Assignment Instructions: Enhancing Your GitHub README.md with Markdown

Contents

Assignment Instructions: Enhancing Your GitHub README.md with Markdown	1
Objective:	1
Tasks	
Instructions for the "About Me" Section:	
Example Guide (Do not copy directly):	

Objective:

To apply and reinforce your knowledge of Markdown by updating your GitHub repository's README.md file. This assignment will also help you to personalize your repository by adding an "About Me" section.

Tasks:

1. Complete the Markdown Tutorial

Start by visiting <u>Markdown Tutorial</u> and complete all the lessons provided. This
tutorial will help you understand the basics of Markdown formatting, from
creating headers to adding links.

2. Update Your GitHub README.md File

- Go to your GitHub repository where you have previously completed the Lesson 5 assignment.
- o Open the README. md file and edit it by adding a new section titled "About Me".

Instructions for the "About Me" Section:

• Structure:

- o Insert a top-level header (H1) named About Me.
- o Include two sub-sections with lower-level headers (H2). Suggested sub-sections could be My Interests and Websites I Recommend.

• Content:

- o Under your first sub-header, expand on your hobbies, academic interests, or any other personal interests.
- o Under the second sub-header, create a list with at least two links to websites you find interesting. For each website, include:
 - The name of the website linked to the URL.
 - A short description of what you find interesting about the website.
- o Add as much additional content as you find interesting.

Example Guide (Do not copy directly):

Submission:

- After you have updated the README .md file, commit your changes with a descriptive commit message like "Updated README with About Me section".
- Push the changes to your GitHub repository.
- Submit the URL of your GitHub repository in your course's assignment submission portal.

Evaluation Criteria:

- Correct implementation of Markdown as instructed.
- Creativity and personalization in the "About Me" section.
- Clarity and correctness of the descriptions provided for the recommended websites.

This assignment not only reinforces your understanding of Markdown but also enhances your GitHub profile, making it more engaging for visitors.

Assignment Rubric for GitHub README.md Update

1. Markdown Syntax Accuracy

- Exceeds Expectations: Uses Markdown flawlessly, with no syntax errors. The document is well-formatted, demonstrating advanced formatting features beyond the minimum requirements.
- **Meets Expectations**: Correctly uses basic Markdown syntax as required in the assignment instructions. Minor errors may be present but do not detract significantly from readability.
- **Needs Improvement**: Contains multiple Markdown syntax errors, affecting the readability and structure of the document. Basic formatting requirements are not consistently met.

2. Completeness of "About Me" Section

- Exceeds Expectations: The "About Me" section is exceptionally detailed, including more than the required elements, providing a thorough insight into the student's interests and recommended websites.
- **Meets Expectations**: Includes all required elements (top-level header, two lower-level headers, list with two links, and descriptions). Each component is adequately detailed.
- **Needs Improvement**: Missing one or more of the required elements. The section lacks detail or does not fully adhere to the assignment's guidelines.

3. Creativity and Personalization

- Exceeds Expectations: The content is highly personalized and creative, offering unique insights into the student's interests and choices of websites. It demonstrates thoughtfulness and originality.
- **Meets Expectations**: The section reflects the student's personal interests and provides basic information about why the websites are recommended. Standard but acceptable effort on personalization.
- **Needs Improvement**: The content is generic with little personal insight or creativity. It fails to clearly convey personal interests or thoughtful recommendations.

4. Quality of Written Communication

- Exceeds Expectations: The descriptions are exceptionally well-written with clear, concise, and engaging language. No grammatical or spelling errors are present.
- **Meets Expectations**: The text is clear with minimal grammatical or spelling errors. The information is communicated effectively but may lack flair.
- **Needs Improvement**: The descriptions contain multiple grammatical or spelling errors, affecting the clarity or professionalism of the text. The communication is unclear or poorly structured.