

# Rings and Fields

## Assignment 4

James Zoryk.  
Student Number: 2663347.

**Question 1.** It is given that

$$R = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-7}] = \{a + \hat{a}\sqrt{-7} \mid a, \hat{a} \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

is a subring of  $\mathbb{C}$  that is an integral domain.

**Note.** Let  $R = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-7}] = \{a + \hat{a}\sqrt{-7} \mid a, \hat{a} \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ , since  $R$  is a subring of the complex numbers which is closed under addition, subtraction and multiplication, and contains 0 and 1, this implies that  $R$  is an integral domain.

Now we define the norm  $N$  on  $R$ , as function mapping  $R$  to  $\mathbb{Z}$  by

$$N(a + \hat{a}\sqrt{-7}) = a^2 + 7\hat{a}^2.$$

To determine the units of  $R$ , find all  $\alpha$  in  $R$  such that  $N(\alpha) = 1$ . If  $\alpha = a + \hat{a}\sqrt{-7}$  and  $N(\alpha) = 1$ , then it follows that  $1 = a^2 + 7\hat{a}^2$  for integers  $a$  and  $\hat{a}$ . The only possible solution is,  $\hat{a} = 0$  and  $a = \pm 1$ . Hence the only units of  $R$ , are 1 and  $-1$ . We conclude that  $R^* = \{\pm 1\}$ .

We observe that  $R$  is not a UFD since  $8 = (2)(2)(2) = (1 + \sqrt{-7})(1 - \sqrt{-7})$ , where it can be proven that 2 and  $(1 \pm \sqrt{-7})$  are irreducible of  $R$ . Thus being an irreducible element of  $R$  does not imply that the element is prime in  $R$ .

**Part a.** Show that  $\sqrt{-7}$  is a prime element of  $R$ . Is it also an irreducible of  $R$ ?

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\sqrt{-7}$  is a prime element, then it follows that  $\sqrt{-7}$  divides a product  $\alpha\beta$  with  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  in  $R$ , such that  $\alpha = a + \hat{a}\sqrt{-7}$  and  $\beta = b + \hat{b}\sqrt{-7}$  for integers  $a$  and  $b$ . So that

$$\alpha\beta = (ab - 7\hat{a}\hat{b}) + (a\hat{b} + \hat{a}b)\sqrt{-7}.$$

Given that  $\sqrt{-7}$  divides the product  $\alpha\beta$ , and since  $\sqrt{-7} \nmid \sqrt{-7}(a\hat{b} + \hat{a}b)$  we must now show that  $\sqrt{-7}$  divides the rational part  $(ab - 7\hat{a}\hat{b})$ . We observe that  $\sqrt{-7} \nmid 7$ , so now  $\sqrt{-7}$  must also divide  $ab$ , which is an integer. Moreover, this implies that 7 divides  $ab$ . Now, since 7 is prime in the integers and with out loss of generality 7 divides  $a$  since  $7 \mid ab$ , then either  $7 \mid a$  or  $7 \mid b$ . Hence, we can conclude that  $\sqrt{-7}$  divides  $a + \hat{a}\sqrt{-7}$ , indicates that  $\sqrt{-7}$  is prime in  $R$ .

Since  $R$  is an integral domain and  $\sqrt{-7}$  is prime in  $R$ , implies that  $\sqrt{-7}$  is also an irreducible in  $R$ .  $\square$

**Part b.** Prove that 5 is an irreducible element of  $R$ . Is it also a prime element of  $R$ ?

*Proof.* Suppose that 5 is reducible in  $R$ , then there exists non-unit elements  $\alpha, \beta$  in  $R$  such that  $5 = \alpha\beta$ . Applying the norm to the expression leads to

$$N(5) = 25 = N(\alpha)N(\beta).$$

This implies that either  $N(\alpha) = 1, 5$  or  $25$ . If  $N(\alpha) = 1$  then  $\alpha = \pm 1$  which is in  $R^*$ , thus a contradiction. Similarly, if  $N(\alpha) = 25$  implies that  $N(\beta) = 1$ , with  $\beta = \pm 1$ , again this is a contradiction since  $\pm 1$  is in  $R^*$ .

Now, if  $N(\alpha) = 5$ , then it follows that  $5 = a + \hat{a}\sqrt{-7}$  for some integers  $a, \hat{a}$ . However, no possible integer solution exists. Hence, we can conclude that 5 is irreducible in  $R$ .

Now suppose 5 is prime, then there exist an  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  in  $R$  such that  $5|\alpha\beta$  such that 5 divides either  $\alpha$  or  $\beta$ . Taking the norm of this expression, we can obtain

$$N(5) = 25|N(\alpha)N(\beta).$$

We observe that the factors of 25 are 1, 5 and 25, which we can assume that  $5|N(\alpha) = a^2 + 7\hat{a}^2$

a,b	$a^2 \text{ Mod } 5$	$7\hat{a}^2 \text{ Mod } 5$
0	0	0
1	1	2
2	4	3
3	4	3
4	1	2
5	0	0

□

From the table above we observe that  $a^2 + 7\hat{a}^2 = 0 \text{ Mod } 5$  if and only if both  $a$  and  $\hat{a}$  are divisible by 5. Hence if  $5|\alpha\beta$  then  $5|\alpha$  in  $R$ . As required, 5 is prime in  $R$ .

**Question 2.** Prove that  $f(x) = x^4 + 2x^3 + 1$  cannot be written as  $A(x)B(x)$  with  $A(x)$  and  $B(x)$  in  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$  of degree 2.

*Proof.* Let  $f(x) = x^4 + 2x^3 + 1$  be a polynomial in  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ . Now, suppose that  $f(x) = A(x)B(x)$  for  $A(x)$  and  $B(x)$  in  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$  with a degree of 2. Then it follows that

$$f(x) = (x^2 + ax + b)(x^2 + cx + d),$$

with coefficients  $a, b, c$  and  $d$  in  $\mathbb{Q}$ . From this we can obtain

$$\begin{cases} a + c = 2 \\ b + ac + d = 0 \\ bc + ad = 0 \\ bd = 1 \end{cases}$$

Then it follows that  $a = 2 - c$  such that  $bc + (2 - c)d = 0$ . Now,  $bd = 1$  implies  $d = d^{-1}$  so that

$$c(b - b^{-1}) + 2d = 0.$$

This leads to  $2d = 0$ . Moreover  $d = 0$ . However, if  $d = 0$  then  $bd = 0 \neq 1$ , a contradiction.

Thus there are no quadratic factors  $A(x)$  and  $B(x)$  in  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$  such that  $f(x) = A(x)B(x)$ .  $\square$