

---

**Exercise 31.7:**

Let  $p : X \rightarrow Y$  be a closed continuous surjective map such that  $p^{-1}(\{y\})$  is compact for each  $y \in Y$ . (Such a map is called a perfect map.)

a)

We want to show that if  $X$  is Hausdorff, then so is  $Y$ .

Take distinct points  $y_1, y_2 \in Y$  and consider  $p^{-1}(\{y_1\})$  and  $p^{-1}(\{y_2\})$ . Since a function only has one output for each input, we know that  $p^{-1}(\{y_1\})$  and  $p^{-1}(\{y_2\})$  are disjoint. Moreover, by the definition of a perfect map, we also know that  $p^{-1}(\{y_1\})$  and  $p^{-1}(\{y_2\})$  are compact. Now recall that we have proved in Exercise 26.5 that disjoint compact subspaces of a Hausdorff space have disjoint open sets containing each of them, so there exist disjoint open subsets  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  of  $X$  containing  $p^{-1}(\{y_1\})$  and  $p^{-1}(\{y_2\})$  respectively.

We claim that  $Y \setminus p(X \setminus U_1)$  and  $Y \setminus p(X \setminus U_2)$  are disjoint neighborhoods of  $y_1$  and  $y_2$ . Let's just focus on  $Y \setminus p(X \setminus U_1)$  for now. We first show that it is open in  $Y$ . Since  $U_1$  is open and  $p$  is a closed map,  $p(X \setminus U_1)$  is closed and  $Y \setminus p(X \setminus U_1)$  is open. We then show that it is disjoint from  $Y \setminus p(X \setminus U_2)$ . Suppose for a contradiction that there exists some  $z \in (Y \setminus p(X \setminus U_1))$  and  $z \in (Y \setminus p(X \setminus U_2))$ . Then this means  $z \notin p(X \setminus U_1)$  and  $z \notin p(X \setminus U_2)$ , or equivalently  $p^{-1}(\{z\}) \subseteq U_1$  and  $p^{-1}(\{z\}) \subseteq U_2$ . This, however, contradicts with our initial construction of  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  being disjoint. We finally show that  $y_1 \in (Y \setminus p(X \setminus U_1))$ . Suppose for a contradiction that  $y_1 \notin (Y \setminus p(X \setminus U_1))$ , then it must be  $y_1 \in p(X \setminus U_1)$ , or equivalently  $p^{-1}(\{y_1\}) \not\subseteq U_1$ . This, however, contradicts with our initial construction of  $p^{-1}(\{y_1\}) \subseteq U_1$ . The proof for  $Y \setminus p(X \setminus U_2)$  is the same.

We have thus proved that for two distinct points  $y_1, y_2 \in Y$ , there exist neighborhoods of them respectively that are disjoint, which is the definition of  $Y$  being Hausdorff.

*QED*

**Exercise 35.4:**

Let  $Z$  be a topological space. If  $Y$  is a subspace of  $Z$ , we say that  $Y$  is a retract of  $Z$  if there is a continuous map  $r : Z \rightarrow Y$  such that  $r(y) = y$  for each  $y \in Y$ .

a)

We want to show that if  $Z$  is Hausdorff and  $Y$  is a retract of  $Z$ , then  $Y$  is closed in  $Z$ .

This is equivalent to showing  $Z \setminus Y$  is open. Take arbitrary  $z \in Z \setminus Y$ . Since by definition  $r : Z \rightarrow Y$ , we have that  $r(z) = y$  for some  $y \in Y$ . Clearly,  $z \neq y$  because  $z \notin Y$ . Recall that  $Z$  is also Hausdorff by assumption, so there exist neighborhoods  $U_z$  and  $U_y$  of  $z$  and  $y$ , respectively, that are disjoint.

We claim that  $(r^{-1}(U_y \cap Y)) \cap U_z$  is a neighborhood of  $z$  that is disjoint from  $Y$ . We first show that this set does contain  $z$ . Clearly,  $z \in U_z$  by construction, and since  $r(z) = y \in U_y \cap Y$ , it follows quickly that  $z \in r^{-1}(U_y \cap Y)$ , so  $z \in (r^{-1}(U_y \cap Y)) \cap U_z$ . We then show that this set is open in  $Z$ . Since  $Y$  is a subspace of  $Z$  and  $U_y$  is open in  $Z$ , by the construction of the subspace topology,  $U_y \cap Y$  is open in  $Y$ . Recall that a retract is by definition continuous, so  $r^{-1}(U_y \cap Y)$  must also be open in  $Z$ . Furthermore,  $U_z$  is open in  $Z$ , so  $(r^{-1}(U_y \cap Y)) \cap U_z$  is open in  $Z$ . We finally show that this set is disjoint from  $Y$ . Suppose for a contradiction that there exists  $x \in ((r^{-1}(U_y \cap Y)) \cap U_z) \cap Y$ . This means  $x \in U_z$ , and  $r(x) \in (U_y \cap Y) \subseteq U_y$ . However, it is also true that  $x \in Y$ , so together we have  $r(x) = x \in U_y$ . Hence  $U_z$  and  $U_y$  are not disjoint, and we have reached a contradiction with the definition of  $Z$  being Hausdorff. We have thus found a neighborhood of  $z$  in  $Z \setminus Y$ . Hence  $Z \setminus Y$  is open and  $Y$  is closed in  $Z$ .

*QED*

b)

Let  $A$  be a two-point set in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . We want to show that  $A$  is not a retract of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

Suppose for a contradiction that there exists a retract  $r : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \{x_1, x_2\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$  such that  $r(x_1) = x_1$  and  $r(x_2) = x_2$ . Since  $\mathbb{R}^2$  is connected and  $r$  is continuous,  $r(\mathbb{R}^2)$  must be connected by Theorem 23.5. However,  $r(\mathbb{R}^2) = \{x_1, x_2\}$  is not connected, because we can let  $r = d(x_1, x_2)/2$ . Then  $B_r(x_1) \cap \{x_1, x_2\}$  and  $B_r(x_2) \cap \{x_1, x_2\}$  are open in the subspace topology on  $\{x_1, x_2\}$  and form a separation of  $\{x_1, x_2\}$ .

*QED*

**Exercise 30.4:**

We want to show that every compact metrizable space  $X$  has a countable basis.

For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , since  $X$  is metrizable, the set  $\{B_{1/n}(x) \mid x \in X\}$  is an open covering of  $X$ .

Since  $X$  is compact, there exists a finite subset  $\mathcal{A}_n \subseteq \{B_{1/n}(x) \mid x \in X\}$  that still covers  $X$ .

We claim that  $\mathbb{B} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{A}_n$  is a countable basis for the topology on  $X$ .

Clearly,  $\mathbb{B}$  is countable because each  $\mathcal{A}_n$  is finite and the union is countable. We next show that  $\mathbb{B}$  is a basis for the topology on  $X$ . First, since each  $B_{1/n}(x)$  is open in  $X$ , every element of  $\mathbb{B}$  is open in  $X$ . Next, we use Lemma 13.2. Let  $V \subseteq X$  be open, then for each  $v \in V$ , there exists  $r > 0$  such that  $B_r(v) \subseteq V$ . Moreover, since  $1/n \rightarrow 0$ , by definition, there exists  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $d(0, 1/k) < r/2$ , or equivalently  $1/k < r/2$ . Recall that  $\mathcal{A}_k$  by construction is a covering of  $X$ , so there exists  $x \in X$  such that  $v \in B_{1/k}(x) \in \mathcal{A}_k$ .

We claim that  $B_{1/k}(x) \subseteq B_r(v)$ , because let  $y \in B_{1/k}(x)$ , by the triangle inequality we have

$$d(y, v) \leq d(y, x) + d(x, v) < 1/k + 1/k < r/2 + r/2 = r.$$

Note that  $d(y, x) < 1/k$  because  $y \in B_{1/k}(x)$  by assumption, and  $d(x, v) < 1/k$  because  $v \in B_{1/k}(x)$  by construction. Thus, we have obtained the following

$$v \in B_{1/k}(x) \subseteq B_r(v) \subseteq V.$$

By Lemma 13.2, since  $v \in V$  is arbitrary and  $B_{1/k}(x)$  is open,  $v \in B_{1/k}(x) \subseteq V$  implies that  $\mathbb{B}$  is a basis for the topology on  $X$ .

*QED*

Note: I only know how to do this problem because of my presentation on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  being second-countable.