
Problem 1:

We want to show that the \mathbb{Z} -module \mathbb{Q} is flat by using Exercise 10.4.8.

Note: I had no idea how to solve this problem, so I looked at Professor Kara's solution at first. But I then realized this is an example on page 401. I read it over again, and now feel much better about it.

Part c) of 10.4.8 tells us that $(1/d) \otimes n$ is 0 in $Q \otimes_R N$ if and only if $rn = 0$ for some nonzero $r \in R$.

Let $\psi : L \rightarrow M$ be an injective \mathbb{Z} -module homomorphism, we want to show that

$$1 \otimes \psi : \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} L \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} M$$

defined by $(1/d) \otimes l \mapsto (1/d) \otimes \psi(l)$ is injective. Take any $(1/d) \otimes l \in \ker(1 \otimes \psi)$, then by the definition of our map we see that $1 \otimes \psi((1/d) \otimes l) = (1/d) \otimes \psi(l) = 0 \in \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} M$. By 10.4.8 c), this means $z\psi(l) = 0$ for some nonzero $z \in \mathbb{Z}$. By linearity we get $\psi(zl) = 0$, so $zl \in \ker(\psi)$. Recall that ψ is injective by assumption, so $zl = 0 \in L$, or equivalently $(1/d) \otimes l = 0$. Hence $1 \otimes \psi$ is injective, and the \mathbb{Z} -module \mathbb{Q} is flat.

QED

Problem 2:

Let P_1 and P_2 be R -modules. We want to show that $P_1 \oplus P_2$ is flat if and only if P_1 and P_2 are flat.

The hint on page 403 states that tensor product commutes with arbitrary direct sums. Hence take any R -module K , we have the isomorphism $(P_1 \oplus P_2) \otimes K \cong (P_1 \otimes K) \oplus (P_2 \otimes K)$.

(\implies) :

Suppose $P_1 \oplus P_2$ is flat. This means given any injective R -module homomorphism $\psi : L \rightarrow M$, then $1 \otimes \psi : (P_1 \oplus P_2) \otimes L \rightarrow (P_1 \oplus P_2) \otimes M$ is also injective.

Hence P_1 and P_2 must be flat, because the commutativity of tensor product and direct sums would give injective homomorphisms

$$1 \otimes \psi_1 : P_1 \otimes_R L \rightarrow P_1 \otimes_R M,$$

$$1 \otimes \psi_2 : P_2 \otimes_R L \rightarrow P_2 \otimes_R M.$$

(\impliedby) :

Conversely, suppose P_1 and P_2 are flat. Then we can get the injective homomorphisms $1 \otimes \psi_1$ and $1 \otimes \psi_2$, and putting them together would give the injective homomorphism $1 \otimes \psi$. Hence $P_1 \oplus P_2$ is also flat.

QED

Problem 3:

We want to prove the following

a)

The polynomial ring $R[x]$ over the commutative ring R is a flat R -module.

We show that $R[x]$ is a free R -module by showing it has a basis. Clearly, $B = \{1, x, x^2, \dots\}$ is a generating set of $R[x]$, as all polynomials with coefficients in R can be written as a R -linear combination of elements in B . We claim that B is also linearly independent. This is because suppose we have a finite R -linear combination such that $r_0 + r_1x + r_2x^2 + \dots + r_nx^n = 0$, then it must be that each $r_i = 0$. Recall also that all free modules are flat modules. Hence $R[x]$ is flat.

QED

b)

$R[x, y]/(xy)$ is not a flat R -module.

We consider the homomorphism $\phi : R[x] \rightarrow R[x]$ defined by $f(x) \mapsto xf(x)$. Take arbitrary $f_1, f_2 \in R[x]$ and consider $xf_1 = xf_2$, then it must be that $f_1 = f_2$. Hence ϕ is injective. Now take the tensor product and consider how ϕ induces

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi : R[x, y]/(xy) \otimes_{R[x]} R[x] &\rightarrow R[x, y]/(xy) \otimes_{R[x]} R[x] \\ g_1 \otimes g_2 &\mapsto g_1 \otimes xg_2. \end{aligned}$$

Recall we have the isomorphism $R[x, y]/(xy) \otimes_{R[x]} R[x] \cong R[x, y]/(xy)$, and that $g_1 \otimes xg_2 = xg_1 \otimes g_2$, so the induced φ is essentially

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi : R[x, y]/(xy) &\rightarrow R[x, y]/(xy) \\ g_1 &\mapsto xg_1. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that under φ , $y \neq 0 \in R[x, y]/(xy)$, yet $\varphi(y) = xy = 0 \in R[x, y]/(xy)$. This means we do not get an injective function, so $R[x, y]/(xy)$ is not a flat R -module.

QED