# BC Stats Proposal | Quantifying the Responses to Open-Ended Survey Questions

## **Executive Summary**

The BC Public Service conducts a Work Environment Survey (WES) with the goals of understanding their employees experience, celebrating their successes and to identify areas for improvement. We propose to leverage current data science techniques such as natural language processing and machine learning to automate the labeling of the text responses and uncover useful sentiment. We also propose to build a dashboard to visualize and better communicate the results of the survey. We are confident that by using cutting edge data science techniques we can further understand your employees experience, celebrate their successes, and identify areas for improvement.

#### Introduction

The BC Public Service is committed to understanding the challenges and successes within the workplace. One of the ways this is quantified is through the WES which measures key drivers through qualitative data from the openended survey response and quantitative data from the multiple-choice questions. Currently the open-ended responses have to be manually coded into more then 60 sub-themes and to achieve this BC Stats hires summer students which takes substantial resources and time. The qualitative and quantitative data are analyzed as two separate reports but currently BC stats has not investigated how each report is related to one another. In the quantitative report the results are only compared to the prior survey but they have not shown how the trends vary historically.

This project has two main objectives which is to automate qualitative labeling and to gain new insights about the survey data which have been broken into three research questions:

- 1. Automate Classification How to automate the classification of themes and sub-themes for the open-ended survey responses?
- 2. Linking Quantitative to Qualitative How well does the sentiment of the qualitative responses agree with the quantitative responses?
- 3. Trends Across Ministries and Overtime What trends in key engagement drivers exist over time and across departments from the 2007 to 2018 quantitative survey data?

## Data Science Techniques

Generally, the focus of question one deals with predictive statistics while questions two and three are more descriptive. For all questions our approach will utilize the quantitative or qualitative data provided by the WES. The survey has over 22,500 respondents across 26 ministries in 2018 and has been conducted over eight survey cycles starting in 2007. There are approximately 80 multiple choice questions and one open ended response question. Our approaches to the proposed questions are discussed below.

#### **Automate Classification**

The labels to the open-ended survey responses have been provided, therefore coding the themes can be described as a supervised learning problem. We will train a model to automate this task by processing the text data to be

used as features in our model with the theme as our prediction target. In our initial approach we will use a bag of words analysis with a linear classifier. Building on this approach we will investigate the optimal model and preprocessing technique to increase our classification accuracy. The deliverable for this work will be a data pipeline and model that can be used to label the open-ended survey questions for future cycles of the WES.

### Linking Quantitative to Qualitative

The free form nature of the open-ended survey responses offers many insights that are ripe for natural language processing. Using sentiment analysis, we plan to quantify these responses and tie them to the quantitative data. Making the connection between the open-ended question and the multiple-choice questions is important to add support to the current survey design and helps to reinforce the current analysis (engagement model) that is done with the quantitative data. To measure the agreement between the qualitative and quantitative data we will first look at percent agreement and then explore more complex metrics like Cohen's Kappa (*A coefficient of agreement for nominal scales*, Cohen J). The final deliverable for this question will be a high level discussion in our report.

#### Trends Across Ministries and Overtime

To discover trends both through time and across departments, we will build a dash board to visualize all the survey cycles to date. The particular challenges for this problem is the state of the data and inconsistency in questions across the surveys. This will require extensive data cleaning. The final deliverable will be an interactive dashboard highlighting the trends and allowing for future survey data to be incorporated into the dashboard.

#### **Timeline**

To stay on target we have scheduled weekly meetings on Tuesday morning with BC Stats and Friday morning with our mentor Varada Kolhatkar. A brief outline of our milestones and deadlines are tabulated below:

Table 1. Project Timeline

Task	Expected number of weeks to take
Coding Themes	4
Linking Quantitative to Qualitative	5
Trends Across Ministries and Overtime	5

Table 2. Milestone Timeline

Milestone	Submission Due date
Proposal report	Friday May 3, 2019 To partner
Final presentation	June 17 or 18, 2019 <i>To partner</i>
Final report	Wednesday June 26, 2019 To partner
Data product	Wednesday June 26, 2019 18:00 To partner