Jamie Loebe Lab 10 Analysis CS 162

Within my Fibonacci program I have found that the iterative function works faster than the revursive function. In my analysis it seems that the recursive function takes longer because it needs to go so much deeper into itself to call itself more and more times. As the number grows, the recursive function continues to dive deeper into itself to run the Fibonacci sequence. Although the iterative time tends to jump around towards the larger numbers, overall, we can see how the recursive time continues to increase as the numbers get larger. We can see a larger time difference when the numbers get higher and start to see a large discrepancy between the two once we reach those higher numbers. See below for the measured analysis:

N--Recursive\_time--Iterative\_time

- 10, 0, -3.4e-05
- 11, 0, -5.5e-05
- 12, 0, -8.9e-05
- 13, 0, -0.000144
- 14, 0, -0.000233
- 15, 0, -0.000377
- 16, 0, -0.00061
- 17, 0, -0.000987
- 18, 0, -0.001597
- 19, 0, -0.002584
- 20, 0, -0.004181
- 21, 0, -0.006765
- 22, 0, -0.010946
- 23, 0, -0.017711
- 24, 0, -0.028657
- 25, 0, -0.046368
- 26, 0, -0.075025
- 27, 0, -0.121393
- 28, 0.01, -0.186418
- 29, 0.01, -0.307811
- 30, 0.03, -0.484229
- 31, 0.04, -0.79204
- 32, 0.07, -1.27627
- 33, 0.12, -2.05831
- 34, 0.2, -3.32458
- 35, 0.32, -5.38289
- 36, 0.53, -8.69746
- 37, 0.86, -14.0704

- 38, 1.39, -22.7678
- 39, 2.25, -36.8382
- 40, 3.64, -59.606
- 41, 5.88, -96.4542
- 42, 9.52, -156.06
- 43, 15.39, -252.524
- 44, 24.93, -408.564
- 45, 40.33, -661.079
- 46, 65.21, -1069.69
- 47, 105.43, -1730.88
- 48, 170.53, 1494.28
- 49, 275.91, -236.65
- 50, 446.95, 1258.14