## (6.3.1)

We want to verify the rules in 6.3.3.

1) Let  $v' = \rho_{\theta}(v)$ . Since  $\rho_{\theta}$  is an orthogonal linear operator and  $t_a$  is a translation, similar to the proof in 6.2.8, we have

Name: James Wang

$$\rho_{\theta}t_{v}(x) = \rho_{\theta}(x+v) = \rho_{\theta}(x) + \rho_{\theta}(v) = \rho_{\theta}(x) + v' = t_{v'}\rho_{\theta}(x)$$

Hence we have verified  $\rho_{\theta}t_{v} = t_{v'}\rho_{\theta}$ .

2) Let v' = r(v). By observation, the reflection matrix is orthogonal, because

$$AA^{T} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

meaning r is also an orthogonal linear operator. By a similar reasoning as part 1), we can verify  $rt_v = t_{v'}r$ .

3) We perform the following matrix multiplications:

$$r\rho_{\theta} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ -\sin \theta & -\cos \theta \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\rho_{-\theta}r = \begin{bmatrix} \cos\theta & \sin\theta \\ -\sin\theta & \cos\theta \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos\theta & -\sin\theta \\ -\sin\theta & -\cos\theta \end{bmatrix}$$

Hence we have verified  $r\rho_{\theta} = \rho_{-\theta}r$ .

- 4) The book provides the statement  $t_v t_w = t_{v+w}$  in 6.2.8.
- 5) We perform the following matrix multiplication:

$$\rho_{\theta}\rho_{\eta} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos\theta & -\sin\theta \\ \sin\theta & \cos\theta \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \cos\eta & -\sin\eta \\ \sin\eta & \cos\eta \end{bmatrix} \\
= \begin{bmatrix} \cos\theta\cos\eta - \sin\theta\sin\eta & -(\sin\theta\cos\eta + \sin\eta\cos\theta) \\ \sin\theta\cos\eta + \sin\eta\cos\theta & \cos\theta\cos\eta - \sin\theta\sin\eta \end{bmatrix} \\
= \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\theta + \eta) & -\sin(\theta + \eta) \\ \sin(\theta + \eta) & \cos(\theta + \eta) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \rho_{\theta + \eta}$$
(1)

Hence we have verified  $\rho_{\theta}\rho_{\eta} = \rho_{\theta+\eta}$ .

6) Clearly,

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Hence we have verified rr = 1.

QED

## (6.5.1)

Suppose  $l_1, l_2$  are lines through the origin in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  that intersect in an angle  $\pi/n$ , and let  $r_i$  be the reflection about  $l_i$ . We want to show that  $r_1, r_2$  generate a dihedral group  $D_n$ .

Since we are already given two reflections  $r_1, r_2$ , to show that they generate  $D_n$ , it suffices to show that they generate a rotation  $\rho_{2\pi/n}$ .

By observation, we note that doing the reflection  $r_2$  is the same as first doing a rotation  $\rho_{\pi/n}$ , then the reflection  $r_1$ , and finally the rotation  $\rho_{-\pi/n}$ , so we have the following:

$$r_2 = \rho_{-\pi/n} r_1 \rho_{\pi/n}$$

In using the third rule in 6.3.3, we can simplify to

$$r_2 = \rho_{-\pi/n} r_1 \rho_{\pi/n}$$

$$= r_1 \rho_{\pi/n} \rho_{\pi/n}$$

$$= r_1 \rho_{2\pi/n}$$
(2)

Thus we see that  $r_1^{-1}r_2 = \rho_{2\pi/n}$ , and a rotation by  $\rho_{2\pi/n}$  can indeed be generated by  $r_1, r_2$ . Hence  $r_1, r_2$  generate a dihedral group  $D_n$ .

QED

## (6.3.5)

We want to write formulas for the isometries in terms of a complex variable z = x + iy.

1) translation  $t_a$  by a vector  $a = a_1 + ia_2$ :

$$t_a(z) = z + a$$

$$= (x + iy) + (a_1 + ia_2)$$

$$= (x + a_1) + i(y + a_2)$$
(3)

2) rotation  $\rho_{\theta}$  by an angle  $\theta$  about the origin:

$$\rho_{\theta}(z) = e^{i\theta}(x + iy)$$

$$= (\cos \theta + i \sin \theta)(x + iy)$$

$$= (x \cos \theta - y \sin \theta) + i(x \sin \theta + y \cos \theta)$$
(4)

3) reflection r about the  $e_1$ -axis:

$$r(z) = r(x + iy)$$

$$= x - iy$$

$$= \overline{z}$$
(5)