H S	57	103	55.3	<5h	
	TAFT ISD	TAFT H S	48	71	67.6	<5h	
SAN SABA	CHEROKEE ISD	CHEROKEE H S	8	10	80.0	<5h	
	RICHLAND SPRING	RICHLAND SPRINGS S	<5t	9		<5t	
	SAN SABA ISD	SAN SABA H S	32	47	68.1	5	15.6
SCHLEICHER	SCHLEICHER ISD	ELDORADO H S	40	47	85.1	6	15.0
SCURRY	HERMLEIGH ISD	HERMLEIGH SCHOOL	5	5	100	<5h	
	IRA ISD	IRA SCHOOL	9	11	81.8	<5h	
	SNYDER ISD		102	155	65.8	<40m	
		HOBBS ALTER ED CO- SNYDER H S	<5t <105m	2 153		<5t <40m	
SHACKELFORD	ALBANY ISD	ALBANY JR-SR H S	39	37	100	7	17.9
	MORAN ISD	MORAN SCHOOL	<5t	7		<5t	
SHELBY	CENTER ISD	CENTER H S	74	94	78.7	8	10.8
	JOAQUIN ISD	JOAQUIN H S	19	22	86.4	<5h	
	SHELBYVILLE ISD	SHELBYVILLE SCHOOL	21	31	67.7	<5h	
	TENAHA ISD	TENAHA H S	16	18	88.9	<5h	
	TIMPSON ISD	TIMPSON H S	24	38	63.2	<5h	
SHERMAN	STRATFORD ISD	STRATFORD H S	34	36	94.4	8	23.5
SMITH	ARP ISD	ARP H S	26	42	61.9	<5h	
	AZLEWAY CHARTER	AZLEWAY CHARTER SC	<5t	1		<5t	
	BULLARD ISD	DULL ADD ALTERNATIV	31	70	44.3	8	25.8
		BULLARD ALTERNATIV BULLARD H S	<5t <35m	12 58		<5t <10m	
	CHAPEL HILL ISD	CHAPEL HILL H S ST LOUIS UNIT STEPS	113 <115m <5t <5t	191 186 1 4	59.2	<25m <25m <5t <5t	
	EAGLE PROJECT (	EAGLE PROJECT (TYL	<5t	23		<5t	

*Note:* 't' indicates masking is applied due to small number of students tested.

 $<sup>\</sup>mbox{'h'}$  indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

 $<sup>\</sup>mbox{'m'}$  indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 3
College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

County name	District name	Campus name		Number	aduates Tested (%)	above o	ees at or criterion Percent
SMITH	LINDALE ISD	LINDALE H S	83	157	52.9	22	26.5
	TROUP ISD	TROUP H S	19	42	45.2	<5h	
	TYLER ISD		427	820		123	28.8
		ALVIN V ANDERSON E	<5t	34		<5t	
		JOHN TYLER H S	<130m	310		<25m	
		LEE H S	300	473		<105m	
		SPECIAL SERVICES A	<5t	3	•	<5t	•
	WHITEHOUSE ISD	WHITEHOUSE H S	130	255	51.0	43	33.1
	WINONA ISD	WINONA H S	31	49	63.3	5	16.1
SOMERVELL	BRAZOS RIVER CH	BRAZOS RIVER CHART	<5t	32		<5t	
	GLEN ROSE ISD		68	94	72.3	24	35.3
		ACE SCHOOL	<5t	7		<5t	
		GLEN ROSE H S	<70m	87	•	<25m	•
STARR	RIO GRANDE CITY	RIO GRANDE CITY H	234	358	65.4	6	2.6
	ROMA ISD	ROMA H S	166	280	59.3	5	3.0
	SAN ISIDRO ISD	SAN ISIDRO H S	10	15	66.7	<5h	
STEPHENS	BRECKENRIDGE IS		<60m	98	•	16	
		BRECKENRIDGE ALTER	<5t	1		<5t	
		BRECKENRIDGE H S	<60m	97		<20m	
STERLING	STERLING CITY I	STERLING CITY H S	15	18	83.3	<5h	
STONEWALL	ASPERMONT ISD	ASPERMONT HS	11	14	78.6	<5h	
SUTTON	SONORA ISD	SONORA H S	38	49	77.6	<5h	
SWISHER	HAPPY ISD	HAPPY H S	16	21	76.2	<5h	
	KRESS ISD	KRESS H S	19	30	63.3	<5h	
	TULIA ISD	TULIA H S	43	71	60.6	9	20.9
TARRANT	ARLINGTON ISD		1,739	2,687	64.7	625	35.9
		ARLINGTON H S	332	501	66.3	133	40.1
		BOWIE H S	341	545	62.6	80	23.5
		HOMEBOUND	<5t	2		<5t	
		LAMAR H S	364	497	73.2	144	39.6
		MARTIN H S	521	711	73.3	237	45.5
		SAM HOUSTON H S	<175m	372		<30m	
		VENTURE ALTER H S	<10m	59	•	<5h	•
	AZLE ISD	AZLE H S	176	334	52.7	57	32.4

*Note:* 't' indicates masking is applied due to small number of students tested.

 $<sup>\</sup>mbox{'h^{\prime}}$  indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

 $<sup>\</sup>mbox{'m'}$  indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 3
College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

County name	District name	Campus name		Number	duates Tested (%)	above c Number	
TARRANT	BIRDVILLE ISD	BIRDVILLE HIGH SCH	555 <115m	1,055 174	52.6	159 <40m	28.6
		HALTOM H S	<210m	448		<50m	•
		RICHLAND H S SHANNON LRN CTR	236 <5t	353 80	66.9	74 <5t	31.4
					•		٠
	CARROLL ISD	CARROLL SENIOR HIG	429	409	100	216	50.3
	CASTLEBERRY ISD		62	159	39.0	9	14.5
		CASTLEBERRY H S	<65m	145	•	<10m	•
		REACH H S	<5t	14	•	<5t	•
	CROWLEY ISD		429	549	78.1	117	27.3
		CROWLEY H S	<185m	257		<45m	
		NORTH CROWLEY H S	<250m	292	-	<80m	•
	EAGLE MT-SAGINA		<195m	381		54	
		BOSWELL H S	<195m	340		<55m	
		WATSON LEARNING CE	<5t	41	-	<5t	•
	EAGLE PROJECT (	EAGLE PROJECT (FT	<5t	17		<5t	
	ERATH EXCELS AC	ERATH EXCELS ACADE	<5t	34		<5t	•
	EVERMAN ISD	EVERMAN H S	66	110	60.0	<5h	
	FORT WORTH ACAD	FORT WORTH ACADEMY	<5t	1		<5t	
	FORT WORTH CAN		<5t	128		<5t	
		FORT WORTH CAN ACA	<5t	71		<5t	
		RIVER OAKS	<5t	57	•	<5t	•
	FORT WORTH ISD		1,565	2,915	53.7	347	22.2
		ARLINGTON HEIGHTS	228	291	78.4	72 -5h	31.6
		CARTER-RIVERSIDE H	41 <5t	118 17	34.7	<5h <5t	
		DETENT CTR	<5t	3	:	<5t	:
		DIAMOND HILL-JARVI	50	128	39.1	<5h	
		DUNBAR H S	103	177	58.2	31	30.1
		EASTERN HILLS H S	128	235	54.5	11	8.6
		ELDER MIDDLE JAMES MIDDLE	<5t <5t	1 1	•	<5t <5t	•
		NORTH SIDE H S	98	239	41.0	22	22.4
		O D WYATT H S	100	192	52.1	<5h	
		PASCHAL H S	196	324	60.5	93	47.4
		POLYTECHNIC H S	61	159	38.4	<5h	
		SOUTH HILLS HIGH S	114	234	48.7	10	8.8
		SOUTHWEST H S SUCCESS H S	196 <5t	261 54	75.1	56 <5t	28.6
		TRIMBLE TECHNICAL	100	253	39.5	<5h	•
		WESTERN HILLS H S	145	227	63.9	38	26.2
		WILLOUGHBY HOUSE	<5t	1		<5t	

*Note:* 't' indicates masking is applied due to small number of students tested.

 $<sup>\</sup>mbox{'h'}$  indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

 $<sup>\</sup>mbox{'m'}$  indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 3
College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

County name	District name	Campus name	Examinees		aduates Tested (%)	above o	ees at or criterion Percent
		•					
TARRANT	GRAPEVINE-COLLE		789	913	86.4	365	46.3
17 4 11 17 44 1	GIVAL EVINE COLLE	COLLEYVILLE HERITA	<435m	477		<200m	
		GRAPEVINE H S	<360m	414		<170m	
		THE BRIDGES ACAD A	<5t	22		<5t	•
	HURST-EULESS-BE		758	1,162	65.2	240	31.7
		BELL H S	<380m	554	•	131	
		KEYS CTR	<5t	50		<5t	•
		TRINITY H S	379	558	67.9	<110m	•
	KELLER ISD		728	972	74.9	228	31.3
		FOSSIL RIDGE H S	<330m	493		<85m	
		KELLER H S	397	473		147	37.0
		NEW DIRECTION LRN	<5t	6	•	<5t	•
	KENNEDALE ISD	KENNEDALE H S	83	121	68.6	17	20.5
	LAKE WORTH ISD		39	106	36.8	<10m	
		ANNE MANSFIELD SUL	<5t	5		<5t	
		LAKE WORTH H S	<40m	101		<1 Om	•
	MANSFIELD ISD		<440m	736		134	
		ALTER ED CTR	<5t	34		<5t	
		MANSFIELD HS	<440m	702		<135m	
	MASONIC HOME IS	MASONIC HOME HIGH	11	8	100	<5h	•
	THERESA B LEE A	THERESA B LEE ACAD	<5t	63	•	<5t	•
	TREETOPS SCHOOL	TREETOPS SCHOOL IN	5	20	25.0	<5h	
	WHITE SETTLEMEN		127	255	49.8	27	21.3
		BREWER H S	<130m	251		<30m	•
		PHOENIX LEARNING C	<5t	4	•	<5t	•
TAYLOR	ABILENE ISD		573	911	62.9	167	29.1
		ABILENE H S	<325m	468		<105m	
		COOPER H S	<255m	393		<70m	
		EXCEL ALTER H S	<5t	47		<5t	
		SP ED HOMEBOUND	<5t	2		<5t	•
		SP ED 0 J T	<5t	1	•	<5t	•
	EAGLE PROJECT (	EAGLE PROJECT (ABI	<5t	28		<5t	
	JIM NED CONS IS	JIM NED H S	52	62	83.9	9	17.3
	MERKEL ISD	MERKEL H S	66	101	65.3	18	27.3
	TRENT ISD	TRENT SCHOOL	8	13	61.5	<5h	
	WYLIE ISD	WYLIE H S	130	173	75.1	45	34.6

*Note:* 't' indicates masking is applied due to small number of students tested.

 $<sup>\</sup>mbox{'h'}$  indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

 $<sup>\</sup>mbox{'m'}$  indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 3
College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

County name	District name	Campus name		Number	aduates Tested (%)	above c	es at or riterion Percent
TERRELL	TERRELL COUNTY	SANDERSON H S	14	14	100	<5h	
TERRY	BROWNFIELD ISD	BROWNFIELD H S	81	150	54.0	15	18.5
	MEADOW ISD	MEADOW SCHOOL	10	15	66.7	<5h	
	WELLMAN-UNION C	WELLMAN-UNION SCHO	7	11	63.6	<5h	
THROCKMORTON	THROCKMORTON IS	THROCKMORTON H S	16	18	88.9	<5h	
	WOODSON ISD	WOODSON SCHOOL	7	15	46.7	<5h	
TITUS	CHAPEL HILL ISD	CHAPEL HILL H S	10	51	19.6	<5h	
	MOUNT PLEASANT		101	240	42.1	27	26.7
		ALTER ED MT PLEASANT H S	<5t <105m	8 232		<5t <30m	•
TOM GREEN	CHRISTOVAL ISD	CHRISTOVAL H S	18	27	66.7	<5h	
	GRAPE CREEK ISD	GRAPE CREEK H S	43	58	74.1	8	18.6
	SAN ANGELO ISD		511	834		152	29.7
		CENTRAL H S	399	596		<140m	•
		LAKE VIEW H S PAYS ALTER SCH	<115m <5t	238 0	•	<20m <5t	
	VERIBEST ISD		<5t	12		<5t	
	12.112201 102	VERIBEST H S	<5t	11		<5t	
		VERIBEST VOC TRAIN	<5t	1		<5t	
	WALL ISD		51	63	81.0	19	37.3
		FAIRVIEW ACCELERAT	<5t	4		<5t	
		WALL H S	<55m	59	•	<20m	
	WATER VALLEY IS	WATER VALLEY H S	12	16	75.0	<5h	
TRAVIS	AMERICAN YOUTH	AMERICAN YOUTH WOR	<5t	61		<5t	
	AUSTIN ISD		2,139	3,277	65.3	854	39.9
		AKINS HIGH SCHOOL	<5t	4		<5t	
		ANDERSON H S	255	325	78.5	148	58.0
		AUSTIN H S	354	420	84.3	187	52.8
		BOWIE H S	473	589		186	39.3
		CROCKETT H S	217	417	52.0	22 <10m	10.1
		GONZALO GARZA INDE	<20m	99	. 02.7	<10m 128	
		JOHNSON H S JOHNSTON H S	226 109	270 204	83.7 53.4	128 42	56.6 38.5
		LANIER H S	109	204		14	11.3
		MCCALLUM H S	221	306	72.2	107	48.4
		REAGAN H S	74	176	42.0	<5h	
		TRAVIS H S	<70m	219	•	11	

*Note:* 't' indicates masking is applied due to small number of students tested.

 $<sup>\</sup>mbox{'h'}$  indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

 $<sup>\</sup>mbox{'m'}$  indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 3
College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

					aduates	above c	
		Campus name					
TRAVIS	DEL VALLE ISD	DEL VALLE H S DEL VALLE OPPORTUN				<15m	
	EANES ISD			499		340	66.3
	FRUIT OF EXCELL	FRUIT OF EXCELLENC	<5t	1		<5t	
	LAGO VISTA ISD	LAGO VISTA H S	42	49	85.7	13	31.0
	LAKE TRAVIS ISD	LAKE TRAVIS H S	217	251	86.5	99	45.6
	MANOR ISD	EXCEL HIGH SCHOOL MANOR H S	58 <5t <60m	7	•	<15m <5t <15m	
	PFLUGERVILLE IS	JOHN B CONNALLY H	538 <250m <295m	762 369 393		180 <85m <105m	33.5
	STAR CHARTER SC	STAR CHARTER SCHOO	5	6	83.3	<5h	
	TEXAS SCH FOR T	TEXAS SCHOOL FOR T TX SCH FOR DEAF H	<5t <5t			<5t <5t	
	UNIVERSITY CHAR	A R C CONGRESS CORNERSTONE ACADEM MIRACLE FARM	<5t <5t			<5t <5t <5t <5t	
TRINITY	APPLE SPRINGS I	APPLE SPRINGS H S	9	15	60.0	<5h	
	CENTERVILLE ISD	CENTERVILLE H S	8	9	88.9	<5h	
	GROVETON ISD	GROVETON J H-H S	19	36	52.8	6	31.6
	TRINITY ISD	TRINITY H S	31	58	53.4	5	16.1
TYLER	CHESTER ISD	CHESTER H S	8	14	57.1	<5h	•
	COLMESNEIL ISD	COLMESNEIL H S	17	24	70.8	<5h	•
	SPURGER ISD	SPURGER H S	11	24	45.8	<5h	
	WARREN ISD	WARREN H S	26	48	54.2	5	19.2
	WOODVILLE ISD	WOODVILLE H S	53	74	71.6	6	11.3
UPSHUR	BIG SANDY ISD	BIG SANDY H S	15	31	48.4	7	46.7
	GILMER ISD	GILMER H S	90	141	63.8	22	24.4
	HARMONY ISD	HARMONY H S	40	54	74.1	11	27.5

Note: 't' indicates masking is applied due to small number of students tested.

 $<sup>\,^{&#</sup>x27;}\text{h'}$  indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

 $<sup>\</sup>mbox{'m'}$  indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 3
College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

County name	District name	Campus name	Examinees		aduates Tested (%)	above c	
UPSHUR	NEW DIANA ISD	NEW DIANA H S	40	52	76.9	13	32.5
	ORE CITY ISD	ORE CITY HIGH SCHO	30	45	66.7	7	23.3
	UNION GROVE ISD	UNION GROVE H S	15	34	44.1	<5h	
	UNION HILL ISD	UNION HILL H S	6	12	50.0	<5h	
UPTON	MCCAMEY ISD	MCCAMEY H S	14	34	41.2	<5h	
	RANKIN ISD	RANKIN H S	18	27	66.7	<5h	
UVALDE	KNIPPA ISD	KNIPPA SCHOOL	15	13	100	5	33.3
	SABINAL ISD	SABINAL H S	20	22	90.9	<5h	
	UTOPIA ISD	UTOPIA SCHOOL	6	11	54.5	<5h	
	UVALDE CONS ISD	EXCEL ACADEMY UVALDE H S	<120m <5t <120m	252 17 235		22 <5t <25m	
VAL VERDE	COMSTOCK ISD	COMSTOCK SCHOOL	7	6	100	<5h	
	EAGLE PROJECT (	EAGLE PROJECT (DEL	<5t	19		<5t	
	SAN FELIPE-DEL	DEL RIO H S	204	428	47.7	25	12.3
VAN ZANDT	CANTON ISD	CANTON H S	60	95	63.2	11	18.3
	EDGEWOOD ISD	EDGEWOOD H S	29	54	53.7	7	24.1
	FRUITVALE ISD	FRUITVALE H S	<5t	14		<5t	
	GRAND SALINE IS	GRAND SALINE H S	23	53	43.4	<5h	
	MARTINS MILL IS	MARTINS MILL H S	12	23	52.2	<5h	
	RANCH ACADEMY	RANCH ACADEMY	<5t	5		<5t	
	VAN ISD	VAN H S	47	105	44.8	15	31.9
	WILLS POINT ISD	WILLS POINT H S	65	136	47.8	14	21.5
VICTORIA	BLOOMINGTON ISD	BLOOMINGTON H S	10	47	21.3	<5h	
	VICTORIA ISD	JUVENILE DETENT CT MEMORIAL HIGH SCHO MEMORIAL HIGH SCHO PROFIT ACADEMIC CT	329 <5t 327 <5t <5t	791 3 737 3 48		<85m <5t <85m <5t <5t	

*Note:* 't' indicates masking is applied due to small number of students tested.

 $<sup>\</sup>mbox{'h'}$  indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

 $<sup>\</sup>mbox{'m'}$  indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 3
College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

County name	District name	Campus name	Examinees	Number Te	uates ested (%)	above c Number	es at or riterion Percent
WALKER	HUNTSVILLE ISD		188	313	60.1	<50m	
WILKEIT	HOWYOVILLE TOD	HUNTSVILLE H S	<190m	299		<50m	•
		WESTMORELAND LEARN	<5t	14	-	<5t	
	NEW WAVERLY ISD	NEW WAVERLY H S	24	40	60.0	<5h	
WALLER	HEMPSTEAD ISD	HEMPSTEAD H S	35	52	67.3	<5h	
	ROYAL ISD	ROYAL H S	31	59	52.5	<5h	
	WALLER ISD	WALLER H S	143	250	57.2	21	14.7
WARD	GRANDFALLS-ROYA	GRANDFALLS-ROYALTY	<5t	4		<5t	
	MONAHANS-WICKET		76	119	63.9	19	25.0
		MONAHANS ED CTR	<5t	13		<5t	
		MONAHANS H S	<80m	106	•	<20m	
WASHINGTON	BRENHAM ISD		157	297	52.9	39	24.8
		BRENHAM ALTERNATIV	<5t	6	•	<5t	
		BRENHAM HIGH SCHOO	<160m	291	•	<40m	
	BURTON ISD	BURTON H S	14	22	63.6	<5h	
WEBB	EAGLE PROJECT (	EAGLE PROJECT (LAR	<5t	17		<5t	
	GATEWAY ACADEM	GATEWAY ACADEMY (S	<5t	66		<5t	
	LAREDO ISD		387	948	40.8	23	5.9
		DR LEO CIGARROA H	<110m	243		<5h	
		F S LARA ACADEMY	<5t	3		<5t	
		MARTIN H S	<130m	330		<5h	
		NIXON H S	150	372	40.3	15	10.0
	UNITED ISD		695	1,217	57.1	69	9.9
		JOHN B ALEXANDER H	280	377	74.3	45	16.1
		UNITED H S UNITED SOUTH H S	<215m <205m	397 443		<20m <10m	
	WEBB CONS ISD		13	18	72.2	<5h	
	0010 100	BRUNI H S	<15m	17	12.2	<5h	
		WEBB CO J J A E P	<5t	1		<5t	
WHARTON	BOLING ISD	BOLING H S	32	47	68.1	6	18.8
	EAST BERNARD IS	EAST BERNARD H S	36	46	78.3	10	27.8
	EL CAMPO ISD	EL CAMPO H S	133	220	60.5	25	18.8
	LOUISE ISD	LOUISE H S	16	31	51.6	<5h	
	WHARTON ISD	WHARTON H S	84	147	57.1	10	11.9

*Note:* 't' indicates masking is applied due to small number of students tested.

 $<sup>\,^{&#</sup>x27;}\text{h'}$  indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

 $<sup>\</sup>mbox{'m'}$  indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 3
College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

-		Campus name		Number		above o Number	Percent
WHEELER	ALLISON ISD	ALLISON SCHOOL	<5t	5	•	<5t	•
	FORT ELLIOTT CO	FORT ELLIOTT SCHOO	7	7	100	<5h	
	SHAMROCK ISD	SHAMROCK H S	15	26	57.7	<5h	•
	WHEELER ISD	WHEELER SCHOOL	13	15	86.7	<5h	
WICHITA	BRIGHT IDEAS CH	BRIGHT IDEAS CHART	<5t	2		<5t	
	BURKBURNETT ISD		143		68.4		
		ALTER ED CTR BURKBURNETT H S	<5t <145m	8 201		<5t <40m	
	ELECTRA ISD	ELECTRA H S	21	48	43.8	6	28.6
	IOWA PARK CONS	IOWA PARK H S	71	128	55.5	25	35.2
	WICHITA FALLS I		556	934	59.5	170	30.6
		HARRELL ACCELERATE	<5t	36	i	<5t	
		HIRSCHI HS	<105m	175			
		RIDER H S	261	362	72.1		29.9
		WICHITA FALLS H S	192	361	53.2	<65m	•
WILBARGER	HARROLD ISD	HARROLD SCHOOL	<5t	8		<5t	
	NORTHSIDE ISD	NORTHSIDE SCHOOL	<5t	7	•	<5t	
	VERNON ISD		83		62.9	21	25.3
		NORTH TEXAS STATE	<5t	2	•	<5t	
		VERNON H S	<85m	130	•	<25m	•
WILLACY	LYFORD CISD	LYFORD H S	68	106	64.2	5	7.4
	RAYMONDVILLE IS	RAYMONDVILLE H S	61	147	41.5	6	9.8
	SAN PERLITA ISD	SAN PERLITA H S	12	7	100	<5h	•
WILLIAMSON	FLORENCE ISD	FLORENCE H S	26	40	65.0	<5h	•
	GEORGETOWN ISD		393	477	82.4	177	45.0
		CHIP RICHARTE H S	<5t	15	•	<5t	
		GEORGETOWN H S	<395m	462	•	<180m	•
	GRANGER ISD	GRANGER SCHOOL	23	31	74.2	<5h	•
	HUTTO ISD	HUTTO H S	46	61	75.4	15	32.6
	JARRELL ISD	JARRELL H S	28	45	62.2	6	21.4
	LEANDER ISD		486	671	72.4	186	38.3
		CEDAR PARK H S	<280m	354		<120m	•
		LEANDER H S	<210m	317	•	<70m	•

*Note:* 't' indicates masking is applied due to small number of students tested.

 $<sup>\</sup>mbox{'h'}$  indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

 $<sup>\</sup>mbox{'m'}$  indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 3
College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

County name	District name	Campus name		Number	aduates Tested (%)	above o Number	Percent
WILLIAMSON	LIBERTY HILL IS	LIBERTY HILL H S	43	73	58.9	15	34.9
	ROUND ROCK ISD	MCNEIL H S ROUND ROCK H S STONY POINT HIGH S SUCCESS PROGAM WESTWOOD H S	1,464 388 <340m <265m <5t 477	38	80.8	158	41.8 40.7
	TAYLOR ISD	TAYLOR H S	78	151		16	20.5
	THRALL ISD	THRALL H S	11	19	57.9	<5h	
WILSON	FLORESVILLE ISD	FLORESVILLE H S	101	187	54.0	27	26.7
	LA VERNIA ISD	LA VERNIA HIGH SCH	95	133	71.4	24	25.3
	POTH ISD	POTH H S	47	53	88.7	9	19.1
	STOCKDALE ISD	STOCKDALE H S	30	48	62.5	5	16.7
WINKLER	KERMIT ISD	KERMIT H S	43	91	47.3	7	16.3
	WINK-LOVING ISD	WINK H S	11	21	52.4	<5h	
WISE	ALVORD ISD	ALVORD H S	28	43	65.1	5	17.9
	BOYD ISD	BOYD H S	38	51	74.5	13	34.2
	BRIDGEPORT ISD	BRIDGEPORT ACE HIG BRIDGEPORT H S	61 <5t <65m			19 <5t <20m	31.1
	CHICO ISD	CHICO HIGH SCHOOL	29	29	100	<5h	•
	DECATUR ISD	CATES HIGH SCHOOL DECATUR H S	81 <5t <80m	169 12 157		<30m <5t <30m	
	PARADISE ISD	PARADISE H S	31	41	75.6	9	29.0
	SLIDELL ISD	SLIDELL SCHOOL	7	25	28.0	<5h	
WOOD	ALBA-GOLDEN ISD	ALBA-GOLDEN H S ALTER SCHOOL	<25m <25m <5t	47 46 1		<5h <5h <5t	
	HAWKINS ISD	HAWKINS H S	12	33	36.4	<5h	
	MINEOLA ISD	MINEOLA H S	45	86	52.3	9	20.0
	QUITMAN ISD		58	86	67.4	7	12.1

*Note:* 't' indicates masking is applied due to small number of students tested.

 $<sup>\,^{&#</sup>x27;}\text{h'}$  indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

 $<sup>\</sup>mbox{'m'}$  indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

Table 3 College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards, by County, District, and Campus, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

County name	District name	Campus name	Examinees		aduates Tested (%)	above c	
WOOD	QUITMAN ISD	QUITMAN H S	<60m	84		<10m	
		WOOD CO ALTER	<5t	2		<5t	
	WINNSBORO ISD	WINNSBORO H S	57	79	72.2	7	12.3
	YANTIS ISD	YANTIS SCHOOL	8	18	44.4	<5h	
YOAKUM	DENVER CITY ISD	DENVER CITY H S	70	116	60.3	7	10.0
	PLAINS ISD	PLAINS H S	28	31	90.3	<5h	
YOUNG	GRAHAM ISD		73	141	51.8	<30m	
		GRAHAM H S	<75m	132		<30m	
		GRAHAM LEARNING CT	<5t	9		<5t	•
	NEWCASTLE ISD	NEWCASTLE H S	5	9	55.6	<5h	
	OLNEY ISD	OLNEY H S	36	45	80.0	6	16.7
ZAPATA	ZAPATA COUNTY I	ZAPATA H S	72	164	43.9	5	6.9
ZAVALA	CRYSTAL CITY IS	CRYSTAL CITY H S	52	85	61.2	<5h	
	LA PRYOR ISD	LA PRYOR H S	16	25	64.0	<5h	

*Note:* 't' indicates masking is applied due to small number of students tested.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;h' indicates masking is applied due to small number of examinees scoring at or above criterion.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;m' indicates masking is applied due to potential imputation from other district or campus results.

## College Admissions Examination Results by District Characteristic

Table 4. College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) Standards, by District Characteristic, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

Texas Education Agency District Analyze Category Descriptions, 2001-02

Table 4
College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS)
Standards, By District Characteristic, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

				Gr	Examinees		
	er of		in score	0.4.7	407	SAT or ACT	at or above
alsti	ricts Category 	IOTAL SAI	Composite ACT	SAT	ACT	or both	criterion (%)
=							
ENROL	LLMENT GROUPINGS						
13	50,000 AND OVER	967	19.6	59.4	21.1	63.5	26.4
23	25,000 TO 49,999	1008	21.1	60.1	27.9	68.0	32.5
47	10,000 TO 24,999	986	19.1	47.1	27.9	58.9	24.5
71	5,000 TO 9,999	1006	20.3	52.4	31.2	63.8	29.5
82	3,000 TO 4,999	976	19.9	45.7	31.3	58.8	23.0
128	1,600 TO 2,999	969	20.0	39.1	34.2	56.9	22.6
121	1,000 TO 1,599	970	19.6	32.6	45.7	62.4	20.9
217	500 TO 999	961	19.8	27.3	45.8	59.4	19.1
368	UNDER 500	951	19.7	16.4	36.1	44.2	18.5
DIST	RICT TYPE						
9	MAJOR URBAN	926	19.1	58.7	18.3	62.2	21.4
75	MAJOR SUBURBAN	1024	21.0	61.4	28.1	68.5	34.2
38	OTHER CENTRAL CITY	986	19.3	44.9	29.9	57.5	24.6
140	OTHER CC SUBURBAN	973	19.7	44.8	31.6	60.0	22.7
68	INDEPENDENT TOWN	985	19.7	40.1	32.7	56.9	23.3
41	NON-METRO FAST GROWING	987	20.1	44.1	35.8	59.7	24.4
244	NON-METRO STABLE	957	19.6	34.4	41.4	60.1	19.5
358	RURAL	957	19.7	26.3	54.7	67.0	19.0
97	CHARTERS	943	18.1	3.2	1.6	4.5	19.8
WEAL <sup>-</sup>	TH (MEDIAN=\$174,206)						
98	UNDER \$86,333	862	17.3	30.0	35.2	52.9	8.3
102	\$86,333 TO \$109,054	879	19.4	50.5	26.8	65.6	13.1
99	\$109,055 TO \$127,709	911	18.8	39.6	37.4	58.9	15.3
101	\$127,710 TO \$148,343	969	19.8	40.0	29.1	55.0	21.7
102	\$148,344 TO \$174,205	952	19.3	43.5	29.7	57.0	19.9
97	\$174,206 TO \$206,249	996	20.3	49.2	28.3	59.8	27.9
95	\$206,250 TO \$243,498	1020	20.9	58.0	31.3	68.0	32.8
97	\$243,499 TO \$317,822	1000	20.3	59.1	26.3	67.2	29.7
93	\$317,823 TO \$530,898	1025	21.2	59.7	27.9	67.5	35.5
83	OVER \$530,898	1053	21.3	54.7	35.5	69.6	37.6
103	NON-TAXING ENTITIES	1024	20.8	12.8	8.2	15.7	33.9
WEAL <sup>-</sup>	TH (ST AVG=\$234,607)						
674	UNDER \$234,607	959	19.5	45.1	30.9	59.7	21.7
293	OVER \$234,607	1017	20.8	59.0	28.1	67.7	33.1
103	NON-TAXING ENTITIES	1024	20.8	12.8	8.2	15.7	33.9
LOCAL	L ADOPTED TAX RT (ST AVG=1.4857	)					
221	UNDER \$1.4021	958	19.8	30.3	46.3	61.9	19.8
246	\$1.4021 TO UNDER \$1.5001	957	19.2	37.9	34.7	57.3	19.7
247	\$1.5001 TO UNDER \$1.5801	941	19.3	47.5	25.3	58.9	20.5
253	\$1.5801 AND OVER	1013	20.6	57.7	29.6	66.7	31.8
103	NON-TAXING ENTITIES	1024	20.8	12.8	8.2	15.7	33.9
1,08	1 STATE TOTAL	986	20.0	49.8	29.4	61.9	26.6

Table 4
College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS)
Standards, By District Characteristic, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

Numb	er of		Mea	ın score	Gra		sted (%) SAT or ACT	
dist	ricts	Category		Composite ACT	SAT	ACT	or both	criterion (%)
WEAL <sup>-</sup>	TH BY EQ	UAL PUPILS PER GROUP						
36	UNDER	\$64,580	843	16.8	28.7	39.6	55.3	5.9
84		0 TO < \$90,691	900	18.5	34.1	30.3	52.1	13.5
87	\$90,69	1 TO < \$110,307	874	18.9	49.1	29.7	66.8	12.0
89	\$110,3	07 TO < \$127,470	908	19.0	41.1	35.0	58.1	15.7
74	\$127,4	70 TO < \$141,602	964	20.0	40.4	27.6	54.7	21.3
41	\$141,6	02 TO < \$151,026	948	19.1	41.1	30.3	55.4	19.3
64	\$151,0	26 TO < \$166,308	957	19.2	45.1	32.8	60.4	20.2
51	\$166,3	08 TO < \$181,107	986	20.2	44.5	26.7	54.7	25.6
46		07 TO < \$195,667	975	20.0	47.1	24.9	56.7	25.5
32		67 TO < \$208,143	1029	20.5	59.7	32.5	71.3	33.5
39		43 TO < \$221,824	1000	20.6	57.1	29.9	65.4	28.8
38		24 TO < \$236,891	1031	21.5	54.5	32.6	65.9	35.0
52		91 TO < \$263,745	1013	20.5	57.2	30.7	67.9	29.5
31		45 TO < \$298,244	1019	20.4	58.4	26.1	67.2	31.3
21		44 TO < \$313,175	1022	21.1	63.3	26.7	70.7	34.2
1		75 TO < \$313,872	939	18.5	58.2	19.8	62.6	23.3
23		72 TO < \$343,974	939	19.8	46.7	26.4	57.4	22.3
57		74 TO < \$467,476	1045	21.7	64.9	31.5	72.8	37.8
22		76 TO < \$540,279	1068	22.1	68.5	25.9	73.5	43.9
79		79 AND OVER	1059	21.2	51.7	36.9	68.3	37.9
03	NON-TA	XING ENTITIES	1024	20.8	12.8	8.2	15.7	33.9
.OCA	L M&O TA	X RATE (ST AVG=1.3912)						
231	UNDER	\$1.3301	959	19.5	41.0	35.1	60.1	21.0
252	\$1.330	1 TO \$1.4200	998	19.9	44.6	32.8	60.5	25.7
45	\$1.420	1 TO \$1.4950	978	19.9	51.5	27.4	61.7	26.2
39		1 AND OVER	994	20.3	55.8	29.2	66.2	28.9
03	NON-TA	XING ENTITIES	1024	20.8	12.8	8.2	15.7	33.9
lIGH	EST PROP	ERTY VALUE CATEGORY						
373	RESIDE	NTIAL	997	20.2	54.4	28.8	64.7	28.5
271	LAND		955	19.7	30.5	45.2	61.8	19.2
137	OIL AN	D GAS	948	19.1	27.1	47.3	61.7	16.8
186	BUSINE	SS	948	19.4	45.3	27.4	56.9	21.8
103	NON-TA	XING ENTITIES	1024	20.8	12.8	8.2	15.7	33.9
SMAL	L/SPARSE	ADJSTMNT (ST AVG=25.5%	6)					
246	NO SMA	LL/SPARSE ADJUSTMENT	990	20.1	54.5	25.5	62.2	28.5
222	UNDER		972	19.8	42.0	33.8	58.6	22.3
21		O UNDER 27.1%	966	19.6	31.7	45.6	62.0	19.4
211		TO UNDER 36.0%	958	19.7	28.8	51.6	65.6	19.5
70	36.0%	AND OVER	955	19.8	22.8	59.3	69.5	18.9
EΙ	LEVEL (M	EDIAN=1.06)						
138	UNDER	1.04	966	19.9	8.3	15.5	20.4	21.4
232		O UNDER 1.06	986	20.1	28.6	48.7	62.3	21.5
46		O UNDER 1.08	983	20.1	38.3	40.4	61.5	24.1
45		0 1.11	1005	20.3	49.1	32.7	62.8	28.3
09		ND OVER	981	19.8	54.2	26.4	63.0	26.7
		- TOTAL	25-		40.0		<b>a.</b> -	•
1,08	ı SIAT	E TOTAL	986	20.0	49.8	29.4	61.9	26.6

Table 4
College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS)
Standards, By District Characteristic, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

Numbe	er of	Mea	Gra	Graduates tested (%) SAT or ACT			
dist	ricts Category	Total SAT	Composite ACT	SAT	ACT	or both	criterion (%)
OPER/	ATING COST/PUPIL (ST AVG=\$6,16	7)					
184	UNDER \$5,685	970	20.1	47.7	25.4	58.2	24.3
235	\$5,685 TO \$6,198	996	20.1	52.4	28.3	62.4	27.9
230	\$6,199 TO \$6,775	988	19.9	50.2	31.3	63.2	27.5
220	\$6,776 TO \$7,768	963	19.5	47.6	31.3	62.4	23.7
201	OVER \$7,768	979	19.8	28.9	47.5	61.7	21.4
ESC F	REGION						
44	I EDINBURG	904	17.2	30.3	38.5	54.8	10.0
38	II CORPUS CHRISTI	969	18.8	41.1	43.7	65.6	18.8
33	III VICTORIA	968	19.7	40.8	30.9	55.6	21.1
69	IV HOUSTON	1000	20.3	58.0	23.3	63.9	30.6
33	V BEAUMONT	919	19.0	55.9	16.0	61.3	16.5
55	VI HUNTSVILLE	1007	20.7	54.2	23.6	60.6	30.1
98	VII KILGORE	985	19.7	34.8	36.6	57.0	22.6
42	VIII MT PLEASANT	1017	20.1	21.3	51.4	61.3	24.0
39	IX WICHITA FALLS	1003	20.6	38.5	40.5	61.3	26.6
91	X RICHARDSON	1008	20.8	52.3	28.3	61.0	32.3
79	XI FORT WORTH	1012	20.8	53.6	26.6	62.4	31.0
76	XII WACO	974	20.1	41.7	36.3	58.2	23.5
59	XIII AUSTIN	1034	21.0	62.4	26.2	68.0	36.0
44	XIV ABILENE	1004	20.6	36.1	49.0	65.5	26.0
43	XV SAN ANGELO	989	20.1	34.6	45.2	63.1	22.5
56	XVI AMARILLO	1033	20.5	22.4	50.1	60.2	26.0
62	XVII LUBBOCK	1012	19.9	29.5	41.3	55.5	25.2
34	XVIII MIDLAND	974	20.3	35.0	24.2	48.1	24.0
15 60	XIX EL PASO XX SAN ANTONIO	865 945	18.7 19.4	63.1 57.7	14.7 28.8	67.5 64.7	10.9 22.3
	: PCT PASSING ALL TESTS TAKEN	0.10		0,1,	2010	0117	2210
17010	. FOT TAGGING ALL TEOTO TAKEN						
1	NO STUDENTS TESTED	0	15.0	0.0	0.5	0.5	0.0
174	UNDER 77.4%	871	17.6	37.2	22.1	46.7	11.3
228	77.4% TO UNDER 84.9%	943	18.6	44.5	26.7	57.0	19.6
233	84.9% TO UNDER 88.6%	976	20.1	48.6	27.8	60.0	25.2
224	88.6% TO UNDER 92.3%	1026	20.7	58.8	32.5	70.6	32.1
210	92.3% AND OVER	1064	21.8	58.0	39.7	73.9	39.2
SAT/A	ACT: PCT TAKING						
373	0% TO UNDER 55%	931	18.9	35.4	21.7	45.7	17.9
328	55% TO UNDER 70%	966	19.5	48.1	28.8	60.2	23.2
344	70% AND OVER	1028	20.9	64.1	36.8	77.7	33.7
25	NO GRADUATES	999	18.8	13.6	17.8	25.9	24.3
SAT/A	ACT: PCT AT OR ABOVE CRITERION						
100	NONE MET CRITERION	874	18.7	18.0	25.7	37.6	12.2
127	UNDER 10%	841	17.1	41.2	32.8	59.9	7.1
263	10% TO UNDER 20%	919	19.0	40.7	27.3	54.8	16.0
386	20% TO UNDER 35%	987	20.2	48.5	28.8	60.1	26.2
116	35% AND OVER	1062	21.8	67.1	31.8	75.2	41.2
78	NO TEST TAKERS	922	17.8	0.6	1.0	1.5	10.8
1,08	1 STATE TOTAL	986	20.0	49.8	29.4	61.9	26.6

Table 4
College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS)
Standards, By District Characteristic, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

Numbe	er of	Mea	an score	Gra	aduates test	ted (%) SAT or ACT	
	ricts Category		Composite ACT	SAT	ACT	or both	criterion (%)
DENS:	ITY (ST AVG=15.11 PUPILS/SQ MI	)					
439	FEWER THAN 5	956	19.7	28.3	49.2	63.5	19.2
287	5 TO FEWER THAN 20	970	19.7	38.4	37.0	59.0	21.1
132	20 TO FEWER THAN 100	988	19.7	45.7	28.6	57.4	25.2
109	100 AND OVER	989	20.2	57.7	25.9	65.1	28.9
103	NON-TAXING ENTITIES	1024	20.8	12.8	8.2	15.7	33.9
PUPII	L CHG:00/01-01/02 (ST AVG=2.15	%)					
490	DECLINING PUPILS	982	19.9	39.6	35.3	59.4	24.2
292	0% TO UNDER 3%	957	19.6	50.2	25.1	59.4	23.4
146	3% TO UNDER 6%	1014	20.2	56.9	30.9	67.7	30.7
62	6% TO UNDER 10%	1023	20.6	55.7	34.6	68.0	31.2
80	10% AND OVER	1018	20.7	27.6	18.0	35.1	30.1
PCT A	AFRICAN AM PUPILS (ST AVG=14.4	%)					
615	UNDER 5%	963	19.5	44.5	33.3	61.6	21.6
136	5% TO UNDER 10%	1022	21.0	55.3	32.3	66.4	33.0
143	10% TO UNDER 20%	1014	20.4	52.4	25.7	61.0	30.8
85	20% TO UNDER 30%	1005	20.1	54.1	27.8	64.1	30.3
51	30% TO UNDER 50%	920	18.6	49.2	22.2	56.8	18.8
40	50% AND OVER	856	18.0	35.4	20.6	45.6	12.2
PCT I	HISPANIC PUPILS (ST AVG=41.7%)						
147	UNDER 5%	1024	20.9	46.9	37.1	67.4	30.9
158	5% TO UNDER 10%	1005	20.6	48.7	33.3	64.5	28.5
224	10% TO UNDER 20%	1035	21.2	57.5	33.0	68.9	34.5
124	20% TO UNDER 30%	1015	20.5	54.9	29.7	64.5	31.2
190	30% TO UNDER 50%	994	20.1	48.1	26.5	58.8	27.7
227	50% AND OVER	912	18.3	43.9	26.8	56.4	16.0
PCT I	MINORITY PUPILS (ST AVG=59.1%)						
33	UNDER 5%	1054	21.9	58.2	32.8	70.6	38.7
82	5% TO UNDER 10%	1004	21.0	43.5	37.8	63.4	27.6
203	10% TO UNDER 20%	1023	21.1	52.1	34.0	66.6	31.1
141	20% TO UNDER 30%	1026	20.9	52.3	36.6	68.1	31.2
234	30% TO UNDER 50%	1034	20.9	53.5	32.7	66.0	33.6
377	50% AND OVER	950	19.0	47.7	25.9	58.3	21.6
PCT I	ECON DISADV (ST AVG=50.49%)						
86	UNDER 20%	1072	22.2	66.8	34.8	76.3	42.3
109	20% TO UNDER 30%	1025	21.1	61.6	28.9	69.6	33.4
181	30% TO UNDER 40%	1013	20.6	52.9	29.9	64.0	30.2
410	40% TO UNDER 60%	987	20.0	43.9	30.7	58.3	25.6
201	60% TO UNDER 80%	901	18.5	46.3	22.1	56.1	15.7
83	80% AND OVER	838	16.8	31.9	35.5	53.0	5.7
1,081	STATE TOTAL	986	20.0	49.8	29.4	61.9	26.6

Table 4
College Admissions Examination Participation and Performance Based on Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS)
Standards, By District Characteristic, Texas Public Schools, Class of 2002

				Gra			Examinees
Numbe			n score				at or above
	ricts Category						criterion (%)
AVG.	TEACHER EXPER (ST AVG=11.9 YRS)						
201	UNDER 10.0 YEARS	967	19.2	39.2	28.5	52.2	22.0
290	10.0 TO UNDER 12.0 YEARS	1001	20.3	54.7	27.7	64.5	29.5
290	12.0 TO UNDER 13.7 YEARS	977	19.9	49.3	28.6	61.1	25.4
289	13.7 YEARS AND OVER	968	19.8	44.9	36.1	63.0	23.3
AVG.	TEACHER SALARY (ST AVG=\$39,232)						
205	UNDER \$34,092	949	19.4	14.3	26.4	34.2	17.4
284	\$34,092 TO UNDER \$35,936	965	19.7	32.8	38.8	56.7	20.9
289	\$35,936 TO UNDER \$37,732	967	19.7	41.5	33.0	59.0	21.4
292	\$37,732 AND OVER	991	20.1	55.5	27.4	64.4	28.5
PCT N	MINORITY TCHRS (ST AVG=27.5%)						
480	UNDER 5%	1015	20.9	46.4	37.5	64.6	29.2
209	5% TO UNDER 10%	1039	21.0	53.3	33.8	67.7	33.9
153	10% TO UNDER 20%	1017	20.6	54.3	28.3	63.4	31.6
53	20% TO UNDER 30%	959	19.4	39.9	25.9	51.1	20.4
52	30% TO UNDER 50%	973	19.5	55.8	22.9	62.0	26.7
123	50% AND OVER	877	17.4	43.8	25.1	55.8	11.6
% TCH	HRS W ADV DEGREE (ST AVG=23.3%)						
232	UNDER 11.0%	949	19.0	23.8	37.8	51.5	17.0
277	11.0% TO UNDER 16.8%	944	18.8	34.1	34.8	54.8	17.2
289	16.8% TO UNDER 23.4%	968	20.1	50.1	27.0	60.5	23.5
272	23.4% AND OVER	1004	20.4	56.6	28.5	65.7	31.2

1.081	STATE TOTAL	986	20.0	49.8	29.4	61.9	26.6

# Texas Education Agency District Analyze Category Descriptions, 2001-02

#### **Enrollment**

Districts are grouped by size into nine subcategories based on their number of students in membership. This is the total number of students in membership in the district on a day in late October of each year. It does not include students who are served by the district but are not in membership in the serving district.

# District Type

Districts are classified on a scale ranging from major urban to rural. The charter school districts are in a separate subcategory. Factors such as size, growth rates, student economic status, and proximity to urban areas are used to determine the appropriate group. The groups are:

#### **Major Urban**

The largest school districts in the state that serve the six metropolitan areas of Houston, Dallas, San Antonio, Fort Worth, Austin, and El Paso. A district is designated major urban if it is the largest in counties with populations of 650,000 or over, and there are greater than 35% low-income students in the school district. Or, if not the largest district in the county, the number of students in membership is 75% of the largest district and there are more than 35% low-income students in the district.

#### **Major Suburban**

Other school districts in and around the major urban areas. A district is major suburban if it is contiguous to a major urban district and the number of students in membership is at least 3% of the major urban district or an enrollment of at least 4,500. If a district is not contiguous to a major urban area, then it must be within the same county and have an enrollment of 15% of the major urban district or an enrollment of at least 4,500 in order to be classified as major suburban.

#### **Other Central City**

The major school districts in other large Texas cities. If the district is not contiguous to one of the major urban districts but the county population is between 100,000 and 650,000 and it is the largest district in the county or its population is 75% of the largest district then the district is designated as other central city.

#### **Other Central City Suburban**

Other school districts in and around the other large, but not major, Texas cities. If the district is in a county between 100,000 and 650,000 population and the number of students in membership is at least 15% of the largest district in the county then it is designated central city suburban. If a district is

contiguous to a central city district, its population is greater than 3% of that district's, and the number of students in membership is greater than the corresponding median figure for the state, it is also central city suburban.

#### **Independent Town**

If the district is the largest in a county having a population of 25,000 to 100,000, or the number of students in membership is greater than 75% of the largest district, the district is considered an independent town.

#### Non-Metro: Fast Growing

The school districts that fail to be in any of the above subcategories and that exhibit a five-year growth rate of at least 20 percent. These districts must have at least 300 students in membership.

#### Non-Metro: Stable

The school districts that fail to be in any of the above subcategories, yet the number of students in membership exceed the state median of 706.5.

#### Rural

The school districts that fail all of the above tests for placement into a subcategory. These districts either have a growth rate less than 20 percent and the number of students in membership is between 300 and the state median of 706.5, or the number of students in membership is less than 300.

#### **Charter Schools**

The 180 open-enrollment schools granted a charter by the State Board of Education for operation during 2001-2002. Open-enrollment charter schools operate in a facility of a commercial or nonprofit entity or a school district.

#### Property Wealth

Wealth is defined as total taxable property value divided by the total number of students and is used as an indicator of a district's ability to raise local funds on a per pupil basis. The property value used is total taxable value for the last completed calendar year, i.e. 2001, as determined by the Comptroller's Property Tax Division (CPTD). This taxable value is the traditional measure of value, not the alternative value which may be used in state funding formulas. The total number of students is for the current school year, i.e. 2001-2002. The first wealth grouping classifies districts into ten subcategories with approximately equal numbers of districts in each, called deciles. The second grouping simply shows districts above and below state average wealth. The third wealth grouping classifies districts into 20 subcategories with approximately equal numbers of students in each. The six special statutory and 180 charter school districts form a separate group in all three categories because they have no taxable property wealth.

#### Locally Adopted Tax Rates

Districts are grouped into four tax effort subcategories, or quartiles, with approximately equal numbers of districts in each. This category shows the total adopted tax rate, as reported by the CPTD office. The six special statutory and 180 charter school districts are in a separate subcategory because they do not levy property taxes.

#### Local Maintenance and Operations Tax Rates

Districts are grouped into four tax effort subcategories, or quartiles, with approximately equal numbers of districts in each. This category shows the maintenance and operation (M&O) adopted tax rate, as reported by the CPTD office. The M&O levy includes money generated by districts for equalizing wealth. The six special statutory and 180 charter school districts form a separate group in both categories because they do not levy property taxes.

# Highest Property Value Category

Currently, the Comptroller's Property Tax Division (CPTD) classifies property into multiple subcategories based on how the property is used. These subcategories are aggregated into four classifications as follows:

- Residential: Single-family and multi-family residential, and residential inventory;
- Land: Vacant lots, and rural real (taxable);
- Oil and Gas: Oil, gas, and minerals; and
- Business: Commercial and industrial real, commercial and industrial personal, and utilities.

The one subcategory of these four which has the greatest total property value for a district determines in which category the district is placed. The six special statutory and 180 charter school districts form a separate group because they have no taxable property wealth.

#### Small/Sparse Adjustment

Districts are grouped into four small/sparse subcategories, or quartiles, with approximately equal numbers of districts in each. The category shows the amount of small/sparse adjustment as a percent of the total adjusted basic allotment amount. A fifth subcategory contains all districts receiving no small/sparse adjustment. This small/sparse percentage is a measure of the extent to which state funding is adjusted to compensate for small and/or sparsely populated districts.

#### Cost of Education Index

The Cost of Education Index (CEI) reflects geographic variations in costs beyond the control of school districts. The index currently in use was first implemented in 1991-92. The CEI has a

minimum value of 1.0 and a maximum of 1.20. This category divides districts into five groups with approximately equal numbers of districts in each.

#### **Operating Cost per Student**

Operating costs are the sum of all expenditures budgeted for the operation of the district, for all funds which are reported. The operating expenditures are a subset of the total expenditures; they do not include debt service, capital outlay, or ancillary services expenditures. Per student amounts are the current school year expenditures divided by the current number of students. Districts are grouped into five subcategories with approximately equal numbers of districts in each. The source for budgeted expenditures is the fall submission of the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS).

#### **Education Service Center Regions**

The state is divided into 20 geographic regions, each served by an Education Service Center (ESC). The ESC region reflected in this category is the region from which the district receives services, not the geographically assigned ESC region. For the vast majority of districts, these are the same.

## TAAS: Percent Passing all Tests Taken

For grades 3-8 and 10, the total number of students who passed all sections taken is expressed as a percentage of the total number of students taking one or more tests. Districts are grouped into five subcategories with the percent passing ranging from "under 77.4%" to "92.3% and over." These percentages exclude performance on Science in grade 8. Furthermore, these percentages include only those students enrolled in the district in October of the school year. These are the results used for accountability purposes. A sixth subcategory refers to districts not administering the test.

#### SAT I/ACT: Percent Taking

Districts are grouped into three subcategories based on the number of prior year graduates who were administered either the SAT I or ACT, or both. The number of test-takers taking one or both tests is divided by the number of non-special education graduates. A fourth subcategory is for those districts that have no graduates.

# SAT I/ACT: Percent Scoring at or Above Criterion

Districts are grouped into five subcategories based on the number of examinees who scored at or above the criterion score for either the ACT or SAT I in the previous year. The number of examinees meeting the criterion is divided by the number of examinees. A sixth subcategory is for those districts that have no test takers. The criterion score is 1110 for the SAT I Total and 24 for the ACT Composite.

## Student Density

Many years ago, the square miles in a school district were determined through a joint effort by the State Property Tax Board, now the CPTD, the Texas Education Agency, and the Texas Water Commission. School district maps provided by school districts to the CPTD were digitized by the Water Commission and acreage was determined. Density is the number of students per square mile. Density groups range from "fewer than five students per square mile" to "100 or more students per square mile." The six special statutory and 180 charter school districts form a separate group because mileage information is not available for them.

#### Pupil Change: 00/01 - 01/02

This category looks at the growth or decline in student population over a one-year period. Districts where the total number of students declined represent one grouping, while the remaining groups show one year growth rate ranging from "0%-3%" to "10% and over."

#### Percent African American, Hispanic, and Minority Students

In these categories, districts are grouped according to the ethnic composition of their student populations, as reported on PEIMS. Minority percent is calculated as the sum of all non-white populations expressed as a percent of the total. The non-white populations include Native American or Alaskan Native; Asian or Pacific Islander; African American, not of Hispanic origin; and Hispanic. Each of the three categories has six subgroups with the particular population ranging from "under 5%" to "50 percent and over."

## Percent Economically Disadvantaged (Low Income) Students

Percent low income is the number of students reported as economically disadvantaged on PEIMS, expressed as a percent of the total number of students. Districts report students as economically disadvantaged if they meet any of the following conditions:

- a. eligible for free or reduced-price meals under the National School Lunch and Child Nutrition Program;
- b. from a family with an annual income at or below the federal poverty line;
- c. eligible for AFDC or other public assistance;
- d. recipients of a Pell Grant or comparable state program of need-based financial assistance;
- e. eligible for programs assisted under Title II of the Job Training Partnership Act.

Districts are grouped into six subgroups ranging from "under 20%" to "80% and over."

#### Average Teacher Experience

In this category, districts are grouped into four subcategories with approximately equal numbers of districts in each. Weighted averages are obtained by multiplying each teacher's FTE count by years of experience. These amounts, when summed for all teachers within a district and divided by the total teacher FTE count within that respective district, result in the average years of teacher experience.

#### Average Teacher Salary

In this category, districts are grouped into four subcategories with approximately equal numbers of districts in each. Average teacher salary is calculated as the total salary of teachers divided by the total FTE count of teachers. The total salary amount is for regular duties only and does not include pay for any supplemental duties.

#### Percent Minority Teachers

In this category, districts are grouped according to the minority composition of their teacher populations, as reported on PEIMS. Minority percent is calculated as the sum of all non-white teacher FTEs expressed as a percent of total teacher FTEs. The category has six groupings with the minority population ranging from "under 5%" to "50% and over."

#### Percent of Teachers With Advanced Degrees

In this category, districts are grouped into four subcategories with approximately equal numbers of districts in each. The percent of teachers with an advanced degree is calculated as the FTE count of teachers with a master's degree or doctorate divided by the FTE count for all teachers.

# References

Texas Education Agency. (2004). College admissions testing of graduating seniors in Texas high schools, Class of 2002 (GE04-601-05). Austin, TX: Author.

# **Texas Education Agency Publication Order Form**

Purchaser Name		Date					
Send to (name, if different)							
Address							
City				State	Zip _		
Pub	licatio	ns in t	his Ser				
	Available		Price per	Tax exem			
Current report	in PDF*	Quantity	сору	Quantity	Price per copy	Cost	
GE04 601 05 College Admissions Testing of Graduating Seniors in Texas High Schools, Class of 2002	Yes		\$14.00		\$13.00		
GE04 601 06 College Admissions Testing of Graduating Seniors in Texas High Schools, Class of 2002: District and Campus Listings	Yes		\$18.00		\$17.00		
Previous years' reports							
GE03 601 03 College Admissions Testing of Graduating Seniors in Texas High Schools, 2000-01	Yes		\$13.50		\$12.50		
GE02 601 02 Results of College Admissions Testing in Texas for 1999-00 Graduating Seniors	Yes		\$14.50		\$13.00		
GE00 601 04 Results of College Admissions Testing in Texas for 1998-99 Graduating Seniors	Yes		\$8.50		\$8.00		
*Copy of report can be downloaded and printed from	http://www.tea.s	state.tx.us/resear	ch/.		Subtotal		
				(fro	m following pages)		
					Total		
Price includes postage, handling, and applicable state tax. Make check or money order payable to Texas Education Agency.							
For publication inquiries and purchase orders <sup>†</sup>		If you are mailing a check or money order, remit this form with payment to:					
Texas Education Agenc		Texas Education Agency					
Publications Distributio 1701 North Congress Av		Publications Distribution P.O. Box 13817					
Austin, Texas 78701-149	94		Aus	tin, Texas 7871	11-3817		
†Purchase orders are accepted only from Texa	as educational i	institutions and	government ag	encies.			

See following page for additional publications



Publications in this Series							
Tax exempt only							
Report and publication number	Available in PDF*	Quantity	Price per copy	Quantity	Price per copy	Cost	
GE9 601 04 Results of College Admissions Testing in Texas for 1997-98 Graduating Seniors	Yes		\$7.50		\$7.00		
GE8 601 06 Results of College Admissions Testing in Texas for 1996-97 Graduating Seniors	No		\$7.50		\$7.00		
GE7 601 11 Results of College Admissions Testing in Texas for 1995-96 Graduating Seniors	No		\$8.00		\$7.00		
GE7 601 04 Results of College Admissions Testing in Texas for 1994-95 Graduating Seniors	No		\$8.00		\$7.00		
GE6 601 05 Results of College Admissions Testing in Texas for 1993-94 Graduating Seniors	No		\$8.00		\$7.00		
					Subtotal		

<sup>\*</sup>Copy of report can be downloaded and printed from <a href="http://www.tea.state.tx.us/research/">http://www.tea.state.tx.us/research/</a>.

# **Compliance Statement**

Title VI, Civil Rights Act of 1964, the Modified Court Order, Civil Action 5281, Federal District Court, Eastern District of Texas, Tyler Division.

Reviews of local education agencies pertaining to compliance with Title VI Civil Rights Act of 1964 and with specific requirements of the Modified Court Order, Civil Action No. 5281, Federal District Court, Eastern District of Texas, Tyler Division are conducted periodically by staff representatives of the Texas Education Agency. These reviews cover at least the following policies and practices:

- 1. acceptance policies on student transfers from other school districts;
- 2. operation of school bus routes or runs on a nonsegregated basis;
- 3. nondiscrimination in extracurricular activities and the use of school facilities;
- 4. nondiscriminatory practices in the hiring, assigning, promoting, paying, demoting, reassigning, or dismissing of faculty and staff members who work with children;
- enrollment and assignment of students without discrimination on the basis of race, color, or national origin;
- 6. nondiscriminatory practices relating to the use of a student's first language; and
- 7. evidence of published procedures for hearing complaints and grievances.

In addition to conducting reviews, the Texas Education Agency staff representatives check complaints of discrimination made by a citizen or citizens residing in a school district where it is alleged discriminatory practices have occurred or are occurring.

Where a violation of Title VI of the Civil Rights Act is found, the findings are reported to the Office for Civil Rights, U.S. Department of Education.

If there is a direct violation of the Court Order in Civil Action No. 5281 that cannot be cleared through negotiation, the sanctions required by the Court Order are applied.

Title VII, Civil Rights Act of 1964 as Amended by the Equal Employment Opportunity Act of 1972; Executive Orders 11246 and 11375; Equal Pay Act of 1964; Title IX, Education Amendments; Rehabilitation Act of 1973 as Amended; 1974 Amendments to the Wage-Hour Law Expanding the Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967; Vietnam Era Veterans Readjustment Assistance Act of 1972 as Amended; Immigration Reform and Control Act of 1986; Americans With Disabilities Act of 1990; and the Civil Rights Act of 1991.

The Texas Education Agency shall comply fully with the nondiscrimination provisions of all federal and state laws, rules, and regulations by assuring that no person shall be excluded from consideration for recruitment, selection, appointment, training, promotion, retention, or any other personnel action, or be denied any benefits or participation in any educational programs or activities which it operates on the grounds of race, religion, color, national origin, sex, disability, age, or veteran status (except where age, sex, or disability constitutes a bona fide occupational qualification necessary to proper and efficient administration). The Texas Education Agency is an Equal Opportunity/Affirmative Action employer.



Texas Education Agency 1701 North Congress Avenue Austin, Texas 78701-1494

Document No. GE04 601 06 June 2004