
Table of Contents

Introduction	1.1
Disclaimer	1.2
What is SOLID?	1.3
S: Single Responsibility	1.4
O: Open/Closed	1.5
L: Liskov Substitution	1.6
D: Dependency Inversion	1.7

SOLID Design Principles In Common Lisp

Learn how to apply SOLID design principles with Common Lisp and the powerful CLOS system.



This book is released under the [Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/) by [Momozor](#).

If you find any problem, want to suggest an improvement or commit changes to this book, please visit this Github repository <https://github.com/common-lisp-reserve/solid-design-principles-in-common-lisp>

Disclaimer

These principles are not rules. Apply them where you think it does make sense. Don't "over-engineer".

We are going to focus on Single Responsibility, Open/Closed, Liskov Substitution and Dependency Inversion principles where they makes the most sense to apply with Common Lisp as a dynamic typed language.

What is SOLID?

- Single Responsibility Principle
- Open/Closed Principle
- Liskov Substitution Principle
- Interface Segregation Principle (we are not going to cover this)
- Dependency Inversion Principle

S: Single Responsibility

A class should have one, and only one, reason to change.

Bad

```
(defclass truck ()
  ((brand
    :initarg :brand
    :reader get-brand)))

(defmethod send-detail ((self truck) customer-id)
  "send truck's brand detail to customer..")

(defclass truck ()
  ((brand
    :initarg :brand
    :accessor brand)))

(defmethod get-brand ((self truck))
  (brand self))

(defmethod set-brand ((self truck) new-brand)
  (setf (brand self) new-brand))
```

Good

```
(defclass detail-sender ()
  ((customer-id
    :initarg :customer-id
    :accessor customer-id)))

(defmethod get-customer-id ((self detail-sender))
  (customer-id self))

(defmethod set-customer-id ((self detail-sender) new-customer-id)
  (setf (customer-id self) new-customer-id))

(defmethod send-detail ((self detail-sender))
  (send (customer-id self)))
```

O: Open/Closed

Objects or entities should be open for extension, but closed for modification.

What this means is that we should write code that doesn't have to be changed every time the requirements changes. For instance, a class should be easily extendable without modifying the class itself.

Take a look at the open/closed principle violation example below.

Bad

```
(defclass circle ()
  ((radius
    :initarg :radius
    :reader get-radius)))

(defclass area-calculator ()
  ((shapes
    :initarg :shapes
    :reader get-shapes)))

(defmethod total-area ((self area-calculator))
  (reduce #'(+
    (mapcar #'(lambda (x)
      (* pi
        (get-radius x)
        (get-radius x)))
      (get-shapes self)))))

(defparameter *circle-one*
  (make-instance 'area-calculator
    :shapes
    (list (make-instance 'circle :radius 5)
          (make-instance 'circle :radius 6)
          (make-instance 'circle :radius 2))))

(total-area *circle-one*) ;; 204.20352248333654d0
```

If we do want `total-area` method to calculate a sum of Rectangle areas instead of Circle, we won't be able to do that due to its specific area calculation formula ($a = \pi * r^2$) without modifying `total-area` method.

So how can we go over this limit?

Below code shows a better example.

Good

```

(defclass shape ()
  nil)

(defclass circle (shape)
  ((radius
    :initarg :radius
    :reader get-radius)))

(defmethod area ((self shape))
  (* pi (get-radius self) (get-radius self)))

(defclass area-calculator ()
  ((shapes
    :initarg :shapes
    :reader get-shapes)))

(defmethod total-area ((self area-calculator))
  (reduce #' +
    (mapcar #'area
      (get-shapes self))))

(defparameter *circle-one*
  (make-instance 'area-calculator
    :shapes
    (list (make-instance 'circle :radius 5)
          (make-instance 'circle :radius 6)
          (make-instance 'circle :radius 2))))

(total-area *circle-one*) ;; 204.20352248333654d0

```

As you've noticed, we moved the function to calculate circle area into its Circle class. This way, if we want to calculate a Rectangle shape area (or triangle, etc), we only have to create a new class with its own method to handle Rectangle area calculation.

For example, a new Rectangle class and area method which calculates a simple Rectangle shape area ($a = w * h$)

```

(defclass rectangle (shape)
  ((width
    :initarg :width
    :reader get-width)

    (height
    :initarg :height
    :reader get-height)))

(defmethod area ((self rectangle))
  (* (get-width self)
    (get-height self)))

```

Full Better Example

```
(defclass shape ()
  nil)

(defclass circle (shape)
  ((radius
    :initarg :radius
    :reader get-radius)))

(defmethod area ((self shape))
  (* pi (get-radius self) (get-radius self)))

(defclass rectangle (shape)
  ((width
    :initarg :width
    :reader get-width)

   (height
    :initarg :height
    :reader get-height)))

(defmethod area ((self rectangle))
  (* (get-width self)
     (get-height self)))

(defclass area-calculator ()
  ((shapes
    :initarg :shapes
    :reader get-shapes)))

(defmethod total-area ((self area-calculator))
  (reduce #' +
    (mapcar #'area
      (get-shapes self))))

(defparameter *circle-one*
  (make-instance 'area-calculator
    :shapes
    (list (make-instance 'circle :radius 5)
          (make-instance 'circle :radius 3)
          (make-instance 'circle :radius 12))))

(defparameter *rectangle-one*
  (make-instance 'area-calculator
    :shapes
    (list (make-instance 'rectangle :height 5 :width 10)
          (make-instance 'rectangle :height 9 :width 20)
          (make-instance 'rectangle :height 23 :width 44))))
```



```
(total-area *circle-one*) ;; 559.2034923389832d0  
(total-area *rectangle-one*) ;; 1242
```

L: Liskov Substitution

Let $\Phi(x)$ be a property provable about objects x of type T . Then $\Phi(y)$ should be true for objects y of type S where S is a subtype of T .

.

D: Dependency Inversion

- High level modules should not depend upon low level modules. Both should depend upon abstractions.
- Abstractions should not depend upon details. Details should depend upon abstractions.

Bad

```
(defclass printer ()
  ((data-type
    :initarg :data-type
    :reader get-data-type)))

(defmethod print-epub ((self printer))
  (let ((e (make-instance 'epub-formatter)))
    (process e (get-data-type self))))

(defmethod print-mobi ((self printer))
  (let ((m (make-instance 'mobi-formatter)))
    (process m (get-data-type self))))

(defclass epub-formatter ()
  nil)

(defmethod process ((self epub-formatter) data-type)
  "epub formatting process goes here")

(defclass mobi-formatter ()
  nil)

(defmethod process ((self mobi-formatter) data-type)
  "mobi formatting process goes here")
```

Good

```
(defclass printer ()
  ((data-type
    :initarg :data-type
    :reader get-data-type)))

(defmethod prints ((self printer) formatter)
  (let ((f (make-instance formatter)))
    (process f (get-data-type self))))
```

```
(defmethod process ((self epub-formatter) data-type)
  (format t "~a~%" "epub formatter's process logic goes here"))

(defclass mobi-formatter ()
  nil)

(defmethod process ((self mobi-formatter) data-type)
  (format t "~a~%" "mobi formatter's process logic goes here"))

(defparameter epub-book (make-instance 'printer :data-type "epubs"))
(defparameter mobi-book (make-instance 'printer :data-type "mobis"))

(prints epub-book 'epub-formatter) ;; epub formatter's process logic goes here
(prints mobi-book 'mobi-formatter) ;; mobi formatter's process logic goes here
```