## Econometrics 710 Midterm Exam, Spring 2005 Sample Answers

1. The answer is  $\hat{V}^* = C^{-1}\hat{V}C^{-1}$ . Note that since C is  $k \times k$  and full rank,

$$\hat{\beta}^* = (X^{*'}X^*)^{-1}(X^{*'}Y)$$

$$= (C'X'XC)^{-1}(C'X'Y)$$

$$= C^{-1}(X'X)^{-1}(C')^{-1}C'X'Y$$

$$= C^{-1}(X'X)^{-1}X'Y$$

$$= C^{-1}\hat{\beta}$$

Note also that

$$\hat{e}^* = Y - X^* \hat{\beta}^*$$

$$= Y - XCC^{-1} \hat{\beta}$$

$$= Y - X \hat{\beta}$$

$$= \hat{e}$$

which implies  $\hat{e}_i^* = \hat{e}_i$ . Then

$$\hat{\Omega}^* = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^* x_i^{*'} \hat{e}_i^{*2}$$

$$= C' \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i x_i' \hat{e}_i^2 C$$

$$= C' \hat{\Omega} C,$$

$$\hat{Q}^* = \frac{1}{n} X^{*'} X^*$$

$$= C' \frac{1}{n} X' X C$$

$$= C' \hat{Q} C,$$

and

$$\hat{Q}^{*-1} = \left(C'\hat{Q}C\right)^{-1} = C^{-1}\hat{Q}^{-1}C^{'-1}.$$

Thus

$$\hat{V}^* = \hat{Q}^{*-1} \hat{\Omega}^* \hat{Q}^{*-1} 
= C^{-1} \hat{Q}^{-1} C'^{-1} C' \hat{\Omega} C C^{-1} \hat{Q}^{-1} C'^{-1} 
= C^{-1} \hat{Q}^{-1} \hat{\Omega} \hat{Q}^{-1} C'^{-1} 
= C^{-1} \hat{V} C^{-1}$$

2. Since  $E(y_i \mid x_i) = x_i \beta_1 + x_i^2 \beta_2$ , then  $E(y_i \mid x_i = 40) = 40\beta_1 + 40^2 \beta_2$ . The hypothesis is thus

$$H_0: 40\beta_1 + 40^2\beta_2 = 20$$

which is a linear restriction. If desired, this can be rewritten as

$$H_0: 2\beta_1 + 80\beta_2 = 1$$

Let  $(\hat{\beta}_1, \hat{\beta}_2)$  be the OLS estimates of the coefficients, and let  $\hat{V}$  denote the estimated asymptotic covariance matrix. The Wald statistic for this hypothesis is

$$W_n = \frac{n\left(2\hat{\beta}_1 + 80\hat{\beta}_2 - 1\right)^2}{R'\hat{V}R}$$

where

$$R = \left(\begin{array}{c} 2\\80 \end{array}\right)$$

It has an asymptotic  $\chi_1^2$  distribution under  $H_0$ . A 5% size test is to reject  $H_0$  if  $W_n$  exceeds the 5%  $\chi_1^2$  critical value of 3.84. Otherwise,  $H_0$  is not rejected.

Alternatively, the 10% or 1% level could be used, or a t-statistic used instead of the Wald statistic. Furthermore, since the model si a regression the FGLS estimator could be used instead of the OLS estimator.

## 3. We calculate that

$$\tilde{\beta} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i x_i x_i'\right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i x_i y_i\right)$$

$$= \beta + \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i x_i x_i'\right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i x_i e_i\right)$$

$$= \beta + \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i x_i x_i'\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i x_i e_i\right)$$

$$\to_p \beta + \left(E\left(w_i x_i x_i'\right)\right)^{-1} E\left(w_i x_i e_i\right)$$

by the WLLN. This implicitly assumes that the  $k \times k$  matrix  $E(w_i x_i x_i')$  is invertible. The probability limit in general is not  $\beta$ , thus  $\tilde{\beta}$  is inconsistent for  $\beta$ .

The question asks to find a assumption under which  $\beta$  is consistent for  $\beta$ . A sufficient condition is  $E(w_i x_i e_i) = 0$ , we need to find a reasonable assumption which implies this. One assumption is that the regression model  $E(e_i \mid x_i) = 0$ , for then  $E(w_i x_i e_i) = E(w_i x_i E(e_i \mid x_i)) = 0$ . Another assumption is that  $w(x_i) = w$  is a constant, but that is not a very interesting assumption given the context of the question.

4. We know that  $\hat{\beta} - \beta = (X'X)^{-1}(X'e)$  and  $\tilde{\beta} - \beta = (X'D^{-1}X)^{-1}(X'D^{-1}e)$ . Thus

$$E\left(\left(\hat{\beta} - \beta\right)\left(\tilde{\beta} - \beta\right)' \mid X\right) = E\left(\left(X'X\right)^{-1} X' e e' D^{-1} X \left(X' D^{-1} X\right)^{-1} \mid X\right)$$

$$= (X'X)^{-1} X' E \left(e e' \mid X\right) D^{-1} X \left(X' D^{-1} X\right)^{-1}$$

$$= (X'X)^{-1} X' D D^{-1} X \left(X' D^{-1} X\right)^{-1}$$

$$= (X'X)^{-1} X' X \left(X' D^{-1} X\right)^{-1}$$

$$= (X'D^{-1} X)^{-1}.$$

Furthermore, we know that

$$E\left(\left(\hat{\beta} - \beta\right)\left(\hat{\beta} - \beta\right)' \mid X\right) = \left(X'X\right)^{-1}X'DX\left(X'X\right)^{-1}$$

and

$$E\left(\left(\tilde{\beta} - \beta\right)\left(\tilde{\beta} - \beta\right)' \mid X\right) = \left(X'D^{-1}X\right)^{-1}$$

Thus

$$E\left(\left(\hat{\beta} - \tilde{\beta}\right)\left(\hat{\beta} - \tilde{\beta}\right)' \mid X\right) = E\left(\left(\left(\hat{\beta} - \beta\right) - \left(\tilde{\beta} - \beta\right)\right)\left(\left(\hat{\beta} - \beta\right) - \left(\tilde{\beta} - \beta\right)\right)' \mid X\right)$$

$$= E\left(\left(\hat{\beta} - \beta\right)\left(\hat{\beta} - \beta\right)' \mid X\right)$$

$$+ E\left(\left(\tilde{\beta} - \beta\right)\left(\tilde{\beta} - \beta\right)' \mid X\right)$$

$$- E\left(\left(\hat{\beta} - \beta\right)\left(\tilde{\beta} - \beta\right)' \mid X\right)$$

$$- E\left(\left(\tilde{\beta} - \beta\right)\left(\hat{\beta} - \beta\right)' \mid X\right)$$

$$= \left(X'X\right)^{-1}X'DX\left(X'X\right)^{-1}$$

$$+ \left(X'D^{-1}X\right)^{-1} - \left(X'D^{-1}X\right)^{-1} - \left(X'D^{-1}X\right)^{-1}$$

$$= \left(X'X\right)^{-1}X'DX\left(X'X\right)^{-1} - \left(X'D^{-1}X\right)^{-1}$$

$$= \left(X'X\right)^{-1}X'DX\left(X'X\right)^{-1} - \left(X'D^{-1}X\right)^{-1}$$

$$= Var(\hat{\beta}) - Var(\tilde{\beta})$$